## EXHIBIT 1

**Evidentiary Hearing Transcript** 

```
Page 1
 1
            JUDICIAL ARBITRATION AND MEDIATION SERVICES
                                 (JAMS)
 2
     KARL HANSEN,
 3
             Complainant,
                                            JAMS REFERENCE NO.
                                            1260005897
 4
     v.
 5
     ELON MUSK; TESLA, INC., TESLA
     MOTORS, INC.; and U.S.
 6
     SECURITY ASSOCIATES,
 7
             Respondents.
 8
 9
10
                               *REVISED*
11
                          EVIDENTIARY HEARING
12
                         MONDAY, APRIL 11, 2022
13
14
                                VOLUME 1
15
                         On Monday, April 11, 2022, the
16
17
          following proceedings came on to be heard in the
          above-entitled and -numbered cause before Judge
18
19
                      Carl (Bill) Hoffman (Ret.).
20
21
22
23
          Proceedings were reported by stenographic method
          by: DEBRA A. DIBBLE, RDR, CRR, CRC
24
25
          Job #: 208973
```

```
Page 2
                                                                                                               Page 3
        Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
1
                                                            1
                                                                   Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
2
                                                            2
                                                                      MARTENSON HASBROUCK & SIMON
                                                                            JANINE BRAXTON, ESOUIRE
3
    ARBITRATOR:
              Judge Carl (Bill) W. Hoffman (Ret.)
                                                            3
                                                                            ALEX SMITH, ESQ.
 4
5
                                                                            ROBIN LARGENT, ESQ.
                                                                      455 Capitol Mall
6
    FOR THE CLAIMANT:
                                                                      Sacramento, California 95814
7
          THE EMPLOYMENT LAW GROUP
                                                            5
                NICHOLAS WOODFIELD, ESQ.
                                                                      Counsel for U.S. Security Associates
8
                 R. SCOTT OSWALD, ESQ.
                                                            6
           888 17th Street, NW
                                                            7
9
           Washington, DC 20006
                                                            8
10
                                                            9
11
                                                           10
                                                               ALSO PRESENT:
12
                                                           11
                                                                          Karl Hansen
13
    FOR THE RESPONDENT:
                                                           12
                                                                          Stephanie Stroup
14
           SEYFARTH SHAW
                                                                          Tesla in-house counsel
           BY:
                 CHRISTOPHER ROBERTSON, ESO.
                                                           13
15
                 ANNE DUNNE, ESO.
                                                                         Jaime Bodiford
           World Trade Center East
                                                                         Tesla in-house counsel
                                                           14
16
           Two Seaport Lane
                                                           15
                                                                         Lisa Flegenheimer
           Boston, Massachusetts 02210
                                                                         Tesla paralegal
17
                                                           16
           Counsel for Elon Musk; Tesla, Inc.; and
                                                           17
18
           Tesla Motors, Inc.
                                                           18
19
                                                           19
20
                                                           20
21
                                                           21
22
                                                           22
23
                                                           23
24
                                                           24
25
                                                           25
                                                    Page 4
                                                                                                               Page 5
         Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
                                                                    Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
1
                                                            1
 2
                        _____
                                                            2
                                                                of documents that are provided just in case but
 3
                                                            3
                                                                they're not used. And so I will only consider in
                    PROCEEDINGS
 4
               April 11, 2022, 9:12 a.m. PDT
                                                            4
                                                                my award those exhibits that have been addressed.
 5
                        _____
                                                            5
                                                                            In order to make sure that I have a
 6
                 JUDGE HOFFMAN: We've scheduled four
                                                                good list, what I would ask counsel to do is to
                                                            6
 7
                                                            7
     days for the hearing, which seems to me like it
                                                                identify the exhibit, for example, in talking to
 8
     will be plenty of time. My review of the witness
                                                            8
                                                                a witness, laying a foundation for Exhibit 1,
 9
     shows that there are about 12 discrete witnesses,
                                                            9
                                                                talking about it and then at some time moving to
10
     not including the rebuttal witnesses, of course.
                                                                admit the exhibit. By doing that, that gives a
                                                           10
11
                 So I think we're going to have plenty
                                                           11
                                                                clear opportunity for the other side to object
12
     of time to get everybody on, get all of the
                                                           12
                                                                and gives me a good opportunity to understand
     information on. And nevertheless, I'm going to
13
                                                           13
                                                                what the objection is. And so that's how we'll
     keep track of the time used by each side. And I
                                                           14
14
                                                                proceed.
                                                           15
                                                                            At the end of the hearing, then, I
15
     would expect that at the end of the hearing, if
                                                                will have built a list of exhibits that have been
16
     there's a dispute, each side gets to use half the
                                                           16
17
     time to make their presentation. So I'll be
                                                           17
                                                                offered, admitted; and if they're not admitted,
     keeping track of that. As I said, I don't think
18
                                                           18
                                                                I'll have those too and the reason why they were,
19
     that's going to be a problem at all in this case.
                                                           19
                                                                they were not admitted. The rules of evidence
20
                 I have the joint exhibit list, and
                                                           20
                                                                don't apply here. And so I can tell you that I
21
     I've reviewed it. And the way that I'll handle
                                                                will hear your objection and understand what your
                                                           21
22
     the exhibits is that I will consider exhibits
                                                           22
                                                                objection is, but because the rules of evidence
23
     which your witnesses or you have talked about and
                                                           23
                                                                don't apply, often I will say it's admitted and
                                                                I'll give it the weight that it deserves. You've
24
     drawn my attention to. I know in arbitrations
                                                           24
     like this, I know a lot of times there are loads
                                                                probably heard that before in arbitration, and
25
                                                           25
```

Page 6 Page 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 nothing new here. 2 witness, then, of course, you can renew your 3 3 I think -- I would like to get an request to have that witness called. And that 4 understanding of what the -- of what the sequence 4 would be true of Mr. Musk and anybody else who might be objected to along the way. 5 of witnesses is going to be today, just for 5 б planning purposes. I'd like to work about three 6 I guess I want to ask now, do counsel 7 7 or four hours in the morning, take about a have any issues that they'd like to bring up 8 half-hour lunch, and then work the rest of the before we get started? First of all, Mr. Woodfield. 9 afternoon. But I want to do that. I'm sensitive 9 10 to the idea that we want to have a witness that's 10 MR. WOODFIELD: No, I think we've 11 on the stand, stays on the stand so they can get 11 ironed them out, Your Honor. I think I can tell 12 done. And so I appreciate counsel communicating 12 you a couple of things that counsel have done in 13 13 back and forth on what your plans are and how preparation for the hearing that will expedite 14 14 you're going to present your witnesses. matters. 15 Speaking of witnesses, I know that 15 First of all, we have an agreed there is a motion in limine that's been brought witness order, and we've agreed that we'll call 16 16 17 by Tesla and the Tesla part of the Respondents, 17 the witnesses once and work through them in our 18 objecting to the calling of Elon Musk in this 18 cross/direct, et cetera, so that we only have to 19 case. I've reviewed the motion and the response. 19 use the one time. And the witness order will be 20 I don't see anything new in the response, and so 20 Mr. Hansen first and then Mr. Nocon today. 21 at this time I'm going to grant the motion in 21 And then we think we'll probably be 22 limine. 22 done for the day. 23 Obviously if during the case there 23 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. 24 becomes a time, Mr. Woodfield, when you believe 24 MR. WOODFIELD: And then tomorrow, 25 25 that your case is stronger to call a different we'll start with Mr. German, and then the Page 8 Page 9 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 complainant's case will rest. 2 we're not going to have a time problem, then. 3 3 And then the -- I expect that the Okay. Thank you very much. 4 4 Respondents will call Ms. Ferrua, Ms. Workman, Anything further, Mr. Woodfield? MR. WOODFIELD: No, Your Honor. 5 perhaps, and Mr. Mohamed, perhaps, and then we're 5 6 submitting, Nick Gicinto, Jeff Jones, and 6 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Counsel for 7 Marshall Sprott, their depositions into the Tesla, Mr. Robertson. Any preliminary issues 8 record so they can be read. We've just submitted 8 you'd like to bring? 9 them. 9 MR. ROBERTSON: No, Your Honor, just 10 Obviously the first 20 to 30 pages of 10 confirming that we did file through the JAMS 11 them are, you know, here are the ground rules of 11 system this morning the three transcripts. Those 12 the depositions. But they're -- those 12 should be now logged in to the system. And you 13 individuals are no longer employed by Tesla; so 13 probably had access to most of them from the 14 rather than going through the rigamarole of 14 prior motions, but now they're submitted formally 15 15 bringing them in, we figured that you -- rather in this case and in this hearing. So we should 16 than reading bits of the deposition to you, we'd 16 be fine on that. 17 17 just give them. I don't think there's any other 18 preliminary matters from us. I appreciate you So I personally think, and I think --18 19 I think the Tesla counsel would concur, but I'll 19 identifying how we'll get documents in. There's 20 leave it up to them, but I think we'll be done by 20 obviously a lot of exhibits --21 close of business tomorrow. 21 MS. BRAXTON: Janine Braxton on 22 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Would you give me 22 behalf of USSA. I don't know that we have 23 those three names again? It was Gicinto? 23 anything additional to add, and I think that's MR. WOODFIELD: Jones and Sprott. 24 24 it. 25 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. It looks like 25 I guess one thing I didn't hear

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 10 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 discussed is the post-trial -- or excuse me, the 2 3 post-hearing briefs. I know that there was some 3 4 discussion about this. We would certainly like 4 5 to do that. 5 6 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Why don't we take б 7 that up after we've presented the evidence? I 7 8 mean, I certainly don't object to post-hearing 8 9 briefs. And since we're going to have a court 9 10 reporter taking all of the information, it will 10 11 be easier to write the briefs. I understand 11 12 that. So let's take that up at the end and see 12 13 what the consensus is. 13 14 14 Mr. Robertson, I want to be clear in 15 my notes that you represent Elon Musk, Tesla, 15 16 16 Inc., and Tesla Motors, Inc. Is that right? 17 17 MR. ROBERTSON: That's correct, 18 Your Honor. 18 19 JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. And then 19 Ms. Dunne has U.S. Security Associates. 20 20 21 MR. ROBERTSON: No, Ms. Dunne is with 21 22 22 me. 23 23 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yes, I'm incorrect. 24 24 Janine Braxton. 25 25 MS. BRAXTON: Yes, Janine Braxton,

Page 11 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Robin Largent and Alex Smith. We're all with Martenson, Hasbrouck & Simon, outside counsel representing USSA. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right. Thank you. My notes were correct, my recollection was not. All right. Good. Good. All right. Well, good. If there's nothing else preliminarily, then I would invite the sides to make their opening statement, if you wish, starting with Mr. Woodfield. MR. WOODFIELD: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be brief because I think you're right and the issues have been narrowed. At this point I don't think there is a tremendous factual dispute in terms of the narrative of the facts of the case, and I think that the narrative of the facts of the case was pretty well set forth in the motion for summary judgment, and I think the -- I think the Court,

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

the law and the -- as it aligns to the facts.

And I'd just point out as we're going forward
what I think are sort of the things that I would
like the arbitrator to be aware of as we proceed.

And I'm just going to show very briefly, because
I anticipate this will take about five minutes.

The legal standard on a whistleblower
claim, on a Dodd-Frank, in a SOX claim are the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

One, the plaintiff must establish a prima facia case by proving a preponderance of the evidence that they engaged in protected activity, that the employer knew or suspected, either actually or constructively, that they engaged in protected activity, that they suffered an unfavorable personnel or employment action, and that the protected activity was a contributing factor in the unfavorable action.

same because they are the statute, effectively.

20 Employer may then avoid liability if 21 it proves by clear and convincing evidence that 22 the employer would have taken the same personnel 23 action in the absence of the protected activity. 24 And that standard was accepted by Kim -- the 25 Ninth Circuit in Kim versus Boeing, where it said Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

SOX whistleblower claims are governed by the burden-shifting procedure, which the plaintiff is required to make a prima facia case; and then if the plaintiff meets their burden, the employer assumes the burden of demonstrating by clear and convincing evidence that it would have taken the same adverse employment action in the absence of the plaintiffs' protected activity.

or the board -- forgive me, the arbitration

Really it's what is the -- what is

panel, will hear it, along the same lines.

And I point out Kalkunte, because
Kalkunte was the first SOX case that was won, and
it went up through the ARB, and the ARB really
set the standard. It spent a lot of time on it,
and I'm going to tell you it spent a lot of time
briefing it because we wanted to get it right.
It also spent a lot of time getting it right, and
I know that because it was my case.

The ARB stated that the respondents could only avoid liability when it got to the clear and convincing evidence stage to show that they discharged the complainant when they did. Even if she had not engaged in protected activity, they had to show they would have discharged her when they did, because the standard becomes not that they had a reason to

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

terminate her but that they would have terminated her when they did in light of the protected activity. And that's similar to the Wheat case that I referred you to.

It's not that they could find a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

reason to terminate her, but you have to look at why they terminated her relative to the protected activity. And that's the issue I want to pull the arbitrator's attention to here, because on August 3rd, Karl Hansen, Elon -- e-mailed Elon Musk and raised issues about large-scale theft, cartel activity, you know, issues of significance that he wanted to bring attention to the -- to Tesla management's attention, and he wanted to put it up on a large scale so that they were aware of it.

Now, Elon Musk was clearly aware of this at this point, as was Tesla management, because Elon Musk even responded. But importantly, Nick Gicinto wrote back saying that Yusuf Mohamed, who was an assistant general counsel, if I recall, but the head of employer relations, was involved in investigation into Mr. Hansen's allegations as of August 3rd, along

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 criticize them for participating in the SEC process.

Now, this is important because objective/subjective goes out the window at that point. And so the protected activity is there, plus, you cannot terminate them for some -- just filing a TCR. These are all things I want to bring everyone's attention to.

And then the next thing I want to bring attention to, because these are all points that I want everyone to know on the way. There is this e-mail that I think we've all seen several times. This is Kenneth Davis's e-mail.

Kenneth Davis is a coworker of
Mr. Hansen. He was a coworker. And he wrote to
Mr. Musk. And Jeff Jones, who is the head of
global security, and he wrote about Karl Hansen
because Karl Hansen was working for USSA. And he
wrote the e-mail saying, Karl Hansen, SEC
whistleblower, immediate attention.

22 And he said, My name is Ken Davis, 23 and I am part of the investigations team at the 24 Gigafactory. I wanted to bring your attention to 25 what is, to me, a very disturbing observation. Page 15

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

with Nick Gicinto.

3 So as of August 3rd, the time starts. 4 The allegations are raised.

And then there is a TCR filed. The
TCR is filed with the SEC. Now, this is really
important. A TCR is a filing with the SEC that
advises of what an individual believes is a stock
issue; but what's important here, for people who

don't do employment law, is when you engage in protected activity, there are two forms of

12 protected activity under statutes that have 13 retaliation clauses. There's participatory 14 activity and oppositional activity.

Oppositional includes opposing
things, saying I am opposed to this activity,
filing a complaint. Filing a complaint like a
TCR is oppositional activity, but it also is
participatory activity because you are
participating in the process.

21 Once you are participating in the 22 process, you cannot then criticize the person for 23 their objective and subjective belief. They 24 conflate. Someone's belief -- once they're 25 participating in the process, you cannot

Page 16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

21

22

23

24

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

Page 17

He pointed out that Karl Hansen filed an SEC complaint and is still working there, and called him effectively a fox -- or a wolf guarding the henhouse and talked about how horrifying it was that this SEC whistleblower was at the threshold of Tesla.

Now, what's critical about this is it goes to Jeff Jones and Elon Musk. And in Tesla's interrogatory answers, interrogatory answer No. 12 -- and this is on page 9 of 11. It's Karl -- it was signed by Nicole White on June 4th.

It was a question:

15 Identify and explain in detail any 16 complaints or allegations leveled against Hansen 17 when he was employed by any Respondent or 18 thereafter.

19 ANSWER: The Tesla Respondents 20 object.

And then it says on August 23rd,

Tesla received a report regarding Hansen's

behavior from Hansen's colleague, Kenneth Davis.

That's this e-mail. SEC

25 whistleblower.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 20

Page 18

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Davis reported witnessing Hansen violating Tesla policies, including involving third parties with his investigations and sending confidential Tesla information to outside e-mail addresses to be accessed by individuals not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

employed by Tesla.

individuals outside of Tesla.

Davis expressed concerns about Hansen being temporarily placed at the desk of the Gigafactory. Specifically, Davis cited to the risks of Hansen possibly having unrestricted access into Tesla's network, coupled with his role as a gatekeeper into the Gigafactory after Davis became concerned about Hansen's erratic and unauthorized activities and involvement of

As a result of this report, Tesla reviewed Hansen's employee and contractor e-mail accounts and found that he forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal e-mail and address in violation of Tesla policies. During this review, Tesla also determined that Hansen permanently deleted the contents of his sent items folders.

Now, what's critical is, on

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 of Mr. Davis's report means that it cannot meet its burden by clear and convincing evidence.

Moreover, Tesla, in its supplemental productions after summary judgment, produced a partial waiver of the attorney-client privilege showing that on August 31st, after Mr. Hansen went on Fox News, that there was a flurry of activity to get rid of him. There were no reports that were of any activity going -ongoing back to August 3rd, pre-dating the TCR. Everything happened after the TCR.

And so going back to the Wheat case, it is irrelevant that you can find things that are terminable activities afterwards if you can't show why you didn't terminate them beforehand.

Now, Tesla can come back and say, well, we didn't know about them beforehand; but the problem is Nick Gicinto, who is a domestic security person, and Elon Musk knew as of August 3rd, two weeks before the protected activity, that there was this issue. And they could have looked up the e-mails, looked up all of this issue, but it wasn't an issue.

It only became an issue after the

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

Page 19

2 August 3rd, Nick Gicinto could have investigated

3 all of these things, employee relations could

4 have investigated these things. Nothing really

5 happened. Elon Musk was there. They weren't

6 that interested in pursuing things.

7 There was a protected activity, a 8 complaint of it to Elon Musk. The TCR was filed. 9 The complaint about it was filed to Elon Musk. 10 It was escalated to Mr. Davis. And in response, 11 Tesla said as a result of this report -- and this

is the interrogatory answer. As the result of 12

13 Ken Davis's report, Tesla reviewed Hansen's 14 record.

And if you go back to the standard, Tesla has to show by clear and convincing evidence it would have discharged Mr. Hansen when it did, even if he had not engaged in protected activity. It engage -- it went after him because of the report that he had engaged in the protected activity because of the SEC complaint, not because he -- well, forgive me. I'm jumbling my words here -- that it was able to find items that it could terminate him for is a red herring. The fact that it dug up the information because

Page 21

1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 protected activity. And the Wheat case that I

3 put forward said that it doesn't matter if an

4 individual is terminated for an act that was

5 terminable before the protected activity, the

6 employer then has to explain why it was only

7 terminable after the protected activity. That is

8 the same standard that is set forth in Kalkunte

9

and in the language. So I want to point that out

10 as we go forward here, because the evidence

really isn't disputed, that Mr. Hansen sent these 11

12 things and that Tesla can assert that this is

13 terminable activity.

> The problem they have is that they didn't terminate him for -- beforehand, before he engaged in the TCR activity, and therefore they can't show that it wasn't retaliatory by clear and convincing evidence.

JUDGE HOFFMAN: Is that it? I was waiting for a conclusion. Okay. Got it. Thank you very much. All right.

Would the respondents like to make an opening statement now or do you reserve that and would you like to make it a little bit later? Go ahead.

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

MR. ROBERTSON: Your Honor, I would like to make one now. I think I can be very brief.

JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay.

MR. ROBERTSON: First of all, you know, normally we'd get into an argument about the lies in closings, not in openings, so I'm comfortable pushing that discussion off until the end of the case where it would normally be discussed. But the one thing you did not hear, none of us heard, from Mr. Woodfield in his opening, was any denial of what Mr. Hansen actually did. Not one. There is absolutely no dispute in this case that Mr. Hansen sent drafts of e-mails from his Tesla account to his personal account.

Mr. Woodfield is correct, that is not in dispute at all. And that information included badging records, video surveillance footage from the facility. It included personal information, including personal phone numbers of contractors and employees. It included all sorts of information that he admits, and that he already admitted and under oath when he was deposed in

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 and he was terminated from Tesla as part of a RIF that occurred and he was notified of in June of 2018.

And then he was part of a group, not solely, not himself, not alone, part of a very large group, as many as 40 people were sent and offboarded to a contractor USSA and then assigned back to the Gigafactory to work, and to work as a security guard. Not as an investigator, as a security guard.

And he's placed back at Tesla, with USSA, under an agreement where he understood it was at will, he understood he had to comply with policies, he understood what his job should have been. All Tesla did -- and again, I agree with Mr. Woodfield, there is no dispute. There's no dispute that on August 31st, as part of reviewing Mr. Hansen's e-mails, they uncovered that large swaths of this information, which was proprietary to Tesla, had been sent to his personal Gmail account. Which doesn't just raise the issue of the violation of policy. It raises the issue of the security of that e-mail. We all know how vulnerable Gmail is. I mean, my firm won't even

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
this case, that he understood both that it was
violative of not only Tesla's but USSA's
policies. And he also admitted in his deposition
that, yeah, if someone found this, they should be
concerned. And Tesla was concerned.

Page 23

Now, what I'm hearing from
Mr. Woodfield is none of that matters because
once you go to the SEC, you've got tenure.
That's it. You cannot be terminated. Because
even if they find something as egregious as the
conduct that we have here that's admitted to have
been done, somehow it doesn't matter. Because,
man, I went to the government, they knew about
it, so the fact that I violated all of these
policies and procedures, the fact that I did all
of these things that I admit violated those
policies and procedures, nope, can't do anything
about it.

20 And what's critical here is Tesla did 21 not actually direct USSA to terminate Mr. Hansen. 22 His documents from the time frame and his 23 pleadings in this case are replete with

references to the fact that he was terminated.

25 He was terminated from Tesla as part of a RIF,

Page 24 Page 25

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1let us use Gmail.

So these policies violations were very serious, and Tesla took them very seriously. But even then, again, undisputed of what they uncovered, they didn't direct USSA to terminate Mr. Hansen. They simply said we would like him to not be on our property anymore. And that's what happened.

And he was perfectly free to go accept other assignments from USSA, which he ultimately did. He took some time off. He was basically absent for a month and came back and then took some assignments from USSA. Those are the facts. That's what happened. And again, I don't really think any of that's in dispute. I think there's a lot of revisionist history here about what happened, but a company is not handcuffed from taking action with regard to a contractor in this case, not even an employee, when they uncover something as egregious as the violations that Mr. Hansen admittedly engaged in and simply request that their contractor not contract him back to their facility until they've -- unless and until they direct them to

Page 26 Page 27 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 2 do that in the future. Mr. Hansen's counsel did not even 3 3 That's all that happened here. And mention USSA in his opening statement. And that's for a good reason. It's undisputed and 4 that was perfectly appropriate. There's no --4 5 5 will remain undisputed at the hearing that USSA absolutely no evidence that Tesla acted 6 inappropriately in any way. Thank you. 6 did not even know about Hansen's protected 7 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Thank you. 7 activity in order to retaliate against him for 8 Ms. Braxton, would you like to speak 8 it. 9 9 Mr. Hansen alleges in paragraph 96 of now? 10 MS. LARGENT: I'm actually going to 10 his third amended statement of claims, and you 11 provide the opening statement on behalf of USSA heard his counsel articulately explain here this 11 12 12 morning that the protected activity at issue is this morning. Mr. Hansen's filing of an SEC complaint on 13 13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Ms. Largent. 14 MS. LARGENT: And to start off, USSA 14 August 9, 2018. 15 joins in Tesla's opening statement and in the 15 At no time prior to his removal from 16 points raised by Tesla in arguing that the 16 the Tesla site did Matt German, the USSA 17 17 evidence will not support a finding of representative who made the removal decision at 18 retaliation against Tesla. And that Tesla 18 Tesla's request, know about this complaint. It's 19 actually had legitimate nonretaliatory reasons 19 axiomatic under well-established law that a party 20 for requesting Mr. Hansen's removal from their 20 cannot retaliate against someone for something they did not know about. USSA similarly did not 21 site. However, even if the arbitrator were to 21 22 find that Tesla asked for Hansen's removal from 22 and could not know that Tesla was retaliating 23 their site for a retaliatory reason, there's 23 against Mr. Hansen for filing an SEC complaint 24 still no legal or factual basis for holding USSA 24 given that USSA didn't know about the complaint 25 liable for that conduct. 25 in order to suspect this in the first place. Page 28 Page 29 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 The facts have shown and the 2 standard for proving liability for retaliation 3 testimony at the hearing will support that the 3 under Dodd-Frank appears to have been miscited by 4 only reason USSA removed Hansen from the Tesla 4 plaintiff's counsel, and will be happy to brief 5 account is because Tesla exercised its 5 the legal issue in closing and in post-hearing 6 contractual right to request removal under the 6 briefs, should we do those. 7 7 terms of the master agreement between Tesla and JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. Thank you 8 USSA. USSA asked for a reason for the removal 8 very much. 9 request but wasn't provided one. 9 Mr. Woodfield, you can call your 10 Accordingly, USSA simply granted the 10 first witness. 11 11 request in accordance with that service MR. WOODFIELD: I want to ask, if I 12 agreement. 12 could, just before we start since we're going to 13 13 Further underscoring the absence of be going for a while, might I take two minutes to 14 any improper conduct by USSA, as Tesla's counsel 14 run to the restroom? 15 15 noted, USSA kept Hansen employed and gave him a JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yes. Of course. 16 16

Further underscoring the absence of any improper conduct by USSA, as Tesla's counsel noted, USSA kept Hansen employed and gave him a new assignment after he was removed from the Tesla account. The only reason Mr. Hansen is not employed by USSA anymore is because he voluntarily resigned for a higher paying job. In sum, there's no basis for liability against USSA on Mr. Hansen's Dodd-Frank claim, which is the only claim that remains against USSA in this action.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also join in points raised by Tesla's counsel in the opening statement that the

Let's take a brief break for five minutes. MR. WOODFIELD: Thank you. 17 (Recess taken, 9:44 a.m. to 18 19 9:52 a.m. PDT.) 20 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Woodfield, go 21 ahead and call your first witness. 22 MR. WOODFIELD: Your Honor, before I 23 do, how do you want to handle exhibits? Would 24 you like me to put them up on my screen? Would 25 you like to have me call them and have everyone

| 1   | Page 30<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  | 1  | Page 31<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 2   | pull them up individually?   | 2  |  |
| 3   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: I have the exhibits   | 3  | EXAMINATION  |
| 4   | with me, and so I'm going to mark I'm going to   | 4  |  |
| 5   | refer to the exhibits. But if you want to have   | 5  | BY MR. WOODFIELD:  |
| 6   | the witness talk about a particular provision, of  | 6  | Q. Mr. Hansen, can I get you to state  |
| 7   | course, you're going to have to put it up. So I  | 7  | your full name for the record, please?   |
| 8   | don't know if that answers your question or not.   | 8  | A. Yes. It's Karl Erik Hansen.   |
| 9   | MR. WOODFIELD: I will if I want  | 9  | Q. And, Mr. Hansen, how old are you?   |
| 10  | them to look at something that I want to   | 10   | A. Just turned 50.   |
| 11  | emphasize on the screen, I'll place it up, but   | 11   | Q. And where do you live?  |
| 12  | otherwise I'll just refer to everything broadly.   | 12   | A. Currently I live south of Seattle, in   |
| 13  | All right. At this point,  | 13   | a town called Puyallup, Washington.  |
| 14  | Your Honor, I'd like to call Mr. Karl Hansen as  | 14   | Q. And are you married and have a  |
| 15  | complainant's first witness.   | 15   | family?  |
| l   | _  |  | -  |
| 16  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. Debbie,  | 16   | A. So, yes. My you know, my wife and   |
| 17  | would you swear the witness.   | 17   | I have five children: son, four daughters, and   |
| 18  |  | 18   | three grandchildren, and another grandchild on   |
| 19  | KARL ERIK HANSEN,  | 19   | the way.   |
| 20  | having been duly sworn,  | 20   | Q. And tell us about your background and   |
| 21  | testified as follows:  | 21   | education.   |
| 22  | * * *  | 22   | A. Well, my education began first  |
| 23  | * * *  | 23   | off, I'll start by saying I don't have a formal  |
| 24  | * * *  | 24   | degree. Throughout my career, on active duty as  |
| 25  | * * *  | 25   | well as well, throughout my life, up to this   |
|   | Page 32  |  | Page 33  |
| 1   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| l .   | <del>-</del>   | +  | naliseli v Etoli Musk - Albittattoli Day i   |
| 2   | point, I've accumulated probably over  | 2  | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in  |
| 2   | point, I've accumulated probably over 200-semester hours in various courses of study.  |  |  |
| l   |  | 2  | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in  |
| 3   | 200-semester hours in various courses of study.  | 2 3  | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  |
| 3<br>4  | 200-semester hours in various courses of study.  I had Central Texas College, the  | 2<br>3<br>4  | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have  |
| 3<br>4<br>5   | 200-semester hours in various courses of study.  I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers,  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | 200-semester hours in various courses of study.  I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | 200-semester hours in various courses of study.  I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | 200-semester hours in various courses of study.  I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | 200-semester hours in various courses of study.  I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.  I studied in criminal justice at  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?  Q. Yes. Let me show it to you very   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | 200-semester hours in various courses of study.  I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.  I studied in criminal justice at Central Texas; and also in South Florida, I was   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?  Q. Yes. Let me show it to you very briefly.  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.  I studied in criminal justice at Central Texas; and also in South Florida, I was working in juvenile justice and juvenile   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?  Q. Yes. Let me show it to you very briefly.  Do you see this document, this  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.  I studied in criminal justice at Central Texas; and also in South Florida, I was working in juvenile justice and juvenile corrections and received training in that field;  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?  Q. Yes. Let me show it to you very briefly.  Do you see this document, this  A. Yes, sir.  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.  I studied in criminal justice at Central Texas; and also in South Florida, I was working in juvenile justice and juvenile corrections and received training in that field; and ultimately while on active duty in the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?  Q. Yes. Let me show it to you very briefly.  Do you see this document, this  A. Yes, sir.  Q. This is joint Exhibit No. 16.  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.  I studied in criminal justice at Central Texas; and also in South Florida, I was working in juvenile justice and juvenile corrections and received training in that field; and ultimately while on active duty in the early '90s, I was a volunteer at a in a fire  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?  Q. Yes. Let me show it to you very briefly.  Do you see this document, this  A. Yes, sir.  Q. This is joint Exhibit No. 16.  Can you tell me about your work   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.  I studied in criminal justice at Central Texas; and also in South Florida, I was working in juvenile justice and juvenile corrections and received training in that field; and ultimately while on active duty in the early '90s, I was a volunteer at a in a fire department, and I studied firefighting with an emphasis on hazardous materials and emergency   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?  Q. Yes. Let me show it to you very briefly.  Do you see this document, this  A. Yes, sir.  Q. This is joint Exhibit No. 16.  Can you tell me about your work history through 2018?   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.  I studied in criminal justice at Central Texas; and also in South Florida, I was working in juvenile justice and juvenile corrections and received training in that field; and ultimately while on active duty in the early '90s, I was a volunteer at a in a fire department, and I studied firefighting with an emphasis on hazardous materials and emergency vehicle operations.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?  Q. Yes. Let me show it to you very briefly.  Do you see this document, this  A. Yes, sir.  Q. This is joint Exhibit No. 16.  Can you tell me about your work history through 2018?  A. Sure. My work history began while in high school. Initially I joined the New York   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.  I studied in criminal justice at Central Texas; and also in South Florida, I was working in juvenile justice and juvenile corrections and received training in that field; and ultimately while on active duty in the early '90s, I was a volunteer at a in a fire department, and I studied firefighting with an emphasis on hazardous materials and emergency vehicle operations.  Q. And let me ask you, in February 2018,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?  Q. Yes. Let me show it to you very briefly.  Do you see this document, this  A. Yes, sir.  Q. This is joint Exhibit No. 16.  Can you tell me about your work history through 2018?  A. Sure. My work history began while in  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.  I studied in criminal justice at Central Texas; and also in South Florida, I was working in juvenile justice and juvenile corrections and received training in that field; and ultimately while on active duty in the early '90s, I was a volunteer at a in a fire department, and I studied firefighting with an emphasis on hazardous materials and emergency vehicle operations.  Q. And let me ask you, in February 2018, did you apply as a protection associate at Tesla?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?  Q. Yes. Let me show it to you very briefly.  Do you see this document, this  A. Yes, sir.  Q. This is joint Exhibit No. 16.  Can you tell me about your work history through 2018?  A. Sure. My work history began while in high school. Initially I joined the New York State Army National Guard, while in high school, and became a member of the New York State  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.  I studied in criminal justice at Central Texas; and also in South Florida, I was working in juvenile justice and juvenile corrections and received training in that field; and ultimately while on active duty in the early '90s, I was a volunteer at a in a fire department, and I studied firefighting with an emphasis on hazardous materials and emergency vehicle operations.  Q. And let me ask you, in February 2018, did you apply as a protection associate at Tesla? A. Yes, sir, I did.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?  Q. Yes. Let me show it to you very briefly.  Do you see this document, this  A. Yes, sir.  Q. This is joint Exhibit No. 16.  Can you tell me about your work history through 2018?  A. Sure. My work history began while in high school. Initially I joined the New York State Army National Guard, while in high school, and became a member of the New York State Counterdrug Task Force, assigned to Wellesley  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.  I studied in criminal justice at Central Texas; and also in South Florida, I was working in juvenile justice and juvenile corrections and received training in that field; and ultimately while on active duty in the early '90s, I was a volunteer at a in a fire department, and I studied firefighting with an emphasis on hazardous materials and emergency vehicle operations.  Q. And let me ask you, in February 2018, did you apply as a protection associate at Tesla?  A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. And if I could get you to take a look   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?  Q. Yes. Let me show it to you very briefly.  Do you see this document, this  A. Yes, sir.  Q. This is joint Exhibit No. 16.  Can you tell me about your work history through 2018?  A. Sure. My work history began while in high school. Initially I joined the New York State Army National Guard, while in high school, and became a member of the New York State Counterdrug Task Force, assigned to Wellesley Island, working counterdrug operations in                                |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.  I studied in criminal justice at Central Texas; and also in South Florida, I was working in juvenile justice and juvenile corrections and received training in that field; and ultimately while on active duty in the early '90s, I was a volunteer at a in a fire department, and I studied firefighting with an emphasis on hazardous materials and emergency vehicle operations.  Q. And let me ask you, in February 2018, did you apply as a protection associate at Tesla?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. And if I could get you to take a look at joint Exhibit No. 16. Is that your resumé | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?  Q. Yes. Let me show it to you very briefly.  Do you see this document, this  A. Yes, sir.  Q. This is joint Exhibit No. 16.  Can you tell me about your work history through 2018?  A. Sure. My work history began while in high school. Initially I joined the New York State Army National Guard, while in high school, and became a member of the New York State Counterdrug Task Force, assigned to Wellesley Island, working counterdrug operations in conjunction with U.S. Customs. |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | I had Central Texas College, the University of South Florida, Fayetteville Technical Community College; and as of most recently, the University of Washington, where in 2020 I enrolled in their paralegal studies program.  I studied in criminal justice at Central Texas; and also in South Florida, I was working in juvenile justice and juvenile corrections and received training in that field; and ultimately while on active duty in the early '90s, I was a volunteer at a in a fire department, and I studied firefighting with an emphasis on hazardous materials and emergency vehicle operations.  Q. And let me ask you, in February 2018, did you apply as a protection associate at Tesla?  A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. And if I could get you to take a look   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Q. Do you have it on your laptop in front of you?  A. Yes. Just a second here. You have to bear with me. I have to use two computers, unfortunately.  Q. That's all right. I'll tell you what. Hold on one second.  A. And you said 16, correct?  Q. Yes. Let me show it to you very briefly.  Do you see this document, this  A. Yes, sir.  Q. This is joint Exhibit No. 16.  Can you tell me about your work history through 2018?  A. Sure. My work history began while in high school. Initially I joined the New York State Army National Guard, while in high school, and became a member of the New York State Counterdrug Task Force, assigned to Wellesley Island, working counterdrug operations in                                |

Page 36

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was an infantry soldier during that time. And I did have a -- a had a couple of breaks in service.

б

Back then, you know, as a young soldier, you kind of weigh your options and look and see if the grass is greener on the outside or if you want to stay on active duty. And so I did. Stayed out for a little bit. And then I had a two-year stint where I was a -- I was in the U.S. Coast Guard. I was a marine science technician and a maritime law enforcement boarding officer.

And took another break in service and worked in the private sector for a water company, an international water company, contracting with municipalities in Houston, Texas; Fort Wayne, Indiana; and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. I was in charge of quality assurance and fielding a meter — metering installation technician crews throughout those cities.

At the end of the last contract, I went back on active duty in the Army and decided to continue that career. I was an infantry noncommissioned officer during that time and then

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 throughout the state of Alaska without access to medical care and other issues. A lot of that involved investigating complaints associated with either medical malpractice or medical evaluation boards. Anybody who's on -- been on active duty or the military is probably familiar with that.

And about 2016, the Department of Defense, the Army decided they were going to basically transition away from warrior transition units, which were special medical units developed to care for wounded and injured soldiers coming back from Iraq and Afghanistan.

I transferred down to Joint Base Lewis-McChord in the same capacity, working for the Intrepid Spirit Center, dealing with TBI and PTSD, and ultimately received a promotion in federal service.

I was selected as the area representative and senior investigator for the Federal Maritime Commission's Southern California AOR, where I worked for approximately fourteen months, right up until making a transition to go to Reno and go to work for Tesla.

25 \* \* \*

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

was very fortunate. I applied to and became a sworn federal agent with the U.S. Army criminal

4 investigation command.

During my tenure, in that role, I
served as both a field special agent, conducting
felony criminal investigations in the field, up
until I was selected to join the Protective
Services Battalion of U.S. Army, CID.

I'm not sure if you're familiar with that, but basically, the CID also has an arm similar to the Secret Service where we provide executive protection. I was assigned to, in my last assignment, the protective services details of former U.S. Department of Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld and Robert Gates.

Ultimately, I retired from active duty in 2010, and I continued my career with the Department of Defense as a federal medical ombudsman, working for the U.S. Army Medical Command headquarters, Office of the Surgeon General.

And in that capacity of about six years or so, I was responsible for assisting veterans of all branches of the armed forces

Page 37

Page 35

Q. So let me ask, is Exhibit No. 16, joint Exhibit No. 16, the resumé that you submitted to Tesla?

A. I believe it is, yes.

MR. WOODFIELD: Okay. So I would

8 just offer joint Exhibit 16.

JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any objection to 16?

10 MR. ROBERTSON: No objection.

11 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no

12 objection, 16 is in.

13 (Whereupon, Exhibit 16 was received.)

14 BY MR. WOODFIELD:

Q. Why did you move to Reno?

A. Well, I stayed with FMC for, like I said, 14 months. And as a matter of life, my wife and I at that time decided to separate, and I -- you know, those situations have their -- had their moments and their impacts.

And I had a good friend who I served with, was a military officer years ago. He is currently a physician and a realtor, and he was expanding his real estate business into Reno, Lake Tahoe. And after some discussion, I decided

Page 38 Page 39 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 that I would go out there. 2 received for it, to become a protection associate 3 3 I would work with him to set up an at Tesla? 4 office, and part of doing that would have 4 A. Yes, it is. 5 required me to get my Nevada real estate license 5 Q. When you were still working at -- in 6 through, you know, self-study and whatnot. So I 6 your last job, for the Federal Maritime 7 needed to supplement my income, which led to, 7 Commission, you were making \$98,700 -- \$787; 8 again, applying to Tesla. 8 correct? 9 9 A. Actually, when I left, I was 102, I Q. And so you applied to Tesla. And let 10 me show you what is marked as joint Exhibit 10 believe. I was a GS-13, Step 2 at that time. No. 126. Tell me if you've got it in front of Q. Why would you take a job at 16.50 an 11 11 12 you, but I'll pull it up at the same time. 12 hour? 13 13 A. I don't. I'll search. A. Well, like I said, I was looking to 14 14 MR. WOODFIELD: It's all right. I'll supplement my income with something that was 15 15 commensurate with my background, security and/or pull them up. 16 THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. 16 investigations, and that seemed suitable at the 17 I can't remember what I do to receive an exhibit. 17 time. 18 I'll figure it out. 18 Q. All right. And when did you start 19 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 19 your employment -- oh, forgive me. 20 Q. This is a February 2018 offer letter 20 MR. WOODFIELD: At this point I just 21 to you. Do you recall --21 offered joint Exhibit 126. 22 A. Yes, it is. 22 JUDGE HOFFMAN: It's admitted. 23 0. -- this? 23 (Whereupon, Exhibit 126 was 24 24 A. Yes, I do. Yes, sir. admitted.) 25 25 Q. Is this the offer letter that you Page 40 Page 41 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 1 2 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 2 Q. And did he remain in that department? 3 Q. When did you start working for Tesla? 3 A. To my knowledge, he did. 4 4 A. I began working on March 5, 2018. Q. And when, if ever, did your position 5 Q. And what was your first job with 5 change again? 6 Tesla? What was your first job title? 6 A. That was on or about the beginning of 7 7 A. I was a protection associate. May. May 1st of 2018. There was another 8 Q. And when, if ever, did your position 8 employee, by the name of Kris Halladay, who 9 change -- your position at Tesla change? 9 worked directly for Mr. Gouthro as his 10 A. That would have been on or about investigative case specialist. Mr. Halladay was 10 11 April 2nd of 2018, when Sean Gouthro, who was 11 going to be, I believe, for two or three weeks --12 then my supervisor, the supervisor of the 12 I don't recall specifically -- and I was selected 13 to assume his responsibilities during his absence internal investigations department, changed my 13 position to an investigations associate, working 14 in addition to my investigative role and duties. 14 15 15 under him on the swing shift at the Gigafactory And I did that probably -- until he returned, and ultimately through -- I assisted 16 to conduct investigations containing criminal 16 17 activities and basically other duties as assigned 17 with that. Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Halladay, until the end of June, around June 28th. 18 as to investigations. 18 19 Q. And who did you begin training with 19 Q. Okay. And when you were working as 20 at that time? 20 an investigative case specialist, what exactly 21 A. That would have been Ken Davis. 21 were your job duties and responsibilities? 22 Ken Davis, on April 2nd, yes, sir. 22 A. So I was the -- during that time, I 23 Q. And what was Ken Davis's job then? 23 was -- you were the face, basically. Anybody 24 A. He also was an investigator. He had 24 coming into the security or badging office that

25

been in that department prior to my tenure.

25

wanted to report to -- a complaint to

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 investigations, whether that -- you know, theft of property, anything. I was designated as the primary point of contact to field those initial introductions and then triage those cases according to then the system that Tesla was using, and delegate those to other investigators.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

Q. And where were you geographically working?

A. Geographically, I was inside of the Gigafactory during that role, in what they called the SOC or SCC.

And also geographically I worked in a trailer on-site. It was the security trailer and it was identified as squaw trailer.

- Q. And because we're at the outset of this, we're just sort of setting the factual predicate, what is the Gigafactory?
- A. So the Gigafactory, I believe it's Tesla's first production facility for -- for their electric vehicles. They actually -- correction. They -- they manufactured, at least during my tenure there -- were the batteries that were going into their Model 3 cars. And that was the big ramp at that time.

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 but I started to look at these and started to hear things about certain contractors and certain lay-down yards, and it appeared that this might be more organized. You know, larger organized efforts here.

So that's -- that, narcotics, of course, revealed a lot of narcotics issues.

(Discussion off the record.)

JUDGE HOFFMAN: You understand,

Mr. Hansen, that all of your testimony is important, and Debbie needs time to write that

13 down so that I can review it later.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 15 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Thank you.

BY MR. WOODFIELD:

- Q. All right. Now, you mentioned that there was copper theft. Now, in the last decade, has there been a spike in the price of copper as far as you know?
  - A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And what has that meant for 23 manufacturers that are involved -- that use 24 copper as a raw material?
  - A. Well, I'd say it's a target. And a

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

- 2 Q. Okay. And where -- where is -- was 3 the Gigafactory located in Sparks, Nevada?
  - A. It is, yes, sir.
- Q. And in terms of the size of the facility, do you have any idea of how many people worked in the facility? Or at the facility overall?
  - A. You know, I -- I do know that it was estimated that at any given time there were anywhere between 6- to 8,000 people once they got off to -- to running their production shifts. It's a very large facility.
  - Q. And when you were working as an investigative case specialist, what did your investigations reveal, if anything?
  - A. Well, I -- I was assigned a wide variety of investigations, but primarily I was tasked with reports of theft. And there was primarily copper wire, and I want to also talk about scrap; right? Scrap, raw materials, but copper wire, spools of copper wire were a big deal. And I began to see that -- I mean, there were a lot of these, and there were a lot that had been being investigated prior to my tenure,

Page 45

Page 43

1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 lot of times it becomes an easy target,

3 particularly at larger facilities or facilities4 where access is not protected. But it is

5 definitely -- it is a commodity that is sought

5 definitely -- it is a commodity that is sou
6 after.

- Q. And you stated that you thought that there was some sort of pattern in the copper theft that you observed?
- 10 A. Yes, sir, that's correct. And that 11 was based on reports. You know, when I started 12 analyzing and talking to Mr. Halladay and looking 13 at the -- looking at the history of the reports, 14 the timing, and looking at things and trying 15 to -- trying to do a link analysis on 16 commonalities, I started to put together a 17 spreadsheet and graphs and look at these things.
- 18 And there were a -- there were a lot of
- 19 complaints related to these things. And
- 20 particularly copper wire. Copper wire --
- 21 information I received and evidence that I
- 22 received indicated that contractors were
- 23 either -- were intentionally leaving lay-down
- 24 yards unsecured or couldn't secure them. They
- 25 were finding locks cut, gates removed. They were

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

1

4

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 46
Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

pulling excess wire, large footages of this wire, rolling it up and throwing it in their pickup trucks and whatever and rolling it out of there.

But there was commonalities between certain larger contractors that reported quite a bit of this ongoing.

- Q. I'm going to show you now what I'm marking as joint Exhibit 138.
  - A. Okay.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 11 Q. And it's up on the screen for you to 12 see.
- 13 A. Yes, sir. Okay.
  - Q. Who is Marshall Sprott?
  - A. Marshall Sprott was my supervisor initially when I went there and continued to be somebody that I talked to and reported to along with Mr. Gouthro, pretty regularly, with respect to all of these things.
- Q. Do you remember what his job title was at Tesla?
- A. At the time, I believe the first shift security operations supervisor.
- Q. And Christopher Milburn, do you remember what his job title was?

1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

A. He also was a supervisor. I believe
he was a second shift operations supervisor, a
counterpart or colleague of Marshall Sprott's and
Mr. Gouthro's.

Page 47

Page 49

- Q. And then Sean Gouthro and Kristopher Halladay, were they your colleagues or were they people above you? Where were they relative to you on an organizational chart?
  - A. So Sean Gouthro was my supervisor in investigations. Kristopher Halladay -- essentially Kristopher Halladay and I were peers, if you will.

He ultimately came back and took the full responsibilities of the investigative case. Specialist role, but also conducted investigations himself, just as I did and as Mr. Davis did.

- 19 Q. So as of June 19th, were you 20 monitoring video to see what vehicles might be 21 taking copper from the Giga site?
- A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir. That was part of, you know, ongoing investigation into these copper thefts?
  - Q. And who is Lane Shipley?

Page 48

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

A. Lane Shipley was also a gentleman who worked specifically and exclusively as a control-room operator in the SOC or SCC.

Did -- another employee that worked for Mr. Gouthro, under Mr. Gouthro in the organizational chart.

- Q. And did anyone ever tell you that you were -- your -- that they thought your concerns about copper theft were misplaced, or that you -- when you said that you thought that the copper thefts were connected, did anyone ever tell you that they thought you might be barking up the wrong tree?
  - A. Mr. Woodfield, could you say again?
- Q. Did anyone ever tell you that they -did anyone ever disagree with you when you posited that the copper thefts might be related?
- A. No, they did not disagree with me. In fact, quite the contrary.
- 21 Q. I'm going to offer joint Exhibit 138 22 at this time.
- JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any objection? 24 MR. ROBERTSON: No objection,

25 Your Honor.

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

2 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.

3 138 is in.

(Whereupon, Exhibit 138 was

5 received.)

6 BY MR. WOODFIELD:

- 7 Q. Did you ever form an opinion as to 8 how large the copper theft problem was at the 9 copper factory? Or I mean at the Gigafactory?
- 10 A. Absolutely. Copper, raw materials --11 copper alone, there were estimates that it was up 12 into the seven figures.

And you know, compiling that with -everybody used the term "scrap." That's kind of
a collective term that was used, because not only
were -- were these things, were -- was copper
being stolen, but all of the other raw materials,
castings, different things associated with -battery packs, they were -- it was well into the
seven figures. In fact, there's even a report by
Elon Musk himself that there was \$37 million
worth of raw materials, copper, scraps that went
missing between January and June of 2018, was
missing, stolen, unaccounted for, and that that

could have -- that there were estimates that that

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 50

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 would have been in excess of close to 100 or over \$100 million.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Now, in terms of the magnitude of the theft problem, did other people in the security department share your opinion?
- A. Yes, sir, they did. In fact, specifically Marshall Sprott, Sean Gouthro, Kristopher Halladay, Ken Davis, all of these investigators, all the supervisors did. Chris Milburn. All of these guys were aware of what was going on and the problems. And a gentleman that came up in my investigation was a man named Lynn Thompson who had been reporting for months ongoing thefts.
- 16 Q. Okay. And what did Lynn Thompson 17 note?
  - A. Well, Lynn Thompson -- Lynn Thompson came on my radar because of an incident that occurred. I think it was on -- started on June 6th, ultimately culminated on June 7th, wherein Mr. Thompson observed an individual pushing a cart with copper wire behind the Gigafactory. This involved -- this involved, of course, security; Christopher Milburn responded

Page 52 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 believe he worked the overnight shift -- were to go through and inspect electrical sites, security of lay-down guards, all of this stuff.

And so ultimately, that is what he reported, in addition to any other questions you may have. I mean, I think the record shows -we've already talked about some of Mr. Thompson's reports to me.

- O. What was the reaction of Tesla management when you raised your concerns about the scope of copper theft in -- especially that they may be related?
- 14 A. The concerns I got, their reaction 15 was, Okay.

And I'll tell you, the reaction between Sean Gouthro and Marshall Sprott, the day I briefed them -- this is early June or mid-June time frame. I briefed them regarding information obtained and testimony and statements made to me by Lynn Thompson.

And Marshall Sprott stood up and -after the disclosure of this information, and then the names of potential players and Tesla employees, senior contract personnel, senior

1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

to that.

3 Ultimately the case was given to me. 4 And when I looked in the system, I saw that the 5 morning of the report, there were actually two б arrests made. There were three involved parties, 7 one of whom was an actual Panasonic employee. 8 The other -- the other two were not. The arrestees, one was a convicted felon, and the 9 10

other was a homeless gentleman. I'm sorry, he was a homeless gentleman residing at a homeless shelter.

The other was an illegal immigrant, who were given badges, fraudulent identification badges, and brought onto the site by the third party.

Ultimately, Mr. Thompson reported this, police were involved, the arrests were made. Mr. Thompson indicated to me that he had been reporting for months, internally. He had requested video footage. You know, his job -- he was an OSHA superintendent out there, or a senior electrical superintendent and an OSHA trainer and inspector.

So his responsibilities were to -- I

Page 53

Page 51

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 superintendents in the construction industry, Marshall Sprott said: Sean, this is what we've been -- this is what we've been waiting for.

And again, that was another request for me, at that time, to bring in law enforcement and brief them, not only on the copper theft, but now mind you, this is also -- they were aware of Elon Musk just put out, on June 5th, his -- they were doing an audit to find out what happened to -- apparently, I was told -- we were told they were doing an audit to find out what happened to all of this scrap, this copper.

- Q. Did management take you up on the offer to bring in law enforcement?
- A. No. In fact, I was -- I believe around June 8th, Mr. Gouthro had explained to me -- I had just -- and again, to shift off to another investigation, but Mr. Gouthro had shortly before this handed me a document related to allegations of cartel trafficking, drug trafficking.

23 And in speaking of that, and the 24 copper thefts, particularly the arrest related to 25 Lynn Thompson and so forth, Mr. Gouthro stated

Page 54 Page 55 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 that he had set up a meeting for -- I believe it there was no secret that Tesla was -- had entered 2 3 was the following week with Tesla's legal 3 into a contract with U.S. Security. It was 4 counsel, with the Storey County DA's office, and 4 regularly talked about. 5 5 with his contact at the FBI, a contact he had at In fact, in the weeks preceding this, 6 the FBI. 6 as you might imagine any organization, when 7 And I asked him -- followed up on 7 employees hear that there might be a change or a 8 that with him, and at that point he said, no, 8 transition or layoffs, people become concerned 9 we're not talking to law enforcement. Marshall and they start asking questions. I had already 9 10 Sprott, when I -- I pushed the issue in that 10 transitioned into the investigations department. meeting after briefing them, Marshall Sprott just So in the weeks preceding June 19, while I'm 11 11 threw his hands up in the air. And I was told to conducting investigations, doing my job --12 12 13 continue doing what I was doing but that I would 13 MR. WOODFIELD: Slow down. 14 14 not talk to law enforcement. A. -- people had repeatedly asked who 15 Q. And did you ask why you wouldn't talk 15 was going to be impacted by this, who was going to law enforcement? 16 16 to go over to U.S. Securities. And so Sean 17 A. I did ask several times, and I was 17 Gouthro had a meeting with the investigations told that I was not allowed to talk to law 18 18 staff and specifically stated that the 19 enforcement. I was to conduct my investigations. 19 investigations department is not being impacted 20 Q. What happened on June 19, 2018? 20 at all, nobody from investigations. It's only 21 A. On June 19th, I received a text 21 for protection associates assigned to operation. 22 message from Marshall Sprott directing me --22 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 23 directing me to meet him at a trail, at a job 23 Q. So I'm going to show you now -- and 24 trail. It's important to note, you know, Tesla 24 just slow down a little bit. Just pause, take a 25 25 talks about the RIF. I was subject to a RIF, and breath between sentences. Page 56 Page 57 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 But I'm going to show you what's 2 10:26 a.m. PDT) 3 marked as joint Exhibit No. 133. This is an 3 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Back on the record. 4 e-mail from Marshall Sprott, whose title is 4 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 5 security supervisor Gigafactory 1, on June 19th 5 Q. Karl, I've just showed an exhibit 6 where it says: Karl Hansen was given the layoff which was joint Exhibit 133, which was an e-mail 6 7 7 notice today. from Marshall Sprott. 8 Was that the day that you were 8 Was that the day that you were laid 9 advised you were being laid off? 9 off, on June 9th -- Tuesday, June 19, 2018 or 10 A. Via connection --10 told you were going to be laid off? 11 Q. I'm sorry? 11 A. Yes, sir. As I was saying, I met --12 A. Hello? 12 I went to the trailer, met with Mr. Gouthro, 13 13 MR. WOODFIELD: All right. Karl, can Heather Brown, and Marshall Sprott, and that is 14 14 an e-mail. you hear me? 15 15 THE WITNESS: I can't hear, MR. WOODFIELD: And I'll offer joint 16 Exhibit 133 at this time. 16 unfortunately. 17 JUDGE HOFFMAN: I can't hear 17 MR. ROBERTSON: No objection. Mr. Hansen either. He's frozen up. 18 18 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 19 MR. WOODFIELD: All right. Karl, 19 objection, 133 is in. 20 your line seems to be freezing for a second. 20 (Whereupon, Exhibit 133 was 21 (Technical discussion off the 21 received.) 22 BY MR. WOODFIELD: record.) 22 23 JUDGE HOFFMAN: We'll go off the 23 Q. And when were you told that your 24 record for a minute. 24 employment was going to end? When were you told 25 (Recess taken, 10:25 a.m. to 25 that the layoff was going to be effective?

Page 58 Page 59 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 A. This was approximately June 16th 2 that? 3 or -- or, I'm sorry, July 16th or 17th. I 3 A. I did have a reason to doubt that. 4 believe it was July 16th. As I said, in the weeks preceding this, even the 5 Q. And were you told what would happen day before, Sean Gouthro and I had discussions. б to you as of that date? 6 I specifically asked him. And, in fact, a 7 A. I was. Based on my meeting with 7 supervisor came in and gave me an award a day or 8 Matt German on that day, Mr. Gouthro, on the two prior to that. You know, it was a little 9 19th, after telling me that my position -- I was token of appreciation for my hard work. And they 9 10 being eliminated, indicated that he had worked 10 told me. with Matt German, introduced me to Mr. German, 11 And what I was doing. I had received 11 who offered me a position as an investigator. 12 12 a Tesla-embossed notebook and, you know, the full 13 Still working for Mr. Gouthro, but as a -- as a 13 little water bottle. 14 14 USSA employee. Q. Who was the supervisor? 15 15 A. Not Marshall Sprott. He was aware of Q. And what was Matt German's job, do it. I've forgot his name. Parker Fellows. 16 you recall? 16 17 A. He was -- at the time I was told --17 Q. And what was the award for? 18 he was the national accounts manager for 18 A. They told me that they were giving me 19 U.S. Security. 19 this award because they appreciated my hard work 20 Q. And what were you told about why your 20 and my efforts consistent with the investigations 21 employment with Tesla was ending or would be 21 I was doing. 22 ending on July 16th, 2018? 22 Q. So you spoke with Matt German. And 23 A. I was told it was due to a reduction 23 what did he tell you about being an investigator 24 24 with USSA? in force. 25 25 Q. And did you have any reason to doubt A. I spoke with him and he told me that Page 60 Page 61 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 him and Mr. Gouthro, as well as, you know, 2 number wrong? 3 parties involved in negotiating this security 3 MS. DUNNE: You do. Give me one 4 contract with the U.S. Security, that basically 4 second, Nick, and I'll let you know what it is. Mr. Gouthro and Mr. German had a position to 5 5 That is Exhibit 136, Nick. 6 offer me as an investigator. 6 MR. WOODFIELD: I appreciate that. 7 7 Mr. German indicated that this was BY MR. WOODFIELD: 8 one of his specialty positions, one of their 8 Q. Let me just show this right now. 9 specialty positions. He offered me a three-year 9 I'm showing you joint Exhibit 136. contract as an investigator at eighty-seven-five Are these your pay statements from when you were 10 10 11 a year, with annual bonuses. 11 working at Tesla? A. Yes, sir. 12 The -- to start -- I was to continue 12 what I was doing in investigations until my last 13 MR. WOODFIELD: At this time, 13 day on July 16th or 17th, at which time I would 14 Your Honor, I would offer joint Exhibit 136. 14 15 15 essentially stay where I was staying, or where I MR. ROBERTSON: No objection, was at, and to continue to work for Mr. Gouthro. 16 16 Your Honor. 17 Q. Before I get to that, let me do just 17 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. 136 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 136 was 18 this one thing. Let me show you Exhibit 177 very 18 19 quickly. 19 received.) 20 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Which exhibit are you 20 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 21 21 Q. All right. And now I'm going to show going to? 22 MR. WOODFIELD: This may be one where 22 you joint Exhibit No. 121. 23 I -- it may have switched. It was the joint pay 23 Are these e-mails -- although it says 24 -- or the Tesla pay statements. 24 9-11-2018, is that the date that this was 25 Anne, is that -- do I have that 25 printed?

Page 62 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 A. Yes, that's the date that that was 2 three-year employment contract at \$87,500 per 3 3 printed. year? 4 Q. And did -- are these e-mails with 4 A. No, I did not. After Tesla's 5 5 interference with that, I worked essentially as a Matt -- or text messages with Matt German? б A. That is what they are, yes, sir. 6 security officer. 7 Q. And when he told you that he wanted 7 Q. And tell me, when you say Tesla's 8 your resumé for a specialty position, did he tell 8 interference, what do you mean by that, sir? 9 you what the specialty position's file was? 9 A. Well, I mean that -- I believe on or 10 A. He did not. 10 about June 28th, I was notified that the position 11 MR. WOODFIELD: Okay. I'm going to 11 that I was offered was no longer going to be shut that down for right now. I'm going to offer handled. It wasn't in existence. 12 12 13 joint Exhibit 121 at this time. 13 I was not going to be an 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Do you want to offer 14 investigator; that was the bottom line. And that 15 the page -- the Bates number 853 or do you want 15 Tesla made that decision. Jeff Jones made that decision. to offer the entire stack? 16 16 17 17 MR. WOODFIELD: The stack of text Q. And so what was the job offer that 18 messages between Mr. Hansen and Mr. German. 18 was made to you for starting at USSA? 19 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any objection? 19 A. Could you ask the question again, 20 MR. ROBERTSON: No objection. 20 please? 21 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. 121 is in. 21 Q. Yes. When you began work in July of 22 (Whereupon, Exhibit 121 was 22 2018, what was the job offer that you accepted? 23 received.) 23 What were the terms that you accepted that you 24 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 24 started work under? 25 25 Q. Did you end up working for USSA on a A. Matt German apologized for what had Page 64 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 happened and told me that he -- his hands were 2 3 tied and that he would essentially pay me as a 3 4 4 supervisor at the supervisor rate, \$27 an hour. 5 But simultaneously telling me that Jeff Jones 5 6 also said that I would not have -- nor would I --6 7 7 I wouldn't be in investigations, nor would I be 8 in any capacity having supervisory access or a 8 9 supervisory role. So essentially I was a 9 10 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

security officer, a physical security officer, observing, reporting, and documenting.

- Q. And what was the rate of pay?
- A. \$27 an hour.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Now, I'm going to show you joint Exhibit No. 129 at this time.

These are e-mails from June 27th and 28th, with Priscilla Petersen, who worked for Aerotek.com, Kristopher Halladay, Elizabeth -- it looks like Hoene, Marshall Sprott, carbon-copying Sean Gouthro, subject Julio Alarcon.

Who is Julio Alarcon?

A. Julio Alarcon was in fact the individual that Mr. Gouthro -- he was the individual who provided the fraudulent badges to the two individuals that he brought onto the

Gigafactory the night that Lynn Thompson observed and reported the theft. Julio Alarcon was somebody that Mr. Gouthro informed me he was working with the Storey County DA's office regarding the prosecution or the investigation into the theft of a Tesla badge-making machine. And Mr. Alarcon had been identified, according to Mr. Gouthro, in his communications with the DA's office as the individual who may have been in possession of that machine. That was information that came to me even prior to -- prior to the incident with Mr. Thompson, wherein I identified Mr. Alarcon as the driver of the vehicle in that incident. Subsequently tracked him, identified him involved in casing the Gigafactory, accessing the Gigafactory at unauthorized hours, but ultimately reported this to Mr. Gouthro because of his involvement with the DA's office regarding the prior theft of this badge-making machine. And I thought that there might be a link there.

Page 63

Page 65

Q. Was Mr. Alarcon an employee of Aerotek? A. Was who, sir?

Q. Mr. Alarcon.

Page 66 Page 67 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 object, Your Honor, just to the extent that it's 2 A. Yes, he was. He was a contract 2 3 employee out there. 3 a bit leading. I just think we'd rather hear the witness than Mr. Woodfield. That's my objection. 4 Q. So at this point I'm going to offer 4 5 5 Exhibit No. 129. JUDGE HOFFMAN: So I understand, your б MR. ROBERTSON: No objection. б objection is that it's -- that there's 7 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, 129 is in. 7 insufficient foundation? 8 (Whereupon, Exhibit 129 was 8 MR. ROBERTSON: Yes, that's right, 9 9 Your Honor, and so it's then foundation and received.) 10 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 10 leading, so... 11 Q. And I'm going to start -- I'm going 11 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. I quess I to show you joint Exhibit 31. 12 12 agree that to understand this exchange of text 13 13 Are these text messages you had with messages, I might need a little bit more 14 information. 14 Marshall Sprott on Thursday, June 28, 2018? 15 A. Yes, sir. Yes, they are. 15 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 16 16 Q. And were you raising the issues of Q. What were you and Mr. Sprott talking 17 cartel activity with Mr. Sprott at this point? 17 about in these text messages, sir? 18 A. Yes. Mr. Sprott was definitely aware 18 A. Specifically it appears that these 19 of the cartel allegations and the document that 19 were primarily related to lithium, lithium 20 ultimately was given to me by Mr. Gouthro. 20 supplies and shipments, as well as thefts of 21 Q. And was Mr. Sprott at that point 21 solar panels, I believe -- yes, solar panels, up 22 suggesting that you might be chasing a wild hare 22 at the Microgrid site. 23 or going down an unproductive route here or 23 Q. And did Mr. Sprott at any time 24 not -- doing something wasteful? 24 express any concern about the allegations that 25 25 MR. ROBERTSON: I'm just going to you were raising that there might be cartel Page 68 Page 69 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 activity at the Gigafactory site? 2 been employees and/or were employees during this 3 A. No, not at all. And interesting --3 time that I was conducting these investigations

this date of June 28th is also significant as related to the cartel piece and this investigation. And it's significant because what I learned during this time is that not only was I looking into these allegations, in fact, the FBI had at that time had a then-sealed indictment and an ongoing investigation of a major drug trafficking organization identified as the Mora DTO.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And this investigation spanned for approximately January of 2018 through the release by the U.S. Attorney's Office of the indictment identifying 20 or so members of this drug-trafficking organization, the leader of whom was a gentleman named Mora.

was a gentleman named Mora.

While I was conducting investigations into the cartel piece, looking at lithium, looking at these players, the Morales family, and whatnot, I determined -- I saw this indictment and I realized that four or five of these employee -- of these people named in this indictment by the FBI that were just arrested had

4 at the Gigafactory.

this is crazy. Okay. Keep doing what you're

5 And I printed these documents off. I 6 showed them to Mr. Gouthro. I provided them to 7 Mr. Sprott, and I was told, Oh, wow. This is --

9 doing.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the answer is no. I guess that was a circuitous way of getting to no, but it's important to lay that foundation that this wasn't just Karl Hansen just arbitrarily going down wild, you know, rabbit holes here. The FBI conducted this investigation for a period of seven — six, seven months. These guys are now currently in federal prison as a result of their ties to the — this employee from the Gigafactory, the leader of this DTO, was in fact tied to and linked by the Feds to the Sinaloa drug cartel in Mexico.

JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Hansen, let me interrupt for a minute. You're -- you've gotten great leeway in being able to testify in this case, but I'm listening carefully to the

Page 70 Page 71 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 questions that are being asked, and I'm hearing to the texts, but I don't think we have context 2 3 answers that are not necessarily particularly 3 for the handwriting at this point. 4 related to the question that's asked. And so 4 MR. WOODFIELD: Why don't I fill that 5 your narratives make it difficult for me to 5 in. б figure out what you know and what you learned at 6 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 7 some other time. So I would ask you to focus on 7 O. Mr. Hansen, what is the handwriting 8 the questions that are being asked and answer 8 on joint Exhibit No. 31? 9 those questions, but don't -- don't explain any 9 A. Can you scroll down, please, so I can 10 further unless your counsel asks you to do that. 10 review it again? 11 Do you understand? 11 [Document review.] THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 12 A. Those were notes that I made to 12 13 myself when I had printed those off. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you. 13 14 14 Q. Up here at the top? BY MR. WOODFIELD: 15 Q. Did Marshall Sprott ever tell you 15 A. Yes, explaining what the nature of that he expressed any disagreement with you about 16 16 those texts was. 17 17 your concerns about potential cartel activity at MR. WOODFIELD: Now I'm going to show you what is marked as joint Exhibit 209. 18 the Gigafactory? 18 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exhibit 31 is in. 19 A. No, sir. 19 20 MR. WOODFIELD: I'm going to offer 20 MR. WOODFIELD: Thank you. (Whereupon, Exhibit 31 was received.) 21 joint Exhibit No. 31 at this time. 21 22 MR. ROBERTSON: No objection. 22 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 23 Although, Your Honor, I would note there's 23 O. Sir, who is Jake Nocon? 24 handwriting on the document. I don't think we've A. Jacob Nocon was an investigator 24 25 25 established what that is. So I have no objection brought in with Nick Gicinto working -- he was a Page 72 Page 73 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 supervisor of investigations at some point. I 2 MR. WOODFIELD: At this point I'm 3 don't know what his current role is. 3 going to offer joint Exhibit 209. 4 4 Q. He was a Tesla employee? MR. ROBERTSON: No objection. 5 A. I was told he was a Tesla employee, 5 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Joint 209 is in. 6 yes. Initially he was not. I guess he was a (Whereupon, Exhibit 209 was 6 7 7 contractor and then subsequently became a Tesla received.) 8 employee. 8 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 9 Q. Why did you send him a text message 9 Q. And when you spoke with Mr. Nocon, 10 on June 28, 2018? 10 what did you talk about? A. Because I was directed to speak A. We talked a little bit about the 11 11 12 directly with Jake Nocon. I was told that also 12 investigations I was working, my concerns related 13 with respect to the job I had, the investigations 13 to the cartel matter, and also the piece with 14 role, that Jacob Nocon would be conducting 14 respect to having to interview with him now for a 15 15 interviews for anybody being assigned to any position. investigative role out there. 16 And Mr. Nocon recommended that I 16 speak with Mr. Gouthro and that law enforcement 17 Q. Okay. And did you provide background 17 information about what you were doing to bring in narcotics dogs, schedule narcotics law 18 18 19 Jacob Nocon? 19 enforcement canines to come and run through the 20 A. Yes, sir, with respect to my work. 20 facility. 21 Q. And then did you speak to him on --21 O. Do you know if that was ever done? 22 he wrote back to you and he said: I'll give you 22 A. Can you say that again, please? 23 a call later this morning on June 28th. 23 O. Do you know if that was ever done? 24 Did you speak to him subsequently? 24 A. That never was done. 25 A. Yes, we did speak. 25 Q. Did Mr. Nocon ever tell you what

|  | 2 54   |  | 5 75   |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1  | Page 74<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  | 1  | Page 75 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2  | happened with regard to that plan?   | 2  | MR. ROBERTSON: No objection.   |
| 3  | A. No.   | 3  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: 137 is in.  |
| 4  | Q. I'm going to show you what's marked   | 4  | (Whereupon, Exhibit 137 was  |
| 5  | as joint Exhibit No. 137.  | 5  | received.)   |
| 6  | And I'll just simply state, these are  | 6  | BY MR. WOODFIELD:  |
| 7  | more e-mails about Julio Alarcon.  | 7  | Q. Between the time when you were  |
| 8  | A. Yes, sir.   | 8  | advised that you were going to be laid off and   |
| 9  | Q. Through July 5th.   | 9  | when you started work with USSA, did you continue  |
| 10   | Did you continue to follow up on   | 10   | to have access to your Tesla e-mail?   |
| 11   | Julio Alarcon for a while?   | 11   | A. No, I did not. Sometime between   |
| 12   | A. Mr. Woodfield, can you please repeat  | 12   | June that June 28th date and July, around  |
| l  |  | 13   |  |
| 13   | the question?  |  | July 8th, my access was removed and eliminated.  |
| 14   | Q. Yes.  | 14   | Q. Did you ever delete your Tesla  |
| 15   | Did you continue to follow up on   | 15   | e-mails?   |
| 16   | Julio Alarcon?   | 16   | A. Can you repeat that, please?  |
| 17   | A. Yes, I did.   | 17   | Q. Yes.  |
| 18   | Q. And why did you continue to work on   | 18   | Did you ever delete your Tesla   |
| 19   | the Julio Alarcon case?  | 19   | e-mails, like your sent folder or your any   |
| 20   | A. Because Mr. Alarcon had still been  | 20   | A. No, sir, I never did. No, I never   |
| 21   | employed and was still accessing the facility  | 21   | did that.  |
| 22   | after the incident involving Mr. Thompson on   | 22   | Q. Did you ever delete any e-mails at  |
| 23   | June 7th.  | 23   | all?   |
| 24   | MR. WOODFIELD: I'm going to offer  | 24   | Can you hear me?   |
| 25   | joint Exhibit 137 at this time.  | 25   | A. I've got a low bandwidth notice right   |
|  | Page 76  |  |  |
| ı  | Page 76  |  | Page 77  |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 77<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 1<br>2   |  | 1 2  | 5  |
| l  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question.  | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my  |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question. Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails   | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my  Q. What you   |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question. Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question. Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all? A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question. Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all? A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question. Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all? A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties. Q. But did you ever delete when you   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla. A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla. A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might have done, did you ever delete large swaths of e-mails?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.  At that time, there was a period there where Mr. McLellan had direct access and   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might have done, did you ever delete large swaths of e-mails?  A. No, sir. Absolutely not.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.  At that time, there was a period there where Mr. McLellan had direct access and was communicating with Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might have done, did you ever delete large swaths of e-mails?  A. No, sir. Absolutely not.  Q. Who, if anyone, at USSA did you tell  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.  At that time, there was a period there where Mr. McLellan had direct access and was communicating with Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott consistently.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might have done, did you ever delete large swaths of e-mails?  A. No, sir. Absolutely not.  Q. Who, if anyone, at USSA did you tell about what you investigated at Tesla?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.  At that time, there was a period there where Mr. McLellan had direct access and was communicating with Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott consistently.  Q. And what about Mr. German, what did   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might have done, did you ever delete large swaths of e-mails?  A. No, sir. Absolutely not.  Q. Who, if anyone, at USSA did you tell about what you investigated at Tesla?  A. Matt German was well aware. Rick   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.  At that time, there was a period there where Mr. McLellan had direct access and was communicating with Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott consistently.  Q. And what about Mr. German, what did you tell Mr. German?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might have done, did you ever delete large swaths of e-mails?  A. No, sir. Absolutely not.  Q. Who, if anyone, at USSA did you tell about what you investigated at Tesla?  A. Matt German was well aware. Rick McLellan was aware. Ryan Leslie was aware.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.  At that time, there was a period there where Mr. McLellan had direct access and was communicating with Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott consistently.  Q. And what about Mr. German, what did you tell Mr. German?  A. I told Mr. German essentially the  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might have done, did you ever delete large swaths of e-mails?  A. No, sir. Absolutely not.  Q. Who, if anyone, at USSA did you tell about what you investigated at Tesla?  A. Matt German was well aware. Rick McLellan was aware. Ryan Leslie was aware. Other colleagues and employees were aware of the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.  At that time, there was a period there where Mr. McLellan had direct access and was communicating with Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott consistently.  Q. And what about Mr. German, what did you tell Mr. German?  A. I told Mr. German essentially the same thing. They were aware. Mr. German was  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might have done, did you ever delete large swaths of e-mails?  A. No, sir. Absolutely not.  Q. Who, if anyone, at USSA did you tell about what you investigated at Tesla?  A. Matt German was well aware. Rick McLellan was aware. Ryan Leslie was aware. Other colleagues and employees were aware of the investigations I had been working.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.  At that time, there was a period there where Mr. McLellan had direct access and was communicating with Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott consistently.  Q. And what about Mr. German, what did you tell Mr. German?  A. I told Mr. German essentially the same thing. They were aware. Mr. German was aware of what I was investigating and the  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might have done, did you ever delete large swaths of e-mails?  A. No, sir. Absolutely not.  Q. Who, if anyone, at USSA did you tell about what you investigated at Tesla?  A. Matt German was well aware. Rick  McLellan was aware. Ryan Leslie was aware.  Other colleagues and employees were aware of the investigations I had been working.  Q. What was Rick McLellan's job?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.  At that time, there was a period there where Mr. McLellan had direct access and was communicating with Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott consistently.  Q. And what about Mr. German, what did you tell Mr. German?  A. I told Mr. German essentially the same thing. They were aware. Mr. German was aware of what I was investigating and the concerns I had raised.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might have done, did you ever delete large swaths of e-mails?  A. No, sir. Absolutely not.  Q. Who, if anyone, at USSA did you tell about what you investigated at Tesla?  A. Matt German was well aware. Rick  McLellan was aware. Ryan Leslie was aware.  Other colleagues and employees were aware of the investigations I had been working.  Q. What was Rick McLellan's job?  A. Rick was the on-site on-site, I   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.  At that time, there was a period there where Mr. McLellan had direct access and was communicating with Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott consistently.  Q. And what about Mr. German, what did you tell Mr. German?  A. I told Mr. German essentially the same thing. They were aware. Mr. German was aware of what I was investigating and the concerns I had raised.  Q. And why did you tell Mr. German and   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might have done, did you ever delete large swaths of e-mails?  A. No, sir. Absolutely not.  Q. Who, if anyone, at USSA did you tell about what you investigated at Tesla?  A. Matt German was well aware. Rick  McLellan was aware. Ryan Leslie was aware.  Other colleagues and employees were aware of the investigations I had been working.  Q. What was Rick McLellan's job?  A. Rick was the on-site on-site, I guess, operations manager for U.S. Security.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.  At that time, there was a period there where Mr. McLellan had direct access and was communicating with Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott consistently.  Q. And what about Mr. German, what did you tell Mr. German?  A. I told Mr. German essentially the same thing. They were aware. Mr. German was aware of what I was investigating and the concerns I had raised.  Q. And why did you tell Mr. German and Mr. McLellan?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might have done, did you ever delete large swaths of e-mails?  A. No, sir. Absolutely not.  Q. Who, if anyone, at USSA did you tell about what you investigated at Tesla?  A. Matt German was well aware. Rick  McLellan was aware. Ryan Leslie was aware.  Other colleagues and employees were aware of the investigations I had been working.  Q. What was Rick McLellan's job?  A. Rick was the on-site on-site, I guess, operations manager for U.S. Security.  Q. And what did you tell Mr. McLellan?                                | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.  At that time, there was a period there where Mr. McLellan had direct access and was communicating with Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott consistently.  Q. And what about Mr. German, what did you tell Mr. German?  A. I told Mr. German essentially the same thing. They were aware. Mr. German was aware of what I was investigating and the concerns I had raised.  Q. And why did you tell Mr. German and Mr. McLellan?  A. I believe it was still they needed  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might have done, did you ever delete large swaths of e-mails?  A. No, sir. Absolutely not.  Q. Who, if anyone, at USSA did you tell about what you investigated at Tesla?  A. Matt German was well aware. Rick  McLellan was aware. Ryan Leslie was aware.  Other colleagues and employees were aware of the investigations I had been working.  Q. What was Rick McLellan's job?  A. Rick was the on-site on-site, I guess, operations manager for U.S. Security.  Q. And what did you tell Mr. McLellan?  A. Can you repeat that again? | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.  At that time, there was a period there where Mr. McLellan had direct access and was communicating with Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott consistently.  Q. And what about Mr. German, what did you tell Mr. German?  A. I told Mr. German essentially the same thing. They were aware. Mr. German was aware of what I was investigating and the concerns I had raised.  Q. And why did you tell Mr. German and Mr. McLellan?  A. I believe it was still they needed to know. After what I had seen in this short |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  now, so I didn't hear your question.  Q. Did you ever delete any Tesla e-mails at all?  A. I'm sure I did delete an e-mail or two here or there throughout the normal course of duties.  Q. But did you ever delete when you learned that your job might be ending or to frustrate anyone being able to see what you might have done, did you ever delete large swaths of e-mails?  A. No, sir. Absolutely not.  Q. Who, if anyone, at USSA did you tell about what you investigated at Tesla?  A. Matt German was well aware. Rick  McLellan was aware. Ryan Leslie was aware.  Other colleagues and employees were aware of the investigations I had been working.  Q. What was Rick McLellan's job?  A. Rick was the on-site on-site, I guess, operations manager for U.S. Security.  Q. And what did you tell Mr. McLellan?                                | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. With respect to my Q. What you A my work? Q. What you witnessed or what you investigated at Tesla.  A. I told Mr. McLellan specifically Mr. McLellan was well aware of the investigations into the thefts. He I reported to him everything that I had reported to Mr. Gouthro.  At that time, there was a period there where Mr. McLellan had direct access and was communicating with Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott consistently.  Q. And what about Mr. German, what did you tell Mr. German?  A. I told Mr. German essentially the same thing. They were aware. Mr. German was aware of what I was investigating and the concerns I had raised.  Q. And why did you tell Mr. German and Mr. McLellan?  A. I believe it was still they needed  |

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

24

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

the offer of the job that Mr. German offered me,
they needed to be aware of what I was working on,
I felt.

Q. Okay. And what did you tell Mr. Leslie?

A. I worked extensively with Mr. Leslie, and Mr. Leslie was well aware. I didn't get into specifics as far as investigative notes or different things like that, but I told Mr. Leslie that I had some very serious concerns about what had happened with the job, and I had -- I felt that this was due to -- due to the Tesla being concerned, that their whole push was to ramp up their Model 3 and they didn't care about anything else that was going on inside the facility.

- Q. Why did you tell Mr. Leslie that?
- A. Mr. Leslie shared that same opinion, and he was perplexed with the fact -- with what had actually happened to me, he told me.
- Q. I'm going to show you now what I'm marking as joint Exhibit No. 71.

Do you recall sending this e-mail to Jake Nocon on Wednesday, July 11th?

A. Yes, sir, I do.

Page 80

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

A. I was content to work for both. I

would have liked to stay on for Tesla as

originally planned, but it worked out. I would

5 have worked for U.S. Security based on the offer

6 that I had received.

7 MR. WOODFIELD: I'm going to offer 8 joint Exhibit No. 71 at this time.

9 MR. ROBERTSON: No objection.

JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, Exhibit 71 is

11 in.

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

1

3

4

(Whereupon, Exhibit 71 was received.)

13 BY MR. WOODFIELD:

have low bandwidth.

Q. What, if anything, did Rick McLellan at USSA tell you in response to your e-mail that you sent to Jake Nocon?

A. Rick McLellan advise --THE WITNESS: Can you hear me? I

MR. WOODFIELD: I can hear you.

A. Okay. Rick McLellan indicated that my position as an investigator working for USSA was no longer being offered because Jacob Nocon had been assigned to work under Nick Gicinto and was handling all investigations and that Jeff

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

Q. And why did you send this e-mail to Jake Nocon on Wednesday, July 11th?

A. Well, because I had already had discussions with Mr. McLellan and Mr. German, obviously, with respect to this position and me

7 no longer being able to work.

Mr. McLellan told me that Jacob Nocon was going to be -- he was told that Jacob Nocon was going to be interviewing anybody that would work in Tesla's investigations departments, period. And so I reached out, because I was given the name Jake Nocon as a primary point of contact.

- 15 Q. And why were you interested in 16 continuing to work at Tesla, given what you had 17 seen?
- 18 A. Well, I thought Tesla was a -- I
  19 really did. I thought Tesla was a great
  20 up-and-coming company, that the opportunities
  21 were there. Mr. German had offered me a position
  22 with an exceptional salary in a role that I
  23 really enjoyed.
  - Q. And did you want to continue to work for USSA or for Tesla or for both?

Page 81

Page 79

1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 Jones directed that all persons assigned to

3 investigations were required to interview with

4 Mr. Nocon.

5 BY MR. WOODFIELD:

- 6 Q. When, if ever, did you speak with 7 anyone at USSA about Tesla's involvement in your 8 employment prospects with USSA?
  - A. Specifically, on several occasions, I believe I was -- on or about July 12th, I had discussed my confusion with Mr. German, I believe, via text messages.
    - Q. And what did Mr. German tell you?
  - A. He basically told me initially that an unspecified person, somebody he wouldn't identify, above him, was preventing me from being hired, as Matt German and I had discussed. And he asked for some time. I gave him some time. He ultimately got back to me. Told me that he was frustrated and he said that I was going to be his swing shift supervisor, end of story. And something along the lines of I refuse to play BS games.
- Q. And what else, if anything, did he tell you?

```
Page 82
                                                                                                         Page 83
1
        Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
                                                         1
                                                                 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
2
            A. My gosh, we had a lot of discussions.
                                                         2
                                                             personal belief.
3
    He did tell me he was apologizing -- he was
                                                         3
                                                                    Q. Did you end up being hired by USSA?
                                                                    A. Yes, sir, I did.
 4
     apologetic, that he was sorry. That, you know,
                                                         4
 5
    basically Jeff Jones told him that I would not be
                                                                    Q. And what job did you get hired for at
                                                         5
 6
     in investigations and nor would I be in any
                                                         6
                                                             USSA?
7
                                                         7
     supervisory capacity for USSA.
                                                                    A. Ultimately I got hired as a security
 8
            O. Who is Jeff Jones?
                                                         8
                                                             officer, a physical security officer.
9
           A. Jeff Jones, then, was -- he was -- he
                                                         9
                                                                    Q. And what was your compensation, your
10
    reported directly to Elon Musk. I think he was
                                                        10
                                                             rate of compensation?
11
     the overall director of global security
                                                        11
                                                                    A. Matt German directed Rick McLellan to
12
                                                        12
                                                             put me on the books at the rate of a shift
     operations.
13
                                                             supervisor at $27 an hour.
           Q. Do you have any idea why you might
                                                        13
                                                        14
14
    have been on Jeff Jones's radar?
                                                                    Q. I'm going to show you joint
15
           A. Speculating? Yeah. I think because
                                                        15
                                                             Exhibits 22 and 195.
     I was bringing up things and requesting to speak
                                                        16
                                                                        Is 195 your application for
16
     to law enforcement. Every time I did,
                                                        17
                                                             employment at USSA?
17
    Mr. Gouthro told me that he would discuss it with
18
                                                        18
                                                                    A. Yes, sir, it is.
19
    Mr. Jones, and, you know, this just went back and
                                                        19
                                                                    Q. And 22 -- hold on one second.
20
                                                        20
                                                                        MR. WOODFIELD: 22 is the arbitration
                                                             agreement, but I would offer the arbitration
21
                And they -- they being Tesla,
                                                        21
22
    Elon Musk, Jeff Jones were really focused on
                                                        22
                                                             agreement and joint Exhibits 22 and 195 in the
23
    Tesla's stock price, the upcoming Quarter 2
                                                        23
                                                             application at this time.
24
    release and the Model 3 ramp. I mean, there was
                                                        24
                                                                        MR. ROBERTSON: So 22 and 195? Yeah,
                                                        25
25
     things ongoing at that time; so that's my
                                                             no objection.
                                                Page 84
                                                                                                         Page 85
1
        Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
                                                         1
                                                                 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
2
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. 22 and 195 are
                                                         2
                                                             BY MR. WOODFIELD:
3
                                                         3
                                                                    Q. Mr. Hansen, did you remain employed
     in.
 4
                (Whereupon, Exhibit 22 was received.)
                                                         4
                                                             as a swing shift supervisor with a rate pay of
5
                (Whereupon, Exhibit 195 was
                                                         5
                                                             $27 for the entire remaining time that you worked
 6
                                                         6
                                                             at USSA?
    received.)
7
                                                         7
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Woodfield, if you
                                                                    A. I remained at that pay rate, but
8
    want to think about a good breaking point. We've
                                                         8
                                                             definitely did not have any supervisory
9
    been going about an hour.
                                                         9
                                                             responsibilities; and instead, I conducted daily
                MR. WOODFIELD: I love every break I
10
                                                        10
                                                             security operations, reporting, documenting,
                                                             access control and just basically continuing to
11
    can take.
                                                        11
12
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Is now a good time?
                                                        12
                                                             work at outside, further isolated posts
13
                                                        13
                                                             throughout my tenure until I was removed from the
                MR. WOODFIELD: Yes, sir.
14
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Let's -- I've
                                                        14
                                                             Gigafactory.
                                                        15
15
     got five after 11:00. Let's come back at 11:15
                                                                    Q. And what is -- what is physical
    my time, so quarter after the hour.
                                                        16
16
                                                             security?
                MR. WOODFIELD: Thank you, sir.
                                                        17
17
                                                                    A. So physically security really begins
                (Recess taken, 11:04 a.m. to
                                                             with an operational presence of security
18
                                                        18
19
     11:19 a.m. PDT)
                                                        19
                                                             officers, officers who conduct roving patrols in
20
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: It looks like we have
                                                        20
                                                             a given area. They check for secured access to
21
     everybody back, so let's continue the examination
                                                        21
                                                             doors and buildings and things like that. So
22
                                                        22
                                                             physical security is just that. It's a physical
     of Mr. Hansen.
23
                MR. WOODFIELD: All right.
                                                        23
                                                             presence in and around a particular area.
                                                                    Q. And so when you were employed at the
24
    Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                        24
                * * *
25
                                                        25
                                                             Gigafactory by USSA, what were your job duties
```

Page 86 Page 87 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 and responsibilities? 2 A. Can you be more specific? 3 A. So essentially I was a security 3 Q. Yes. Let me ask you to take a look 4 officer. I would be placed in, for instance, the 4 at the e-mail marked as Exhibit No. 147. 5 D unit of the Gigafactory. It was far removed on 5 Okay. б the third floor, sitting for a shift of eight 6 There's an e-mail about hidden copper 7 hours watching a temperature gauge on a boiler 7 at the southern end of the Gigafactory. 8 machine. Or I would be placed out at an access 8 A. Yes, sir. 9 gate, monitoring traffic coming in and going out, Q. Were you involved in investigation of 9 10 verifying ID badges and different things like 10 the hidden copper at the southern end of the 11 11 that. Gigafactory? 12 12 And just documenting in my notes and A. I don't specifically recall being 13 reports and continuing to report anything related 13 directly involved in that particular matter. 14 to my -- the ongoing investigation of reports of 14 Q. Okay. Were you asked -- I'm going to 15 theft and other matters. 15 refer you to an e-mail from Nick Gicinto on O. One second. 16 16 July 22nd. It says: Our conversation. It says: 17 All right. I'm going to show you 17 Thank you so much for talking to me this week. 18 right now Exhibits 147, 176, and 173. I'm going 18 I'm really glad we had time to clarify a few 19 to show you them now. It says joint Exhibit 147, 19 things related to hiring and your background. 20 which is an e-mail dated July 18th. And then 20 MR. ROBERTSON: Which exhibit are you 21 there is a July 27th -- or July 22, 2018 e-mail, 21 on now? Excuse me, Mr. Woodfield. 22 and a July 31st e-mail. 22 MR. WOODFIELD: This is joint 23 Let me ask you, what did you do to 23 Exhibit 176. 24 BY MR. WOODFIELD: help transition your investigation work with --24 25 25 at Tesla to Tesla when you worked for USSA? Q. Do you recall receiving this e-mail, Page 88 Page 89 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 1 2 sir? 2 A. Yes, that's correct. 3 A. Yes, I do. 3 Q. That's e-mail -- or that's joint 4 Q. And do you recall what you met with 4 Exhibit No. 173 being sent back in response, 5 Mr. Gicinto about? 5 where Gerhard Pretorius was trying to follow up 6 A. Mr. Gicinto and I had a telephone 6 with you about your allegations, including your 7 7 conversation on that day, June -- or July, I allegations about the cartel. 8 believe it was 22nd. We did not meet. And we 8 Did anyone at Tesla try to reach out 9 spoke about the concerns I had with respect to 9 to you in July about the cartel activities and 10 the investigative role and position and what had contact you at anything other than your Tesla 10 11 happened with that USSA offer. And Mr. Gicinto 11 address that did not -- that you had no access 12 explained his rationale in this e-mail. But I 12 to? 13 never received this e-mail until August. I never 13 A. No. 14 saw it until then. 14 MR. WOODFIELD: I'm going to offer at 15 15 this time Exhibits 147, Exhibits 176, and 173. Q. And this was sent to kahansen@tesla.com on July 22, 2018; is that 16 16 MR. ROBERTSON: No objection. 17 correct? 17 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. 147, 176, and 18 A. Yes, sir, it is. 18 173 are in. 19 Q. And were you still getting e-mails at 19 (Whereupon, Exhibit 147 was 20 your kahansen@tesla.com address at that point? 20 received.) 21 A. No, sir, that didn't exist any 21 (Whereupon, Exhibit 176 was 22 22 received.) longer. 23 Q. There's an e-mail from Sean Gouthro 23 (Whereupon, Exhibit 173 was 24 on July 31st saying: Karl no longer has access 24 received.)

25

to Tesla e-mail since the RIF; is that correct?

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

Page 90
Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
BY MR. WOODFIELD:

- Q. Were you refusing at any time in July to meet with anyone from Tesla to communicate any information about any offers about your allegations about cartel activity or drug activity or theft activity?
  - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. When, if ever, did you reach out to Elon Musk about what you had uncovered at Tesla?
  - A. August 3rd of 2018.
- Q. And why did you write an e-mail to Elon Musk about what you had observed at Tesla?
- A. Because due to everything that happened -- Elon Musk had regularly put out company-wide e-mails to all employees stating that essentially he had an open door if anybody wanted to contact him directly with any concerns and issues they felt weren't being addressed by leadership at lower levels in the organization.
- Q. I'm going to show you now what's marked as joint Exhibit 186.
- 23 This is an e-mail you sent on
- 24 August 3rd, to Sean Gouthro, Elon Musk -- two 25 Elon Musk addresses, Gerhard Pretorius, Jake
  - Page 92
  - Hansen v Elon Musk Arbitration Day 1 August 3, 2018, ever tell you that they thought
- 3 your allegations about cartel activity or
  - organized crime at the Gigafactory, did they tell
- 5 you that they thought those ideas might be
- 6 misguided? 7 A.
  - A. No, sir.
- 8 Q. Why did you also send the e-mail to 9 Mr. Gicinto?
- 10 A. Well, Mr. Gicinto reported directly 11 to Mr. Musk.
- 12 Q. Now, did you ever refuse to 13 participate in any subsequent investigation 14 performed by Tesla?
  - A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. And what was that investigation that you refused to participate in?
  - A. I don't know what their investigation was. I was directed to report to a conference room inside the Gigafactory to discuss my e-mail with Ricky Gecewich, who was in employee relations.
    - Q. And did you?
- A. I had one meeting. I was -- retained counsel. I was represented at that time, back in

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

- 2 Nocon, Jeff Jones, Nick Gicinto. And it's a
- 3 lengthy e-mail where you raise issues about
- 4 cartel activity, theft, a number of problems at
- 5 the Gigafactory.

6 Why did you send this to Mr. Musk 7 directly?

A. Again, I sent it to Mr. Musk as well
as everybody else addressed there because these
were issues that I had raised repeatedly. Had
discussed with management. Discussed with my
supervisory personnel. I was directed to
investigate these things, and, you know, by this

And I -- the more things began to transition, I became further isolated, and so I felt that Mr. Musk opened the door and said, hey, e-mail me if you have an issue, and I felt that that was the important thing to do.

Q. And Nick Gicinto then subsequently wrote to Mr. Musk later that day.

time, a lot had happened.

Were you aware that Mr. Gicinto followed up with Mr. Musk?

- A. I was not until I saw this e-mail.
- Q. Did anyone from Tesla, before

Page 93

Page 91

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
July of 2018, and I went to that one meeting,
heard what he had to say, and I determined that I
would not go back after that.

- 5 Q. And what did Mr. Gecewich have to 6 say?
  - A. Mr. Gecewich indicated that he did not -- essentially he did not feel that he had enough information to look into the issues that I raised in my e-mail, and he asked -- asked me to sit there and have a discussion with him and an HR representative from U.S. Security about the concerns I raised in the e-mail.

And I told him that all of the information that I had was reported to Mr. Gouthro, Mr. Sprott, all of those supervisors had everything for months, and that I wasn't prepared to have a lengthy discussion at that time.

- Q. And why were you apprehensive, sir?
- A. I was very apprehensive because I had seen -- this is a very short period of time. I had -- the more -- as time went by, through July and into August, I kept being pushed further and
  - further out. Tesla interfered with the contract

```
Page 95
                                                 Page 94
 1
         Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
                                                         1
                                                                  Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
                                                         2
2
     job, the investigator role.
                                                                         And after talking to counsel, I
 3
                Jeff Jones later interfered and
                                                         3
                                                             decided I was not going to get in -- engage in
     directed Matt German that I would not be involved
                                                         4
 4
                                                             any further discussion.
                                                         5
 5
     in a supervisory role. I would not have any
                                                                     Q. And was your entire Tesla e-mail
     investigative capacity. I was banned by
 6
                                                         6
                                                             record history available to Tesla at that time?
7
     Jeff Jones from being inside the Gigafactory. I
                                                         7
                                                                     A. Yes, sir.
8
     was further isolated and pushed out and out.
                                                         8
                                                                     Q. And were all of your database files
9
                But not only that, I had also seen,
                                                         9
                                                             that you had compiled when you were working for
10
    within about seven hours of Mr. Thompson
                                                         10
                                                             Tesla still available to Tesla?
11
     reporting his theft, the badging system indicated
                                                        11
                                                                     A. Yes, sir.
12
     that he had been terminated. And I found that to
                                                        12
                                                                     Q. And you referred Tesla to all of your
13
    be amazing, and -- which led me to talk to him
                                                        13
                                                             supervisors for your previous reports?
                                                         14
                                                                     A. Yes, sir.
14
     and obtain additional evidentiary information.
15
                And then I saw -- observed -- what
                                                        15
                                                                     Q. What did you do next?
    happened with Martin Tripp; Martin Tripp was
                                                         16
                                                                     A. Next is I worked to prepare the TCR
16
17
                                                         17
                                                              for submission to the SEC.
     another individual that was employed there, who
18
    had also raised significant allegations regarding
                                                        18
                                                                     Q. I'm going to show you what's joint
19
     scrap, theft of materials high into the seven
                                                         19
                                                             exhibit -- what's marked as joint Exhibit No. 19.
20
     figures, and, you know, they -- they being Tesla,
                                                        20
                                                                         And this is the TCR, tips,
     Tesla put out all sorts of information that --
                                                             complaints, and referrals that was submitted on
21
                                                         21
22
     charging Martin Tripp with being heavily armed
                                                         22
                                                             your behalf by your prior counsel, or your SEC
23
     and coming back to the Gigafactory to shoot the
                                                         23
                                                             counsel; correct?
24
                                                         24
                                                                    A. Yes, sir.
    place up. And I did not know what to expect.
25
                                                         25
    had no idea of what to expect.
                                                                         MR. WOODFIELD: And I'm going to
                                                 Page 96
                                                                                                          Page 97
1
         Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
                                                         1
                                                                  Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
2
    offer at this time joint Exhibit No. 19.
                                                          2
                                                             sufficient probable cause to engage local, state,
 3
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any objection?
                                                          3
                                                             and federal law enforcement pertaining to
 4
                                                          4
                MR. ROBERTSON: This is the TCR?
                                                             numerous violations of certain criminal statutes,
5
    Yeah, no objection.
                                                          5
                                                             and as well as misstatements being made publicly
 6
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: 19 is in.
                                                          6
                                                             about what was really going on inside Tesla at
7
                                                         7
                (Whereupon, Exhibit 19 was received.)
                                                             that time.
8
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: I don't have 186 in
                                                         8
                                                                         And I felt I had -- I felt I had --
9
     either, which is the August 3rd e-mail. Any
                                                         9
                                                             that was a course of action that I felt
10
     objection to that one?
                                                         10
                                                             appropriate.
                                                         11
11
                MR. ROBERTSON: No, no objection to
                                                                     Q. And I'm now going to show you what's
12
     that one.
                                                        12
                                                             marked as joint Exhibit 6.
13
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: 186 is in as well.
                                                         13
                                                                         Is this the press release that your
14
                (Whereupon, Exhibit 186 was
                                                        14
                                                             attorney issued on August 16, 2018?
15
                                                         15
    received.)
                                                                     A. Yes, sir, it is.
     BY MR. WOODFIELD:
                                                        16
                                                                         MR. WOODFIELD: I'm going to offer at
16
17
            Q. Why did you file a TCR with the SEC?
                                                         17
                                                             this time joint Exhibit 6.
            A. Because at that time, nothing had
                                                                         MR. ROBERTSON: No objection.
18
                                                        18
19
    been happening. And the pressure on me, I --
                                                         19
                                                                         JUDGE HOFFMAN: 6 is in.
20
                                                                         (Whereupon, Exhibit 6 was received.)
     look, I had been isolated. I was -- I felt I was
                                                        20
21
     targeted. I was constantly in a fight or flight
                                                         21
                                                             BY MR. WOODFIELD:
22
    mode every time I'd show up out there. And I was
                                                                     Q. What reaction, if any, was there at
                                                         22
23
    aware of serious felony criminal activity ongoing
                                                        23
                                                             the Gigafactory after the press release issued by
24
     there.
                                                         24
                                                             your attorney was made public?
25
                And furthermore, I believed there was
                                                         25
                                                                     A. Management was surprised. Actually,
```

Page 98 Page 99 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 pissed off about this. I'm sorry, but that's 2 some of them said they were surprised; some of 2 3 them said they weren't surprised about this. 3 what I was told. And that's the extent. 4 Q. How do you know that? Q. I'm going to show you joint 5 A. I'm sorry? 5 Exhibit 183. 6 Q. How do you know? 6 This is an e-mail sent by 7 7 A. Because Mr. Gicinto -- I'm sorry, Kenneth Davis on August 23rd. And there are some 8 Mr. Sprott told me about this. In fact, 8 pictures in here. 9 Matt German told me that he felt I did the right 9 Can you tell me, in the red circles, 10 thing. And, in fact, I had several conversations 10 who those individuals are? 11 with Mr. German about this. 11 A. That appears to be me. Mr. McLellan, Mr. McLellan was aware 12 Q. And where is that? 12 13 of this, and Mr. McLellan told me that he 13 A. That's inside the main lobby, the 14 understood, because he himself had been part of 14 main access point to the Gigafactory. 15 some type of civil action involving a wrongful 15 Q. And is that surveillance at the 16 termination or something of that in his past. 16 Gigafactory? 17 And they told me that they would do what they 17 A. Yes, sir, it is. 18 could do to -- to keep me working and to protect 18 Q. And this would have been dated 19 me, but they were concerned about me. 19 August 23rd, after you filed your SEC 20 And so colleagues, other associates 2.0 whistleblower action and after the SEC TCR press 21 mentioned it. People knew about it. And my 21 release; correct? 22 understanding that -- was that -- from Mr. Sprott 22 A. Yes, sir. 23 specifically, that -- because at this time, 23 MR. WOODFIELD: I'm going to offer at 24 Mr. Gouthro was not talking to me, but Mr. Sprott 24 this time joint Exhibit 183. indicated that Jeff Jones and Elon Musk were 25 25 MR. ROBERTSON: No objection. Page 100 Page 101 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 1 2 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 183 is in. 2 Q. And what did you discuss on Fox News? 3 (Whereupon, Exhibit 183 was 3 A. I discussed the allegations outlined 4 4 in the TCR. received.) 5 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 5 Q. And what happened on August 30, 2018, 6 when you were back at the Gigafactory? Q. Where were you when those photographs 6 7 7 were taken? A. An incident involving Mr. Musk 8 A. I was working inside that facility. 8 occurred. Mr. Musk came to the Gigafactory 9 If I can tell you about that, the -- a decision 9 unannounced. He approached through the west 10 had been made to place me in the D quad, way down gate, with -- in a Tesla Model S with a driver. 10 11 up on the boiler room. And I was summoned by 11 They didn't know that at the time. The vehicle 12 Mr. Shipley to report to the main lobby to 12 was approaching fast and flashing its lights. I relieve another officer. And so that is what led 13 13 was inside a security building and another 14 me to there where I was stationed, to work the 14 officer was outside at the actual gate access shift transition. 15 15 road Q. Did you know that Ken Davis harbored 16 I saw the officer trying to slow down 16 17 any thoughts about you being an SEC 17 the vehicle. He was unable to do that. The whistleblower? 18 vehicle slowed a little bit, but it did not stop. 18 19 A. No, sir, I did not. 19 I stepped down out of the shack and was very 20 Q. When, if ever, did you appear on 20 close to the vehicle, and I put my hand up in an 21 Fox News? 21 effort to stop that. And Mr. Musk was staring at 22 22 A. That was August 29th of 2018. me directly. I was looking directly at him. 23 Q. And why did you appear on Fox News? 23 They -- he dropped his head. He said 24 A. That was my attorney, Mr. Meissner, 24 something to the driver. The driver and Mr. Musk 25 had arranged that, to discuss the SEC complaint. 25 looked back at me and all of the sudden they

Page 102 Page 103 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 accelerated at a high rate of speed. 2 A. I went home. 3 And I got on the phone. I notified 3 Q. And what, if anything --4 Mr. Shipley in the security operations center 4 I said --5 that -- Voyager was his code name, what they 5 Q. I'm sorry, go ahead. б called him within security -- that he had arrived 6 I was told to go home and await a 7 unannounced at this Gigafactory. And Mr. Shipley 7 phone call. 8 expressed some very significant concern about 8 Q. And then what happened next? 9 that, thanked me, and hung up the phone. 9 A. Well, I got a call from Matt German 10 Q. When, if ever, did you hear any 10 telling me that I was no longer welcome at the 11 further comments about that? 11 Gigafactory. That Jeff Jones directed him to 12 A. A short time after that, U.S. 12 have me removed immediately. 13 13 Security supervisors came to the gate, and And I asked why --14 Mr. McLellan, also on that speakerphone at that 14 Q. And what date was that? 15 time, indicated that they were directed to 15 A. That was August 30th. Or 31st. I believe that was -- I know Matt German had a call 16 come -- they asked me what the hell happened, and 16 with him and he told me on the 30th. But I 17 I explained nothing happened. I just reported 17 18 that Mr. Musk showed up. Mr. McLellan advised 18 received a call the following day. 19 Mr. Leslie that he didn't give a damn what the 19 And ultimately, on September 4th, was 20 hell happened to Mr. Hansen, get him the F out of 20 when I had a follow-up call, and Matt German had 21 here. I don't care if you have to take him up in 21 told me it was time to go and that I was done. 22 the hills and hide him, but Musk is pissed. Get 22 Q. And so what happened then? 23 him out of here. And so at that point I 23 A. Well, at that point I needed to take 24 24 ultimately departed from my shift. some time off and kind of figure out what I was 25 25 Q. And where did you go? doing. I traveled to Washington to see my Page 105 Page 104 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 family; the doctor recommended that. I was under 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 a tremendous amount of stress, anxiety, severe 3 Q. And how much was the next job you 4 hypertension to require medication now. But --4 had? How much did it pay? 5 So I did. And I received an e-mail 5 \$16 an hour, I believe. 6 from Scott Wiebke, who at that time Matt Q. And how much time had you taken off 6 7 German -- I was told Matt German was talking to, 7 after Tesla had you removed from the Gigafactory 8 and that U.S. Security would offer me another 8 site? 9 position at other contractor in the Reno area 9 A. Two weeks, if I recall correctly. 10 Maybe a couple weeks. September 4th. I was back upon my return. 10 11 Q. Let me show you what's marked as 11 by October, the beginning of October to start 12 joint Exhibit 205. 12 working. 13 13 Q. And why did you take that time off? JUDGE HOFFMAN: I'm sorry, what was 14 the exhibit? 14 A. Because my doctor recommended. I 15 15 MR. WOODFIELD: 205. Joint needed a break. Exhibit 205. 16 16 Q. And where were the new USSA jobs BY MR. WOODFIELD: 17 17 located? Q. And the e-mail came in -- there was 18 18 A. This one particularly was in Verdi, 19 an e-mail chain coming -- forgive me, I'm going 19 Nevada. 20 20 to go back. Q. And what did you do there? 21 Starting off where Scott Wiebke 21 A. Same thing. I was physical security 22 22 tasks, such as controls, access control, and reached out to you about jobs, and you reported 23 back that you had been out of time and needed to 23 security checks of deliveries coming into this 24 take time off as recommended by your doctor. And 24 manufacturing facility. 25 he offered you a job; correct? 25 Q. And how long did you stay in that

| 1  | Page 106<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 | 1  | Page 107<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 |
|----|--|----|--|
| 2  | job?   | 2  | MR. WOODFIELD: I'm going to show you               |
| 3  | A. To approximately January 13th or                | 3  | right now joint Exhibit 199.                       |
| 4  | 19th. Somewhere in there.                          | 4  | Are these your pay stubs while                     |
| 5  |  | 5  | working for USSA?                                  |
|    | Q. I'm going to show you joint                     |    | 5  |
| 6  | Exhibits 221 and 123. Here's 221.                  | 6  | A. Yes, sir. Appear to be. Yes.                    |
| 7  | Forgive me, that's                                 | 7  | MR. WOODFIELD: I'm going to offer                  |
| 8  | Hold on one second.                                | 8  | joint Exhibit 199.                                 |
| 9  | All right. Is this your resignation                | 9  | MR. ROBERTSON: No objection.                       |
| 10 | from USSA?   | 10 | JUDGE HOFFMAN: 199 is in.                          |
| 11 | A. Yes, sir.                                       | 11 | (Whereupon, Exhibit 199 was                        |
| 12 | Q. And why did you resign from USSA?               | 12 | received.)   |
| 13 | A. Because I needed to I needed to                 | 13 | BY MR. WOODFIELD:                                  |
| 14 | make additional money. I needed to find            | 14 | Q. Would you have resigned your initial            |
| 15 | something that paid more. And I was also at that   | 15 | job from Tesla had you not been let go in the      |
| 16 | time contemplating looking to get back into the    | 16 | RIF?   |
| 17 | federal sector.                                    | 17 | A. No, sir.  |
| 18 | Q. And I'm going to show you right now             | 18 | Q. And why wouldn't you have resigned?             |
| 19 | Exhibit  | 19 | A. I enjoyed it. It was Tesla was an               |
| 20 | Forgive me, I'm going to offer right               | 20 | up-and-coming company. It was a large operation,   |
| 21 | now joint Exhibit 123.                             | 21 | and it had been explained to me that they were     |
| 22 | JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no                      | 22 | just really in their in the preliminary stages     |
| 23 | objection, 123 is in.                              | 23 | of scaling in so many aspects, and I thought it    |
| 24 | (Whereupon, Exhibit 123 was                        | 24 | would be interesting to work in that sector.       |
| 25 | received.)   | 25 | Q. Would you have resigned from USSA if            |
|    | Page 108   |    | Page 109   |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1             | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1             |
| 2  | you were still working at the Gigafactory?         | 2  | A. August of August of 2020.                       |
| 3  | A. No, sir.  | 3  | Q. And what is your compensation at HUD?           |
| 4  | Q. And why wouldn't you have resigned if           | 4  | A. I'm currently a GS-11, Step 5,                  |
| 5  | you were still working at the Gigafactory?         | 5  | approximately right at 83,000 a year.              |
| 6  | MR. ROBERTSON: Objection.                          | 6  | Q. And I'm going to show you joint                 |
| 7  | MS. BRAXTON: Sorry.                                | 7  | Exhibit No. 111.                                   |
| 8  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: I didn't hear the                   | 8  | Is this your most recent resumé up                 |
| 9  | objection.   | 9  | through when you were applying to work for HUD?    |
| 10 | MS. BRAXTON: Strike that.                          | 10 | A. Yes, sir, it is.                                |
| 11 | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay.                               | 11 | Q. So you worked for the VA for a while            |
| 12 | BY MR. WOODFIELD:                                  | 12 | after you worked for USSA?                         |
| 13 | Q. And why wouldn't you have resigned if           | 13 | A. That's correct.                                 |
| 14 | you were still working at the Gigafactory?         | 14 | Q. And it says you worked for a while as           |
| 15 | A. Again, the same the same                        | 15 | a private consultant as well?                      |
| 16 | opportunity, the same place to work. And had I     | 16 | A. That's correct. I did advocacy work,            |
| 17 | had the ability to continue to perform in that     | 17 | you know, during this time. For years I've done    |
| 18 | capacity, I saw no reason to leave.                | 18 | that, yes.   |
| 19 | Q. What are you currently doing for                | 19 | Q. And what did you do for the                     |
| 20 | employment?  | 20 | Boomtown Casino Hotel?                             |
| 21 | A. I'm currently a federal civil rights            | 21 | A. Boomtown, I was an investigator and a           |
| 22 | investigator with the U.S. Department of Housing   | 22 | security supervisor.                               |
| 23 | and Urban Development.                             | 23 | O. And what about for the El Dorado                |
| 24 |  | 24 | resorts?   |
| 25 | Q. And when did you start your job with HUD?       | 25 | A. El Dorado, the role I was hired was             |
|    | 11 CA A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A            |    | 11. II Dolado, die lote I was lilled was           |

|  | Page 110   |  | Page 111  |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 2  | investigator.  | 2  | under control, different medications.   |
| 3  | MR. WOODFIELD: I'm going to offer  | 3  | And emotionally and physiologically,  |
| 4  | Exhibit 111 as well.   | 4  | for instance, I suffered from severe acute stress   |
| 5  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any objection?  | 5  | reactions also as a result of this. Emotionally,  |
| 6  | MR. ROBERTSON: No objection.   | 6  | my anxiety, I'm on medication for anxiety, to   |
| 7  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: 111 is in.  | 7  | deal with the matters associated with that  |
| 8  | (Whereupon, Exhibit 111 was  | 8  | stress. So it has impacted myself, my family.   |
| 9  | received.)   | 9  | It is it has been a life-changing event in so   |
| 10   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Woodfield, you  | 10   | many ways.  |
| 11   | mentioned No. 221, which is an e-mail, but you   | 11   | And I'm working through that. But it  |
| 12   | didn't discuss it or move to admit it.   | 12   | has had a tremendous impact emotionally. And I  |
| 13   | MR. WOODFIELD: I realized I had  | 13   | struggle with things. I struggle with I   |
| 14   | doubled up an exhibit, but no, I'm not going to  | 14   | struggle with anxiety. I am scared of this high   |
| 15   | offer 221.   | 15   | blood pressure, this hypertension issue.  |
| 16   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay.   | 16   | Prior to this, I was an avid trail  |
| 17   | BY MR. WOODFIELD:  | 17   | and ultramarathon runner. I mean, I'm starting  |
| 18   | Q. How has the Tesla parties' and USSA's   | 18   | to get back into those things, but I never had  |
| 19   | treatment of you impacted you emotionally?   | 19   | any of these issues. Not this hypertension,   |
| 20   | A. It's been four years now, right at.   | 20   | never. And so it's been tremendously stressful  |
| 21   | And my health has been seriously impacted.   | 21   | on myself. And particularly as a father and as a  |
| 22   | Emotionally, I suffered from posttraumatic stress  | 22   | grandfather, it's been a tough ride. So I'm   |
| 23   | as a result of this situation. I have increased  | 23   | that's all I can say about that.  |
| 24   | significant hypertension that we are currently   | 24   | Q. And why did you pursue this suit?  |
| 25   | still working to working with my doctor to get   | 25   | A. Because it was the right thing to do.  |
|  | working to working with my doctor to get   | 23   | A. Because it was the right thing to do.  |
| 1  | Page 112   | l  | n 110   |
| 1 1  | <u> </u>   | 1  | Page 113  |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>I felt that I needed to do this. Because what  | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.   |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my   | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination,  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  BY JUDGE HOFFMAN:   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like 30 minutes or so, and then  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. I have one question that I want to  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like 30 minutes or so, and then  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right. So  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. I have one question that I want to ask, but I just didn't hear the answer to. So  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like 30 minutes or so, and then  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right. So let's plan on breaking then at about 12:30 my  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. I have one question that I want to ask, but I just didn't hear the answer to. So I'm going to take it a little bit out of turn,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like 30 minutes or so, and then  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right. So let's plan on breaking then at about 12:30 my time. So that's about 35 minutes, and we'll  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. I have one question that I want to ask, but I just didn't hear the answer to. So I'm going to take it a little bit out of turn, and then we can talk about whether or not the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like 30 minutes or so, and then  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right. So let's plan on breaking then at about 12:30 my time. So that's about 35 minutes, and we'll start cross-examination now from Tesla.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. I have one question that I want to ask, but I just didn't hear the answer to. So I'm going to take it a little bit out of turn, and then we can talk about whether or not the respondents want to start their cross-examination   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like 30 minutes or so, and then  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right. So let's plan on breaking then at about 12:30 my time. So that's about 35 minutes, and we'll  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. I have one question that I want to ask, but I just didn't hear the answer to. So I'm going to take it a little bit out of turn, and then we can talk about whether or not the respondents want to start their cross-examination now or would you prefer to have a break.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like 30 minutes or so, and then  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right. So let's plan on breaking then at about 12:30 my time. So that's about 35 minutes, and we'll start cross-examination now from Tesla.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. I have one question that I want to ask, but I just didn't hear the answer to. So I'm going to take it a little bit out of turn, and then we can talk about whether or not the respondents want to start their cross-examination now or would you prefer to have a break.  But pending that question,  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like 30 minutes or so, and then  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right. So let's plan on breaking then at about 12:30 my time. So that's about 35 minutes, and we'll start cross-examination now from Tesla.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. I have one question that I want to ask, but I just didn't hear the answer to. So I'm going to take it a little bit out of turn, and then we can talk about whether or not the respondents want to start their cross-examination now or would you prefer to have a break.  But pending that question,  Mr. Hansen, I didn't hear your answer to the  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like 30 minutes or so, and then  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right. So let's plan on breaking then at about 12:30 my time. So that's about 35 minutes, and we'll start cross-examination now from Tesla.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY JUDGE HOFFMAN:  Q. I have one question that I want to ask, but I just didn't hear the answer to. So I'm going to take it a little bit out of turn, and then we can talk about whether or not the respondents want to start their cross-examination now or would you prefer to have a break.  But pending that question,  Mr. Hansen, I didn't hear your answer to the question: After you were reassigned from the  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like 30 minutes or so, and then  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right. So let's plan on breaking then at about 12:30 my time. So that's about 35 minutes, and we'll start cross-examination now from Tesla.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  OR I have one question that I want to ask, but I just didn't hear the answer to. So I'm going to take it a little bit out of turn, and then we can talk about whether or not the respondents want to start their cross-examination now or would you prefer to have a break.  But pending that question,  Mr. Hansen, I didn't hear your answer to the question: After you were reassigned from the Gigafactory, what but you continued to work   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like 30 minutes or so, and then  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right. So let's plan on breaking then at about 12:30 my time. So that's about 35 minutes, and we'll start cross-examination now from Tesla.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. Mr. Hansen, why don't we start where   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. I have one question that I want to ask, but I just didn't hear the answer to. So I'm going to take it a little bit out of turn, and then we can talk about whether or not the respondents want to start their cross-examination now or would you prefer to have a break.  But pending that question,  Mr. Hansen, I didn't hear your answer to the question: After you were reassigned from the Gigafactory, what but you continued to work with USSA, where did you work?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like 30 minutes or so, and then  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right. So let's plan on breaking then at about 12:30 my time. So that's about 35 minutes, and we'll start cross-examination now from Tesla.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, why don't we start where the testimony left off. You mentioned the   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY JUDGE HOFFMAN:  Q. I have one question that I want to ask, but I just didn't hear the answer to. So I'm going to take it a little bit out of turn, and then we can talk about whether or not the respondents want to start their cross-examination now or would you prefer to have a break.  But pending that question,  Mr. Hansen, I didn't hear your answer to the question: After you were reassigned from the Gigafactory, what but you continued to work with USSA, where did you work?  A. Your Honor, that was at a company | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like 30 minutes or so, and then  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right. So let's plan on breaking then at about 12:30 my time. So that's about 35 minutes, and we'll start cross-examination now from Tesla.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  OMEGICAL PROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, why don't we start where the testimony left off. You mentioned the emotional stress and the all of those things. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  I felt that I needed to do this. Because what happened was in violation of the law, in my opinion. And I saw no other option that would allow me to pursue relief.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. I have one question that I want to ask, but I just didn't hear the answer to. So I'm going to take it a little bit out of turn, and then we can talk about whether or not the respondents want to start their cross-examination now or would you prefer to have a break.  But pending that question,  Mr. Hansen, I didn't hear your answer to the question: After you were reassigned from the Gigafactory, what but you continued to work with USSA, where did you work?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So now, what do you want to do on cross-examination, Respondents?  Do you want to take a break?  MR. ROBERTSON: I'm happy to start and then we can go I don't know when you wanted to break for lunch. Let me go like 30 minutes or so, and then  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right. So let's plan on breaking then at about 12:30 my time. So that's about 35 minutes, and we'll start cross-examination now from Tesla.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, why don't we start where the testimony left off. You mentioned the   |

Page 115 Page 114 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 A. I have not at this time, no. 2 A. No, I didn't. 3 Q. Okay. In addition, did you produce 3 Q. Okay. Nor did you put in your pay 4 any of the pay information that you got from the 4 stubs from The Row or any of the other places you 5 Boomtown Casino or time? 5 worked in order to establish what you had made б A. Yes. 6 after you left USSA. 7 7 O. That's your testimony, that you Isn't that right? 8 produced that information to us? 8 A. Mr. Robertson, I don't think that's accurate. That information was provided to 9 A. I provided information on that to 9 10 counsel, all my earning statements and W-2s. 10 counsel, provided to the prior expert, and -- for 11 Q. Okay. Well, do you know whether that them to conduct their analysis. Whether that was 11 information has been provided to us? 12 produced here, I don't know. 12 13 13 A. I don't know the answer to that. I Q. Well, that wasn't my question. You 14 assume they -- I assume it was. 14 just finished testifying, like this morning. 15 Q. Okay. Well, you had an expert 15 So my question was: Over the last witness at one point in this case; correct? 16 16 two and a half hours that you testified, did you 17 A. Yes. 17 seek in your direct testimony that we just heard 18 Q. Do you know whether the information 18 to authenticate or put in the evidence of what 19 that was provided to your expert was actually 19 you actually earned from other employment after 20 provided to us? 20 January of 2019? A. I don't know the answer to that. 21 21 A. As entered and offered exhibits here, 22 Q. Well, you didn't in your testimony 22 no. point -- like, pull out, like, your pay stubs 23 23 Q. Okay. And I want to go -- again, so 24 from the Boomtown Casino and try to introduce 24 starting where we --25 25 those into evidence, did you? And you just looked at an e-mail that Page 116 Page 117 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 was from USSA after you left Tesla in September 2 A. Yes, they would be relevant. 3 of 2018, where there were other opportunities 3 Q. So, for example, if you had an 4 offered to you. 4 opportunity that gave you an opportunity to make 5 Do you recall that? 5 more overtime, that would be relevant; right? 6 6 A. Yes. A. Potentially, yes. 7 7 Q. And if we go to Exhibit 205 -- which Q. Okay. Mr. Hansen, you don't deny, do 8 I believe is already in evidence -- there's a 8 you, that you removed information from Tesla by 9 reference to a number of opportunities, one with 9 using your Tesla e-mail and sending materials to UPS, one with Greyhound, and one with Walmart. your personal Gmail account? Correct? 10 10 11 Do you recall those opportunities 11 A. No, sir, I don't. 12 being offered to you? 12 Q. In fact, among the things that you 13 A. I believe they were in the e-mail. I 13 sent to yourself from your Tesla e-mail to your 14 recall that. 14 personal Gmail account were information such as 15 15 Q. Do you have any idea what those badging records; correct? opportunities would have paid? 16 A. Yes, sir. 16 17 A. I believe my conversation with 17 Q. Okay. And photographs from the Mr. Wiebke about the pay rates at those interior of the Tesla facility, you admit to 18 18 19 facilities and the number of hours available was 19 taking those; right? 20 20 less than what it was as Verdi. A. Yes, sir. 21 O. So your testimony -- and this is 21 Q. And you admit to taking video 22 important. You said the -- not just the pay 22 surveillance footage, clips of that; correct? 23 rate, but the number of hours that was available, 23 A. Yes, sir. those are relevant factors, right, to the job 24 24 Q. And in addition, license plate

25

25

opportunities you might have?

information, for example, photographs of

| 1  | Page 118 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  | 1  | Page 119<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | individual license plates from the Tesla parking   | 2  | Do you see that?   |
| 3  | lot?   | 3  | A. Yes, I do.  |
| 4  | A. Yes, sir.   | 4  | O. And it's to a Stuart Meissner.  |
| 5  | Q. Did you also send to yourself things  | 5  | Do you know who Mr. Meissner is?   |
| 6  | like visitor logs, of who visited the  | 6  | A. He's my SEC attorney.   |
| 7  | Gigafactory?   | 7  | Q. Okay. And did you review or see this  |
| 8  | A. Yes, sir, I did.  | 8  | letter on or around September 4th when it was  |
| 9  | Q. And you understood that that  | 9  | sent to Mr. Meissner?  |
| 10   | information was proprietary to Tesla; right?   | 10   | A. I did.  |
| 11   | A. I did, yes.   | 11   | Q. Okay. And if we go down to the  |
| 12   | Q. Okay. And you knew that Tesla had   | 12   | second paragraph, looking at No. 2 there, it   |
| 13   | policies and procedures that prohibited removing   | 13   | says: On June 18, 2018, Mr. Hansen forwarded   |
| 14   | such proprietary information from the facility;  | 14   | numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal  |
| 15   | right?   | 15   | Gmail account, including internal security   |
|  |  |  |  |
| 16   | A. I did, unless otherwise directed.   | 16   | badging records which display the recorded dates   |
| 17   | MR. ROBERTSON: I want to go to   | 17   | and times that named individuals access Tesla  |
| 18<br>19   | Exhibit 1. Joint Exhibit 1. Anne is making sure  | 18   | facilities, documents from personnel files which   |
| 20   | she has the tech right, and hopefully we can pull  | 19<br>20   | display employee picture identification, employee  |
|  | this up.   | 21   | ID numbers, and personal license plate numbers,  |
| 21   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:  |  | and photos of facility parking lots taken from   |
| 22   | Q. Okay. And, Mr. Hansen, I've put up  | 22   | Tesla security cameras which include date and  |
| 23   | on the screen what's been marked Exhibit 1. This   | 23   | time-stamped photographs of dozens of parked   |
| 24   | is a letter from a law firm Hueston Hennigan   | 24   | cars.  |
| 25   | dated September 4, 2018.   | 25   | Do you see that?   |
|  |  |  |  |
| _  | Page 120   |  | Page 121   |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes.   | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes.  Q. Did you send to yourself from your  | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes.  Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018? A. Yes, it is.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes.  Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018? A. Yes, it is. Q. And I think you testified earlier,  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes.  Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?  A. I believe I did, yes.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes.  Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?  A. I believe I did, yes.  Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes. Q. Did you send to yourself from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018? A. I believe I did, yes. Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92. MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018? A. Yes, it is. Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes. Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018? A. I believe I did, yes. Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes. Q. Did you send to yourself from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?  A. I believe I did, yes. Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018? A. Yes, it is. Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes. Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018? A. I believe I did, yes. Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes. Q. Did you send to yourself from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?  A. I believe I did, yes. Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of the type of e-mail that would that they would  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes. Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?  A. I believe I did, yes. Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exhibit 1 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of the type of e-mail that would that they would discover?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes.  Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?  A. I believe I did, yes.  Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exhibit 1 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was received.)   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of the type of e-mail that would that they would discover?  A. I would assume so, yes.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes. Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?  A. I believe I did, yes. Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exhibit 1 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of the type of e-mail that would that they would discover?  A. I would assume so, yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: I would move   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes. Q. Did you send to yourself from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018? A. I believe I did, yes. Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92. MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1? MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exhibit 1 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was received.) BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Let's go to 92, joint Exhibit 92.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of the type of e-mail that would that they would discover?  A. I would assume so, yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: I would move Exhibit 92 in.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes.  Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?  A. I believe I did, yes.  Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exhibit 1 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 92, joint Exhibit 92.  Mr. Hansen, I have an e-mail here.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of the type of e-mail that would that they would discover?  A. I would assume so, yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: I would move Exhibit 92 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes. Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018? A. I believe I did, yes. Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92. MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1? MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exhibit 1 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Let's go to 92, joint Exhibit 92. Mr. Hansen, I have an e-mail here.  It appears to be an e-mail dated June 18th, at   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of the type of e-mail that would that they would discover?  A. I would assume so, yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: I would move Exhibit 92 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 92 is in.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes. Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?  A. I believe I did, yes. Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exhibit 1 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Let's go to 92, joint Exhibit 92.  Mr. Hansen, I have an e-mail here.  It appears to be an e-mail dated June 18th, at 3:52 p.m., if you look at the top.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of the type of e-mail that would that they would discover?  A. I would assume so, yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: I would move Exhibit 92 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 92 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 92 was received.)  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes. Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018? A. I believe I did, yes. Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92. MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exhibit 1 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Let's go to 92, joint Exhibit 92. Mr. Hansen, I have an e-mail here.  It appears to be an e-mail dated June 18th, at 3:52 p.m., if you look at the top. A. Yes, sir.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of the type of e-mail that would that they would discover?  A. I would assume so, yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: I would move  Exhibit 92 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 92 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 92 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes.  Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?  A. I believe I did, yes.  Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exhibit 1 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 92, joint Exhibit 92.  Mr. Hansen, I have an e-mail here.  It appears to be an e-mail dated June 18th, at 3:52 p.m., if you look at the top.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And the title is Copper Theft. And  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of the type of e-mail that would that they would discover?  A. I would assume so, yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: I would move Exhibit 92 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 92 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 92 was received.) BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 94.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes.  Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?  A. I believe I did, yes.  Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exhibit 1 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 92, joint Exhibit 92.  Mr. Hansen, I have an e-mail here.  It appears to be an e-mail dated June 18th, at 3:52 p.m., if you look at the top.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And the title is Copper Theft. And then it goes on from there. If you go to the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of the type of e-mail that would that they would discover?  A. I would assume so, yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: I would move Exhibit 92 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 92 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 92 was received.) BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 94.  Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes.  Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?  A. I believe I did, yes.  Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exhibit 1 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 92, joint Exhibit 92.  Mr. Hansen, I have an e-mail here.  It appears to be an e-mail dated June 18th, at 3:52 p.m., if you look at the top.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And the title is Copper Theft. And then it goes on from there. If you go to the second page.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of the type of e-mail that would that they would discover?  A. I would assume so, yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: I would move  Exhibit 92 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 92 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 92 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 94.  Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the screen what's joint Exhibit 94.                                       |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes.  Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?  A. I believe I did, yes.  Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exhibit 1 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 92, joint Exhibit 92.  Mr. Hansen, I have an e-mail here.  It appears to be an e-mail dated June 18th, at 3:52 p.m., if you look at the top.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And the title is Copper Theft. And then it goes on from there. If you go to the second page.  So it looks to be attaching certain                                   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of the type of e-mail that would that they would discover?  A. I would assume so, yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: I would move Exhibit 92 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 92 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 92 was received.) BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 94.  Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the screen what's joint Exhibit 94.  Do you see this?                       |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I do see that, yes.  Q. Did you send to yourself from your  Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account information on June 18, 2018?  A. I believe I did, yes.  Q. Okay. And if we go to Exhibit 92.  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, can I move to introduce Exhibit 1?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exhibit 1 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 92, joint Exhibit 92.  Mr. Hansen, I have an e-mail here.  It appears to be an e-mail dated June 18th, at 3:52 p.m., if you look at the top.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And the title is Copper Theft. And then it goes on from there. If you go to the second page.  So it looks to be attaching certain badging records. Do you see this? | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 sent from your Tesla account to your personal Gmail account on June 18, 2018?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And I think you testified earlier, because you said that this material would be available. If someone did an investigation and sought to find out what e-mails you had sent from your Tesla account, would this be an example of the type of e-mail that would that they would discover?  A. I would assume so, yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: I would move  Exhibit 92 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 92 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 92 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 94.  Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the screen what's joint Exhibit 94.  Do you see this?  A. I do, yes, sir. |

| 1  | Page 122<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 123<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
|--|--|--|---|
| 2  | e-mail from your Tesla account to your personal  | 2  | MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  |
| 3  | Gmail account; am I right?   | 3  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: 94 is in.  |
| 4  | A. You are. Yes, sir.  | 4  | (Whereupon, Exhibit 94 was received.)   |
| 5  | O. And am I correct that it's  | 5  | BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 6  | attaching well, why don't you tell me, based   | 6  | Q. Let's go to 95.  |
| 7  | on what you can see here, if you have an   | 7  | Mr. Hansen, showing you what's been   |
| 8  | understanding of what types of information are   | 8  | marked joint Exhibit 95. Looks to be additional   |
| 9  | attached to this e-mail you sent to your personal  | 9  | badging records that are being forwarded from you   |
| 10   | e-mail account?  | 10   | to your personal e-mail account; is that correct?   |
| 11   | A. This was information related to the   | 11   | A. Yes, sir.  |
| 12   | investigation associated with Julio Alarcon, also  | 12   | MR. ROBERTSON: I'll move 95 in,   |
| 13   | the incident for which two individuals were  | 13   | please.   |
| 14   | arrested after being reported by Lynn Thompson.  | 14   | MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  |
| 15   | So this is images. Obviously I   | 15   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: 95 is in.  |
| 16   | believe these are vehicles accessing the time  | 16   | (Whereupon, Exhibit 95 was received.)   |
| 17   | they're accessing the facility to perpetrate   | 17   | (Whereupon, Exhibit 95 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 96.   |
| 18   | these crimes.  | 18   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 1  |  | _  |   |
| 19   | Q. So, for example, if I count, there's  | 19   | Q. Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the   |
| 20   | probably I can do the math, but there's well   | 20   | screen Exhibit 96, joint Exhibit 96.  |
| 21   | over 20 photographs of the facility as well as   | 21   | This is an e-mail from your Tesla   |
| 22   | badging records and other information?   | 22   | account to your Gmail account on June 15, 2018,   |
| 23   | Is that a fair estimate?   | 23   | and we looked at it. It appears to have seven   |
| 24   | A. Yes. Yes.   | 24   | attachments. And on the first page there's  |
| 25   | Q. Let's go to 95 oh, I move 94 in.  | 25   | certain badging records and then it carries on to   |
| <b>-</b>   | Page 124   |  |   |
| ١.,  |  | _  | Page 125  |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs   |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page. Is this, again, information, badging   | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of? A. They're screenshot images. They  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of? A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection, Your Honor.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator can understand, Tesla has a number of cameras   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection, Your Honor.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection, Your Honor.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 96 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 96 was received.) BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator can understand, Tesla has a number of cameras located around the Gigafactory facility; correct?  A. Yes, they do.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection, Your Honor.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 96 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 96 was received.)  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator can understand, Tesla has a number of cameras located around the Gigafactory facility; correct?  A. Yes, they do.  Q. Okay. And those cameras capture   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection, Your Honor.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 96 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 96 was received.) BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator can understand, Tesla has a number of cameras located around the Gigafactory facility; correct?  A. Yes, they do.  Q. Okay. And those cameras capture images of various aspects of the factory, and the   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection, Your Honor.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 96 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 96 was received.) BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator can understand, Tesla has a number of cameras located around the Gigafactory facility; correct?  A. Yes, they do.  Q. Okay. And those cameras capture   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection, Your Honor.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 96 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 96 was received.) BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the screen the joint Exhibit No. 96 [sic]. This   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator can understand, Tesla has a number of cameras located around the Gigafactory facility; correct?  A. Yes, they do.  Q. Okay. And those cameras capture images of various aspects of the factory, and the   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection,  Your Honor.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 96 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 96 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the screen the joint Exhibit No. 96 [sic]. This appears to be an e-mail from April 8, 2018   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator can understand, Tesla has a number of cameras located around the Gigafactory facility; correct?  A. Yes, they do.  Q. Okay. And those cameras capture images of various aspects of the factory, and the comings and goings of individuals coming to and from the factory; is that fair?  A. That is. They do, and they can, yes.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection, Your Honor.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 96 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 96 was received.) BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the screen the joint Exhibit No. 96 [sic]. This appears to be an e-mail from April 8, 2018 April 26 again, from your Tesla account, to  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator can understand, Tesla has a number of cameras located around the Gigafactory facility; correct?  A. Yes, they do.  Q. Okay. And those cameras capture images of various aspects of the factory, and the comings and goings of individuals coming to and from the factory; is that fair?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection, Your Honor.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 96 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 96 was received.) BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the screen the joint Exhibit No. 96 [sic]. This appears to be an e-mail from April 8, 2018 April 26 again, from your Tesla account, to your personal Gmail account.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator can understand, Tesla has a number of cameras located around the Gigafactory facility; correct?  A. Yes, they do.  Q. Okay. And those cameras capture images of various aspects of the factory, and the comings and goings of individuals coming to and from the factory; is that fair?  A. That is. They do, and they can, yes.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection,  Your Honor.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 96 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 96 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the screen the joint Exhibit No. 96 [sic]. This appears to be an e-mail from April 8, 2018  April 26 again, from your Tesla account, to your personal Gmail account.  Is that accurate?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator can understand, Tesla has a number of cameras located around the Gigafactory facility; correct?  A. Yes, they do.  Q. Okay. And those cameras capture images of various aspects of the factory, and the comings and goings of individuals coming to and from the factory; is that fair?  A. That is. They do, and they can, yes.  Q. And what you've done here is taken   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection,  Your Honor.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 96 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 96 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the screen the joint Exhibit No. 96 [sic]. This appears to be an e-mail from April 8, 2018 April 26 again, from your Tesla account, to your personal Gmail account.  Is that accurate?  A. Yes, sir.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator can understand, Tesla has a number of cameras located around the Gigafactory facility; correct?  A. Yes, they do.  Q. Okay. And those cameras capture images of various aspects of the factory, and the comings and goings of individuals coming to and from the factory; is that fair?  A. That is. They do, and they can, yes.  Q. And what you've done here is taken clips from those video camera feeds, and that's   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection,  Your Honor.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 96 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 96 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the screen the joint Exhibit No. 96 [sic]. This appears to be an e-mail from April 8, 2018 April 26 again, from your Tesla account, to your personal Gmail account.  Is that accurate?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And then there's a number of  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator can understand, Tesla has a number of cameras located around the Gigafactory facility; correct?  A. Yes, they do.  Q. Okay. And those cameras capture images of various aspects of the factory, and the comings and goings of individuals coming to and from the factory; is that fair?  A. That is. They do, and they can, yes.  Q. And what you've done here is taken clips from those video camera feeds, and that's what you're sending from your Tesla account to  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection,  Your Honor.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 96 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 96 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the screen the joint Exhibit No. 96 [sic]. This appears to be an e-mail from April 8, 2018  April 26 again, from your Tesla account, to your personal Gmail account.  Is that accurate?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And then there's a number of attachments here, which appear to be various  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator can understand, Tesla has a number of cameras located around the Gigafactory facility; correct?  A. Yes, they do.  Q. Okay. And those cameras capture images of various aspects of the factory, and the comings and goings of individuals coming to and from the factory; is that fair?  A. That is. They do, and they can, yes.  Q. And what you've done here is taken clips from those video camera feeds, and that's what you're sending from your Tesla account to your personal account; is that correct?                                      |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  the next page.  Is this, again, information, badging record information that you sent from your Tesla account to your Gmail account?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection,  Your Honor.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 96 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 96 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, I've now put up on the screen the joint Exhibit No. 96 [sic]. This appears to be an e-mail from April 8, 2018 April 26 again, from your Tesla account, to your personal Gmail account.  Is that accurate?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And then there's a number of attachments here, which appear to be various photographs. Are these, well, why don't you | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 they what are they documents of or photographs of?  A. They're screenshot images. They appear to be screenshot images obtained during the course of an investigation.  Q. And those screenshot images are from the Tesla security cameras; correct?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. So I just so the arbitrator can understand, Tesla has a number of cameras located around the Gigafactory facility; correct?  A. Yes, they do.  Q. Okay. And those cameras capture images of various aspects of the factory, and the comings and goings of individuals coming to and from the factory; is that fair?  A. That is. They do, and they can, yes.  Q. And what you've done here is taken clips from those video camera feeds, and that's what you're sending from your Tesla account to your personal account; is that correct?  A. That is correct. That appears to |

|   |  | _   |   |
|---|--|---|---|
| 1   | Page 126<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1   | Page 127<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 2   | actual videos, but yes.  | 2   | to your personal Gmail account, are these   |
| 3   | Q. Okay.   | 3   | visitors names of visitors to the Gigafactory   |
| 4   | MR. ROBERTSON: Let's go to 100. And  | 4   | that visited on June 29th?  |
| 5   | move 99, please.   | 5   | A. Can you scroll down that document for  |
| 6   | MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.   | 6   | me, please?   |
| 7   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: 99 is in.   | 7   | [Document review.]  |
| 8   | (Whereupon, Exhibit 99 was received.)  | 8   | A. If you could keep going.   |
| 9   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 9   | [Document review.]  |
| 10  | Q. This is joint Exhibit 100,  | 10  | If you could go through keep  |
| 11  | Mr. Hansen. This is now July 17, 2018. And   | 11  | going, sir.   |
| 12  | again, from your Tesla account to your personal  | 12  | [Document review.]  |
| 13  | account.   | 13  | MR. ROBERTSON: Actually, Anne is in   |
| 14  | Do you see that?   | 14  | control.  |
| 15  | A. I do. Yes, sir.   | 15  | THE WITNESS: Oh, okay, ma'am.   |
| 16  | Q. So as of July 17, 2018, your Tesla  | 16  | Sorry.  |
| 17  | account was still active; correct?   | 17  | MR. ROBERTSON: No problem.  |
| 18  | A. I believe it was, yes. Until shortly  | 18  | MS. DUNNE: No worries.  |
| 19  | thereafter.  | 19  | MR. ROBERTSON: Gotta give respect   |
| 20  | Q. So this is actually the day that you  | 20  | where respect is due.   |
| 21  | started at USSA; correct?  | 21  | THE WITNESS: If you could keep going  |
| 22  | A. Based on I think it was the 17th,   | 22  | through them, please, for me.   |
| 23  | yes.   | 23  | A. Yes, these are tours. Specifically   |
| 24  | Q. And so here, if I'm correct, are you  | 24  | this was related to inquiries into lithium, and   |
| 25  | forwarding to yourself, from your Tesla account  | 25  | vendors. Ms. Carroll sent that to me, but yes.  |
| -   | Page 128   |   | Page 129  |
| 1   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 1   |  |   |   |
| 2   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 2   | the Securities and Exchange Commission and filed  |
| 3   | BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Okay. And again, this is something  | 2 3   | the Securities and Exchange Commission and filed the TCR. You also put out a press release about  |
|   |  |   | _   |
| 3   | Q. Okay. And again, this is something  | 3   | the TCR. You also put out a press release about   |
| 3 4   | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your   | 3 4   | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?   |
| 3<br>4<br>5   | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  | 3<br>4<br>5   | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release.  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release. With my cooperation, yes.  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release.  With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release.  With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 100 is in.  MR. WOODFIELD: There's no objection.  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release.  With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page.  And did you confirm that the   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 100 is in.  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release.  With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page.   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 100 is in.  MR. WOODFIELD: There's no objection.  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release.  With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page.  And did you confirm that the   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 100 is in.  MR. WOODFIELD: There's no objection.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 100 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release. With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page.  And did you confirm that the information in the press release was accurate?  A. I did, yes.  Q. The second paragraph, you talked  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 100 is in.  MR. WOODFIELD: There's no objection.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 100 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go back to what was joint  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release.  With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page.  And did you confirm that the information in the press release was accurate?  A. I did, yes.  Q. The second paragraph, you talked about this you know, your concerns about drug   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 100 is in.  MR. WOODFIELD: There's no objection.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 100 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go back to what was joint Exhibit 6. It's already in evidence.   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release. With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page.  And did you confirm that the information in the press release was accurate?  A. I did, yes.  Q. The second paragraph, you talked about this you know, your concerns about drug cartel activity.   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 100 is in.  MR. WOODFIELD: There's no objection.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 100 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go back to what was joint Exhibit 6. It's already in evidence.  You were shown this document during  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release. With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page.  And did you confirm that the information in the press release was accurate?  A. I did, yes.  Q. The second paragraph, you talked about this you know, your concerns about drug cartel activity.  Do you recall that?  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 100 is in.  MR. WOODFIELD: There's no objection.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 100 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go back to what was joint Exhibit 6. It's already in evidence.  You were shown this document during your direct testimony.   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release. With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page.  And did you confirm that the information in the press release was accurate?  A. I did, yes.  Q. The second paragraph, you talked about this you know, your concerns about drug cartel activity.  Do you recall that?  A. Yes, sir.  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 100 is in.  MR. WOODFIELD: There's no objection.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 100 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go back to what was joint Exhibit 6. It's already in evidence.  You were shown this document during your direct testimony.  You recall that, Mr. Hansen?   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release. With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page.  And did you confirm that the information in the press release was accurate?  A. I did, yes.  Q. The second paragraph, you talked about this you know, your concerns about drug cartel activity.  Do you recall that?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can we bring it up so  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 100 is in.  MR. WOODFIELD: There's no objection.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 100 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go back to what was joint Exhibit 6. It's already in evidence.  You were shown this document during your direct testimony.  You recall that, Mr. Hansen?  A. Yes, sir, I do.   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release. With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page.  And did you confirm that the information in the press release was accurate?  A. I did, yes.  Q. The second paragraph, you talked about this you know, your concerns about drug cartel activity.  Do you recall that?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can we bring it up so folks can see it, Anne, a little bit? The second   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 100 is in.  MR. WOODFIELD: There's no objection.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 100 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go back to what was joint Exhibit 6. It's already in evidence.  You were shown this document during your direct testimony.  You recall that, Mr. Hansen?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And this is the press release that  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release. With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page.  And did you confirm that the information in the press release was accurate?  A. I did, yes.  Q. The second paragraph, you talked about this you know, your concerns about drug cartel activity.  Do you recall that?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can we bring it up so folks can see it, Anne, a little bit? The second paragraph that starts "Failed"?   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 100 is in.  MR. WOODFIELD: There's no objection.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 100 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go back to what was joint Exhibit 6. It's already in evidence.  You were shown this document during your direct testimony.  You recall that, Mr. Hansen?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And this is the press release that your attorney issued on it appears to be                                   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release. With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page.  And did you confirm that the information in the press release was accurate?  A. I did, yes.  Q. The second paragraph, you talked about this you know, your concerns about drug cartel activity.  Do you recall that?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can we bring it up so folks can see it, Anne, a little bit? The second paragraph that starts "Failed"?  I just want to make sure people can            |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 100 is in.  MR. WOODFIELD: There's no objection.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 100 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go back to what was joint Exhibit 6. It's already in evidence.  You were shown this document during your direct testimony.  You recall that, Mr. Hansen?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And this is the press release that your attorney issued on it appears to be August 16, 2018; is that correct? | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release. With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page.  And did you confirm that the information in the press release was accurate?  A. I did, yes.  Q. The second paragraph, you talked about this you know, your concerns about drug cartel activity.  Do you recall that?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can we bring it up so folks can see it, Anne, a little bit? The second paragraph that starts "Failed"?  I just want to make sure people can read this. |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Q. Okay. And again, this is something that you sent from your Tesla e-mail to your personal Gmail account; correct?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: I move that document in, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 100 is in.  MR. WOODFIELD: There's no objection.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 100 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go back to what was joint Exhibit 6. It's already in evidence.  You were shown this document during your direct testimony.  You recall that, Mr. Hansen?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And this is the press release that your attorney issued on it appears to be                                   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | the TCR. You also put out a press release about it through your attorney; isn't that correct?  A. My attorney did the press release. With my cooperation, yes.  Q. Did you review the press release before it was sent?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page.  And did you confirm that the information in the press release was accurate?  A. I did, yes.  Q. The second paragraph, you talked about this you know, your concerns about drug cartel activity.  Do you recall that?  A. Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can we bring it up so folks can see it, Anne, a little bit? The second paragraph that starts "Failed"?  I just want to make sure people can            |

Page 131 Page 130 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 press release is that Tesla: Failed to disclose "no" answer. And to the extent you can --2 3 a recent internal investigation by Tesla into a 3 obviously if you need to explain, your lawyer can 4 May 24, 2018 written notification it received 4 do that on redirect as well. But it's --5 5 from the U.S. Drug Enforcement Anyway, are you aware of any б Administration/Storey County Sheriff's Office б organization that is known as the U.S. Drug 7 Task Force, all caps, and then DEA in quotes. 7 Enforcement Administration/Storey County 8 Do you see that? Sheriff's Office Task Force? 9 9 A. Not in those specific words --A. I do. 10 Q. Is there any such thing as the U.S. 10 Q. Okay. 11 Drug Enforcement Administration/Storey County 11 A. -- no. 12 Sheriff's Office Task Force? 12 Q. In fact, didn't you know that the tip A. There is a counterdrug task force. 13 13 that came in was actually from an organization 14 And as you are probably aware, there are 14 called Secret Witness? 15 multiagency counterdrug task forces comprised of 15 A. I learned that long after this. varying law enforcement agencies, particularly in Q. So what you're saying is you know 16 16 17 my experience and, as I was told by Mr. Gouthro 17 that now --18 and Mr. Sprott upon receiving this document, that 18 A. Correct. 19 this came from a member of the Storey County DEA 19 Q. -- that, in fact, this tip didn't 20 task force. 2.0 come from any government organization, it came 21 Q. That wasn't my question. So, 21 from a nonprofit known as Secret Witness. 22 Mr. Hansen, I am happy to -- I want the testimony 22 A. I don't know about the corporate 23 to be as complete as possible. But on 23 status of Secret Witness. My understanding is 24 24 cross-examination, I'm going to ask you some that Secret Witness is a tip line that was set 25 25 questions where I'm going to ask for a "yes" or up. And my understanding of it, upon a later Page 132 Page 133 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 finding out about it, was that that tip line went 2 Q. That's what I understood --3 into law enforcement, to Storey County Sheriff's 3 Oh, sorry. 4 4 A. So --Department. That's the way I interpreted the 5 information I received later. 5 Q. So is it now your testimony that both 6 Q. Well, do you know whether in 6 Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott, at Tesla, confirmed 7 connection with this anonymous tip -- and I to you that this anonymous tip had been verified 8 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- understand the tip was anonymous; right?
  - A. I do now know that, yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. Do you know whether any member of law enforcement did anything to investigate or, quote, verify anything in that anonymous tip?
- A. I was told, when it was given to me on more than one occasion, that the deputy, who was purportedly a member of the DEA task force for Storey County, had preliminarily validated information in the tip.
  - Q. You were told that by whom?
- A. I was told that by my supervisor, Mr. Gouthro.
  - Q. Did anyone else tell you that?
- A. Mr. Sprott later confirmed that, in conversations with myself and Mr. Gouthro, on numerous -- several of the briefings that I would give them pertaining to these allegations.

- by the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration?
  - A. No, that's not what I testified to.
  - O. Okay.
- A. I stated that Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Sprott both had told me that the information had been preliminarily validated by Deputy -- I believe it was Mendoza, who was a task force member of the Storey County DEA task force.
- Q. So preliminarily validated. That's what you were told by another Tesla employee.
- A. That's correct. My supervisor, that's correct.
- Q. And other than that, hearing that from these two people, did you do anything on your own to determine whether in fact the United States Drug Enforcement Administration had actually done anything in connection with this anonymous tip through Secret Witness?

Page 135 Page 134 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 A. My attorney spoke with DEA directly. 2 \$37 million which occurred between January and 3 3 And I'm not going to get into those discussions I June 2018. 4 had with him, but he did have a conversation with 4 Do you see that? 5 the DEA. And as -- if you know federal law 5 A. I do see that, yes, sir. б enforcement, they will confirm or deny the very 6 Q. Do you have any evidence, document, 7 existence of these types of investigations, and 7 or written evidence of any thefts that occurred 8 that was the answer that he received. during the period January through June 2018 other 9 Q. So the answer is no to my specific 9 than the \$13,000 attempted theft that 10 question? My specific question is, did you ever 10 Mr. Thompson raised when the two folks were 11 get any confirmation from anybody specific to the 11 arrested? 12 fact that whether the department -- U.S. 12 A. The evidence is in the records. Yes, 13 Department of -- or U.S. Drug Enforcement 13 there is evidence of that. 14 14 Administration actually did anything in Do I have it? No longer. I don't 15 connection with this anonymous tip? 15 have that. 16 A. No, I didn't. 16 Q. Okay. Were you personally involved 17 17 Q. Okay. But that's what you put in a investigating any specific theft of copper other press release that was issued at the time that 18 18 than the Lynn Thompson? 19 you submitted the claim with the SEC; correct? 19 A. I -- yes. Yes. 20 A. Yes, sir. 20 Q. Do you have any quantification that 21 Q. Similarly, if we go to the first page 21 you can give me of how much copper we're talking 22 in this press release. 22 about, how much was involved, in the things that 23 So the first bullet says: Failed to 23 you actually personally investigated? 24 disclose thefts of copper and other raw materials 24 A. I don't know. I can't give an 25 estimate to that. I don't know what ever 25 through Tesla's Gigafactory valued at over Page 137 Page 136 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 happened to those investigations, but there were 2 it differently -- Gecewich from Tesla Human 3 a significant number of them. 3 Resources. Is that correct? 4 4 Q. Well, do you remember when you were A. Yes. 5 deposed in this case? 5 Q. And there were requests made to you 6 A. Yes, I do. 6 to cooperate in the investigation; correct? 7 7 Q. And your testimony was given under A. There was the initial request by 8 oath; correct? 8 Mr. Gecewich. 9 A. I do. 9 Q. And again, you -- other than that 10 Q. Do you recall whether in your initial meeting, beyond that you refused to 10 11 deposition you were able to quantify any specific 11 cooperate; is that fair? 12 copper thefts beyond the \$13,000 at issue in the 12 A. That is fair, yes. 13 13 MR. ROBERTSON: Actually, Your Honor, Lynn Thompson matter? 14 A. I don't recall specifically. 14 this might be a good point. I think we're close 15 15 Q. Okay. enough, if everyone agrees. About four minutes 16 A. Probably not. What was your 16 shy of 12:30. 17 17 question? Specifically? JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. That's fine. Q. I think you answered the question. I MR. ROBERTSON: And I'll organize a 18 18 19 think I'm fine. 19 little bit. It will make it smoother when we 20 20 A. Okay. Thank you. start up again. 21 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, you'll agree with 21 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, good. Well, me -- I think you testified to this on direct --22 22 let's go ahead and take a break from now until 23 that you were not willing after August 3rd to 23 the top of the hour, which is about 30 minutes. MR. ROBERTSON: Your Honor, may I 24 meet with Tesla investigators beyond that one 24

25

meeting with Mr. -- and I think we all pronounce

25

make a quick request?

|  | Page 138  |  | Page 139  |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 2  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Sure.  | 2  | MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.   |
| 3  | MR. ROBERTSON: Since Mr. Hansen is  | 3  | THE WITNESS: Thank you.   |
| 4  | in the midst of his cross, can I ask for a  | 4  | (Recess taken, 12:28 p.m. to  |
| 5  | direction that he not confer with his counsel?  | 5  | 1:03 p.m. PDT)  |
| 6  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: About any pending  | 6  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Let's continue with  |
| 7  | questions?  | 7  | cross-examination of Mr. Hansen by Mr. Robertson  |
| 8  | MR. ROBERTSON: Well, I just it's  | 8  | and we're on the record.  |
| 9  | up to you. Typically when someone is in the   | 9  | MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you. Let's go  |
| 10   | middle of cross, we have requested that they not  | 10   | to joint Exhibit 126, which I believe is already  |
|  | -   | 11   | in the record.  |
| 11 12  | have the opportunity to   | 12   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 1  | I guess about any of the prior  |  | 1   |
| 13   | testimony. I mean, obviously anything we haven't  | 13   | Q. So, Mr. Hansen, during your direct   |
| 14   | asked him about.  | 14   | testimony, you were shown the offer letter from   |
| 15   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Woodfield, do you  | 15   | Tesla dated February 26, 2018.  |
| 16   | have any objection to that instruction?   | 16   | Do you see that?  |
| 17   | MR. WOODFIELD: No, not at all.  | 17   | A. Yes, sir.  |
| 18   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Mr. Hansen,  | 18   | Q. And do you recall when you actually  |
| 19   | don't discuss your testimony with anyone.   | 19   | applied for the position?   |
| 20   | MR. ROBERTSON: And we'll  | 20   | A. I don't.   |
| 21   | obviously we'll obviously abide by that with  | 21   | Q. Okay. Sometime before February of  |
| 22   | our witnesses as well.  | 22   | 2018?   |
| 23   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay.  | 23   | A. Yes, sir.  |
| 24   | All right. Thank you very much.   | 24   | Q. And on the very first page, it says  |
| 25   | We'll see you in about a half hour.   | 25   | your rate of pay will be \$16.50 per hour.  |
| 1  |   |  |   |
|  | Page 140  |  | Page 141  |
| 1  | Page 140<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  | 1  | Page 141<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 1 2  |   | 1 2  |   |
|  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>Do you see that?  | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>Let's go to the second page.  |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal  government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were  actually looking for other employment potentially  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were  actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions? A. I have disability pay.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were  actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I was.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions? A. I have disability pay. Q. Okay. So even more than \$100,000 you   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were  actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I was.  Q. Okay. And you understand that as  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions? A. I have disability pay. Q. Okay. So even more than \$100,000 you were being paid when you were working with the  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I was.  Q. Okay. And you understand that as a former government employee myself, that more  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions? A. I have disability pay. Q. Okay. So even more than \$100,000 you were being paid when you were working with the government; correct?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were  actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I was.  Q. Okay. And you understand that as a former government employee myself, that more likely than not, when you leave a certain step,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions? A. I have disability pay. Q. Okay. So even more than \$100,000 you were being paid when you were working with the government; correct? A. Yes, sir.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I was.  Q. Okay. And you understand that as a former government employee myself, that more likely than not, when you leave a certain step, the hope is you can go back in at that step;   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions? A. I have disability pay. Q. Okay. So even more than \$100,000 you were being paid when you were working with the government; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And yet, I think you testified  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I was.  Q. Okay. And you understand that as a former government employee myself, that more likely than not, when you leave a certain step, the hope is you can go back in at that step; correct?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions? A. I have disability pay. Q. Okay. So even more than \$100,000 you were being paid when you were working with the government; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And yet, I think you testified to this, you took this job at \$16.50 an hour as   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were  actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I was.  Q. Okay. And you understand that as a former government employee myself, that more likely than not, when you leave a certain step, the hope is you can go back in at that step;  correct?  A. Yes, sir, I'd agree with that.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions? A. I have disability pay. Q. Okay. So even more than \$100,000 you were being paid when you were working with the government; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And yet, I think you testified to this, you took this job at \$16.50 an hour as part of your move to Reno; is that fair?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I was.  Q. Okay. And you understand that as a former government employee myself, that more likely than not, when you leave a certain step, the hope is you can go back in at that step; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I'd agree with that.  Q. If we look at the next page, the  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions? A. I have disability pay. Q. Okay. So even more than \$100,000 you were being paid when you were working with the government; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And yet, I think you testified to this, you took this job at \$16.50 an hour as part of your move to Reno; is that fair? A. Yes, sir.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I was.  Q. Okay. And you understand that as a former government employee myself, that more likely than not, when you leave a certain step, the hope is you can go back in at that step; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I'd agree with that.  Q. If we look at the next page, the first nonindented paragraph starts: The company  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions? A. I have disability pay. Q. Okay. So even more than \$100,000 you were being paid when you were working with the government; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And yet, I think you testified to this, you took this job at \$16.50 an hour as part of your move to Reno; is that fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. Isn't it a fair statement,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I was.  Q. Okay. And you understand that as a former government employee myself, that more likely than not, when you leave a certain step, the hope is you can go back in at that step; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I'd agree with that.  Q. If we look at the next page, the first nonindented paragraph starts: The company is excited.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions? A. I have disability pay. Q. Okay. So even more than \$100,000 you were being paid when you were working with the government; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And yet, I think you testified to this, you took this job at \$16.50 an hour as part of your move to Reno; is that fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. Isn't it a fair statement, Mr. Hansen, that this job was always a short-term                                     | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were  actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I was.  Q. Okay. And you understand that as a former government employee myself, that more likely than not, when you leave a certain step, the hope is you can go back in at that step; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I'd agree with that.  Q. If we look at the next page, the first nonindented paragraph starts: The company is excited.  Do you see that?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions? A. I have disability pay. Q. Okay. So even more than \$100,000 you were being paid when you were working with the government; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And yet, I think you testified to this, you took this job at \$16.50 an hour as part of your move to Reno; is that fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. Isn't it a fair statement, Mr. Hansen, that this job was always a short-term job?                                | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were  actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I was.  Q. Okay. And you understand that as a former government employee myself, that more likely than not, when you leave a certain step, the hope is you can go back in at that step; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I'd agree with that.  Q. If we look at the next page, the first nonindented paragraph starts: The company is excited.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.                                     |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions? A. I have disability pay. Q. Okay. So even more than \$100,000 you were being paid when you were working with the government; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And yet, I think you testified to this, you took this job at \$16.50 an hour as part of your move to Reno; is that fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. Isn't it a fair statement, Mr. Hansen, that this job was always a short-term job? A. I don't think that's a fair | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were  actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I was.  Q. Okay. And you understand that as a former government employee myself, that more likely than not, when you leave a certain step, the hope is you can go back in at that step; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I'd agree with that.  Q. If we look at the next page, the first nonindented paragraph starts: The company is excited.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you agree that the document |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You had mentioned that you were working for the federal government in southern California and making over \$100,000 in annual salary; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. And isn't it true that in addition to that, you had retirement contributions? A. I have disability pay. Q. Okay. So even more than \$100,000 you were being paid when you were working with the government; correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And yet, I think you testified to this, you took this job at \$16.50 an hour as part of your move to Reno; is that fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. Isn't it a fair statement, Mr. Hansen, that this job was always a short-term job?                                | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Let's go to the second page.  Let me do follow-up on that.  During the time that you went up to  Reno, did you ever look for any federal government employment?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. So during this period you were  actually looking for other employment potentially with the federal government; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I was.  Q. Okay. And you understand that as a former government employee myself, that more likely than not, when you leave a certain step, the hope is you can go back in at that step; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I'd agree with that.  Q. If we look at the next page, the first nonindented paragraph starts: The company is excited.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.                                     |

| Blansen v Elon Muse - Arbitration Day 1   2 at-will employment right?   2   0. And you understood that agreeing to 3   3. A. Yes, sir.   3   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 at-will employment; right? 3 A. Yes, sir. 4 O. And you understood that agreeing to a these confidentiality provisions was part of the agreement that you had with Teela when you became employed by them; correct? 5 Pébruary-March of 2018 was at will; correct? 8 A. Yes, sir. 10 O. And likewise, you could leave at any 10 time as well? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 ME. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, 11 A. Not at that time, I did not realize that. 13 Anne. 14 PY ME. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, 12 The action of your employment, you will be expected to abide by all 13 Teela policies and procedure, and as a condition of your employment, you will be expected to abide by all 14 Teela policies and procedure, and as a condition of your employment, you will sign and comply with 15 more of your employment, you will sign and comply with 16 prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Yeals 20 confidential information of Teela clients. 14 Pays as a condition of Teela clients. 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 Illanear v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes, sir. 17 Enable of yourse char? 28 A. Yes, sir. 29 A. I see it, yes, sir. 20 C. And you did not do that; is that correct? 20 C. And you did not do that; is that you had a was to return any Teela waterials that you had that you had leave the now in the confidential information of Teela clients. 29 A. I did not. 20 Can we go to pull up joint 4 Robits it is an incomply and the prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Yesla 20 Constance of Yesla 21 Correct? 20 Can we go to pull up joint 4 Robits it is not you see that? 21 List been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 5 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 22 A. I see it, yes, sir. 23 A. Yes, sir. 24 C. Ard you did not do that; is that you had she that be people that starts with More Poblins, then there is a Bruce Buddler and then it goes on. 25 A. Yes, sir. 26 C. And you see that? 27 A. Yes, sir. 28 A. Yes, sir. 29 A. I see it, yes, sir. 30 C. There's a list of people 31 Will More Poblins, then there is a Bruce        | 1  | Page 142 Hangen w Flon Muck - Arbitration Day 1  | 1  | Page 143 Hangen v Flon Muck - Arbitration Day 1  |
| A Yes, sir.  Q. And you understood that. You  beginning in — when you started in  Pehruary-March of 2018 was at will; correct?  A Yes, sir, I did.  Q. And likewise, you could leave at any  time as well?  A Yes, sir.  MR. ROBERTSON: And if we go down,  Same.  By R. ROBERTSON: And if we go down,  Tesla polities and procedures, and as a condition  Tesla's standard confidentiality generant which  Tesla's standard confidentiality generant which  Tesla's standard confidentiality generant which  prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla  confidential information or the confidential  information of Tesla clients.  A Yes, sir.  1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A I did not.  Q. Cane yo to pull up joint  Eabhibit 131?  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move  The Section of the second about  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move  The Section of the second about  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move  The Section of the second about  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move  The Section of the second about  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move  The Section of the second about  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move  The Section of the second about  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move  The Section of the second about  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you  MR. ROBERT | 1  | <del>-</del>   |  |  |
| 4 O. And you understood that. You 5 Understood that your employment with Tesla 6 beginning in when you started in 7 February-March of 2018 was at will; correct? 8 A. Yes, sir, 1 did. 9 Q. And likewise, you could leave at any 10 time as well? 11 A. Yes, sir, 1 MM. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, 12 SY MR. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, 13 Anne. 14 SY MR. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, 15 O. In the second-to-the-last paragraph the there at the bottom, states: As a Tesla requipove, you will be expected to shide by all profit smatherized use or disclosure of Tesla's standard confidentiality agreement which prohibits mustuhcrized use or disclosure of Tesla to Dayou see that? 25 A. Yes, sir.  16 Bansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 A. I did not. 3 Q. Can we go to puil up joint. 4 Exhibit 131? 5 WR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd nove 12 this in. 4 Exhibit 131? 5 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd nove 12 this in. 5 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd nove 12 this in. 6 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd nove 12 this in. 7 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd nove 12 this in. 8 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd nove 12 this in. 9 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 19 YOUR FORCE. 19 YMR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 20 O. And the subject line is "Officer" 1 Justifications." 21 Justifications." 22 A. Yes, I do. Q. And there's a list of people 24 Do you see that? 25 A. Yes, I. do. Q. And there's a list of people 25 A. Yes, I. do. Q. And the subject line is "Officer" 2 Justifications." 21 Justifications." 22 A. Yes, I do. Q. And the subject line is "Officer" 2 Justifications." 23 Do you see that? 24 Q. And was he in the security side of the bearing of the beautified of the subject line is "Officer" 2 Justifications." 25 Do you see that? 26 A. Yes, I do. Q. And was he in the security side of the beat and the line as the province of the subject line is "Officer" 2 Justifications." 25 Do you see that? 26 A. Yes, I do. Q. And was he in the security side of the beat and the line as the province of the provin          | 1  |  |  |  |
| 5 understood that your employment with Tesla 6 beginning in — when you started in 7 February-March of 2018 was at will; correct? 8 A. Yes, sir. I did. 9 Q. And Ilkewise, you could leave at any 10 time as well? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, 13 Anne. 14 BY MR. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, 15 Q. In the second-to-the-last paragraph 16 there at the botton, states: As a Tesla 17 employee, you will be expected to abide by all 18 Tesla policies and procedures, and as a condition 19 of your employment, you will sign and comply will 20 Tesla's standard confidentiality agreement which 21 prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla 22 confidential information or the confidential 23 information of Tesla clients. 24 Do you see that? 25 A. Yes, sir. 26 Q. Can we go to pull up joint 27 Edals of Jeff Gones. 28 A. I see it, yes, sir. 29 A. I see it, yes, sir. 20 Q. Okay. 30 Q. Can we go to pull up joint 4 Exhibit 131? 5 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 11 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 12 The see indentified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 18 The see indentified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 19 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 3 A. I see it, yes, sir. 4 O. Okay. 5 A. I see it, yes, sir. 6 Q. Okay. 7 Can we go to pull up joint 7 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 12 this in. 13 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 14 A. Yes, ido. 15 Q. And the subject line is "officer 26 Q. And the subject line is "officer 27 Q. And was he in the security side of the business, if you will? 28 A. Yes, I do. 29 Q. And there's a list of people — 20 And was he in the security side of the business, if you will? 20 A. Yes, I do. 21 Q. And was he in the security side of the business, if you will? 22 Lead of the security side of the business, if you will? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And there's a list of people — 24 Lead of the scale of the scal | 1  | •  |  |  |
| 6 beginning in when you started in 7 Pebruary-March of 2018 was at will? correct? 8 A. Yes, sir, I did. 9 Q. And likewise, you could leave at any 10 time as well? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, 13 Anne. 14 BY MR. NOBSERTSON: And if we go down, 15 there at the botton, states: As a Tesla 16 there at the botton, states: As a Tesla 17 employee, you will be expected to abide by all 18 Tesla policies and procedures, and as a condition. 19 of your employment, you will sign and comply with 19 prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla 20 confidential information or the confidential 21 information of Tesla clients. 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, sir. 24 Do you see that? 25 A. I did not. 26 Can we go to pull up joint. 27 A. I did not. 28 Land on the second-to-the-last paragraph 29 A. I see it, yes, cir. 30 Q. Can we go to pull up joint. 40 Exhibit 131? 41 Expected as go disclosure of Tesla 42 Do you see that? 43 A. Yes, sir. 44 Do you see that? 55 MR. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail. 66 I A. Yes, jir. 67 O. May you lad un obligation to be extent you lad; you had an obligation to return any Tesla materials that you had upon your selize that now? 69 A. I see it, yes, cir. 60 Of Your obsossation? 70 A. Not at that time, I did not realize that. 71 Hansen vellom was to return any Tesla materials that you had upon your separation from town deposition, you had asked that question, I selize. 71 Hansen vellom Musk - Arbitration Day I selize. 72 A. Yes, sir. 73 A. Yes. 74 C. Did you also understand that to the extent power and throughout the course of discovery, and during on how, you do understand that part of the obligation you had was to return any Tesla materials that you had upon your separation from town deposition, you had was to return any Tesla materials that you had upon your separation from town deposition, you had was to return any Tesla materials that you had upon your separation from town deposition, you had was to return any Tesla materials that you had upon your separation from town    | 1  |  | _  |  |
| Pebruary-March of 2018 was at will; correct?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  A. Yes, sir, I did.  A. Yes, sir, I did.  A. Yes, sir.  A. Nes, sir.  M. R. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, 12 that, 13 Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, 12 that, 20 may be separed to the expected to a bailed by all 13 remployee, you will be expected to a bailed by all 14 replayee, you will be expected to a bailed by all 15 replayee, you will be expected to a bailed by all 16 replayee, you will be expected to a bailed by all 17 replayee, you will be expected to a bailed by all 18 replayee, you will be expected to a bailed by all 19 of your employment, you will sign and comply with 20 realize and procedures, and as a condition 19 of your employment, you will sign and comply with 21 replayee, you will be expected to a bailed by all 22 may be a bailed by all 23 may be a bailed by all 24 may be a bailed by all 25 may be a bailed by all 26 may be a bailed by all 27 may be a bailed by all 28 may be a bailed by all 29 may be a bailed by all 29 may be a bailed by all 20 may be | 1  |  |  |  |
| 8  | 1  |  |  |  |
| 9 return any Tesla materials that you had that 10 time as well? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, 13 Arne. 14 BY WR. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, 15 O. In the second-to-the-last paragraph 16 there at the bottom, states: As a Tesla 17 employee, you will be expected to abide by all 18 Tesla policies and procedures, and as a condition 19 of your employment, you will sign and comply with 20 Tesla's standard confidentiality agreement which 21 prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla 22 confidential information or the confidential 23 information of Tesla clients. 24 Do you see that? 25 A. Yes, sir. 26 Wr. Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 A. I did not. 3 O. Can we go to pull up joint 4 Exhibit 131? 5 Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail. 6 It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's 2 an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 8 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 9 A. I see it, yes, sir. 10 O. Okay. 11 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 12 this in. 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUNGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 MYMR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 20 And the subject line is 'Officer 21 Justifications.* 22 A. Yes, I do. Q. And the subject line is 'Officer 23 A. Yes, I do. Q. And was he in the security side of the course of discovery, and during throughout the course of discovery, and during that. A. A. On seeing these documents and throughout the course of discovery, and during that. A. On seeing these documents and thoughout the course of discovery, and during that. A. On seeing these documents and throughout the course of discovery, and during that. A. Chall well and the pour course of security on be whether you knew it then or O. Okay. So whether you knew it then or 17 Sala; correct?  18 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Tesla's confidential 19 Sprott and Jeff Jones.  9 A. I see it, yes, sir.  19 Wh. ROBERTSON: 19 Wh. ROBERTSON: 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 20 And the subject line is 'Officer 21 Justifications.* 21 Do you    | 1  | <del>-</del>   |  | ~ 1  |
| 10 time as well? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, 13 Anne. 14 BY MR. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, 15 O. In the second-to-the-last paragraph 16 there at the bottom, states: As a Tesla 17 employee, you will be expected to abide by all 18 Tesla policies and procedures, and as a condition 19 of your employment, you will sign and comply with 10 Tesla's standard confidentiallity agreement which 10 prohibite unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla 20 confidential information or the confidential 21 information of Tesla clients. 22 Do you see that? 24 Do you see that? 25 A. Yes, sir. 26 Tesla of the confidential 27 an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 28 Sprott and Deff Jones. 30 Q. Can we go to pull up joint 31 Exhibit 131? 41 Exhibit 131? 52 A. I see it, yes, sir. 53 A. I see it, yes, sir. 64 C. Okay. 65 A. I see it, yes, sir. 75 A. I see it, yes, sir. 76 Q. Okay. 77 An e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 78 Sprott and Deff Jones. 79 A. I see it, yes, sir. 70 Q. Okay. 71 NR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 71 this in. 71 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 72 this in. 73 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 74 Your Honor. 75 A. Yes, if do. 76 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 277 Journal of Pages 144? 8 Your Honor. 8 Your Honor. 8 Your Honor. 8 Your Honor. 9 O. Nath the subject line is "Officer 28 A. Yes, I do. 9 A. Yes, I do. 9 And the subject line is "Officer 29 A. Yes, I do. 9 And the subject line is "Officer 20 A. Yes, I do. 9 And the subject line is "Officer 21 Justifications." 22 A. Yes, I do. 9 And was he in the security side of the business, if you will?  | 8  | A. Yes, sir, I did.  | 8  | extent you left Tesla, you had an obligation to  |
| A. Yes, sir.  A. Not at that time, I did not realize that the Nottom, states: As a Tesla  | 9  | Q. And likewise, you could leave at any  | 9  | return any Tesla materials that you had that   |
| MR. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, Anne.  Anne.  MR. ROBERTSON: And if we go down, BYMR. ROBERTSON:  Anne.  O. Thank you. Do you realize that now?  A. On seeing these documents and throughout the course of discovery, and during our deposition, you had asked that question, I believe. But yes, I understand that.  C. Okay. So whether you knew it then or now, you do understand that part of the obligation you had was to return any Teala materials that you had upon your separation from 22 confidential information or the confidential informatio | 10   | time as well?  | 10   | you had in your possession?  |
| Amme.  14 BY MR. ROBERTSON:  0. In the second-to-the-last paragraph 16 there at the bottom, states: As a Tesla  17 employee, you will be expected to abide by all  18 Tesla policies and procedures, and as a condition 19 of your employment, you will sign and comply with 20 Tesla's standard confidentiality agreement which 21 prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla 22 confidential information or the confidential 23 information of Tesla clients. 24 Do you see that? 25 A. Yea, sir.  Pegge 144  1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. 2 Q. Can we go to pull up joint 4 Exhibit 131?  5 MR. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail. 6 It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's 7 an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 8 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 9 A. I see it, yes, sir. 10 Q. Okay. 11 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 12 this in. 13 MR. WCODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOPMAN: 131 is in. 15 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you. 16 Tesla's correct. 17 An it says here, he's law enforcement, huge asset to the team. 18 YOUR HOND. 29 O. And the subject line is 'Officer 20 O. And the subject line is 'Officer 21 Justifications." 20 Q. And there's a list of people  14 Use of the course of disease documents and throughout the course of disease that understand that.  Q. Okay. So whether you knew it then or now, you do understand that.  Q. Okay be dwar you had asked that question, I believe. But yes, I understand that.  Q. Okay. So whether you knew it then or now, you do understand that.  Q. Okay believe. But yes, I understand that.  Q. Okay be dwar you had asked that question, I believe. But yes, I w    | 11   | A. Yes, sir.   | 11   | A. Not at that time, I did not realize   |
| 14 BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. In the second-to-the-last paragraph there at the bottom, states: As a Tesla 16 there at the bottom, pound asked that question, I 17 employee, you will be expected to abide by all 18 Tesla policies and procedures, and as a condition 19 Tesla policies and procedures, and as a condition 10 Tesla's standard confidentiality agreement which 11 prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla 12 confidential information or the confidential 12 prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla 13 information of Tesla clients. 14 Exhibit 131: 15 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 A. Yes, sir. 18 Exhibit 131? 19 Exhibit 131: 19 Exhibit 131: 10 Q. Can we go to pull up joint 11 Exhibit 131: 12 A. I seen identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's 13 an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 15 throughout the course of discovery, and during 16 our deposition, you had asked that question, I 16 believe. But yes, I understand that. 17 believe. But yes, I understand that. 18 C. Okay. So whether you knew it then or 18 now, you do understand that part of the 20 obligation you had was to return any Tesla 21 materials that you had use to return any Tesla 22 Tesla's correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Do you see that? 25 A. Yes, sir. 26 O. Can we go to pull up joint 27 A. I did not. 28 O. Can we go to pull up joint 29 O. Can we go to pull up joint 29 O. Can we go to pull up joint 20 O. Can we go to pull up joint 21 The seem identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's 22 A. Yes, I do. 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 O. Can we go to pull up joint 25 O. Can we go to pull up joint 26 O. Can we go to pull up joint 27 A. Tes alist of people that starts 28 A. Yes a list of people that starts 29 A. I see it, yes, sir. 29 O. Can we go to pull up joint 30 O. Can we go to pull up joint 31 Do you see that? 32 A. Yes, I do. 33 O. And was he in the security side of the business, if you will?   | 12   | MR. ROBERTSON: And if we go down,  | 12   | that.  |
| 15 there at the bottom, states: As a Tesla  memployee, you will be expected to abide by all  Tesla policies and procedures, and as a condition  of your employment, you will sign and comply with  Tesla's standard confidentiality agreement which  prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla  confidential information or the confidential  information of Tesla clients.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Page 144  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's  an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall  Sprott and Jeff Jones.  A. I see it, yes, sir.  Q. Okay. So whether you knew it then or  now, you do understand that part of the  obligation you had was to return any Tesla  materials that you had upon your separation from  Tesla's correct?  Tesla's correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And you did not do that; is that  correct?  Tesla' correct.  That hishelf you had upon your separation from tool of the fis | 13   | Anne.  | 13   | Q. Thank you. Do you realize that now?   |
| there at the bottom, states: As a Tesla meployee, you will be expected to abide by all Tesla policies and procedures, and as a condition of your employment, you will sign and comply with Tesla's standard confidentiality agreement which prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla confidential information or the confidential information of Tesla clients.  A Do you see that? A Yes.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Tesla's standard colling that you had upon your separation from materials that you had upon your separation from to bollogation you had as to return any Tesla materials that you had upon your separation from materials that you had upon your separation from materials that you had upon your separation from to bollogation you had upon your separation from materials that you had upon your separation from to bollogation you had upon your separation from materials that you had upon your separation from to bollogation you had upon your separation for materials that you had upon your separation for mate | 14   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 14   | A. On seeing these documents and   |
| there at the bottom, states: As a Tesla meployee, you will be expected to abide by all Tesla policies and procedures, and as a condition of your employment, you will sign and comply with Tesla's standard confidentiality agreement which prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla confidential information or the confidential information of Tesla clients.  A Do you see that? A Yes.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Tesla's standard colling that you had upon your separation from materials that you had upon your separation from to bollogation you had as to return any Tesla materials that you had upon your separation from materials that you had upon your separation from materials that you had upon your separation from to bollogation you had upon your separation from materials that you had upon your separation from to bollogation you had upon your separation from materials that you had upon your separation from to bollogation you had upon your separation for materials that you had upon your separation for mate | 15   | Q. In the second-to-the-last paragraph   | 15   | throughout the course of discovery, and during   |
| Tesla policies and procedures, and as a condition of your employment, you will sign and comply with 19 Tesla's standard confidentiality agreement which 20 prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla 21 information of Tesla clients. 22 confidential information or the confidential 22 confidential information of Tesla clients. 23 A. Yes. 24 Do you see that? 24 A. Yes, sir. 25 A. Yes. 26 Q. And you did not do that; is that 27 Correct? 28 A. Yes, sir. 29 A. I did not. 3 Q. Can we go to pull up joint 3 Dottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the 28 bottom of this e-mail. 3 Dottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the 29 bottom of this e-mail. 3 Dottom of this e-mail. 4 Exhibit 131? 4 Dottom of this e-mail. 5 Dottom of the 29 Dottom of this e-mail. 6 It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's 3 Dottom of this e-mail. 6 Dottom of this e-mail. 7 Dottom of this e-mail. 8 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 8 Dottom of this e-mail. 9 Dottom of this e-mail. 9 Dottom of this e-mail. 9 Dottom of this e-mail. 10 Dottom of this e-mail. 11 Dottom of this e-mail. 12 Dottom of this e-mail. 13 Dottom of this e-mail. 14 Dottom of this e-mail. 15 Dottom of this e-mail. 15 Dottom of this e-mail. 16 Dottom of this e-mail. 17 Dottom of this e-mail. 18 Dottom of this e-mail. 19 Dottom of this e-mail. 10 | 16   | there at the bottom, states: As a Tesla  | 16   | our deposition, you had asked that question, I   |
| Tesla policies and procedures, and as a condition of your employment, you will sign and comply with 19 Tesla's standard confidentiality agreement which 20 prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla 21 information of Tesla clients. 22 confidential information or the confidential 22 confidential information of Tesla clients. 23 A. Yes. 24 Do you see that? 24 A. Yes, sir. 25 A. Yes. 26 Q. And you did not do that; is that 27 Correct? 28 A. Yes, sir. 29 A. I did not. 3 Q. Can we go to pull up joint 3 Dottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the 28 bottom of this e-mail. 3 Dottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the 29 bottom of this e-mail. 3 Dottom of this e-mail. 4 Exhibit 131? 4 Dottom of this e-mail. 5 Dottom of the 29 Dottom of this e-mail. 6 It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's 3 Dottom of this e-mail. 6 Dottom of this e-mail. 7 Dottom of this e-mail. 8 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 8 Dottom of this e-mail. 9 Dottom of this e-mail. 9 Dottom of this e-mail. 9 Dottom of this e-mail. 10 Dottom of this e-mail. 11 Dottom of this e-mail. 12 Dottom of this e-mail. 13 Dottom of this e-mail. 14 Dottom of this e-mail. 15 Dottom of this e-mail. 15 Dottom of this e-mail. 16 Dottom of this e-mail. 17 Dottom of this e-mail. 18 Dottom of this e-mail. 19 Dottom of this e-mail. 10 | 17   | employee, you will be expected to abide by all   | 17   | believe. But yes, I understand that.   |
| 19 of your employment, you will sign and comply with 20 Tesla's standard confidentiality agreement which 21 prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla 22 confidential information or the confidential 23 information of Tesla clients. 24 Do you see that? 25 A. Yes, sir. 26 Page 144 27 A. I did not. 28 Mr. Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 29 A. I did not. 20 Can we go to pull up joint 21 Exhibit 131? 22 A. I see it, yes, sir. 23 A. I see it, yes, sir. 24 D. O cay. 25 A. I see it, yes, sir. 26 A. I see it, yes, sir. 27 A. I see it, yes, sir. 28 A. I see it, yes, sir. 39 A. I see it, yes, sir. 40 C. Okay. 41 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 42 this in. 43 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 44 Syour Honor. 45 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 46 Your Honor. 47 MR. ROBERTSON: 131 is in. 48 C. Okay. Let's talk for a second about 49 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 40 And the subject line is "Officer 40 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 41 Do you see that? 42 A. Yes, 43 A. Yes, I do. 45 Q. And was he in the security side of the business, if you will?   | 1  |  | 18   |  |
| Tesla's standard confidentiality agreement which prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla confidential information or the confidential 22 materials that you had upon your separation from Tesla clients.  24 Do you see that? 24 Q. And you did not do that; is that correct?  25 A. Yes, sir. 25 Can we go to pull up joint 3 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail. 4 Exhibit 131? 4 Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  28 A. Yes, sir. 9 Synot and Jeff Jones. 9 A. I see it, yes, sir. 9 With Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on. 10 you see that? 11 Do you see that? 12 A. Yes, sir. 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFWAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 15 Pink ROBERTSON: 16 Pink R. ROBERTSON: 17 MR. ROBERTSON: 18 No. Objection. 18 Your Honor. 19 MR. ROBERTSON: 19 Oyou see that? 20 And the subject line is "Officer Q. And the subject line is "Officer 21 Justifications." 19 Oyou see that? 22 A. Yes. 24 Q. And you did not do that; is that correct?  20 A. Yes. Q. And you did not do that; is that correct?  21 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  22 B. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And you did not do that; is that correct?  23 A. Yes. Q. And you did not do that; is that correct?  24 Q. And you did not do that; is that correct?  25 A. Yes. Q. And you did not do that; is that correct?  26 Q. And you did not do that; is that correct?  28 D. Yes e. Left and you an erall is that correct?  29 D. And was be in the security side of the bottom of the first page verybody.  20 And there's a list of people 24 the business, if you will?  | 1  |  |  |  |
| prohibits unauthorized use or disclosure of Tesla confidential information or the correct?  1  | 1  |  |  |  |
| 22 confidential information or the confidential 23 information of Tesla clients. 24 Do you see that? 25 A. Yes, sir. 26 Page 144 27 Do you see that? 28 A. Yes. 29 Q. And you did not do that; is that 29 correct?  1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 A. I did not. 3 Q. Can we go to pull up joint 4 Exhibit 131? 5 Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail. 6 It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's 7 an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 8 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 9 A. I see it, yes, sir. 9 Q. Okay. 10 Q. Okay. 11 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 12 this in. 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 21 Justifications." 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. And you did not do that; is that 25 correct? 24 Q. And, you did not do that; is that 25 correct? 25 A. Yes. 26 Q. And, you did not do that; is that 27 Correct? 27 A. Yes. 28 A. Yes. 29 A. Yes Lelon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the 3 bottom of this e-mail. 4 Exhibit 131: 4 Actually, go to the bottom of the 5 first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That 4 should get everybody. 8 YMR. ROBERTSON: 9 Wh. ROBERTSON: 9 With Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler 10 and then it goes on. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about 14 Bruce Huddler 15 Did you know who Mr. Huddler was? 16 A. Yes, I do. 17 Q. And it says here, he's law 18 enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with 19 over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge 20 asset to the team. 21 Justifications." 22 A. Yes, I do. 23 Q. And was he in the security side of 24 the business, if you will?   | 1  |  |  |  |
| 23 information of Tesla clients. 24 Do you see that? 25 A. Yes, sir.  26 Page 144 27 Do you see that? 28 Q. And you did not do that; is that correct?  29 Correct?  1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 A. I did not. 3 Q. Can we go to pull up joint 4 Exhibit 131? 5 Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail. 6 It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 8 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 9 A. I see it, yes, sir. 10 Q. Okay. 11 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move this in. 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 18 YOUR HONOR. 19 YOUR HONOR. 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer Justifications." 21 Do you see that? 22 A. Yes, I do. 23 A. Yes. Q. And you did not do that; is that correct?  1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  8 PMR. ROBERTSON: 9 Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on. 10 Do you see that? 11 Do you see that? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about Bruce Huddler was? 14 Page 145 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 Do you see that? 2 A. Yes, I do. 3 Do you see that? 4 Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  18 YMR. ROBERTSON: 19 Do you see that? 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 20 A. Yes, I do. 21 Justifications." 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And was he in the security side of the business, if you will?   | 1  | -  |  |  |
| 24 Do you see that? 25 A. Yes, sir.  26 Correct?  1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 A. I did not. 3 Q. Can we go to pull up joint 4 Exhibit 131? 5 Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail. 6 It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's 7 an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 8 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 9 A. I see it, yes, sir. 10 Q. Okay. 11 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 12 this in. 13 MR. WOODFTELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 10 A. Yes, I do. 21 A. Yes, I do. 22 A. Yes, 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And you did not do that; is that 25 correct?  Q. And you did not do that; is that 25 correct?  Q. And you did not do that; is that 25 correct?  Q. And you did not do that; is that 25 correct?  1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  8 Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  10 Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about Bruce Huddler.  10 A. Yes, I do.  Q. And it says here, he's law enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge asset to the team.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  | 1  |  |  |  |
| 25 A. Yes, sir.  25 correct?  1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 A. I did not. 3 Q. Can we go to pull up joint 4 Exhibit 131? 5 Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail. 6 It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's 7 an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 8 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 9 A. I see it, yes, sir. 9 Q. Okay. 10 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 11 Lish in. 12 Lhis in. 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 21 Justifications." 21 Do you see that? 22 A. Yes, 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And there's a list of people 25 correct?  16 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Dottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the 2 bottom. Ancually, go to the bottom of the 3 bottom of the first page. 3     | 1  |  |  |  |
| Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not.  Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's  an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall  Sprott and Jeff Jones.  A. I see it, yes, sir.  Q. Okay.  Mr. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move  this in.  Mr. WOODFIELD: No objection.  Mr. Woodfield: No objection.  Mr. Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was  for received.)  Mr. ROBERTSON:  Mr. ROBERTSON:  Mr. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  Mr. ROBERTSON:  Mr. ROBERTSON:  Mr. ROBERTSON:  Mr. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  Mr. ROBERTSON:  Mr. ROBERT | 1  |  |  |  |
| Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not.  Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's  an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall  Sprott and Jeff Jones.  A. I see it, yes, sir.  Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move  this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was  received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  MR. ROBERTSON:  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  MR. ROBERTSON:  Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And the subject line is "Officer  Justifications."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And was he in the security side of  the bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the  bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the  bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the  bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the  bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the  bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the  first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That  should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts  With Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler  and then it goes on.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about  Bruce Huddler.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about  Bruce Huddler.  Q. And it says here, he's law  enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with  over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge  asset to the team.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And was he in the security side of  the business, if you will?  | 25   | A. Yes, sir.   | 25   | correct?   |
| A. I did not.  Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's  an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall  Sprott and Jeff Jones.  A. I see it, yes, sir.  Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move  this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was  freceived.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  MR. ROBERTSON:  MR. ROBER |  | 5 144  |  |  |
| Q. Can we go to pull up joint 4 Exhibit 131? 5 Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail. 6 It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's 7 an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 8 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 9 A. I see it, yes, sir. 10 Q. Okay. 11 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 12 this in. 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 18 YMR. ROBERTSON: 19 With Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler 19 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Okay. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 YMR. ROBERTSON: 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 21 Justifications." 21 Do you see that? 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And there's a list of people 24 Let's talk for a second about 25 Do you see that? 26 A. Yes. 27 A. Yes. 28 A. Yes, I do. 29 Q. And was he in the security side of 29 Q. And there's a list of people 20 Q. And was he in the security side of 20 Q. And there's a list of people 21 Let's talk for a second about 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And was he in the security side of 25 Q. And was he in the security side of  | Ι.   | <u> </u>   | _  |  |
| 4 Exhibit 131? 5 Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail. 6 It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's 7 an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 8 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 9 A. I see it, yes, sir. 10 Q. Okay. 11 Mr. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 12 this in. 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 EY MR. ROBERTSON: 10 A Actually, go to the bottom of the 5 first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That 6 should get everybody. 7 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 8 Q. There's a list of people that starts 9 with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler 10 and then it goes on. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 Did you know who Mr. Huddler was? 16 A. Yes, I do. 17 Q. And it says here, he's law 18 enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with 19 EY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge 10 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 21 Justifications." 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And was he in the security side of 25 Q. And was he in the security side of 26 the business, if you will?   | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall  Sprott and Jeff Jones.  A. I see it, yes, sir.  Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move  this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was  received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  MR. ROBERTSON:  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  MR. ROBERTSON:  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  MR. ROBERTSON:  MR. ROBERTSON:  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  MR. ROBERTSON:  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  MR. ROBERTSON:  MR. Woodfield that starts  MR. Woodfield Park Rober Haddler  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And it says here, he's law  enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with  over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge  asset to the team.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  Q. And was he in the security side of  the business, if you will?  | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 6 It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's 7 an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 8 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 9 A. I see it, yes, sir. 10 Q. Okay. 11 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 12 this in. 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 With Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler 10 and then it goes on. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 Did you know who Mr. Huddler was? 16 received.) 17 Q. And it says here, he's law 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 21 Justifications." 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And there's a list of people 24 the business, if you will?   | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not.  | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  |
| 7 an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall 8 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 9 A. I see it, yes, sir. 10 Q. Okay. 11 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 12 this in. 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler 10 and then it goes on. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about 14 Bruce Huddler. 15 Did you know who Mr. Huddler was? 16 a. Yes, I do. 17 Q. And it says here, he's law 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 21 Justifications." 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And there's a list of people 24 the business, if you will?   | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not.  Q. Can we go to pull up joint   | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  |
| 8 Sprott and Jeff Jones. 9 A. I see it, yes, sir. 10 Q. Okay. 11 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 12 this in. 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 10 and then it goes on. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about 14 Bruce Huddler. 15 Did you know who Mr. Huddler was? 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 20 asset to the team. 21 Justifications." 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And there's a list of people 25 There's a list of people that starts 9 with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler 10 and then it goes on. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about 14 Bruce Huddler. 15 Did you know who Mr. Huddler was? 16 Public Huddler. 17 Did you know who Mr. Huddler was? 18 enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge asset to the team. 21 Justifications." 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And was he in the security side of the business, if you will?   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the   |
| 9 With Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler 10 Q. Okay. 11 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 12 this in. 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 21 Justifications." 21 Do you see that? 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And was he in the security side of 24 Q. And there's a list of people 24 the business, if you will?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That  |
| 10 Q. Okay.  11 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 11 Do you see that?  12 this in.  13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 21 Justifications." 21 Do you see that? 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And there's a list of people 26 and then it goes on. 10 and then it goes on. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about Bruce Huddler. 15 Did you know who Mr. Huddler was? 16 A. Yes, I do. 17 Q. And it says here, he's law enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge asset to the team. 21 Justifications." 22 Do you see that? 23 Q. And was he in the security side of the business, if you will?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  |
| 11 MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move 12 this in. 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 10 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 21 Justifications." 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And there's a list of people 26 Do you will? 27 Do you will?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody. BY MR. ROBERTSON:  |
| 12 this in.  13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 15 Did you know who Mr. Huddler was? 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 Over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 20 asset to the team. 21 Justifications." 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And there's a list of people 25 A. Yes, I do will?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall Sprott and Jeff Jones.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts  |
| MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  13 Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about  14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in.  15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was  16 received.)  17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  18 Your Honor.  19 BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. And the subject line is "Officer  20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer  21 Justifications."  22 Do you see that?  23 A. Yes, I do.  24 Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about  14 Bruce Huddler.  15 Did you know who Mr. Huddler was?  16 A. Yes, I do.  17 Q. And it says here, he's law  18 enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with  19 over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge  20 asset to the team.  21 Do you see that?  22 A. Yes.  23 Q. And was he in the security side of  24 the business, if you will?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall Sprott and Jeff Jones. A. I see it, yes, sir.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler  |
| 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 21 Justifications." 21 Do you see that? 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And there's a list of people 25 Pand was he in the security side of 24 the business, if you will?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall Sprott and Jeff Jones.  A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.   |
| 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 21 Justifications." 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And there's a list of people 25 Did you know who Mr. Huddler was? 26 A. Yes, I do. 27 Q. And it says here, he's law 28 enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with 29 over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge 20 asset to the team. 21 Do you see that? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. And was he in the security side of 24 the business, if you will?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall Sprott and Jeff Jones.  A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay. MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  Do you see that?   |
| 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was 16 received.) 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 21 Justifications." 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And was he in the security side of 25 Did you know who Mr. Huddler was? 16 A. Yes, I do. 17 Q. And it says here, he's law 18 enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with 19 over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge 20 asset to the team. 21 Do you see that? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. And was he in the security side of 24 the business, if you will?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall Sprott and Jeff Jones.  A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move this in.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.   |
| 16 received.)  17 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  18 Your Honor.  19 BY MR. ROBERTSON:  19 Q. And it says here, he's law  18 enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with  19 over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge  20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer"  21 Justifications."  22 Do you see that?  23 A. Yes, I do.  24 Q. And was he in the security side of  25 Q. And there's a list of people  26 Q. And was he in the security side of  27 Lambert State S    | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall  Sprott and Jeff Jones. A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about   |
| MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  18 Your Honor.  19 BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. And it says here, he's law  18 enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with  19 over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge  20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer  21 Justifications."  22 Do you see that?  23 A. Yes, I do.  24 Q. And it says here, he's law  26 enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with  27 Over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge  28 asset to the team.  29 A. Yes.  20 Q. And was he in the security side of  29 Q. And was he in the security side of  20 the business, if you will?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall Sprott and Jeff Jones.  A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay. MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about Bruce Huddler.  |
| 18 Your Honor.  19 BY MR. ROBERTSON:  20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer 20 asset to the team.  21 Justifications."  22 Do you see that?  23 A. Yes, I do.  24 Q. And there's a list of people  28 enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with 19 over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge 20 asset to the team.  21 Do you see that?  22 A. Yes.  23 Q. And was he in the security side of 24 the business, if you will?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall  Sprott and Jeff Jones. A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody. BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about Bruce Huddler.  Did you know who Mr. Huddler was?  |
| 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 20 Q. And the subject line is "Officer" 21 Justifications." 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And there's a list of people 29 Do you see that? 20 asset to the team. 21 Do you see that? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. And was he in the security side of 24 the business, if you will?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall  Sprott and Jeff Jones.  A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was received.)  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about Bruce Huddler.  Did you know who Mr. Huddler was?  A. Yes, I do.  |
| Q. And the subject line is "Officer 20 asset to the team.  In Justifications." 21 Do you see that?  Do you see that? 22 A. Yes.  A. Yes, I do. 23 Q. And was he in the security side of 24 Q. And there's a list of people 24 the business, if you will?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall  Sprott and Jeff Jones.  A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about Bruce Huddler.  Did you know who Mr. Huddler was?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And it says here, he's law   |
| 21 Justifications." 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And there's a list of people 25 Do you see that? 26 A. Yes. 27 A. Yes. 28 Q. And was he in the security side of 29 the business, if you will?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall  Sprott and Jeff Jones. A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  Your Honor.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about Bruce Huddler.  Did you know who Mr. Huddler was?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And it says here, he's law enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with   |
| Do you see that?  22 A. Yes.  23 A. Yes, I do.  24 Q. And there's a list of people  25 Q. And was he in the security side of 26 the business, if you will?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall  Sprott and Jeff Jones. A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay. MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  Your Honor.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about Bruce Huddler.  Did you know who Mr. Huddler was?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And it says here, he's law enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge  |
| 23 A. Yes, I do. 23 Q. And was he in the security side of 24 Q. And there's a list of people 24 the business, if you will?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall  Sprott and Jeff Jones.  A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  Your Honor.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. And the subject line is "Officer   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about Bruce Huddler.  Did you know who Mr. Huddler was?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And it says here, he's law enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge asset to the team.   |
| Q. And there's a list of people 24 the business, if you will?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall  Sprott and Jeff Jones. A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  Your Honor.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. And the subject line is "Officer Justifications."   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about Bruce Huddler.  Did you know who Mr. Huddler was?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And it says here, he's law enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge asset to the team.  Do you see that?   |
|  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall  Sprott and Jeff Jones. A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  Your Honor.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. And the subject line is "Officer Justifications."  Do you see that?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about Bruce Huddler.  Did you know who Mr. Huddler was?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And it says here, he's law enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge asset to the team.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  |
| MR. RUBERTSON: II we start at the 25 A. He was in the operational physical   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall Sprott and Jeff Jones.  A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  Your Honor.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. And the subject line is "Officer Justifications."  Do you see that? A. Yes, I do.                                 | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about Bruce Huddler.  Did you know who Mr. Huddler was?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And it says here, he's law enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge asset to the team.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And was he in the security side of                             |
|  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. I did not. Q. Can we go to pull up joint  Exhibit 131?  Mr. Hansen, I'm pulling up an e-mail.  It's been identified as joint Exhibit 131. It's an e-mail from May of 2018, between Marshall Sprott and Jeff Jones.  A. I see it, yes, sir. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'd move this in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 131 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 131 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  Your Honor.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. And the subject line is "Officer Justifications."  Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And there's a list of people | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 bottom. Anne, if you can scroll down to the bottom of this e-mail.  Actually, go to the bottom of the first page. Yeah, right there. Perfect. That should get everybody.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. There's a list of people that starts with Amber Dobbins, then there is a Bruce Huddler and then it goes on.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. Let's talk for a second about  Bruce Huddler.  Did you know who Mr. Huddler was?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And it says here, he's law enforcement, Army, Navy and SWAT veteran with over 30 years of security, law enforcement, huge asset to the team.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And was he in the security side of the business, if you will? |

| 1  | Page 146<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  | 1  | Page 147<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
|--|---|--|--|
| 2  | <del>-</del>  | 2  | <del>-</del>   |
| 1  | security side, yes.   |  | is that an accurate description of him?  |
| 3  | Q. Yes. So he was essentially in the  | 3  | A. It absolutely is. Mr. Swindle is a  |
| 4  | same general group that you were in; is that  | 4  | stand-up guy.  |
| 5  | fair?   | 5  | Q. Okay. Likewise, if we look at   |
| 6  | A. Yes.   | 6  | Marcus Rogers, it says: Very hard-working  |
| 7  | Q. And was he a supervisor?   | 7  | officer that previously worked security.   |
| 8  | A. I don't recall, honestly.  | 8  | A. I don't know who he is.   |
| 9  | Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that   | 9  | Q. So two down from Mr. Swindle, do you  |
| 10   | he had more experience than you?  | 10   | see Mr. Rogers?  |
| 11   | A. He did have years in before me, yes.   | 11   | A. Yes, I see him.   |
| 12   | Q. Okay. Let's go to the next down  | 12   | Q. And did you know who Marcus Rogers  |
| 13   | on the next page. There's someone named   | 13   | was at that time?  |
| 14   | Kevin Swindle.  | 14   | A. I don't recall that name at all,  |
| 15   | Do you see that?  | 15   | actually.  |
| 16   | A. Yes.   | 16   | Q. It talks about him currently working  |
| 17   | Q. Do you know who Mr. Swindle was?   | 17   | on several major projects for security.  |
| 18   | A. Yes, I did.  | 18   | Do you have any understanding of what  |
| 19   | Q. And it says: Navy Master of Arms,  | 19   | those projects might have been?  |
| 20   | military law enforcement background and casinos,  | 20   | A. No, I don't.  |
| 21   | and then to us. An incredible performer with  | 21   | Q. And then Rahul Sidher, S-I-D-H-E-R.   |
| 22   | ambitions to match.   | 22   | Do you know who that was?  |
| 23   | Do you see that?  | 23   | A. Yes.  |
| 24   | A. I do, yes, sir.  | 24   | Q. This is my No. 1 officer.   |
| 25   | Q. To the extent you knew Mr. Swindle,  | 25   | Do you see that?   |
|  | •   |  | •  |
|  |   |  |  |
| 1  | Page 148 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 149<br>Hansen v Flon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 1 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>A. Yes.   | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented   |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for  | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for March.   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience? A. Can you restate your question,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for  March.  And it says: Currently working with  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience? A. Can you restate your question, please.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for March. And it says: Currently working with me on an Officer Training Program and Officer   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for  March.  And it says: Currently working with  me on an Officer Training Program and Officer  Field Manual.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience? A. Can you restate your question, please. Q. Sure. I'm just saying, is it possible that   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for March. And it says: Currently working with me on an Officer Training Program and Officer Field Manual. Do you see that?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience? A. Can you restate your question, please. Q. Sure. I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for March. And it says: Currently working with me on an Officer Training Program and Officer Field Manual. Do you see that? A. I do, yes.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for March. And it says: Currently working with me on an Officer Training Program and Officer Field Manual. Do you see that? A. I do, yes. Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for  March. And it says: Currently working with me on an Officer Training Program and Officer  Field Manual. Do you see that? A. I do, yes. Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience? A. Can you restate your question, please. Q. Sure. I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for March. And it says: Currently working with me on an Officer Training Program and Officer Field Manual. Do you see that? A. I do, yes. Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at this time?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather keep than you?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for March. And it says: Currently working with me on an Officer Training Program and Officer Field Manual. Do you see that? A. I do, yes. Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at this time? A. I was aware that he was working with  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather keep than you?  A. I would disagree with that.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for  March. And it says: Currently working with me on an Officer Training Program and Officer  Field Manual. Do you see that? A. I do, yes. Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at this time? A. I was aware that he was working with Mr. Sprott on those things, specifically an SOP.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather keep than you?  A. I would disagree with that.  Q. So you thought you were more talented  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for  March.  And it says: Currently working with  me on an Officer Training Program and Officer  Field Manual.  Do you see that? A. I do, yes. Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher  was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at this time?  A. I was aware that he was working with  Mr. Sprott on those things, specifically an SOP. Q. So would you agree with me that this  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather keep than you?  A. I would disagree with that.  Q. So you thought you were more talented than all of these people?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for  March.  And it says: Currently working with  me on an Officer Training Program and Officer  Field Manual.  Do you see that? A. I do, yes. Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher  was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at this time?  A. I was aware that he was working with  Mr. Sprott on those things, specifically an SOP. Q. So would you agree with me that this group of folks in the security group is a pretty   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather keep than you?  A. I would disagree with that.  Q. So you thought you were more talented than all of these people?  A. That wasn't my answer, no.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for March. And it says: Currently working with me on an Officer Training Program and Officer Field Manual. Do you see that? A. I do, yes. Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at this time? A. I was aware that he was working with Mr. Sprott on those things, specifically an SOP. Q. So would you agree with me that this group of folks in the security group is a pretty talented group?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather keep than you?  A. I would disagree with that.  Q. So you thought you were more talented than all of these people?  A. That wasn't my answer, no.  Q. Okay.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for March. And it says: Currently working with me on an Officer Training Program and Officer Field Manual. Do you see that? A. I do, yes. Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at this time? A. I was aware that he was working with Mr. Sprott on those things, specifically an SOP. Q. So would you agree with me that this group of folks in the security group is a pretty talented group? A. I would, yes.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather keep than you?  A. I would disagree with that.  Q. So you thought you were more talented than all of these people?  A. That wasn't my answer, no.  Q. Okay.  A. I was working  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for March.  And it says: Currently working with me on an Officer Training Program and Officer Field Manual.  Do you see that? A. I do, yes. Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at this time?  A. I was aware that he was working with Mr. Sprott on those things, specifically an SOP. Q. So would you agree with me that this group of folks in the security group is a pretty talented group?  A. I would, yes. Q. And that it was competitive within  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather keep than you?  A. I would disagree with that.  Q. So you thought you were more talented than all of these people?  A. That wasn't my answer, no.  Q. Okay.  A. I was working Q. Okay.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for  March.  And it says: Currently working with  me on an Officer Training Program and Officer  Field Manual.  Do you see that? A. I do, yes. Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher  was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at this time?  A. I was aware that he was working with  Mr. Sprott on those things, specifically an SOP. Q. So would you agree with me that this group of folks in the security group is a pretty talented group?  A. I would, yes. Q. And that it was competitive within  the group to for promotions or to be   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather keep than you?  A. I would disagree with that.  Q. So you thought you were more talented than all of these people?  A. That wasn't my answer, no.  Q. Okay.  A. I was working  Q. Okay.  A. I'm sorry. I was working in   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes.  Q. And won officer of the month for  March.  And it says: Currently working with  me on an Officer Training Program and Officer  Field Manual.  Do you see that?  A. I do, yes.  Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher  was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at this time?  A. I was aware that he was working with  Mr. Sprott on those things, specifically an SOP.  Q. So would you agree with me that this group of folks in the security group is a pretty talented group?  A. I would, yes.  Q. And that it was competitive within  the group to for promotions or to be successful within the group?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather keep than you?  A. I would disagree with that.  Q. So you thought you were more talented than all of these people?  A. That wasn't my answer, no.  Q. Okay.  A. I was working Q. Okay.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes.  Q. And won officer of the month for  March.  And it says: Currently working with  me on an Officer Training Program and Officer  Field Manual.  Do you see that?  A. I do, yes.  Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher  was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at this time?  A. I was aware that he was working with  Mr. Sprott on those things, specifically an SOP.  Q. So would you agree with me that this group of folks in the security group is a pretty talented group?  A. I would, yes.  Q. And that it was competitive within the group to for promotions or to be successful within the group?  A. I would, yes.                          | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather keep than you?  A. I would disagree with that.  Q. So you thought you were more talented than all of these people?  A. That wasn't my answer, no.  Q. Okay.  A. I was working  Q. Okay.  A. I'm sorry. I was working in   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for March. And it says: Currently working with me on an Officer Training Program and Officer Field Manual.  Do you see that? A. I do, yes. Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at this time? A. I was aware that he was working with Mr. Sprott on those things, specifically an SOP. Q. So would you agree with me that this group of folks in the security group is a pretty talented group? A. I would, yes. Q. And that it was competitive within the group to for promotions or to be successful within the group? A. I would, yes. Q. And would you agree with me that the | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather keep than you?  A. I would disagree with that.  Q. So you thought you were more talented than all of these people?  A. That wasn't my answer, no.  Q. Okay.  A. I was working  Q. Okay.  A. I'm sorry. I was working in investigations at that time.  Q. But that wasn't the position you were offered at U.S. Security; correct? |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes.  Q. And won officer of the month for  March.  And it says: Currently working with  me on an Officer Training Program and Officer  Field Manual.  Do you see that?  A. I do, yes.  Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher  was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at this time?  A. I was aware that he was working with  Mr. Sprott on those things, specifically an SOP.  Q. So would you agree with me that this group of folks in the security group is a pretty talented group?  A. I would, yes.  Q. And that it was competitive within the group to for promotions or to be successful within the group?  A. I would, yes.                          | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather keep than you?  A. I would disagree with that.  Q. So you thought you were more talented than all of these people?  A. That wasn't my answer, no.  Q. Okay.  A. I was working  Q. Okay.  A. I'm sorry. I was working in investigations at that time.  Q. But that wasn't the position you were                                    |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes. Q. And won officer of the month for March. And it says: Currently working with me on an Officer Training Program and Officer Field Manual.  Do you see that? A. I do, yes. Q. And were you aware that Mr. Sidher was working with Mr. Sprott on those things at this time? A. I was aware that he was working with Mr. Sprott on those things, specifically an SOP. Q. So would you agree with me that this group of folks in the security group is a pretty talented group? A. I would, yes. Q. And that it was competitive within the group to for promotions or to be successful within the group? A. I would, yes. Q. And would you agree with me that the | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 was there were others that were more talented than you, with more experience?  A. Can you restate your question, please.  Q. Sure.  I'm just saying, is it possible that the reason that when the RIF came along, that the reason you were put on the list for the RIF was simply because there were more talented people that Mr. Sprott decided he would rather keep than you?  A. I would disagree with that.  Q. So you thought you were more talented than all of these people?  A. That wasn't my answer, no.  Q. Okay.  A. I was working  Q. Okay.  A. I'm sorry. I was working in investigations at that time.  Q. But that wasn't the position you were offered at U.S. Security; correct? |

| 1  | Page 150<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 151<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | working under Sean as an investigations officer.   | 2  | being part of the RIF?   |
| 3  | So those other folks were not part of the  | 3  | A. No, sir, I do not know.   |
| 4  | investigations team.   | 4  | Q. Yeah, let's go to 181.  |
| 5  | Q. So why are you even on this list?   | 5  | So, Mr. Hansen, showing you 181,   |
| 6  | A. I can't answer that.  | 6  | which is an e-mail from Mr. German to Mr. Jones,   |
| 7  | Q. Let's go to 130.  | 7  | at the top, and then below that is an e-mail,  |
| 8  | Actually, no.  | 8  | looks like from Mr. Gouthro.   |
| 9  | Let me just ask you, Mr. Hansen: Do  | 9  | A. If you could keep scrolling down a  |
| 10   | you know who Ivan Garcia Flores was? No exhibit,   | 10   | little bit, please. I don't see Mr. Gouthro's  |
| 11   | just do you know who that was in that June of '18  | 11   | header there.  |
| 12   | time frame?  | 12   | MR. ROBERTSON: Yeah, pull up,  |
| 13   | A. Ivan Garcia Flores. I don't recall.   | 13   | actually, Anne.  |
| 14   | I mean, I think there might have been an officer   | 14   | THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.   |
| 15   | named Flores in security, but I don't that   | 15   | MR. ROBERTSON: Go up.  |
| 16   | name doesn't really ring a bell.   | 16   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:  |
| 17   | O. What about James Null?  | 17   | Q. Do you see right there?   |
| 18   | A. James Null. That name yes. Yes,   | 18   | A. I see it now.   |
| 19   | I remember James Null.   | 19   | Q. Okay. So, the e-mail to Matt, I   |
| 20   | Q. And do you were you part of any   | 20   | assume that's Matt German, says: There's 17  |
| 21   | discussions with either Mr. Flores or Mr. Null   | 21   | names are internals we would like to see come on   |
| 22   | were discussed as potentially not being part of  | 22   | board with your organization.  |
| 23   | the RIF?   | 23   | Do you see that?   |
| 24   | A. I can't recall.   | 24   | A. Yes, sir, I do.   |
| 25   | Q. Do you know whether they ended up   | 25   | Q. And their pay rates are listed?   |
| 23   | Q. Do you know whether they ended up   | 23   | Q. And their pay races are risted:   |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1  | Page 152   | 1  | Page 153   |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>A. Yes, sir.   | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>BY MR. ROBERTSON:  |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if  | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that?  And so is it your recollection that  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that?  And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that?  And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA? A. Yes, sir.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that? And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that?  And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes. Q. Okay.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that?  And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: Move 181 in.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the signature page, I just want to confirm that's his  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that? And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: Move 181 in. MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the signature page, I just want to confirm that's his signature. Let's go up a little bit.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that? And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: Move 181 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 181 is in.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the signature page, I just want to confirm that's his signature. Let's go up a little bit. BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that? And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: Move 181 in. MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 181 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 181 was   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the signature page, I just want to confirm that's his signature. Let's go up a little bit.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Is that on that page there,  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that?  And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes.  Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: Move 181 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 181 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 181 was received.)  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the signature page, I just want to confirm that's his signature. Let's go up a little bit.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Is that on that page there, Mr. Hansen, is that your signature?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that? And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: Move 181 in. MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 181 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 181 was received.) BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the signature page, I just want to confirm that's his signature. Let's go up a little bit.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Is that on that page there, Mr. Hansen, is that your signature? A. It is, yes, sir.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that? And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: Move 181 in. MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 181 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 181 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Mr. Hansen, you did, in fact, apply  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the signature page, I just want to confirm that's his signature. Let's go up a little bit.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Is that on that page there,  Mr. Hansen, is that your signature?  A. It is, yes, sir.  Q. And does that reflect that the date  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that?  And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes.  Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: Move 181 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 181 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 181 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, you did, in fact, apply for a position with U.S. Security; correct?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the signature page, I just want to confirm that's his signature. Let's go up a little bit.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Is that on that page there, Mr. Hansen, is that your signature? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And does that reflect that the date that you signed this was July 17, 2018?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that? And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: Move 181 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 181 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 181 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Mr. Hansen, you did, in fact, apply for a position with U.S. Security; correct? A. Yes, I did.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the signature page, I just want to confirm that's his signature. Let's go up a little bit.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Is that on that page there, Mr. Hansen, is that your signature? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And does that reflect that the date that you signed this was July 17, 2018? A. Yes, it does.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir. Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that? And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes. Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: Move 181 in. MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 181 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 181 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Mr. Hansen, you did, in fact, apply for a position with U.S. Security; correct? A. Yes, I did. MR. ROBERTSON: Let's go to  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the signature page, I just want to confirm that's his signature. Let's go up a little bit. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Is that on that page there, Mr. Hansen, is that your signature? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And does that reflect that the date that you signed this was July 17, 2018? A. Yes, it does. Q. Okay. If we go to the first page.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that?  And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes.  Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: Move 181 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 181 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 181 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, you did, in fact, apply for a position with U.S. Security; correct?  A. Yes, I did.  MR. ROBERTSON: Let's go to Exhibit 22.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the signature page, I just want to confirm that's his signature. Let's go up a little bit.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Is that on that page there,  Mr. Hansen, is that your signature?  A. It is, yes, sir.  Q. And does that reflect that the date that you signed this was July 17, 2018?  A. Yes, it does.  Q. Okay. If we go to the first page.  There's on the left side, there's a paragraph                           |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that?  And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes.  Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: Move 181 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 181 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 181 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, you did, in fact, apply for a position with U.S. Security; correct?  A. Yes, I did.  MR. ROBERTSON: Let's go to Exhibit 22.  So this is already in.                                     | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the signature page, I just want to confirm that's his signature. Let's go up a little bit.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Is that on that page there, Mr. Hansen, is that your signature?  A. It is, yes, sir.  Q. And does that reflect that the date that you signed this was July 17, 2018?  A. Yes, it does.  Q. Okay. If we go to the first page.  There's on the left side, there's a paragraph that states, Policy.       |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that?  And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes.  Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: Move 181 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 181 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 181 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, you did, in fact, apply for a position with U.S. Security; correct?  A. Yes, I did.  MR. ROBERTSON: Let's go to  Exhibit 22.  So this is already in.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you saying 22? | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the signature page, I just want to confirm that's his signature. Let's go up a little bit. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Is that on that page there, Mr. Hansen, is that your signature? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And does that reflect that the date that you signed this was July 17, 2018? A. Yes, it does. Q. Okay. If we go to the first page. There's on the left side, there's a paragraph that states, Policy. Do you see that? |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And it says: Please match if possible.  Do you see that?  And so is it your recollection that there were roughly 17 people that were part of this RIF along with you? That were internal?  A. That is a number that I recall, yes.  Q. Okay.  MR. ROBERTSON: Move 181 in.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 181 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 181 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, you did, in fact, apply for a position with U.S. Security; correct?  A. Yes, I did.  MR. ROBERTSON: Let's go to Exhibit 22.  So this is already in.                                     | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall in your direct testimony, you were asked questions about the agreement that you signed with the agreement you signed in connection with taking the position at USSA?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the signature page, I just want to confirm that's his signature. Let's go up a little bit.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Is that on that page there, Mr. Hansen, is that your signature?  A. It is, yes, sir.  Q. And does that reflect that the date that you signed this was July 17, 2018?  A. Yes, it does.  Q. Okay. If we go to the first page.  There's on the left side, there's a paragraph that states, Policy.       |

Page 155 Page 154 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. Thank you. That's better. 2 2 respect to continuation of contractor 3 Q. Yeah. And it states: Even though 3 relationship, nor shall it interfere in any way 4 the supplier is my employer, I agree to comply 4 with my right or Tesla's right to end my contractor relationship at any time with or 5 with both the supplier and Tesla's rules of 5 б conduct. And then it goes through a list of 6 without cause. 7 policies. 7 Do you see that? 8 Do you see that? 8 A. Yes, sir, I do. 9 9 Q. And you understood that that was a A. I do, yes. 10 Q. Okay. And did you understand that 10 term of the agreement that you signed at the time 11 by -- even though you were working at USSA, by that you moved to USSA in July of 2018; correct? 11 12 being positioned back at Tesla, that you were 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 13 agreeing to abide not only by USSA's policies but Q. Let's to go 14.6. 14 14 also to Tesla's policies? And then, looking at paragraph 14.6, 15 A. I did, yes. 15 that states: This agreement is the final, complete, and exclusive agreement of the parties 16 Q. Okay. And you understood that one of 16 17 those policies is reflected in the next 17 with respect to the subject matter hereof, and 18 paragraph, paragraph 2 here, was policies related 18 supersedes and merges all prior or 19 to Tesla's information. 19 contemporaneous discussions or agreements between 20 A. Yes, sir. 20 us regarding such subject matter. 21 Q. Then, if we go to paragraph 9. 21 Do you see that? 22 Paragraph 9, Mr. Hansen, do you see 22 A. I see that, yes. 23 there where it says Contractor Relationship? It 23 Q. It also says: No modification or 24 says: I understand and agree that nothing in 24 amendment to this agreement nor any waiver of any 25 25 this agreement shall confer any right with rights under this agreement will be effective Page 157 Page 156 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 unless in writing and signed by the party to be 2 I did not. 3 charged. 3 Q. Okay. But before you signed this, 4 4 Do you see that? did you ask anybody about it? 5 5 A. Yes, sir, I do. A. No. At that point what was done was 6 Q. Okay. So you understand -- did you 6 done. 7 7 understand, when you signed this agreement, that Q. Mr. Hansen, I'm going to direct you 8 any prior discussions you'd had, verbally or 8 to Exhibit 121. This is an exhibit that you were 9 otherwise with anyone at Tesla or USSA, were 9 shown in your direct testimony. 10 superseded at this agreement? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 A. Yeah, at the time I signed it, I 11 Q. And you testified, I think on 12 probably was not aware of that. 12 multiple occasions during your direct testimony, 13 13 Q. Okay. that your salary or your wage at USSA was \$27 an 14 A. But I'm aware of it now. 14 hour. Q. You understand it now; correct? 15 15 That was your testimony; right? A. I do, yes, sir. 16 A. Yeah, it became 27 an hour, if I 16 17 Q. Okay. So to the extent that you had 17 recall correctly. any discussions about a salaried position or a Q. Well, I think that's important. 18 18 19 particular investigator position, you understood 19 That's why I want to focus on this. 20 20 that accepting a role as a security guard through What was your actual salary when you 21 USSA with this agreement, that that essentially 21 started at USSA? 22 took place of -- replaced any of those prior 22 A. I can't recall specifically the 23 discussions? 23 timing of that. 24 A. I see what it says. I read it. I 24 Q. Do you know what it was on 25 acknowledged that's what it stated. At that time 25 September 4th, when you were asked to no longer

Page 158 Page 159 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 be stationed at the Tesla facility? 2 A. Yes, sir. 2 3 A. I believe I was still at the 27 an 3 Q. Okay. So -- and this is before you'd 4 agreed to start at USSA? hour rate. 5 5 Right? Q. Okay. And that's -- sitting here б today, that's your recollection? 6 I'm not sure I understand the 7 A. I said I believe it was, yes. I 7 question. 8 believe that's --8 Q. Just July 11th is before July 17th. 9 9 A. Oh, it is, yes. Yes. Q. I'm sorry. I don't want to 10 interrupt. 10 Q. Okay. My point is, until you started But did you go back and look at your work on July 17th, you had the option to not take 11 11 the job at USSA and go look for another job; 12 pay records before your testimony today? 12 13 A. No. I did not. 13 right? 14 14 Q. Okay. And again, you started at USSA A. You're absolutely right, yes. 15 on July 17th; correct? 15 Q. Right. And you elected --A. Yes. understanding what the job would be and 16 16 17 Q. Okay. These texts that we're looking 17 understanding what you would do, you decided you 18 at here -- well, actually, they run certain 18 still wanted to work at USSA starting on 19 dates. 19 July 17th. 20 MR. ROBERTSON: So let's go to 20 A. Yes, sir, I did. KH 0861. So at the top. 21 21 Q. Okay. And now go to the next page. 22 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 22 It looks to be a text here. It says: Q. So this looks to be a text string 23 23 I'm clarifying with Rick. I want you as the that starts around July 11th of 2018. 24 24 swing sup until you can land an investigator 25 Am I reading that right, Mr. Hansen? 25 role. It says: I got shut down. I don't know Page 160 Page 161 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 1 2 what the specifics are, but I can offer you an 2 Q. And that's the job you took? officer role at 19.80. I truly hate doing this 3 3 A. It is. 4 and don't understand why, but I have my orders. 4 Q. Okay. All right. Mr. Hansen, I'm Do you see that? 5 5 going to direct your attention to Exhibit 33. 6 A. I do, yes, sir. 6 And I'm just going to apologize. I mean, it's 7 7 Q. Okay. And, in fact, isn't it true your text, but there's some language in there. I 8 that the job you took was an officer role at 8 think we can all handle it, but... 9 \$19.80 an hour when you started at USSA on 9 So, Mr. Hansen, this is a document 10 July 17, 2018? that's been identified as Exhibit 33. 10 11 A. I do not recall specifically, but 11 12 seeing that 19.80 does reflect my memory, yes. I 12 Q. It appears to be a text from June 8th 13 at 7:30 p.m. do believe that at that point, I was making that 13 14 14 money. A. Yes, sir. 15 15 Q. Okay. And that's my point. So you'd Q. Can you just tell me who this text is had discussions about other potential jobs, other with? 16 16 17 17 potential pay? A. That was my then roommate, ultimately A. Yes, sir. became my roommate, Missy Wells, Melissa Wells. 18 18 19 Q. Maybe a supervisor, maybe other 19 Q. Did you refer to Missy Wells at one 20 things, but ultimately you were told by 20 point as your common-law wife? 21 Matt German on July 11th, six days before you 21 A. I did, yes. 22 started, that the job you were actually being 22 Q. Okay. But the wife you mentioned 23 offered was as an officer role at \$19.80 an hour; 23 earlier with the five kids, is that Missy or --24 correct? 24 A. That's somebody else. It's my wife. 25 25 A. Yes, sir. My original wife.

2

3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 162 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

- 2 Q. Okay. So this seems to be 3 referencing some conversation you had with 4 Mr. Gouthro?
  - A. It is, yes.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And do you have any idea where Mr. Gouthro obtained the information that you are conveying then to Ms. Wells here?
- A. Mr. Gouthro indicated that information came from his contact on the Storey County DEA Task Force, and, in fact, his meetings, according to Mr. Gouthro, with his law enforcement contacts he had. So that's where this came from.
- Q. Do you have any idea who these law enforcement contacts were?
- A. I know -- I believe I said what is Mendoza or Mendez, if I recall correctly, was the name of the particular officer. I know that on 5-24 of '18 -- actually, no, that's the only one I know is Mendoza.
- O. And it mentions in here: Here's the damn deal. And it says: We will be discussing witness protection as a real possibility.
  - A. Yeah, I --

Page 164 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

And after not seeing them come to

that, he had set up these meetings, he said.

4 fruition, my requests to meet with law

enforcement to clarify that, number one, the

6 information in that tip, and additional

7 information pertaining to Mr. Suarez, Salas here,

8 were important steps, I thought. But I was told

I would not participate, I would not talk to

10 anybody in law enforcement, I would not contact

11 Storey County myself.

- Q. And so you never actually met with -during this time frame, June of 2018, did you ever meet with anyone from the Drug Enforcement Administration or agency?
  - A. No, sir, I did not.
  - Q. Okay.

And again, so other than what you were hearing from Mr. Gouthro, did you have any other independent knowledge of any federal or state investigation into the specific allegations of that anonymous tip that you were looking into?

- A. Yes.
- Q. From whom?
- A. Knowledge and information released by

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

- Q. Witness protection for who?
- A. That wasn't really clear by

Mr. Gouthro. Because during the time that that

5 e-mail came out, he had had discussions that

б indicated that he had an FBI contact, and

7 somebody with the senate -- a Nevada senator

8 staff coming -- meeting with law enforcement and

9 Tesla legal and HR. And it was never clear with

10 respect to witness protection, what or who he was

11 talking about. I don't know if that was

potential witnesses. I didn't know all of the 12

13

information that he actually had at that time. 14

Q. And that's my question, Mr. Hansen. If you were the one that was doing this investigation, if you were the one that was the person tasked with doing this investigation, why wouldn't you have gone to these meetings with law enforcement with Mr. Gouthro?

A. Because I was denied after he set these meetings up. To my knowledge, they never occurred. And after the first one was cancelled, there was supposed to be one on 5-24 of '18. And then again on 6-5 of 2018, Mr. Gouthro had

25 previously -- this is June 8th, but prior to

Page 165

Page 163

1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 the U.S. Attorney's Office regarding the

3 indictment of 20 members of the drug task

force -- or of a DTO.

Q. And so it's your testimony that the -- this announcement by the U.S. Attorney of those individuals and the same individuals that you were looking at?

A. That these individuals -- the individuals named in the tip -- because the tip did contain identifying information, names, contact information, as well as Western Union information, allegedly regarding payments for shipments of methamphetamine and cocaine.

So social media ties, I began to see through open source information, these individuals and their associates had ties to several of these players that were indicted in June of 2018 and subsequently arrested. And four of them -- I correct my previous testimony, because four of them, to include the leader of this DTO, had been an employee at the Gigafactory.

24 Q. Okay. That's what you -- that's --25 that was what you were looking at and that's what

```
Page 167
                                                Page 166
 1
         Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
                                                         1
                                                                  Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
    you concluded was -- it was in fact the same
 2
                                                         2
                                                                         JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any objection?
                                                                         MR. WOODFIELD: No, Your Honor.
 3
     people that this anonymous tip was identifying
                                                         3
                                                                         JUDGE HOFFMAN: 33 is in.
 4
     that were the people that were indicted.
                                                         4
            A. No, the -- there -- the
 5
                                                         5
                                                                         (Whereupon, Exhibit 33 was received.)
    distinguishing difference is that several of
 б
                                                         6
                                                                         JUDGE HOFFMAN: Now we're on to 63?
7
     these people had ties and communicated on
                                                         7
                                                                         MR. ROBERTSON: Yeah, now we're on
 8
     different social media platforms and whatnot with
                                                         8
                                                              63, Your Honor.
9
     the people named in the tip as well as some of
                                                         9
                                                                         JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah. Let me catch
10
     those that were in the indictment.
                                                         10
                                                             up.
            Q. And so I really want to make sure I
                                                        11
                                                                         MR. ROBERTSON: Yeah, sorry.
11
    have the right answer here.
                                                         12
                                                             BY MR. ROBERTSON:
12
13
                                                         13
                Can you or can you not confirm
                                                                     Q. Mr. Hansen, I've put before you
    whether anyone that's actually been indicted by
                                                         14
                                                             what's been identified as Exhibit 63.
14
15
     the U.S. Attorney's Office in Nevada are these
                                                        15
                                                                     A. Yes, sir.
    people identified in this anonymous tip?
                                                         16
16
                                                                     Q. Do you recognize what this document
                                                         17
17
            A. No. Not directly, no.
                                                             is?
18
            Q. Thank you. Let's go to Exhibit 63.
                                                         18
                                                                     A. It appears to be a screenshot of an
                                                             e-mail. Or of a "sent" box of e-mails.
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Did I hear
19
                                                         19
20
     Exhibit 33?
                                                         20
                                                                     Q. So is this -- I'll represent it goes
21
                MR. ROBERTSON: No, Your Honor, 63.
                                                         21
                                                             on for how many pages?
22
     63.
                                                         22
                                                                     A. 58 pages.
23
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: No, but did you --
                                                         23
                                                                     Q. Did you actually print out basically
24
                MR. ROBERTSON: Yes, can I move 33
                                                             a summary of your entire "sent" box for the
                                                         24
                                                         25
25
    in, please.
                                                             period -- and we can do this -- for the period --
                                                Page 168
                                                                                                         Page 169
1
         Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
                                                         1
                                                                  Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
2
    what appears to be -- if we look at the first
                                                         2
                                                                     Q. So is this a printout of your Tesla
3
    one, it's March 8th.
                                                         3
                                                             e-mail or is this a printout of your Gmail?
 4
                                                         4
                                                                     A. That would be my Tesla e-mail.
                MR. ROBERTSON: And then now, Anne,
 5
     let's go to the last page.
                                                         5
                                                                     O. Right.
            Q. I'm sorry, it's March 5th, at the
                                                         6
                                                                     A. And I believe I did that -- I believe
 6
7
                                                         7
    bottom.
                                                             they were screenshots from the cell phone that I
8
                Do you see that?
                                                         8
                                                             had at the time that had those Tesla apps on it
9
            A. I see 3-5 of '18, yes.
                                                         9
                                                             that we were required to use.
10
            Q. And then let's go to the last page.
                                                         10
                                                                     Q. So basically you printed out this
                                                             document which reflects your "sent" folder from
11
    And it looks like it runs through July 17th;
                                                         11
12
    right?
                                                         12
                                                             your Tesla company e-mail for the period that you
13
            A. It does, yes.
                                                         13
                                                             were employed at Tesla.
            Q. So is that basically the period that
                                                        14
                                                                     A. Yes.
14
                                                         15
                                                                     Q. So this would reflect the e-mails
15
    you worked as an employee of Tesla, from
    March 5th of '18 through July 17th of '18?
                                                             that were sent from your Tesla e-mail to whomever
16
                                                         16
17
            A. It appears that's accurate, yes.
                                                         17
                                                             during the period that you were employed;
                                                              correct?
18
            Q. And did you print out a log of your
                                                        18
19
     "sent" folder?
                                                         19
                                                                    A. It would, yes.
20
            A. I believe I did. I think that's what
                                                         20
                                                                     Q. Okay.
21
    we're looking at here.
                                                         21
                                                                         So, for example, if we look at the
            O. And then you took that home?
                                                         22
22
                                                             last page, which I think we're on. Do you see
23
            A. No, I can't recall when -- I believe
                                                         23
                                                             there's a reference here to Missy Wells?
24
    I did that after September 4th, in preparation of
                                                        24
                                                                     A. Yes, I do.
25
    materials.
                                                         25
                                                                     Q. Okay. And so this would reflect that
```

| _  |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1  | Page 170<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 171 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2  | you sent an e-mail from your Tesla e-mail account  | 2  | In the middle do you see this,  |
| 3  | to, in this case, Ms. Wells?   | 3  | Mr. Hansen, it says mgerman@ussecurityassociates.   |
| 4  | A. Yes.  | 4  | It says Resumé?   |
|  |  |  |   |
| 5  | MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. And can we go   | 5  | A. Yes, sir.  |
| 6  | to 3380.   | 6  | Q. And this is at June 21st of '18;   |
| 7  | Q. And so, for example, here there's   | 7  | correct?  |
| 8  | another e-mail to Ms. Wells. It looks to be on   | 8  | A. Yes, sir, it is.   |
| 9  | June 19, 2018?   | 9  | Q. So is that when you if you can   |
| 10   | A. Yes.  | 10   | remember, is that when you first sent your resumé   |
| 11   | Q. And it just says: Forward: Attached   | 11   | to Mr. German?  |
| 12   | Image.   | 12   | A. I believe it is. I believe 6-21 is   |
| 13   | Do you see that?   | 13   | the date that I also did the online application.  |
| 14   | A. I do, yes, sir.   | 14   | Q. Okay.  |
| 15   | Q. So in addition to sending e-mails   | 15   | MR. ROBERTSON: Just give me one sec.  |
| 16   | from your Tesla account to your personal e-mail,   | 16   | Sorry.  |
| 17   | did you also send e-mails from your Tesla account  | 17   | THE WITNESS: No worry.  |
| 18   | to Ms. Wells's personal e-mail?  | 18   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 19   | A. I did, yes.   | 19   | Q. Let's go to 176.   |
| 20   | O. And did those e-mails contain some of   | 20   | MR. ROBERTSON: Move to admit 63.  |
| 21   | the same information we talked about,  | 21   | MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  |
| 22   | photographs, badging records, those kinds of   | 22   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. 63 is in.   |
| 23   | things?  | 23   | (Whereupon, Exhibit 63 was received.)   |
|  |  | 24   | _   |
| 24   | A. Yes, sir.   |  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Did you say we're  |
| 25   | Q. I want to go to 3382.   | 25   | going to 176?   |
|  | Page 172   |  | Page 173  |
|  |  |  |   |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  | 1 2  | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to  |
|  | <del>-</del>   |  | <del>-</del>  |
| 2  | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  | 2  | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to  |
| 2 3  | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  | 2 3  | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:   | 2<br>3<br>4  | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And I think you testified to this on   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And I think you testified to this on your direct. You had a conversation with Mr. Gicinto around this time?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates, despite what others may have speculated about, and are actively working with recruiters to  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And I think you testified to this on your direct. You had a conversation with Mr. Gicinto around this time?  A. Yes, I did.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates, despite what others may have speculated about, and are actively working with recruiters to source candidates for us, and anyone is free to  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And I think you testified to this on your direct. You had a conversation with Mr. Gicinto around this time?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And if you look at the No. 1.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates, despite what others may have speculated about, and are actively working with recruiters to source candidates for us, and anyone is free to apply to the job posting on the website.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And I think you testified to this on your direct. You had a conversation with Mr. Gicinto around this time?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And if you look at the No. 1.  A. Yes.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates, despite what others may have speculated about, and are actively working with recruiters to source candidates for us, and anyone is free to apply to the job posting on the website.  Do you see that?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And I think you testified to this on your direct. You had a conversation with Mr. Gicinto around this time?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And if you look at the No. 1.  A. Yes.  Q. It states that: He clarified that my  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates, despite what others may have speculated about, and are actively working with recruiters to source candidates for us, and anyone is free to apply to the job posting on the website.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And I think you testified to this on your direct. You had a conversation with Mr. Gicinto around this time?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And if you look at the No. 1.  A. Yes.  Q. It states that: He clarified that my team previously reached out to U.S. Securities   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates, despite what others may have speculated about, and are actively working with recruiters to source candidates for us, and anyone is free to apply to the job posting on the website.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And do you recall having that  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And I think you testified to this on your direct. You had a conversation with Mr. Gicinto around this time?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And if you look at the No. 1.  A. Yes.  Q. It states that: He clarified that my team previously reached out to U.S. Securities for a contracting role on our team to fill an   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates, despite what others may have speculated about, and are actively working with recruiters to source candidates for us, and anyone is free to apply to the job posting on the website.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And do you recall having that discussion with Mr. Gicinto?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And I think you testified to this on your direct. You had a conversation with Mr. Gicinto around this time?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And if you look at the No. 1.  A. Yes.  Q. It states that: He clarified that my team previously reached out to U.S. Securities for a contracting role on our team to fill an immediate need. This was not an FTE role, and   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates, despite what others may have speculated about, and are actively working with recruiters to source candidates for us, and anyone is free to apply to the job posting on the website.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And do you recall having that discussion with Mr. Gicinto?  A. Yes.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And I think you testified to this on your direct. You had a conversation with Mr. Gicinto around this time?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And if you look at the No. 1.  A. Yes.  Q. It states that: He clarified that my team previously reached out to U.S. Securities for a contracting role on our team to fill an immediate need. This was not an FTE role, and ultimately the role never materialized. We did  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates, despite what others may have speculated about, and are actively working with recruiters to source candidates for us, and anyone is free to apply to the job posting on the website.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And do you recall having that discussion with Mr. Gicinto?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In fact, you did apply for the   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And I think you testified to this on your direct. You had a conversation with Mr. Gicinto around this time?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And if you look at the No. 1.  A. Yes.  Q. It states that: He clarified that my team previously reached out to U.S. Securities for a contracting role on our team to fill an immediate need. This was not an FTE role, and ultimately the role never materialized. We did not take on a U.S. Securities contractor to  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates, despite what others may have speculated about, and are actively working with recruiters to source candidates for us, and anyone is free to apply to the job posting on the website.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And do you recall having that discussion with Mr. Gicinto?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In fact, you did apply for the position back at Tesla; right?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do.  Q. And I think you testified to this on your direct. You had a conversation with Mr. Gicinto around this time?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And if you look at the No. 1.  A. Yes.  Q. It states that: He clarified that my team previously reached out to U.S. Securities for a contracting role on our team to fill an immediate need. This was not an FTE role, and ultimately the role never materialized. We did not take on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations.                              | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates, despite what others may have speculated about, and are actively working with recruiters to source candidates for us, and anyone is free to apply to the job posting on the website.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And do you recall having that discussion with Mr. Gicinto?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In fact, you did apply for the position back at Tesla; right?  A. I applied for a position on I  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do. Q. And I think you testified to this on your direct. You had a conversation with Mr. Gicinto around this time?  A. Yes, I did. Q. And if you look at the No. 1. A. Yes. Q. It states that: He clarified that my team previously reached out to U.S. Securities for a contracting role on our team to fill an immediate need. This was not an FTE role, and ultimately the role never materialized. We did not take on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations.  Do you see that?                | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates, despite what others may have speculated about, and are actively working with recruiters to source candidates for us, and anyone is free to apply to the job posting on the website.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And do you recall having that discussion with Mr. Gicinto?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In fact, you did apply for the position back at Tesla; right?  A. I applied for a position on I believe it was July 11th, yes.                                       |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do. Q. And I think you testified to this on your direct. You had a conversation with Mr. Gicinto around this time?  A. Yes, I did. Q. And if you look at the No. 1. A. Yes. Q. It states that: He clarified that my team previously reached out to U.S. Securities for a contracting role on our team to fill an immediate need. This was not an FTE role, and ultimately the role never materialized. We did not take on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do. | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates, despite what others may have speculated about, and are actively working with recruiters to source candidates for us, and anyone is free to apply to the job posting on the website.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And do you recall having that discussion with Mr. Gicinto?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In fact, you did apply for the position back at Tesla; right?  A. I applied for a position on I believe it was July 11th, yes.  Q. That's my point. So after you had |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thanks.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put on the screen joint Exhibit 176. It's an e-mail from Mr. Gicinto to yourself dated July 22, 2018.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, sir, I do. Q. And I think you testified to this on your direct. You had a conversation with Mr. Gicinto around this time?  A. Yes, I did. Q. And if you look at the No. 1. A. Yes. Q. It states that: He clarified that my team previously reached out to U.S. Securities for a contracting role on our team to fill an immediate need. This was not an FTE role, and ultimately the role never materialized. We did not take on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations.  Do you see that?                | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | up not taking on a U.S. Securities contractor to support investigations?  A. To my knowledge, that never did happen.  Q. Okay. And then the next point, he says: Well, my team does have FT slots now available. Those roles have scaled back from what was originally identified and are highly selective. We are not preferring any candidates, despite what others may have speculated about, and are actively working with recruiters to source candidates for us, and anyone is free to apply to the job posting on the website.  Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And do you recall having that discussion with Mr. Gicinto?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. In fact, you did apply for the position back at Tesla; right?  A. I applied for a position on I believe it was July 11th, yes.                                       |

|    | Page 174  |    | Page 175  |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1            | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1            |
| 2  | applied for the position at USSA, you then also   | 2  | discussed, it did state that, yes, it did require |
| 3  | applied for a position back at Tesla; correct?    | 3  | a college degree, and it was more directed        |
| 4  | A. I did, yes.                                    | 4  | towards the forensic side of, you know, that type |
| 5  | Q. Okay. So in essence, you had applied           | 5  | of work.  |
| 6  | to two jobs.                                      | 6  | Q. Okay. And you understand that Tesla            |
| 7  | One at USSA and one back at Tesla;                | 7  | uses recruiters; right?                           |
| 8  | correct?  | 8  | A. Yes, I do.                                     |
| 9  | A. Yes.   | 9  | Q. And by recruiter, you understand by            |
| 10 | Q. Okay. And ultimately, you accepted             | 10 | that I mean they use they have basically          |
| 11 | the job at USSA and you were not offered the job  | 11 | people in HR that screen applications before      |
| 12 | at Tesla; correct?                                | 12 | they're sent along to the people posting to the   |
| 13 | A. That is correct, yes.                          | 13 | job; right?                                       |
| 14 | Q. And do you have any understanding of           | 14 | A. Yes, sir.                                      |
| 15 | who else applied for the position that you        | 15 | Q. And do you have any knowledge of               |
| 16 | applied for?                                      | 16 | whether your application was or was not accepted  |
| 17 | A. I have no idea.                                | 17 | or rejected by the recruiters?                    |
| 18 | Q. Right. And you don't know how                  | 18 | A. I have no knowledge either way.                |
| 19 | qualified they were; is that right?               | 19 | Q. Okay. And if a recruiter looked at             |
| 20 | A. No, I don't.                                   | 20 | your resumé and decided you weren't qualified, do |
| 21 | Q. Do you know whether the position to            | 21 | you have any basis to think that any recruiter    |
| 22 | be investigator in Mr. Gicinto's group required a | 22 | had any knowledge of the investigations you were  |
| 23 | college degree?                                   | 23 | conducting?                                       |
| 24 | A. The one that was referred to, that we          | 24 | A. I can't answer that question.                  |
| 25 | are talking about, that you and I previously      | 25 | Q. That's fine. Thank you.                        |
|    | Page 176  |    | Page 177  |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1            | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1            |
| 2  | So let's go to 71.                                | 2  | Q. In the second                                  |
| 3  | MR. ROBERTSON: In fact, did I move                | 3  | A. In the first                                   |
| 4  | that document in?                                 | 4  | Q. That's fine.                                   |
| 5  | MR. WOODFIELD: I believe you did.                 | 5  | A. I do see that, yes.                            |
| 6  | MR. ROBERTSON: Okay.                              | 6  | Q. All right. And so, again, while some           |
| 7  | 71, I believe, is already in.                     | 7  | preliminary scope of work and potential pay had   |
| 8  | MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.                               | 8  | been discussed, nothing was ever put in writing;  |
| 9  | BY MR. ROBERTSON:                                 | 9  | correct?  |
| 10 | Q. And so, Mr. Hansen, pointing you to            | 10 | A. That's correct, nothing was in                 |
| 11 | what has been identified as Exhibit 71, this is   | 11 | writing.  |
| 12 | the e-mail that you sent to Mr. Nocon on July 11, | 12 | Q. Okay. In fact, the only job with               |
| 13 | 2018.   | 13 | USSA that you took that was in writing was the    |
| 14 | Do you recall your testimony about                | 14 | one you applied for for the security guard        |
| 15 | this?   | 15 | position; correct?                                |
| 16 | A. I do, yes.                                     | 16 | A. That's correct.                                |
| 17 | Q. And in this e-mail, which is                   | 17 | Q. And then at the bottom of this                 |
| 18 | July 11th of 2018, you note that in the first     | 18 | e-mail, you state in the last full paragraph: I   |
| 19 | paragraph, you say: Although the scope of that    | 19 | have applied for the position by the Tesla        |
| 20 | work had not been specifically defined at that    | 20 | internal website and attached my resumé as        |
| 21 | time, preliminary figures were discussed along    | 21 | prompted.   |
| 22 | with a scope of work consistent with the position | 22 | So, in fact, as of July 11, 2018, you             |
| 23 | posted today.                                     | 23 | had also applied for that job back at Tesla;      |
| 24 | Do you see that?                                  | 24 | correct?  |
| 25 | A. In the I'm reading                             | 25 | A. That's correct, yes.                           |
|    |   |    |   |

|  | D 170  |  | D 170  |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1  | Page 178<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 179 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 2  | Q. And you understood that the job that  | 2  | Tesla, that there was also an agreement between  |
| 3  | now well, I'll scratch that. That's fine.  | 3  | Tesla and USSA that governed the whole   |
| 4  | MR. ROBERTSON: Hang on. We're just   | 4  | outsourcing arrangement of their security  |
| 5  | looking for a document, please.  | 5  | personnel?   |
| 6  | If you can go to 150.  | 6  | A. I was aware there was a contract.   |
| 7  | BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 7  | That's all I knew.   |
| 8  |  | 8  |  |
| -  | Q. So we're going to go to Exhibit 150.  | _  | Q. Okay. You knew there was  |
| 9  | A. Okay.   | 9  | notwithstanding the agreements you signed, you   |
| 10   | Q. Mr. Hansen, we've put up on the   | 10   | knew, independent of that, there was an agreement  |
| 11   | screen Exhibit 150   | 11   | between Tesla and USSA; right?   |
| 12   | A. I see it.   | 12   | A. Yes. Absolutely.  |
| 13   | Q which is the Tesla, Inc. Master  | 13   | Q. At the time did you know any of the   |
| 14   | Services Agreement.  | 14   | specific terms of whatever agreement was between   |
| 15   | Do you see that?   | 15   | Tesla and USSA?  |
| 16   | A. Yes, I do.  | 16   | A. No, I had no knowledge of that.   |
| 17   | MR. ROBERTSON: And, Nick, I'll go  | 17   | Q. Did you have any knowledge of how   |
| 18   | ahead and move this in.  | 18   | what USSA would have to do in order to have  |
| 19   | MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.   | 19   | anybody approved for any particular position?  |
| 20   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: 150 is in.  | 20   | A. I don't.  |
| 21   | (Whereupon, Exhibit 150 was  | 21   | Q. For example, you mentioned  |
| 22   | received.)   | 22   | supervisor. And we saw the texts regarding you   |
| 23   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 23   | being potentially a supervisor.  |
| 24   | Q. And did you understand, Mr. Hansen,   | 24   | Do you recall that?  |
| 25   | that in addition to your agreements with USSA and  | 25   | A. I do.   |
|  | Page 180   |  | Page 181   |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2  | Q. Do you know what USSA had to do in  | 2  | actually paid for you?   |
| 3  | -  |  |  |
|  | order for any particular person to be approved as  | 3  |  |
| l  | order for any particular person to be approved as a supervisor?  |  | A. That's accurate, I don't know.  |
| 4  | a supervisor?  A. I don't know.  | 4  | A. That's accurate, I don't know.<br>Q. Do you know of any specific USSA   |
| 4<br>5   | a supervisor?  A. I don't know.  | 4 5  | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you  |
| 4<br>5<br>6  | a supervisor?  A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that  | 4<br>5<br>6  | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor?  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | a supervisor?  A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive  | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes.   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | a supervisor?  A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor?  | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who?   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | a supervisor?  A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor?  A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar  | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor?  A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security  | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now.   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | A. I don't know. Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor? A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way.   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | A. I don't know. Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor? A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way. Q. Right. So you knew to the extent   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall the others, if there were   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor?  A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way.  Q. Right. So you knew to the extent that USSA was supplying someone in a different   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall the others, if there were Q. Was Rick McLellan already employed by  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor?  A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way.  Q. Right. So you knew to the extent that USSA was supplying someone in a different role, it may cost Tesla more money?   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall the others, if there were Q. Was Rick McLellan already employed by USSA by the time you got there?  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | A. I don't know. Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor? A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way. Q. Right. So you knew to the extent that USSA was supplying someone in a different role, it may cost Tesla more money? A. Yes, that's accurate. I agree with  | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall the others, if there were Q. Was Rick McLellan already employed by USSA by the time you got there? A. My understanding he was, yes.   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor?  A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way.  Q. Right. So you knew to the extent that USSA was supplying someone in a different role, it may cost Tesla more money?  A. Yes, that's accurate. I agree with that.  | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall the others, if there were Q. Was Rick McLellan already employed by USSA by the time you got there? A. My understanding he was, yes. Q. And same with Ryan Leslie?   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor?  A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way.  Q. Right. So you knew to the extent that USSA was supplying someone in a different role, it may cost Tesla more money?  A. Yes, that's accurate. I agree with that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether Tesla ever   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall the others, if there were Q. Was Rick McLellan already employed by USSA by the time you got there? A. My understanding he was, yes. Q. And same with Ryan Leslie? A. I don't know the answer to that.   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | A. I don't know. Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor? A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way. Q. Right. So you knew to the extent that USSA was supplying someone in a different role, it may cost Tesla more money? A. Yes, that's accurate. I agree with that. Q. Okay. Do you know whether Tesla ever paid USSA for you to be a supervisor?  | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall the others, if there were Q. Was Rick McLellan already employed by USSA by the time you got there? A. My understanding he was, yes. Q. And same with Ryan Leslie? A. I don't know the answer to that. Q. But Rick McLellan, you knew, was   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | A. I don't know. Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor? A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way. Q. Right. So you knew to the extent that USSA was supplying someone in a different role, it may cost Tesla more money? A. Yes, that's accurate. I agree with that. Q. Okay. Do you know whether Tesla ever paid USSA for you to be a supervisor? A. I have no knowledge of any of the   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall the others, if there were Q. Was Rick McLellan already employed by USSA by the time you got there? A. My understanding he was, yes. Q. And same with Ryan Leslie? A. I don't know the answer to that. Q. But Rick McLellan, you knew, was already at USSA working with Tesla at the time  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor?  A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way.  Q. Right. So you knew to the extent that USSA was supplying someone in a different role, it may cost Tesla more money?  A. Yes, that's accurate. I agree with that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether Tesla ever paid USSA for you to be a supervisor?  A. I have no knowledge of any of the bill rate versus pay rate, anything related to  | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall the others, if there were Q. Was Rick McLellan already employed by USSA by the time you got there? A. My understanding he was, yes. Q. And same with Ryan Leslie? A. I don't know the answer to that. Q. But Rick McLellan, you knew, was already at USSA working with Tesla at the time you came over?   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor?  A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way.  Q. Right. So you knew to the extent that USSA was supplying someone in a different role, it may cost Tesla more money?  A. Yes, that's accurate. I agree with that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether Tesla ever paid USSA for you to be a supervisor?  A. I have no knowledge of any of the bill rate versus pay rate, anything related to that, sir.   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall the others, if there were Q. Was Rick McLellan already employed by USSA by the time you got there? A. My understanding he was, yes. Q. And same with Ryan Leslie? A. I don't know the answer to that. Q. But Rick McLellan, you knew, was already at USSA working with Tesla at the time you came over? A. I don't know specifically. I do know   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor?  A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way.  Q. Right. So you knew to the extent that USSA was supplying someone in a different role, it may cost Tesla more money?  A. Yes, that's accurate. I agree with that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether Tesla ever paid USSA for you to be a supervisor?  A. I have no knowledge of any of the bill rate versus pay rate, anything related to that, sir.  Q. And that's my question. So to the   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall the others, if there were Q. Was Rick McLellan already employed by USSA by the time you got there? A. My understanding he was, yes. Q. And same with Ryan Leslie? A. I don't know the answer to that. Q. But Rick McLellan, you knew, was already at USSA working with Tesla at the time you came over? A. I don't know specifically. I do know that Rick had been employed by USSA prior to  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor?  A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way.  Q. Right. So you knew to the extent that USSA was supplying someone in a different role, it may cost Tesla more money?  A. Yes, that's accurate. I agree with that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether Tesla ever paid USSA for you to be a supervisor?  A. I have no knowledge of any of the bill rate versus pay rate, anything related to that, sir.  Q. And that's my question. So to the extent that whatever arrangement you had with   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall the others, if there were Q. Was Rick McLellan already employed by USSA by the time you got there? A. My understanding he was, yes. Q. And same with Ryan Leslie? A. I don't know the answer to that. Q. But Rick McLellan, you knew, was already at USSA working with Tesla at the time you came over? A. I don't know specifically. I do know that Rick had been employed by USSA prior to being assigned his management role at that site.   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor?  A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way.  Q. Right. So you knew to the extent that USSA was supplying someone in a different role, it may cost Tesla more money?  A. Yes, that's accurate. I agree with that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether Tesla ever paid USSA for you to be a supervisor?  A. I have no knowledge of any of the bill rate versus pay rate, anything related to that, sir.  Q. And that's my question. So to the extent that whatever arrangement you had with USSA and what you might have been paid during the | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall the others, if there were Q. Was Rick McLellan already employed by USSA by the time you got there? A. My understanding he was, yes. Q. And same with Ryan Leslie? A. I don't know the answer to that. Q. But Rick McLellan, you knew, was already at USSA working with Tesla at the time you came over? A. I don't know specifically. I do know that Rick had been employed by USSA prior to being assigned his management role at that site. I understood from him that he was employed in |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | A. I don't know.  Q. And had you but did you know that someone who was a supervisor was more expensive to Tesla than someone who was not a supervisor?  A. Yes, I'm I'm generally familiar that, you know, pay raise with contract security worked that way.  Q. Right. So you knew to the extent that USSA was supplying someone in a different role, it may cost Tesla more money?  A. Yes, that's accurate. I agree with that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether Tesla ever paid USSA for you to be a supervisor?  A. I have no knowledge of any of the bill rate versus pay rate, anything related to that, sir.  Q. And that's my question. So to the extent that whatever arrangement you had with   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | A. That's accurate, I don't know. Q. Do you know of any specific USSA individual that you worked with at the time you were there that was a supervisor? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Who? A. Rick McLellan, Ryan Leslie. Those are the two that come to my mind, right now. Rick McLellan and Ryan Leslie. I don't recall the others, if there were Q. Was Rick McLellan already employed by USSA by the time you got there? A. My understanding he was, yes. Q. And same with Ryan Leslie? A. I don't know the answer to that. Q. But Rick McLellan, you knew, was already at USSA working with Tesla at the time you came over? A. I don't know specifically. I do know that Rick had been employed by USSA prior to being assigned his management role at that site.   |

| 1  | Page 182<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 183<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
|--|--|--|---|
| 2  | -  | 2  |   |
| 3  | that is the extent of my knowledge. I hope that  | 3  | MR. ROBERTSON: No, no, I was just   |
|  |  |  | looking at my clock, and since I'm on East Coast  |
| 4  | Q. Okay. So you don't know whether   | 4  | time, I'm very different than you all. So I   |
| 5  | Mr. McLellan was being paid as a supervisor by   | 5  | think we started yeah, no, we're fine. I was  |
| 6  | Tesla through the USSA contract?   | 6  | just trying to make sure to be cognizant of   |
| 7  | A. No, sir, I don't.   | 7  | everyone.   |
| 8  | Q. Okay. And you understood that Tesla   | 8  | So hang on one second.  |
| 9  | had the right under its agreement to determine   | 9  | Why don't you mention and then I can  |
| 10   | whether it would pay somebody to be in any   | 10   | tell you where I  |
| 11   | particular role; right?  | 11   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: We've been going for   |
| 12   | A. I've never read the agreement, so I   | 12   | about an hour.  |
| 13   | don't know the   | 13   | MR. WOODFIELD: Your Honor, I would  |
| 14   | Q. Okay.   | 14   | appreciate a five-minute bio break.   |
| 15   | So whatever the agreement says, then   | 15   | MR. ROBERTSON: Yeah, that's fine.   |
| 16   | it's whatever the agreement says?  | 16   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Let's do that.   |
| 17   | A. Yeah.   | 17   | Let's take five minutes.  |
| 18   | O. Is that fair?   | 18   | MR. ROBERTSON: Perfect. Thank you.  |
| 19   | A. Fair enough. I would agree.   | 19   | MR. WOODFIELD: Thank you.   |
| 20   | Q. Okay.   | 20   | (Recess taken, 2:03 p.m. to   |
| 21   | MR. ROBERTSON: Actually, Your Honor,   | 21   | 2:11 p.m. PDT)  |
| 22   | is this a good time? I know we've been going   | 22   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: We're ready whenever   |
| 23   |  | 23   | you are, Mr. Robertson.   |
|  | since I have the wrong time.   |  |   |
| 24   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: I couldn't hear you.  | 24   | MR. ROBERTSON: Excellent.  * * *  |
| 25   | Are you saying you want to take a break?   | 25   | ^ ^ ^   |
|  |  |  |   |
|  | Page 184   |  | Page 185  |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 185<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 1 2  |  | 1 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says   |
|  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says   |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So let's go actually to 49 first.  | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first.  So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49. A. Yes, sir, I see it.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first.  So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49.  A. Yes, sir, I see it.  Q. And is this the anonymous tip that  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first.  So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49.  A. Yes, sir, I see it.  Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why,  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49. A. Yes, sir, I see it. Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in? A. Yes, sir.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first.  So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49.  A. Yes, sir, I see it.  Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting at the top yours?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first.  So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49.  A. Yes, sir, I see it.  Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting at the top yours?  A. It is, yes, sir.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the  screen Exhibit 49. A. Yes, sir, I see it. Q. And is this the anonymous tip that  came in? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting  at the top yours? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And it says in your handwriting,  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody? A. No, I didn't.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49.  A. Yes, sir, I see it. Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in?  A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting at the top yours? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And it says in your handwriting, it says: Initial handwriting from LE/DEA.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody? A. No, I didn't. Q. And again, other than your   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49. A. Yes, sir, I see it. Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting at the top yours? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And it says in your handwriting, it says: Initial handwriting from LE/DEA. What's LE?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody? A. No, I didn't. Q. And again, other than your discussions with Mr. Gouthro, did you do anything   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first.  So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49.  A. Yes, sir, I see it.  Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting at the top yours?  A. It is, yes, sir.  Q. And it says in your handwriting, it says: Initial handwriting from LE/DEA.  What's LE?  A. Law enforcement.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody? A. No, I didn't. Q. And again, other than your discussions with Mr. Gouthro, did you do anything further to understand where this anonymous tip  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49.  A. Yes, sir, I see it. Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in?  A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting at the top yours? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And it says in your handwriting, it says: Initial handwriting from LE/DEA. What's LE? A. Law enforcement. Q. And I assume you wrote all of this on  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody? A. No, I didn't. Q. And again, other than your discussions with Mr. Gouthro, did you do anything further to understand where this anonymous tip came from?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49. A. Yes, sir, I see it. Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting at the top yours? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And it says in your handwriting, it says: Initial handwriting from LE/DEA. What's LE? A. Law enforcement. Q. And I assume you wrote all of this on this document after you received it?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody? A. No, I didn't. Q. And again, other than your discussions with Mr. Gouthro, did you do anything further to understand where this anonymous tip came from? A. Other than the attempts I made and my  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49. A. Yes, sir, I see it. Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting at the top yours? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And it says in your handwriting, it says: Initial handwriting from LE/DEA. What's LE? A. Law enforcement. Q. And I assume you wrote all of this on this document after you received it? A. Yes, sir.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody? A. No, I didn't. Q. And again, other than your discussions with Mr. Gouthro, did you do anything further to understand where this anonymous tip came from? A. Other than the attempts I made and my discussions with to talk to law enforcement  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49. A. Yes, sir, I see it. Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting at the top yours? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And it says in your handwriting, it says: Initial handwriting from LE/DEA. What's LE? A. Law enforcement. Q. And I assume you wrote all of this on this document after you received it? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you know how much after?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody? A. No, I didn't. Q. And again, other than your discussions with Mr. Gouthro, did you do anything further to understand where this anonymous tip came from? A. Other than the attempts I made and my discussions with Mr. Gouthro, no.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49. A. Yes, sir, I see it. Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting at the top yours? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And it says in your handwriting, it says: Initial handwriting from LE/DEA. What's LE? A. Law enforcement. Q. And I assume you wrote all of this on this document after you received it? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you know how much after? A. I did that in preparation to   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody? A. No, I didn't. Q. And again, other than your discussions with Mr. Gouthro, did you do anything further to understand where this anonymous tip came from? A. Other than the attempts I made and my discussions with to talk to law enforcement and with Mr. Gouthro, no. Q. Sitting here today, do you have any   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49. A. Yes, sir, I see it. Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting at the top yours? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And it says in your handwriting, it says: Initial handwriting from LE/DEA. What's LE? A. Law enforcement. Q. And I assume you wrote all of this on this document after you received it? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you know how much after? A. I did that in preparation to documents presented to counsel.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody? A. No, I didn't. Q. And again, other than your discussions with Mr. Gouthro, did you do anything further to understand where this anonymous tip came from? A. Other than the attempts I made and my discussions with to talk to law enforcement and with Mr. Gouthro, no. Q. Sitting here today, do you have any idea who submitted this?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49. A. Yes, sir, I see it. Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting at the top yours? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And it says in your handwriting, it says: Initial handwriting from LE/DEA. What's LE? A. Law enforcement. Q. And I assume you wrote all of this on this document after you received it? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you know how much after? A. I did that in preparation to documents presented to counsel. Q. Okay. So after the litigation was          | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody? A. No, I didn't. Q. And again, other than your discussions with Mr. Gouthro, did you do anything further to understand where this anonymous tip came from? A. Other than the attempts I made and my discussions with to talk to law enforcement and with Mr. Gouthro, no. Q. Sitting here today, do you have any idea who submitted this? A. I have no clue, no, sir.                                |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49. A. Yes, sir, I see it. Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting at the top yours? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And it says in your handwriting, it says: Initial handwriting from LE/DEA. What's LE? A. Law enforcement. Q. And I assume you wrote all of this on this document after you received it? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you know how much after? A. I did that in preparation to documents presented to counsel. Q. Okay. So after the litigation was started? | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody? A. No, I didn't. Q. And again, other than your discussions with Mr. Gouthro, did you do anything further to understand where this anonymous tip came from? A. Other than the attempts I made and my discussions with to talk to law enforcement and with Mr. Gouthro, no. Q. Sitting here today, do you have any idea who submitted this? A. I have no clue, no, sir. Q. That was not something your |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So let's go actually to 49 first. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 49. A. Yes, sir, I see it. Q. And is this the anonymous tip that came in? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And again, is the handwriting at the top yours? A. It is, yes, sir. Q. And it says in your handwriting, it says: Initial handwriting from LE/DEA. What's LE? A. Law enforcement. Q. And I assume you wrote all of this on this document after you received it? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you know how much after? A. I did that in preparation to documents presented to counsel. Q. Okay. So after the litigation was          | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. Do you see on the left it says Routing? A. I do see that, yes. Q. It says: Routing Storey County and DEA? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding of why, if this was already something that was with the DEA or Storey County, it would be routed to them? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody? A. No, I didn't. Q. And again, other than your discussions with Mr. Gouthro, did you do anything further to understand where this anonymous tip came from? A. Other than the attempts I made and my discussions with to talk to law enforcement and with Mr. Gouthro, no. Q. Sitting here today, do you have any idea who submitted this? A. I have no clue, no, sir.                                |

Page 187 Page 186 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 2 look, do you know whether they ran a formal A. It was not. 3 3 Q. And other than looking at Facebook criminal background check on these people? 4 and social media posts, did you ever do any 4 A. I don't know. 5 5 other -- did you utilize any other resources in Q. Have you in any of your law 6 conducting this investigation? 6 enforcement experience or government experience 7 7 A. Open source resources that I just ever run a criminal background check on someone? 8 talked about, in addition to attempting to 8 A. I have, yes. 9 interview people who had knowledge, potential 9 So you understand what that would 10 knowledge of the drug trafficking operations 10 turn up? 11 inside and around Reno, Nevada. 11 A. I do. 12 Q. Oh, so even outside of Tesla, were 12 Q. Okay. And to the extent that a 13 you doing -- like actually talking to people, 13 background check was run on these folks, criminal 14 just -- (crosstalk) 14 background check and it didn't turn anything up, 15 A. Yes. Yes, I did. Yes. 15 would that be something that's relevant to 16 whether the allegations had merit? Q. Do know whether a criminal background 16 17 17 check was ever run on any of these folks? A. Are you asking my opinion on that? A. I don't know if one was done. 18 18 Q. Well, you are an investigator and you 19 Q. So you do know, though, that at some 19 said you were tasked with investigating this. 20 point Mr. Gicinto and Mr. Nocon became involved 20 I'm trying to understand to the extent that you in this investigation; correct? 21 21 personally did not run a criminal background 22 A. I don't know what their involvement 22 check --23 was. They stated that they took a look at the 23 A. Mm-hmm. 24 information. 24 25 25 Q. Right. And when you say they took a been done, may or may not have provided credence Page 188 Page 189 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 or lack thereof to this anonymous tip? 2 some point you were told by Mr. Gouthro and 3 A. It may have given -- no, I don't 3 Mr. Sprott to stand down in your investigation? 4 4 think -- I don't think it's something -- the A. I'm not sure specifically what 5 lack -- the absence of a criminal background or 5 investigation you're talking about. 6 the presence of a criminal background -- I should Q. Are there any other investigations 6 7 7 say it could have -- potentially, if there was a that you were conducting where either Mr. Sprott 8 criminal background run on these individuals and 8 or Mr. Gouthro told you to stop? 9 there was negative information or derogatory 9 A. Mr. Gouthro, during a communication I 10 information, particularly related to criminal had with him regarding theft, particularly 10 Lynn Thompson information and evidence, 11 activity such as drug trafficking or what have 11 12 you, then that could lead to credence of 12 Mr. Gouthro was confused in thinking that I was 13 supporting the allegations. But there -- at the 13 at the time interviewing outside -- using outside 14 same time, the absence of that information also, 14 sources or talking to outside sources, and I 15 15 I don't think that just stops an investigation wasn't. Those were internal employees and witnesses to those matters. And Mr. Gouthro had 16 dead in the water, based on, you know, the extent 16 sent me a text to the effect of let's hold off 17 of allegations in a particular matter. 17 18 Q. All right. So even if someone had until we talk to Nick Gicinto. 18 19 gone and done a criminal background check and it 19 So that -- to answer your question, 20 came back negative, there was nothing negative in 20 yes, that's what I can recall right now. 21 it, for purposes of you being the investigator, 21 O. Actually, isn't it true -- you 22 that would not have satisfied you. You would 22 mentioned Mr. Halladay. So Mr. Halladay had

23

24

25

23

24

25

think more needed to be done?

Q. And, in fact, isn't it true that at

A. Yes.

taken some time off, you said?

A. That's correct.

Q. And Mr. Halladay came back, didn't

| Hamsen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   1   Bansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   2   0. I want to understand your testimony. 3   You're saying you never heard about it, but if the that the took over that investigation of the copper thefts involving 6 Mr. Thompson?  |    | 7.00  |    | 7 101  |
|--|----|---|----|--|
| 3 You're saying you newer heard about it, but if 4 Q. And warn't it then that he took over 5 that investigation of the copper thefts involving 6 Mc. Thompson? 7 A. I'm not aware of that. 9 Mc. Ralladay was the one responsible, once he returned, for taking over that investigation? 11 A. I don't have any knowledge of that. 12 I continued that investigation during my tenure 13 right w until being notified that I was no 14 longer going to work in investigations. 15 Q. Right. I understand you continued to 16 do the investigation. But do you know whether or 17 not in fact management had instructed 18 Mc. Ralladay to continue and finish the 19 investigation and not you? 19 Mc. Ralladay, did overlap on investigations that 20 investigators oftentimes, particularly 21 Mc. Ralladay, did overlap on investigations 22 investigators. So that was common. 25 were pertential performance. 26 control had delegated to other 27 investigators. 28 A. I do, yea. Q. Okay. And you recall it being Q. Okay. And you recall any communication from Q. Okay. And you recall at any point in time 18 any w     | 1  |   | 1  | Page 191<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 |
| 4 you heard about it, that would have been normal? 5 that investigation of the copper thefts involving 6 NR. Thompson? 7 A. I'm not aware of that. 8 O. Okay. So you're not aware that 9 Mr. Halladay was the one responsible, once he 10 returned, for taking over that investigation? 11 A. I don't have any knowledge of that. 12 I continued that investigation during my termse 14 longer going to work in investigations. 15 O. Niejah. I understand you continued to 16 do the investigation and intructed 17 not in fact management had instructed 18 Mr. Halladay to continue and finish the 19 investigation and not you? 10 A. I don't have any knowledge of that. 19 investigation and not you? 20 A. I don't have any knowledge of that. 21 but it was also important to realize that several 22 investigators oftentimes, particularly 23 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 24 he or Gouthro had delegated to other 25 investigators. So that was common.  10 Investigation and not you? 21 to identify information related to lithium coming 22 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 23 to identify information related to lithium coming 24 that, yes. 25 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 26 reported back that there were people that were 27 uppet about the fact that you had been there and 28 and explaining that nowlying Mr. Thompson and not you? 29 A. I do, the time allow whether or 20 A. I don't have any knowledge of that, 21 to identify information related to other 22 investigators. So that was common.  28 You. Beard about 12, that would have the questions? 29 A. I do, and the weet appended. 21 to identify information related to lithium coming 22 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 23 out of Central and South America. 24 to identify information related to lithium coming 25 out of Central and South America. 26 O. Okay. And you recall it being 27 out of Central and South America. 28 out of Central and South America. 29 A. I do, and the lithium coming 30 to of Central and South America. 30 out of Central and South Am | 2  | he?   | 2  |  |
| that investigation of the copper thefts involving 6 Nr. Thompson?  A. I'm not aware of that.  O. Okay. So you're not aware that  Nr. Halladay was the one responsible, once he returned, for taking over that investigation?  A. I don't have any knowledge of that.  O. Right. I understand you continued to 10 to move that investigation.  D. Right. I understand you continued to 10 to the investigation. But do you know whether or 10 not in fact management had instructed 10 to the investigation. But do you know whether or 11 to investigation and not you?  Mr. Halladay to continue and finish the 12 investigations of the was also important to realize that several 12 investigations and not you?  Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 12 investigators. So that was common.  Mr. Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 to identify information related to lithium coming 3 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 4 that, yes.  Q. Okay. And you recall it being 6 reported back that there were people that were upset about the fact that you had been there and a asking questions?  A. I do, yes. Q. I'm going to go to 23. Ch, I've got 11 to nove 49 in.  MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 49 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 7 your moor.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 7 yourself to a Linette Lopez.  D. Yourself to a Linette Lopez.  Yourself to a Linette Lopez.  Yourself to a Linette Lopez.  D. Yourself to a Linette Lopez.  D. Yourself to a Linette Lopez.  Yourself to a Linette Lopez.  D. Yourself to a Linette Lopez.  Yourself to a | 3  | A. He did.  | 3  | You're saying you never heard about it, but if     |
| 6 Mr. Thompson? 7 A. I'm not aware of that. 8 Q. Okay. So you're not aware that 9 Mr. Halladay was the one responsible, once he returned, for taking over that investigation? 11 A. I don't have any knowledge of that. 12 I continued that investigation during my tenure right up until being notified that I was no 14 longer going to work in investigations. 15 Q. Right. I understand you continued to 16 do the investigation. But do you know whether or 17 not in fact management had instructed 18 Mr. Halladay to continue and finish the 19 investigation and not you? 20 A. I don't have any knowledge of that. 19 but it was also important to realize that several 22 investigators oftentimes, particularly 23 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 25 he or Gouthro had elegated to other 26 investigators. So that was common.  10 A. I don't have any knowledge of that, but it was also important to realize that several 26 investigators oftentimes, particularly 27 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 28 he or Couthro had elegated to other 29 investigators. So that was common.  10 A. I don't have any knowledge of that, but it was also important to realize that several 20 investigation and not you? 21 Eansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 22 to identify information related to lithium coming 23 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 24 that, yes. 25 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 26 reported back that there were people that were 27 upast about the fact that you had been there and 28 asking questions? 29 A. I do, way. And this is August lst; 40 Correct? 51 A. It is, yes. 61 Q. Okay. And so this is before you had 62 sent the e-mail that you referenced in your 63 direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct? 64 A. It is, yes. 65 Q. Okay. And you recall it peing 65 A. I do, oway. And this is before you had 66 a the fact that you had been there and 67 a. It is, yes. 69 Q. Okay. And so this is before you had 69 you send that. 60 your sonce that live you then the word point in time 61 investigation invoi | 4  | Q. And wasn't it then that he took over           | 4  | you heard about it, that would have been normal?   |
| A. I'm not aware of that.  8   | 5  | that investigation of the copper thefts involving | 5  | MR. WOODFIELD: Objection. I'm not                  |
| 8 Withdraw the question.   9 Wr. Halladay was the one responsible, once he returned, for taking over that investigation of that.   10  | 6  | Mr. Thompson?                                     | 6  | sure I understand that.                            |
| 9 Mr. Halladay was the one responsible, once he 10 returned, for taking over that investigation? 11 A. I don't have any knowledge of that. 12 I continued that investigation during my tenure 13 right up until being notified that I was no 14 longer going to work in investigations. 15 Q. Right. I understand you continued to 16 do the investigation. But do you know whether or 17 not in fact management had instructed 18 Mr. Halladay to continue and finish the 19 investigation and not you? 20 A. I don't have any knowledge of that, 21 but it was also important to realize that several 22 investigators oftentimes, particularly 23 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 24 he or Gouthno had delegated to other 25 investigators. So that was common. 26 Mansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 27 to identify information related to lithium coming 3 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 4 that, yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 6 reported back that there were people that were 17 upset doubt the fact that you had been there and 2 asking questions? 9 A. I do, yes. 10 Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 11 to nove 49 in. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. 13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 14 do dientify information: So nove 49. 15 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 SY MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 20 So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the 21 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 22 yourself to a Linette Lopez. 23 Do you see that? 24 bo you ase that? 25 Do you see that? 26 Do you see that? 27 yourself to a Linette Lopez. 28 Do you see that? 29 Long and the fact hat you pand the screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 29 Co you see that? 20 Do you see that? 21 yourself to a Linette Lopez. 22 Do you see that? 23 Co you see that? 24 Page 192 Linette Lopez. 25 Do you see that? 26 Do you see that? 27 Do you see that? 28 Do you see that? 29 Do you see that? 29 Do you see that? 29 Do you see that? 20 Do you see that? 20 And who is Martin Tr | 7  | A. I'm not aware of that.                         | 7  | MR. ROBERTSON: I'm just I'll                       |
| 10 returned, for taking over that investigation? 11 A. I don't have any knowledge of that. 12 I continued that investigation during my tenure 13 right up until being notified that I was no 14 longer going to work in investigations. 15 Q. Right. I understand you continued to 16 do the investigation. But do you know whether or 17 not in fact management had instructed 18 mr. Halladay to continue and finish the 19 investigation and not you? 20 A. I don't have any knowledge of that. 21 but it was also important to realize that several 22 investigators oftentimes, particularly 23 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 24 he or Gouthro had delegated to other 25 investigators. So that was common.  10 A. I don't have any knowledge of that, 26 but it was also important to realize that several 27 investigators oftentimes, particularly 28 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 29 to identify information related to lithium coming 30 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 4 that, yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 6 reported back that there were people that were 4 upset about the fact that you had been there and 5 asking questions?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. And so this is before you had 6 asking questions?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. And so this is before you had 7 your Honor.  MR. ROBERTSON: So nove 49. 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 PY NE. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 28 yourself to a Linette Lopez. 29 Do you see that?  No, that conversation never happened. 19 investigation involving Mr. Thappson and not you?  A. No, that conversation never happened. 19 O. Okay. And so you're not aware of 19 over ceall at my pout ontiniting the though that two you for cot ware of the pettigations that the several open and not you?  A. I don't have any knowledge of that. 19 investigation and not you? 20 A. I don't have any knowledge of that. 21 investigation investigations that the several state of the factory with some of the questions for the pettigatory with the fact of the fact that you had been | 8  | Q. Okay. So you're not aware that                 | 8  | withdraw the question.                             |
| A. I don't have any knowledge of that.  II 1 Continued that investigation during my tenure right up until being notified that I was no 14 longer going to work in investigations. 15 Q. Right. I understand you continued to 16 do the investigation. But do you know whether or 17 not in fact management had instructed 18 Mr. Halladay to continue and finish the 19 investigation and not you? 20 A. I don't have any knowledge of that, 21 but it was also important to realize that several 22 investigators oftentimes, particularly 23 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 24 he or Gouthro had delegated to other 25 investigators. So that was common.  Page 192 26 to identify information related to lithium coming 3 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 4 that, yes. 29 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 4 reported back that there were people that were 4 uget about the fact that you had been there and 5 asking questions?  9 A. I do, yes. 9 A. I do, yes. 10 Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 11 to move 49 in. 12 Mr. ROBERTSON: So move 49. 13 JUDGE HOFFANN: There being no 14 objection, 49 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.) 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 BY NR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 SY NR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 29 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the 20 soreen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 20 Do you see that? 21 June 1 dated August 1st, from 22 yourself to a Linette Lopez. 23 Do you see that? 24 A. So Tesla alleged. 25 Linette Lopez. 26 Co Neal, I tis more than alleged. You  | 9  | Mr. Halladay was the one responsible, once he     | 9  | BY MR. ROBERTSON:                                  |
| 12   I continued that investigation during my tenure   13 right up until being notified that I was no   14 longer going to work in investigations.   15   Q. Right. I understand you continued to   16   do the investigation. But do you know whether or   16   not infact management had instructed   17   not in fact management had instructed   18   Mr. Halladay to continue and finish the   19   investigation and not you?   20   A. I don't have any knowledge of that,   21   but it was also important to realize that several   22   investigators oftentimes, particularly   23   Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that   24   he or Gouthro had delegated to other   25   investigators. So that was common.   Page 192   2   A lidid, yes.   3   out of Central and South America. So I do recall   4   that, yes.   9   A. I do, yes.   Q. Okay. And so this is before you had saking questions?   A. I do, yes.   Q. Okay. And so this is before you had saking questions?   A. I do, yes.   Q. And who is Ms. Lopez?   A. I do, yes.   Q. And who is Ms. Lopez?   A. I do, yes.   Q. And who is Ms. Lopez?   A. I do.   Q. Okay. And So this is before you had sent the e-mail that you referenced in your direct testimony, you   Mr. Malladay.   A. Direct testimony, you   Mr. Malladay was precived;   A. I do.   Q. Okay. And So this is because he   A. No, that conversation never happened.   A. No, that convers   | 10 | returned, for taking over that investigation?     | 10 | Q. All I'm trying to understand,                   |
| right up until being notified that I was no laded longer going to work in investigations. laded longer going to work in investigations longer going to work in investigation and not you? laded longer going to work in investigations longer going to work in investigation and not you? laded longer going to work in investigations longer going to work in investigation and not you? laded longer going to work in investigations longer going to work in investigation and not you? laded longer going to work in investigations longer going to work in investigation involving Mr. Thompson and not you? laded longer going the to vope and not you? lands laded longer going to work whether or long investigation involving Mr. Thompson and not you? laded longer going the to vope and not you? lands lands longer find investing more than allogue. laded longer going the to you know that Kris Halladay to abok, that he would take over the copper investigation involving Mr. Thompson and not you? laded longer going the tow long investigation involving Mr. Thompson and not you? laded longer going the tow long with store of well, do you recall any comunication from either Mr. Sprott or Mr. Gouthro saying that you were upselved long of that, laded longer going to work longer for the pertion of either Mr. Sprott or Mr. Gouthro saying that you were upselved longo on the saying that now long of the feathy of your end that you well, do you recall any comunication from either Mr. Sprott or Mr. Routhro saying that you were upselved longer for the mr. Spr | 11 | A. I don't have any knowledge of that.            | 11 | Mr. Hansen, is do you recall at any point in time  |
| 14 longer going to work in investigations. 15 Q. Right. I understand you continued to do the investigation. But do you know whether or not in fact management had instructed 17 not in fact management had instructed 18 Mr. Halladay to continue and finish the 19 investigation and not you? 20 A. I don't have any knowledge of that, 21 but it was also important to realize that several 22 investigators oftentimes, particularly 23 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 24 he or Gouthro had delegated to other 25 investigators. So that was common.  10 Mansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 A. I did, yes.  20 (Okay. And so this is before you had sent the e-mail that you referenced in your direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct?  31 (Okay. And so this is before you had sent the e-mail that you referenced in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you reamber that?  32 (Okay. And so this is before you had sent the e-mail that you referenced in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you reamber that?  43 (Okay. And so this is before you had sent the e-mail that you referenced in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you reamber that?  44 (Okay. And so this is before you had sent the e-mail that y | 12 | I continued that investigation during my tenure   | 12 | either Mr. Sprott or Mr. Gouthro coming to you     |
| 15 Q. Right. I understand you continued to do the investigation. But do you know whether or not in fact management had instructed 16 Mr. Halladay to continue and finish the 19 investigation and not you? 20 A. I don't have any knowledge of that, 21 but it was also important to realize that several 22 investigators oftentimes, particularly 23 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 24 he or Gouthro had delegated to other 25 investigators. So that was common.  10 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 to identify information related to lithium coming 3 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 4 that, yes. 21 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 6 reported back that there were people that were 4 upset about the fact that you had been there and 5 asking questions? 22 A. I do, yes. 23 Q. Okay. And so this is before you had senting questions? 24 A. I tis, yes. 25 Q. Okay. And you recerrenced in your 3 sent the e-mail that you referenced in your 4 sent the e-mail that you referenced in your 5 and 19 senting people in other parts of the 4 serving peop | 13 | right up until being notified that I was no       | 13 | and explaining that now that Kris Halladay was     |
| 16 do the investigation. But do you know whether or not in fact management had instructed 17 not in fact management had instructed 18 Mr. Halladay to continue and finish the 19 investigation and not you? 20 A. I don't have any knowledge of that, 21 but it was also important to realize that several 21 investigators of tentimes, particularly 22 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 22 investigators. So that was common.  Page 192 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 to identify information related to lithium coming 3 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 4 that, yes. 2 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 6 reported back that there were people that were 4 upset about the fact that you had been there and 4 asking questions? 3 L I do, yes. 4 L I do, yes. 5 Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 1 to move 49 in. 6 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. 7 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 15 Opiction, 49 is in. 8 Mr. ROBERTSON: 1 A Servent of the question from either Mr. Sprott or Mr. Musk and others in the page 12 op you see that?  Page 192 2 A So Tesla alleged. 9 A So Tesla alleged.   | 14 | longer going to work in investigations.           | 14 | back, that he would take over the copper           |
| 17 not in fact management had instructed 18 Mr. Halladay to continue and finish the 19 investigation and not you? 20 A. I don't have any knowledge of that, 21 but it was also important to realize that several 22 investigators oftentimes, particularly 23 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 24 he or Gouthro had delegated to other 25 investigators. So that was common.  Page 192  1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 to identify information related to lithium coming 3 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 4 that, yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 6 reported back that there were people that were 9 A. I do, yes. 9 A. I do, yes. 10 Q. I'm going tog to 23. Oh, I've got 11 to move 49 in. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. 13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.) 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 Mr. Halladay, do vour recall into tyou recall and pour timestigations from either Mr. Sprott or Mr. Successing people in other parts of the factory with some of the questions you were asking in your investigations? 2 A. I do and I believe you're specifically referring to a trip I made to a warehouse pertaining to lithium shipments, trying 2 A. I did, yes. 2 Q. Okay. And this is August 1st; 2 correct? 3 A. It is, yes. 4 correct? 5 A. It is, yes. 6 Q. Okay. And so this is before you had 3 sent the e-mail that you referenced in your direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct? 8 A. It is, yes. 9 A. I do, yes. 10 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? 11 A. She's a journalist. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 15 who had been sued by Tesla; correct? 16 A. He was, yes. 17 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he 18 had removed confidential information; correct? 2 A. Ho was sued by Tesla because he 2 had removed confidential information; correct? 2 A. So Tesla alleged. You   | 15 | Q. Right. I understand you continued to           | 15 | investigation involving Mr. Thompson and not you?  |
| 18 Mr. Halladay to continue and finish the 19 investigation and not you? 20 A. I don't have any knowledge of that, 21 but it was also important to realize that several 22 investigators oftentimes, particularly 23 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 24 he or Gouthro had delegated to other 25 investigators. So that was common.  Page 192 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 to identify information related to lithium coming 3 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 4 that, yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 6 reported back that there were people that were 7 upset about the fact that you had been there and 8 asking questions?  A. I do, yes. 9 A. I do, yes. 10 Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 11 to move 49 in. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. 13 JUDGE HOFFNAN: There being no 14 objection, 49 is in. 15 (Mereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.) 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 any well, do you recall any communication from either Mr. Sprott or Mr. Soyour hond: 21 either Mr. Sprott or Mr. Gouthro saying that you were upsetting people in other parts of the factory with some of the questions you were asking in your investigations?  A. I do, and I believe you're specifically referring to a trip I made to a warehouse pertaining to lithium shipments, trying  Page 192 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 A. I did, yes. 2 O. Okay. And this is August 1st; 4 correct? 3 Q. Okay. And so this is before you had sent the e-mail that you referenced in your direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct? 4 O. Okay. And who is Ms. Lope2? 4 A. I do, on this is before you had sent the e-mail that you referenced in your direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct? 4 A. It is, yes.  Q. And who is Ms. Lope2? 4 A. Yes, sir. 4 Q. With Business Insider? 4 A. I do. 5 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody when the served on fine the questions pays as a pour alist. 5 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody when had been sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; corre    | 16 | do the investigation. But do you know whether or  | 16 | A. No, that conversation never happened.           |
| 19 investigation and not you? 20   | 17 | not in fact management had instructed             | 17 | Q. Okay. And so you're not aware of                |
| A. I don't have any knowledge of that, but it was also important to realize that several investigators oftentimes, particularly 3 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 4 he or Gouthro had delegated to other 2 investigators. So that was common.  Page 192  1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 to identify information related to lithium coming 3 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 4 that, yes.  Q. Okay. And you recall it being 7 reported back that there were people that were 9 A. I do, yes.  Q. O', I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 10 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez?  1 to move 49 in.  MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 13 A. Yes, sir.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.) MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 15 WR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 16 Screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23.  Lo yourself to a Linette Lopez.  Do you see that?  20 were upsetting people in other parts of the factory with some of the questions you were asking in your investigations? asking in your investigations?  asking in your investigations?  A. I do, and I believe you're 2 specifically referring to a trip I made to a 25 warehouse pertaining to lithium shipments, trying 2 specifically referring to a trip I made to a 25 warehouse pertaining to lithium shipments, trying 2 having asking in your investigations?  A. I do, yes. 2 Okay. And this is August 1st; correct?  A. I did, yes.  Q. Okay. And this is August 1st; correct?  A. It is, yes.  Q. Okay. And so this is before you had 3 sent the e-mail that you referenced in your 4 direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct?  A. It is, yes.  Q. With Business Insider?  A. I do.  Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody who had been sued by Tesla; correct?  A. H did, yes.  Q. Okay. And hartin Tripp was somebody who had been sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct?  A. So Tesla alleged. You   | 18 | Mr. Halladay to continue and finish the           | 18 | any well, do you recall any communication from     |
| but it was also important to realize that several investigators oftentimes, particularly investigators oftentimes, particularly 21 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 22 he or Gouthro had delegated to other 22 investigators. So that was common. 24 specifically referring to a trip I made to a 25 investigators. So that was common. 25 warehouse pertaining to lithium shipments, trying 26 reported back that there were people that were 27 upset about the fact that you had been there and 28 asking questions? 3 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 29 A. I do, yes. 3 Q. Okay. And this is August 1st; 3 Q. Okay. And this is August 1st; 3 Q. Okay. And this is before you had 3 sent the e-mail that you referenced in your 3 direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct? 3 A. It is, yes. 4 Correct? 4 Correct? 4 Correct? 5 A. It is, yes. 6 Q. Okay. And so this is before you had 3 sent the e-mail that you referenced in your 4 direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct? 4 A. She's a journalist. 4 Correct? 5 A. It is, yes. 6 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? 6 A. It is, yes. 9 A. It is, yes. 9 A. It is, yes. 9 A. It is, yes. 10 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? 11 A. She's a journalist. 11 A. She's a journalist. 12 Q. With Business Insider? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And in your direct testimony, you 15 Ms. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 16 A. I do. 17 Your Honor. 17 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 18 Ms. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 18 YMR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 19 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct? 19 A. He was, yes. 10 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct? 19 A. So Tesla alleged. 20 Well, it's more than alleged. You   | 19 | investigation and not you?                        | 19 | either Mr. Sprott or Mr. Gouthro saying that you   |
| 22 investigators oftentimes, particularly 23 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 24 he or Gouthro had delegated to other 25 investigators. So that was common.  26 investigators. So that was common.  27 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 28 to identify information related to lithium coming 30 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 4 that, yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 6 reported back that there were people that were 9 A. I do, yes. 9 A. I do, yes. 10 Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 11 to move 49 in. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. 13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 14 objection, 49 is in. 15 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 EY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 10 Do you see that? 20 asking in your investigations? 21 A. I do, and I believe you're 22 specifically referring to a trip I made to a 25 swarehouse pertaining to lithium shipments, trying 26 warehouse pertaining to lithium shipments, trying 27 warehouse pertaining to lithium shipments, trying 28 warehouse pertaining to lithium shipments, trying 29 A. I did, yes. 20 Okay. And this is August 1st; 20 Okay. And this is August 1st; 21 A. I did, yes. 22 A. I did, yes. 23 Okay. And this is August 1st; 24 correct? 25 A. It is, yes. 26 Q. Okay. And so this is before you had sent the e-mail that you referenced in your addirect testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct? 38 A. I do, Pas. 39 A. I do, Pas. 40 Okay. And who is Ms. Lopez? 41 A. She's a journalist. 42 Q. With Business Insider? 43 A. Yes, sir. 44 correct? 45 A. It is, yes. 46 Q. Okay. And who is Ms. Lopez? 46 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? 47 A. I do. 48 A. I do. 49 Okay. And was used by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct? 49 A. Be was, yes. 40 Okay. And he was sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct? 40 Okay. And was used by Tesla ecause he had remov | 20 | A. I don't have any knowledge of that,            | 20 | were upsetting people in other parts of the        |
| 23 Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that 24 he or Gouthro had delegated to other 25 investigators. So that was common.  Page 192 1  | 21 | but it was also important to realize that several | 21 | factory with some of the questions you were        |
| 24 he or Gouthro had delegated to other 25 investigators. So that was common.  Page 1932 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 to identify information related to lithium coming 3 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 4 that, yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 6 reported back that there were people that were 9 upset about the fact that you had been there and 8 asking questions? 9 A. I do, yes. 9 Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 11 to move 49 in. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. 13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 15 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the 10 Screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 10 Do you see that? 24 specifically referring to a trip I made to a 25 warehouse pertaining to lithium shipments, trying 26 warehouse pertaining to lithium shipments, trying 27 warehouse pertaining to a trip I made to a 28 warehouse pertaining to a trip I made to a 29 warehouse pertaining to lithium shipments, trying 20 warehouse pertaining to lithium shipments, trying 21 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day I 2 A. I did, yes. 2 Q. Okay. And this is August 1st; 2 correct? 3 Q. Okay. And this is August 1st; 2 orrect? 3 A. It is, yes. 4 correct? 4 correct? 5 A. It is, yes. 6 Q. Okay. And so this is before you had sent the e-mail that you referenced in your 3 direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct? 4 A. I do. 9 Q. Mah who is Ms. Lopez? 4 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. With Business Insider? 4 A. I do. 9 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? 4 A. I do. 9 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 4 who had been sued by Tesla; correct? 4 A. He was, yes. 9 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct? 4 A. So Tesla alleged. 9 Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You   | 22 | investigators oftentimes, particularly            | 22 | asking in your investigations?                     |
| 25 investigators. So that was common.  Page 192 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 to identify information related to lithium coming out of Central and South America. So I do recall that, yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 6 reported back that there were people that were upset about the fact that you had been there and 8 asking questions? 9 A. I do, yes. 10 Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 10 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? 11 to move 49 in. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. 13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 objection, 49 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.) 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 16 A. I do. 17 Your Honor. 18 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 1've put up on the 20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 18 June 1 June 1 June 1 June 1 June 2  | 23 | Mr. Halladay, did overlap on investigations that  | 23 | A. I do, and I believe you're                      |
| Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  2 to identify information related to lithium coming out of Central and South America. So I do recall that, yes.  5 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 6 reported back that there were people that were 4 upset about the fact that you had been there and 5 asking questions?  9 A. I do, yes.  10 Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 1 to move 49 in.  11 A. She's a journalist.  12 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49.  13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 13 A. Yes, sir.  14 objection, 49 is in.  15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.)  16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 16 A. I do.  17 Your Honor.  18 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 11 A. He was, yes.  20 Q. Okay. And so this is before you had sent the e-mail that you referenced in your direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct?  18 A. It is, yes.  19 Q. And who is Ms. Lope?  10 Q. And who is Ms. Lope?  11 A. She's a journalist.  12 Q. With Business Insider?  13 A. Yes, sir.  14 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that?  15 A. I do.  16 A. I do.  17 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody who had been sued by Tesla; correct?  18 A. He was, yes.  29 A. It is, yes.  19 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23.  20 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct?  21 A. I did, yes.  22 A. I did, yes.  3 Q. Okay. And so this is before you had sent the e-mail dated August 1st, from the least of the e-mail that you referenced in your direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct?  10 Q. And who is Ms. Lope?  11 A. She's a journalist.  12 Q. With Business Insider?  13 A. Yes, sir.  14 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody who had been sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct?  21 A. He was, yes.  22 A. So Tesla alleged.  23 Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You   | 24 | he or Gouthro had delegated to other              | 24 | specifically referring to a trip I made to a       |
| Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 to identify information related to lithium coming out of Central and South America. So I do recall that, yes.  Q. Okay. And you recall it being reported back that there were people that were upset about the fact that you had been there and asking questions? A. I do, yes. Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got to move 49 in.  MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 49 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.) MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, Soreen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. Screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. Do you see that?  Lind A. I did, yes. Q. Okay. And this is August 1st; A. It is, yes. Q. Okay. And so this is before you had sent the e-mail that you referenced in your direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct? A. It is, yes. Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? A. Soresa a journalist. Q. With Business Insider? A. I do. Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. He was, yes. A. I do. Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct? A. So Tesla alleged. Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You   | 25 | investigators. So that was common.                | 25 | warehouse pertaining to lithium shipments, trying  |
| Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 to identify information related to lithium coming out of Central and South America. So I do recall that, yes.  Q. Okay. And you recall it being reported back that there were people that were upset about the fact that you had been there and asking questions? A. I do, yes. Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got to move 49 in.  MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 49 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.) MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, Soreen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. Screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. Do you see that?  Lind A. I did, yes. Q. Okay. And this is August 1st; A. It is, yes. Q. Okay. And so this is before you had sent the e-mail that you referenced in your direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct? A. It is, yes. Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? A. Soresa a journalist. Q. With Business Insider? A. I do. Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. I do. Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody A. He was, yes. A. I do. Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct? A. So Tesla alleged. Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You   |    | Page 192  |    | Page 193   |
| 3 out of Central and South America. So I do recall 4 that, yes. 5 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 6 reported back that there were people that were 7 upset about the fact that you had been there and 8 asking questions? 9 A. I do, yes. 10 Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 11 to move 49 in. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. 13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 14 objection, 49 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.) 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the 20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 21 Lis, yes. 22 Q. Okay. And this is August 1st; 4 correct? 4 correct? 5 A. It is, yes. 6 Q. Okay. And so this is before you had sent the e-mail that you referenced in your direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct? 9 A. It is, yes. 10 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? 11 A. She's a journalist. 12 Q. With Business Insider? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? 16 A. I do. 17 Your Honor. 17 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 18 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 9 A. It is, yes. 10 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? 11 A. She's a journalist. 12 Q. With Business Insider? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? 16 A. I do. 17 Your Honor. 18 Who had been sued by Tesla; correct? 19 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the 20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 21 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he 22 had removed confidential information; correct? 23 Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You  | 1  | =   | 1  | =  |
| 4 that, yes.  5 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 6 reported back that there were people that were 7 upset about the fact that you had been there and 8 asking questions? 9 A. I do, yes. 10 Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 11 to move 49 in. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. 13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 14 objection, 49 is in. 15 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the 20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 21 Li tis, yes. 22 A. It is, yes. 24 Correct? 25 A. It is, yes. 26 Q. Okay. And so this is before you had of a children in the policy of the e-mail that you referenced in your 36 direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct? 38 A. It is, yes. 40 Q. Okay. And who is Ms. Lopez? 39 A. It is, yes. 40 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? 41 A. She's a journalist. 42 Q. With Business Insider? 43 A. Yes, sir. 44 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? 45 A. I do. 46 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 47 mentioned Martin Tripp was somebody 48 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 49 A. It is, yes. 40 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? 40 A. Yes, sir. 41 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? 40 A. I do. 41 A. She's a journalist. 41 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? 41 A. I do. 42 Q. And Martin Tripp was somebody 43 Mr. He was, yes. 44 Correct? 44 Correct? 5 A. It is, yes. 6 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 64 A. I do. 65 A. I do. 67 A. I do. 67 A. I do. 68 A. I do. 69 A. I do. 60 A. I do. 60 A. I do. 60 A. I do. 60 A. I do. 61 A. He was, yes. 60 A. He was yes. 60 A. He was yes. 60 A. He was yes. 61 A. He was, yes. 62 A. So Tesla alleged. 61 A. She's a journalist. 62 A. So Tesla alleged. 63 A. So Tesla alleged. 64 A. So Tesla alleged.   | 2  | to identify information related to lithium coming | 2  | A. I did, yes.                                     |
| 5 Q. Okay. And you recall it being 6 reported back that there were people that were 7 upset about the fact that you had been there and 8 asking questions? 9 A. I do, yes. 10 Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 11 to move 49 in. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. 13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 14 objection, 49 is in. 15 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 A. It is, yes. 19 A. It is, yes. 10 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? 11 A. She's a journalist. 12 Q. With Business Insider? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the 20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 21 L's an e-mail dated August 1st, from 22 yourself to a Linette Lopez. 23 Do you see that? 24 A. I do. 25 A. It is, yes. 26 Q. Okay. And so this is before you had 26 Q. Okay. And so this is before you had 27 sent the e-mail that you referenced in your 28 direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct? 29 A. It is, yes. 20 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? 21 A. Youralist. 22 Q. With Business Insider? 23 A. Yes, sir. 24 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? 28 Who had been sued by Tesla; correct? 29 A. He was, yes. 20 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct? 22 A. So Tesla alleged. 23 Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You  | 3  | out of Central and South America. So I do recall  | 3  | Q. Okay. And this is August 1st;                   |
| 6 reported back that there were people that were 7 upset about the fact that you had been there and 8 asking questions? 9 A. I do, yes. 9 A. I to, yes. 10 Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 11 to move 49 in. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. 13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 14 objection, 49 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.) 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 Yes, sin. 19 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? 10 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? 11 A. She's a journalist. 12 Q. With Business Insider? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? 15 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 16 A. I do. 17 Your Honor. 18 YMR. ROBERTSON: 19 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the 20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 21 It is, yes. 22 Q. With Business Insider? 23 A. Yes, sir. 24 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? 24 A. I do. 25 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 26 Who had been sued by Tesla; correct? 27 A. He was, yes. 28 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he 29 A. It do. 20 With Business Insider? 20 A. Yes, sir. 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 A. He was, yes. 23 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he 24 had removed confidential information; correct? 25 A. So Tesla alleged. 26 Well, it's more than alleged. You  | 4  | that, yes.  | 4  | correct?   |
| vuset about the fact that you had been there and asking questions?  A. I do, yes.  Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got to move 49 in.  MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no objection, 49 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  MR. ROBERTSON:  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  MR. ROBERTSON:  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  MR. ROBERTSON:  MR. ROBERTSO | 5  | Q. Okay. And you recall it being                  | 5  | A. It is, yes.                                     |
| asking questions?  A. I do, yes.  Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got  10 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez?  11 to move 49 in.  12 Q. With Business Insider?  13 A. Yes, sir.  14 objection, 49 is in.  15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.)  16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  17 Your Honor.  18 YMR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the  20 Screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23.  21 Li is, yes.  Q. And who is Ms. Lopez?  10 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez?  11 A. She's a journalist.  Q. With Business Insider?  Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that?  A. I do.  Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody  Who had been sued by Tesla; correct?  A. He was, yes.  Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he  11 A. She's a journalist.  Q. With Business Insider?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And in your direct testimony, you  Mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that?  A. I do.  Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody  Who had been sued by Tesla; correct?  A. He was, yes.  Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he  And removed confidential information; correct?  A. So Tesla alleged.  Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You   | 6  |   | 6  | Q. Okay. And so this is before you had             |
| 9 A. It is, yes.  10 Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 11 to move 49 in. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. 13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 14 Objection, 49 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.) 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the 20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 21 It's an e-mail dated August 1st, from 22 yourself to a Linette Lopez. 23 Do you see that?  9 A. It is, yes. 10 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? 11 A. She's a journalist. 12 Q. With Business Insider? 12 Q. With Business Insider? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? 16 A. I do. 17 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 18 Who had been sued by Tesla; correct? 19 A. He was, yes. 20 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he 21 had removed confidential information; correct? 22 A. So Tesla alleged. 23 Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You  | 7  | upset about the fact that you had been there and  | 7  | sent the e-mail that you referenced in your        |
| 10 Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got 11 to move 49 in. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49. 13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 14 objection, 49 is in. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.) 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 17 Your Honor. 18 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the 20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 21 It's an e-mail dated August 1st, from 22 yourself to a Linette Lopez. 23 Do you see that? 10 Q. And who is Ms. Lopez? 11 A. She's a journalist. 12 Q. With Business Insider? 12 Q. With Business Insider? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? 15 MR. ROBERTSON: 16 A. I do. 17 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 18 who had been sued by Tesla; correct? 19 A. He was, yes. 20 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he 21 had removed confidential information; correct? 22 A. So Tesla alleged. 23 Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You  | 8  | asking questions?                                 | 8  | direct testimony to Mr. Musk and others; correct?  |
| 11 to move 49 in.  12 MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49.  13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no  14 objection, 49 is in.  15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.)  16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  17 Your Honor.  18 BY MR. ROBERTSON:  19 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the  20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23.  21 It's an e-mail dated August 1st, from  22 yourself to a Linette Lopez.  23 Do you see that?  11 A. She's a journalist.  20 Q. With Business Insider?  12 Q. With Business Insider?  13 A. Yes, sir.  14 Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that?  15 Mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that?  16 A. I do.  17 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody  18 who had been sued by Tesla; correct?  20 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he  21 had removed confidential information; correct?  22 A. So Tesla alleged.  23 Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You   | 9  | A. I do, yes.                                     | 9  | A. It is, yes.                                     |
| MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49.  12 Q. With Business Insider?  13 A. Yes, sir.  14 objection, 49 is in.  15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.)  16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  17 Your Honor.  18 BY MR. ROBERTSON:  19 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the  20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23.  21 To yourself to a Linette Lopez.  22 yourself to a Linette Lopez.  23 Do you see that?  12 Q. With Business Insider?  Q. With Business Insider?  Q. With Business Insider?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that?  A. I do.  Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody who had been sued by Tesla; correct?  A. He was, yes.  Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct?  A. So Tesla alleged.  Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You   | 10 | Q. I'm going to go to 23. Oh, I've got            | 10 | Q. And who is Ms. Lopez?                           |
| JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no  13 A. Yes, sir.  14 objection, 49 is in.  15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.)  16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  17 Your Honor.  18 BY MR. ROBERTSON:  19 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the  20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23.  21 It's an e-mail dated August 1st, from  22 yourself to a Linette Lopez.  23 Do you see that?  14 Q. And in your direct testimony, you  15 mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that?  A. I do.  17 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody  18 who had been sued by Tesla; correct?  A. He was, yes.  Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he  16 A. I do.  17 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody  20 And he was yes.  Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he  21 A. So Tesla alleged.  Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You  | 11 | to move 49 in.                                    | 11 | A. She's a journalist.                             |
| objection, 49 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,  Your Honor.  Q. And in your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that?  A. I do.  Your Honor.  Pyour Honor.  Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody who had been sued by Tesla; correct?  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23.  It's an e-mail dated August 1st, from your direct testimony, you mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that?  A. I do.  Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody who had been sued by Tesla; correct?  A. He was, yes.  Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct?  Yourself to a Linette Lopez.  Do you see that?  22 A. So Tesla alleged.  Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You  | 12 | MR. ROBERTSON: So move 49.                        | 12 | Q. With Business Insider?                          |
| 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.) 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 16 A. I do. 17 Your Honor. 18 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 18 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 18 who had been sued by Tesla; correct? 19 A. He was, yes. 20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 21 It's an e-mail dated August 1st, from 22 yourself to a Linette Lopez. 23 Do you see that? 24 Mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that? 26 A. I do. 27 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 28 who had been sued by Tesla; correct? 29 A. He was, yes. 20 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct? 20 Yourself to a Linette Lopez. 21 Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You  | 13 | JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no                     | 13 |  |
| MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 16 A. I do. 17 Your Honor. 18 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 19 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 18 who had been sued by Tesla; correct? 19 A. He was, yes. 20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 21 It's an e-mail dated August 1st, from 22 yourself to a Linette Lopez. 23 Do you see that? 21 A. So Tesla alleged. 23 Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You  | 14 | objection, 49 is in.                              | 14 | Q. And in your direct testimony, you               |
| Your Honor.  17 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 18 BY MR. ROBERTSON:  18 who had been sued by Tesla; correct?  19 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the 20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23.  21 It's an e-mail dated August 1st, from 22 yourself to a Linette Lopez. 23 Do you see that?  17 Q. Okay. And Martin Tripp was somebody 28 who had been sued by Tesla; correct? 29 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he 21 had removed confidential information; correct? 22 A. So Tesla alleged. 23 Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You  | 15 | (Whereupon, Exhibit 49 was received.)             | 15 | mentioned Martin Tripp. Do you remember that?      |
| BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23.  It's an e-mail dated August 1st, from yourself to a Linette Lopez.  Do you see that?  18 who had been sued by Tesla; correct?  19 A. He was, yes.  20 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct?  A. So Tesla alleged.  Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You   | 16 | MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,                         | 16 | A. I do.   |
| Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23.  It's an e-mail dated August 1st, from yourself to a Linette Lopez.  Do you see that?  19  A. He was, yes.  Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he had removed confidential information; correct?  A. So Tesla alleged.  Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You   | 17 | Your Honor.                                       | 17 |  |
| 20 screen what's been marked as joint Exhibit 23. 21 It's an e-mail dated August 1st, from 22 yourself to a Linette Lopez. 23 Do you see that? 20 Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he 21 had removed confidential information; correct? 22 A. So Tesla alleged. 23 Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You   | 18 | BY MR. ROBERTSON:                                 | 18 | who had been sued by Tesla; correct?               |
| 21 It's an e-mail dated August 1st, from 21 had removed confidential information; correct? 22 yourself to a Linette Lopez. 22 A. So Tesla alleged. 23 Do you see that? 23 Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You   | 19 |   | 19 | · -  |
| 22 yourself to a Linette Lopez. 22 A. So Tesla alleged. 23 Do you see that? 23 Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You  | 20 |   | 20 | Q. And he was sued by Tesla because he             |
| Do you see that?  23 Q. Well, it's more than alleged. You  |    |   |    |  |
|  |    |   |    |  |
|  | 23 |   |    |  |
|  | 24 | A. I do, yes, sir.                                | 24 | understand what ended up happening in the Tripp    |
| Q. And your blind-copying Ms. Wells? 25 case; correct?   | 25 | Q. And your blind-copying Ms. Wells?              | 25 | case; correct?                                     |

Page 195 Page 194 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 represented by counsel at that time, and A. I do, I understand the Tripp case, 2 3 yes. 3 Mr. Meissner had been talking to several media 4 4 resources. But primarily, yes, I knew that she Q. Okay. And one of the things that 5 Mr. Tripp had to admit ultimately was that he had had been in contact with Mr. Tripp. б taken confidential information from Tesla; right? 6 Q. Okay. And notwithstanding that, you 7 A. I don't know any of the specifics. 7 were -- so your -- is it your testimony -- I 8 Q. Well, do you know he ended up paying 8 don't want to know any discussion, but had you 9 money to Tesla to resolve the case? actually retained counsel by August 1st of 2018? 9 10 A. I was aware that that was part of the 10 A. I had retained counsel in July of outcome of the case, but yes, I'm aware of that. 2018. 11 11 12 Q. That what was part of the outcome of 12 Q. July of 2018. Okay. 13 13 the case? That he ended up having to pay money So why, as of August 1st, are you 14 14 sending this versus this coming from your to Tesla; correct? 15 A. I believe that was reported in the 15 attorney? media, correct. 16 16 A. I think it explains what I was 17 Q. Okay. And, in fact, when you sent 17 looking to do. I was looking to obtain some 18 this e-mail to Ms. Lopez, you knew at this point, 18 additional information, if possible. 19 as of August 1st, that Ms. Lopez had been in 19 Q. Did you tell -- I guess I can't ask 20 communication with Martin Tripp; right? 20 that. 21 A. I had learned that, yes. 21 Okay. But at this point you were 22 Q. Okay. And is that why you reached 22 represented by counsel. 23 out to her, because you knew that she'd already 23 A. That's correct, yes, sir. 24 24 been in communication with Mr. Tripp? Q. And if we go down the document, to 25 25 A. I reached out to her because I was the last paragraph -- yeah, right there. The Page 196 Page 197 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 last line of the last full paragraph says: An 2 whether or not before the tip came in it was 3 investigation that was predicated on a -- what I 3 actually validated in any way by law enforcement? 4 4 was told was a validated report provided to Tesla A. I think I've already testified that I 5 by law enforcement, members of local LE and those 5 did, I made attempts to do that, and I obtained 6 assigned to a federal task force. information -- and outside of ties via social 6 7 7 Do you see that? media that you had mentioned, I had made attempts 8 A. I do, yes. 8 to do that. 9 Q. And again, was the only source of 9 Q. Right, you made attempts, but you 10 what you were told Mr. Gouthro? actually personally have not been able to 10 11 A. I'm sorry, with respect to that -- to 11 validate any of it; correct? 12 the tip? 12 A. No. At that point, no, I hadn't. 13 13 Q. Okay. And if we go to the middle Q. Yeah, you say: The investigation 14 that was predicated on a -- what I was told was a paragraph. It starts, Additionally. 14 15 15 validated report provided to Tesla by law A. Okay. 16 enforcement. 16 It says: 300,000 to 500,000 worth 17 What I'm trying to understand is when 17 that was stolen in approximately 2.5 months, and you told Ms. Lopez, a member of the press, that had been being reported, in all caps. 18 18 19 the report was validated, about your 19 Do you see that? 20 investigation, and you say you were told that, 20 A. I see that, yes. 21 was the sole source of what you were told 21 O. What did you mean there? I mean, 22 Mr. Gouthro? 22 where are you coming up with 300,000 to \$500,000 23 A. Yes. 23 worth was stolen in approximately 2.5 months?

24

25

Q. Okay. You did nothing to yourself

validate anything with regard to -- or to confirm

24

25

A. That information came from

Superintendent Thompson, references his estimates

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2425

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

over that time period, it lists initially during one of our preliminary conversations.

Q. So, again, your sole source for making that statement to a member of the press was what Mr. Thompson told you?

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. No. My sole source was not also that. You have to remember, Elon Musk himself reported 37 to -- upwards of \$100 million of scrap, raw materials, that included copper, being otherwise stolen, compromised, on June 5th, between January and June of 2018.

 $$\operatorname{So},$$  no, it's not just sole piece of my investigation, and information was not just Lynn Thompson.

Q. But that's what I'm trying to understand, Mr. Hansen. You keep saying my investigation, my investigation.

You were told by Lynn Thompson there was this 300 to \$500,000 number.

Did you have any specific evidence that you personally reviewed that would support that number beyond what Mr. Thompson told you?

A. No, I didn't. But when those numbers were briefed to Mr. Sprott and Mr. Gouthro, they

1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

2 too indicated that it was likely in excess of 3 millions based on the investigations that had

been being conducted, even --

Q. That's not my --Sorry, I'll let you finish.

That's not my question. My question is very specific. You wrote to a member of the press -- and we'll get to what you attached. You made a specific reference, not to millions of dollars, to 300 to \$500,000 in 2.5 months.

Page 199

Page 201

And my question is, was there any basis for that statement to a member of the press other than what you heard from Mr. Thompson?

A. You broke up on me, sir,

Mr. Robertson. Could you please repeat that?

Q. Sure.

I'm talking about a very specific number in here. 300,000 to 500,000 worth in 2.5 months. Not millions, not 37 million.

Nothing. A very specific number in here that you reported to a member of the press. And I just want to understand, that number, the sole source for that number is Mr. Thompson. It was not any independent investigation and verification that

Page 200

 $\label{eq:hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1} % \begin{subarray}{ll} \begin{subarray}{l$ 

- 3 A. That's accurate. Mr. Thompson -- 4 sure.
  - Q. Thank you.
  - A. You're welcome.
    - Q. Thank you.

You have no knowledge of whether any of these issues, this purported theft, whether any of it found its way into Tesla's financial statements; right?

- A. I don't at this time, no.
- Q. Well, it's more than that; right? I think when you were deposed, I asked you specifically: Where did this concern over thefts come from? And I think you acknowledged it came from an audit that was done and possibly the CFO of the company; correct?
- A. That's accurate, yes, sir. That's part of it.
- Q. So if the CFO, in an audit, flagged this, on what possible basis do you believe that it wouldn't have been something that was known by accounting and included and factored into the financial statements?

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

A. Based on the financial statements released to the public, my counsel indicated that none of this was reported during that time, in any of your disclosures or quarterly disclosures. That's the extent of my knowledge.

MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,

8 Your Honor. I think there's --

9 MR. ROBERTSON: I think -- wait a

10 minute, Nick. Wait, wait.

MR. WOODFIELD: -- the witness.

MR. ROBERTSON: Wait.

MR. WOODFIELD: Hold on.

Your Honor, I would like to -- you know, at a point, if the witness is not responsive, I think the answer is to ask you to direct the witness, but just talking over the witness and redirecting him is not the answer. I mean, the problem is -- and I've been generous on this -- but it's not just cutting the witness off

and chastising him and telling him to do certain things.

I think the answer here is that if the witness is unresponsive, the request is to you; but there's getting to be a certain amount

| 1  | Page 202<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  | 1  | Page 203<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
|--|---|--|---|
| 2  | of just cutting the witness off and redirecting   | 2  | a lawyer that's not his current lawyer about  |
| 3  | him.  | 3  | what was or was not. And so I you know, that  |
| 4  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. I understand.  | 4  | was where I was going, but  |
| 5  | I guess I want to go back to the beginning, that  | 5  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: I think you're   |
| 6  | Mr. Hansen, you need to listen carefully to the   | 6  | absolutely right.   |
| 7  | questions that are asked and you need to answer   | 7  | I don't think, Mr. Hansen, that you   |
| 8  | them.   | 8  | want to go too far down that line of saying you   |
| 9  | And I think we can solve that matter.   | 9  | did it because your counsel told you to do it.  |
| 10   | If there's an objection to the question, then   | 10   | If you know the answer to the question, say it.   |
| 11   | lodge that objection, and maybe we need to  | 11   | And if you don't know the answer, say you don't.  |
| 12   | tighten up our examination here a little bit to   | 12   | THE WITNESS: Understood.  |
| 13   | the point.  | 13   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay.  |
| 14   | MR. ROBERTSON: Sure.  | 14   | MR. ROBERTSON: And so I'll rephrase   |
| 15   | Yeah, I think I had a different   | 15   | and see if we can do this this way.   |
| 16   | issue, Your Honor. So I thought I asked a very  | 16   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 17   | specific question, which was: How do you know   | 17   | Q. Mr. Hansen, sitting here today, do   |
| 18   | whether any of this would be reflected in the   | 18   | you have any knowledge of any specific line item  |
| 19   | company's financial statements? I think that's a  | 19   | in any Tesla financial statement that was   |
| 20   | fair question. My concern was he started to talk  | 20   | misstated because of copper thefts?   |
| 21   | about what his lawyer told him.   | 21   | A. No.  |
| 22   | I was just going to move to strike  | 22   | Q. Okay. And do you have any knowledge  |
| 23   | it, because I think, you know, I I don't  | 23   | of Tesla's accounting system period?  |
| 24   | think I don't think he wants to open this up  | 24   | A. No, I did not.   |
| 25   | as to what his lawyer told him about you know,  | 25   | MR. ROBERTSON: If we go to the next   |
|  | ab 66 miles 1116 14m/61 6614 111m db646 1/64 1216m/   |  | 121/ 1/022112021 22 110 50 00 0110 110110   |
|  | D 004   |  |   |
| 1  | Page 204 Hansen v Flon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 205 Hangen v Flon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 1 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne.  | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Exhibit 27.  |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne. BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at  | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs? A. Yes, sir, I did.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs?  A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises?  A. Yes, they are.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs? A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises? A. Yes, they are. Q. And you forwarded those to Ms. Lopez  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm directing you   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs?  A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises?  A. Yes, they are. Q. And you forwarded those to Ms. Lopez as a member of the press; correct?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm directing you back to Exhibit 27. This was the exchange you   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs?  A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises?  A. Yes, they are. Q. And you forwarded those to Ms. Lopez as a member of the press; correct?  A. Yes, I did.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm directing you back to Exhibit 27. This was the exchange you had with Mr. German?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs? A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises? A. Yes, they are. Q. And you forwarded those to Ms. Lopez as a member of the press; correct? A. Yes, I did. Q. Okay. One of the other things you  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm directing you back to Exhibit 27. This was the exchange you had with Mr. German?  A. Yes, sir.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs?  A. Yes, sir, I did.  Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. And you forwarded those to Ms. Lopez as a member of the press; correct?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. Okay. One of the other things you had mentioned in, I believe, the e-mail to  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm directing you back to Exhibit 27. This was the exchange you had with Mr. German?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. In June.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs? A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises? A. Yes, they are. Q. And you forwarded those to Ms. Lopez as a member of the press; correct? A. Yes, I did. Q. Okay. One of the other things you had mentioned in, I believe, the e-mail to Mr. Musk on August 3rd and others was bid  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm directing you back to Exhibit 27. This was the exchange you had with Mr. German?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. In June.  And on the first page, do you see  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs? A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises? A. Yes, they are. Q. And you forwarded those to Ms. Lopez as a member of the press; correct? A. Yes, I did. Q. Okay. One of the other things you had mentioned in, I believe, the e-mail to Mr. Musk on August 3rd and others was bid rigging.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm directing you back to Exhibit 27. This was the exchange you had with Mr. German?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. In June.  And on the first page, do you see Mr. German says: Hey Karl, good to hear from   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs?  A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises?  A. Yes, they are. Q. And you forwarded those to Ms. Lopez as a member of the press; correct?  A. Yes, I did. Q. Okay. One of the other things you had mentioned in, I believe, the e-mail to Mr. Musk on August 3rd and others was bid rigging.  Do you recall that?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm directing you back to Exhibit 27. This was the exchange you had with Mr. German?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. In June.  And on the first page, do you see Mr. German says: Hey Karl, good to hear from you?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs? A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises? A. Yes, they are. Q. And you forwarded those to Ms. Lopez as a member of the press; correct? A. Yes, I did. Q. Okay. One of the other things you had mentioned in, I believe, the e-mail to Mr. Musk on August 3rd and others was bid rigging. Do you recall that? A. I do, yes.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm directing you back to Exhibit 27. This was the exchange you had with Mr. German?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. In June.  And on the first page, do you see  Mr. German says: Hey Karl, good to hear from you?  A. Yes, sir.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs?  A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises?  A. Yes, they are. Q. And you forwarded those to Ms. Lopez as a member of the press; correct?  A. Yes, I did. Q. Okay. One of the other things you had mentioned in, I believe, the e-mail to Mr. Musk on August 3rd and others was bid rigging.  Do you recall that? A. I do, yes. Q. Did you personally have any knowledge  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm directing you back to Exhibit 27. This was the exchange you had with Mr. German?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. In June.  And on the first page, do you see  Mr. German says: Hey Karl, good to hear from you?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. No, because: Technically the next   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs? A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises? A. Yes, they are. Q. And you forwarded those to Ms. Lopez as a member of the press; correct? A. Yes, I did. Q. Okay. One of the other things you had mentioned in, I believe, the e-mail to Mr. Musk on August 3rd and others was bid rigging. Do you recall that? A. I do, yes. Q. Did you personally have any knowledge of any specific bid or contract that was rigged  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm directing you back to Exhibit 27. This was the exchange you had with Mr. German?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. In June.  And on the first page, do you see  Mr. German says: Hey Karl, good to hear from you?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. No, because: Technically the next step is a round of interviews, but we will be                 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  page, Anne.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs?  A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises?  A. Yes, they are. Q. And you forwarded those to Ms. Lopez as a member of the press; correct?  A. Yes, I did. Q. Okay. One of the other things you had mentioned in, I believe, the e-mail to Mr. Musk on August 3rd and others was bid rigging.  Do you recall that?  A. I do, yes. Q. Did you personally have any knowledge of any specific bid or contract that was rigged or fraudulent during the time you were at Tesla? | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm directing you back to Exhibit 27. This was the exchange you had with Mr. German?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. In June.  And on the first page, do you see  Mr. German says: Hey Karl, good to hear from you?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. No, because: Technically the next step is a round of interviews, but we will be bypassing that. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 page, Anne. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm now looking at the second page of this e-mail that you sent to Ms. Lopez. Am I seeing here that you've attached photographs? A. Yes, sir, I did. Q. Are these photographs from the Tesla premises? A. Yes, they are. Q. And you forwarded those to Ms. Lopez as a member of the press; correct? A. Yes, I did. Q. Okay. One of the other things you had mentioned in, I believe, the e-mail to Mr. Musk on August 3rd and others was bid rigging. Do you recall that? A. I do, yes. Q. Did you personally have any knowledge of any specific bid or contract that was rigged  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Exhibit 27.  Different point, I promise, everybody.  Can I move to admit 23?  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 23 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: 27.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I'm directing you back to Exhibit 27. This was the exchange you had with Mr. German?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. In June.  And on the first page, do you see  Mr. German says: Hey Karl, good to hear from you?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. No, because: Technically the next step is a round of interviews, but we will be                 |

| 1  | Page 206<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 | 1  | Page 207<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 |
|----|--|----|--|
| 2  | scheduled.   | 2  | A. No, I don't.                                    |
| 3  | Do you see that?                                   | 3  | Q. So, Mr. Hansen, we saw the TCR that             |
| 4  | A. Yes, I do.                                      | 4  | you submitted.                                     |
| 5  | Q. Okay. Do you know, was a background             | 5  | Do you recall that?                                |
| 6  | check done on you before you went to USSA?         | 6  | A. Yes.  |
| 7  | A. Yes.  | 7  | Q. Did the SEC ever reach out to you to            |
| 8  | Q. And you had to authorize that; right?           | 8  | speak to you?                                      |
| 9  | A. I did, yes.                                     | 9  | A. To date, no, they didn't.                       |
| 10 | Q. Did these some of the people you                | 10 | Q. Okay. In fact, didn't you file a                |
| 11 | were looking and reviewing in connection with the  | 11 | subsequent TCR as recently as last year?           |
| 12 | cartel allegations, they were contractors to       | 12 | A. I did, yes.                                     |
| 13 | Tesla; correct?                                    | 13 | Q. Have they responded to you in                   |
| 14 | A. Some of them were, yes, sir.                    | 14 | connection with that filing?                       |
| 15 | O. So we saw like Aerotek.                         | 15 | A. Acknowledged receipt of that.                   |
| 16 | A. Yes. That's correct, yes.                       | 16 | Q. But no investigator from the SEC has            |
| 17 | Q. Did you have any knowledge of what              | 17 | ever reached out to you or asked to interview      |
| 18 | background checks were required by any of the      | 18 | you?   |
| 19 | contractors that hired any of the contractors      | 19 | A. No, not at this time.                           |
| 20 | that were some of the folks you were looking at?   | 20 | Q. Have you ever met with any individual           |
| 21 | A. No.   | 21 | from the Drug Enforcement Agency in connection     |
| 22 | Q. Do you know what representations the            | 22 | with the anonymous tip?                            |
| 23 | contractor has to make to Tesla about whether any  | 23 | A. No, I did not.                                  |
| 24 | of the people that they're contracting back to     | 24 | Q. Let's go to 62.                                 |
| 25 |  | 25 |  |
| 45 | Tesla have any criminal history?                   | 25 | So we're going to Exhibit 62.                      |
|    | Page 208   | _  | Page 209   |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1             | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1             |
| 2  | A. Okay.   | 2  | Q. Did you ever look at it to see what             |
| 3  | Q. Mr. Hansen, I'm showing you what's              | 3  | the rules were with regard to texting while you    |
| 4  | been marked as Exhibit 62. Looks to be a text      | 4  | were on the job?                                   |
| 5  | exchange.  | 5  | A. I read the entire manual, I just                |
| 6  | Do you know who this text exchange                 | 6  | don't recall at this point what the manual stated  |
| 7  | was with?  | 7  | specifically regarding that.                       |
| 8  | A. Ms. Wells.                                      | 8  | Q. Okay. So this is on August 30th, and            |
| 9  | Q. So this is as of August 30th?                   | 9  | you mentioned this, that Mr. Musk drove through    |
| 10 | A. Correct.  | 10 | the gate; right?                                   |
| 11 | Q. Is that your handwriting? Or whose              | 11 | A. That's correct, yes.                            |
| 12 | handwriting is that on the upper left?             | 12 | Q. And is this you communicating that              |
| 13 | A. That was Ms. Wells's.                           | 13 | with Ms. Wells?                                    |
| 14 | Q. And so were you texting with                    | 14 | A. It is, yes.                                     |
| 15 | Ms. Wells while you were working?                  | 15 | Q. And you say: He recognized me, I'm              |
| 16 | A. I was, yes.                                     | 16 | sure.  |
| 17 | Q. And do you know if USSA or Tesla's              | 17 | Do you see that?                                   |
| 18 | policies have any prohibition of texting with      | 18 | A. I did. I do, yes.                               |
| 19 | outside folks while you're working?                | 19 | Q. Do you have any knowledge other than            |
| 20 | A. No, I don't. I don't recall                     | 20 | this of whether he actually recognized you?        |
| 21 | specifically.                                      | 21 | A. No.   |
| 22 | Q. But do you recall when you were                 | 22 | Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure I            |
| 23 | onboarded with USSA, did you have to agree to a    | 23 | know the texts that are yours and Ms. Wells's if   |
| 24 | manual?  | 24 | we go to 3327.                                     |
| 25 | A. I did. Yes, sir.                                | 25 | It's the third page.                               |
|    |  |    |  |

| 1  | Page 210<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 211<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | It's hard to read, and I'll clean it   | 2  | A. Yes.  |
| 3  | up.  | 3  | O. Exhibit 14 to what?   |
| 4  | It says: Next time that blank comes  | 4  | A. I don't recall specifically.  |
| 5  | in my God damn window, he's going to experience  | 5  | Q. Well, was this part of a submission   |
| 6  | climate change, all right.   | 6  | that was made to any member of the press?  |
| 7  | Who was that?  | 7  | A. No. I don't recall what it was  |
| 1  |  |  |  |
| 8  | He's going to be seeing stars.   | 8  | referencing.   |
| 9  | A. That was Wells.   | 9  | Q. I mean, was do you recall   |
| 10   | Q. Okay. Did you and Ms. Wells ever  | 10   | compiling exhibits for something?  |
| 11   | discuss causing any harm to Mr. Musk?  | 11   | A. I did when I retained initial   |
| 12   | A. Absolutely not.   | 12   | counsel, and I put together things that I  |
| 13   | Q. She's just being funny here?  | 13   | referenced as exhibits. That might have been   |
| 14   | A. I assume she was.   | 14   | what that was, in compiling that and information   |
| 15   | MR. ROBERTSON: I move in 62.   | 15   | for the SEC as well.   |
| 16   | A. And I don't think I don't even  | 16   | Q. Did you complain that you were being  |
| 17   | know what that's referring to. I don't think   | 17   | underutilized?   |
| 18   | that that's referring to Mr. Musk at all.  | 18   | A. I know Matt German complained that I  |
| 19   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any objection to 62?  | 19   | was being underutilized.   |
| 20   | MR. WOODFIELD: No, Your Honor.   | 20   | Q. So you personally, you never  |
| 21   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: 62 is in.   | 21   | complained to anyone that you were being   |
| 22   | (Whereupon, Exhibit 62 was received.)  | 22   | underutilized. Is that your testimony?   |
| 23   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 23   | A. Not that I recall. That is my   |
| 24   | Q. And, looking at the top left, there's   | 24   | testimony. I think after discussing that with  |
| 25   | a reference to Exhibit No. 14 in handwriting.  | 25   | Mr. German and maybe Mr. McLellan, where that  |
| 1  |  |  |  |
|  | Page 212   |  | Page 213   |
| 1  | Page 212<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 213<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 1 2  | 9  | 1 2  |  |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those  | 2  | Hansen $v$ Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about  | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there. BY MR. ROBERTSON:  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much,  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells? A. They appear to be, yes.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells? A. They appear to be, yes. Q. And again, are these texts that  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes.  Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes.  Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  A. Yes.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that. What are you referring to when you  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes.  Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  A. Yes.  Q. If we go to the next page, the second   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that.  What are you referring to when you say that?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes.  Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  A. Yes.  Q. If we go to the next page, the second page.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that.  What are you referring to when you say that?  Q. I'm asking you whether you recall saying that to anybody.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes.  Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  A. Yes.  Q. If we go to the next page, the second page.  A. Yes.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that.  What are you referring to when you say that?  Q. I'm asking you whether you recall   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells? A. They appear to be, yes. Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working? A. Yes. Q. If we go to the next page, the second page. A. Yes. Q. And you say: It's a blank waste of my time, skills, and abilities, but when we were   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that.  What are you referring to when you say that?  Q. I'm asking you whether you recall saying that to anybody.  A. No. Sitting here today, I don't recall saying that.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes.  Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  A. Yes.  Q. If we go to the next page, the second page.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say: It's a blank waste of my time, skills, and abilities, but when we were in the pre-op briefing and they announced that   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that.  What are you referring to when you say that?  Q. I'm asking you whether you recall saying that to anybody.  A. No. Sitting here today, I don't recall saying that.  Q. All right. Let's pull up 73.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes.  Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  A. Yes.  Q. If we go to the next page, the second page.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say: It's a blank waste of my time, skills, and abilities, but when we were in the pre-op briefing and they announced that I'd be sitting in this f'ing chair, I said, hey,  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that.  What are you referring to when you say that?  Q. I'm asking you whether you recall saying that to anybody.  A. No. Sitting here today, I don't recall saying that.  Q. All right. Let's pull up 73.  MR. ROBERTSON: Admit 62? I'm sorry.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes. Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  A. Yes. Q. If we go to the next page, the second page.  A. Yes. Q. And you say: It's a blank waste of my time, skills, and abilities, but when we were in the pre-op briefing and they announced that I'd be sitting in this f'ing chair, I said, hey, thanks so much guys. This is yet again another  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that.  What are you referring to when you say that?  Q. I'm asking you whether you recall saying that to anybody.  A. No. Sitting here today, I don't recall saying that.  Q. All right. Let's pull up 73.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes.  Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  A. Yes.  Q. If we go to the next page, the second page.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say: It's a blank waste of my time, skills, and abilities, but when we were in the pre-op briefing and they announced that I'd be sitting in this f'ing chair, I said, hey,  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that.  What are you referring to when you say that?  Q. I'm asking you whether you recall saying that to anybody.  A. No. Sitting here today, I don't recall saying that.  Q. All right. Let's pull up 73.  MR. ROBERTSON: Admit 62? I'm sorry. Move to admit 62, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 62 is in.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes.  Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  A. Yes.  Q. If we go to the next page, the second page.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say: It's a blank waste of my time, skills, and abilities, but when we were in the pre-op briefing and they announced that I'd be sitting in this f'ing chair, I said, hey, thanks so much guys. This is yet again another great use of my expertise.  A. So that that is yes, I did say   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that.  What are you referring to when you say that?  Q. I'm asking you whether you recall saying that to anybody.  A. No. Sitting here today, I don't recall saying that.  Q. All right. Let's pull up 73.  MR. ROBERTSON: Admit 62? I'm sorry. Move to admit 62, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 62 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes.  Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  A. Yes.  Q. If we go to the next page, the second page.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say: It's a blank waste of my time, skills, and abilities, but when we were in the pre-op briefing and they announced that I'd be sitting in this f'ing chair, I said, hey, thanks so much guys. This is yet again another great use of my expertise.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that.  What are you referring to when you say that?  Q. I'm asking you whether you recall saying that to anybody.  A. No. Sitting here today, I don't recall saying that.  Q. All right. Let's pull up 73.  MR. ROBERTSON: Admit 62? I'm sorry.  Move to admit 62, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 62 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  Anne's keeping me honest.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes.  Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  A. Yes.  Q. If we go to the next page, the second page.  A. Yes.  Q. And you say: It's a blank waste of my time, skills, and abilities, but when we were in the pre-op briefing and they announced that I'd be sitting in this f'ing chair, I said, hey, thanks so much guys. This is yet again another great use of my expertise.  A. So that that is yes, I did say that in that message, and that is directly related to the conversation with Mr. German on   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that.  What are you referring to when you say that?  Q. I'm asking you whether you recall saying that to anybody.  A. No. Sitting here today, I don't recall saying that.  Q. All right. Let's pull up 73.  MR. ROBERTSON: Admit 62? I'm sorry.  Move to admit 62, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 62 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  Anne's keeping me honest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And what's the next                      | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes. Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  A. Yes. Q. If we go to the next page, the second page.  A. Yes. Q. And you say: It's a blank waste of my time, skills, and abilities, but when we were in the pre-op briefing and they announced that I'd be sitting in this f'ing chair, I said, hey, thanks so much guys. This is yet again another great use of my expertise.  A. So that that is yes, I did say that in that message, and that is directly related to the conversation with Mr. German on that day in that specific location.                          |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that.  What are you referring to when you say that?  Q. I'm asking you whether you recall saying that to anybody.  A. No. Sitting here today, I don't recall saying that.  Q. All right. Let's pull up 73.  MR. ROBERTSON: Admit 62? I'm sorry.  Move to admit 62, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 62 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  Anne's keeping me honest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And what's the next one you're going to? | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes. Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  A. Yes. Q. If we go to the next page, the second page.  A. Yes. Q. And you say: It's a blank waste of my time, skills, and abilities, but when we were in the pre-op briefing and they announced that I'd be sitting in this f'ing chair, I said, hey, thanks so much guys. This is yet again another great use of my expertise.  A. So that that is yes, I did say that in that message, and that is directly related to the conversation with Mr. German on that day in that specific location. Q. But you did say that. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 conversation originated, perhaps those discussions, we may have had a discussion about it; but I don't recall complaining about that to anybody specifically.  Q. Do you recall saying thanks so much, guys, this is yet another great use of my experience?  A. I don't recall that.  What are you referring to when you say that?  Q. I'm asking you whether you recall saying that to anybody.  A. No. Sitting here today, I don't recall saying that.  Q. All right. Let's pull up 73.  MR. ROBERTSON: Admit 62? I'm sorry.  Move to admit 62, please.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 62 is in.  MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.  Anne's keeping me honest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And what's the next                      | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 there.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. Hansen, looking at Exhibit 73, are these text exchanges with Ms. Wells?  A. They appear to be, yes. Q. And again, are these texts that you're texting her while you're working?  A. Yes. Q. If we go to the next page, the second page.  A. Yes. Q. And you say: It's a blank waste of my time, skills, and abilities, but when we were in the pre-op briefing and they announced that I'd be sitting in this f'ing chair, I said, hey, thanks so much guys. This is yet again another great use of my expertise.  A. So that that is yes, I did say that in that message, and that is directly related to the conversation with Mr. German on that day in that specific location. Q. But you did say that. |

|  |  | _  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1  | Page 214<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 215<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2  | MR. ROBERTSON: Do we have his USSA   | 2  | Q. And here, there's two pay rates, if   |
| 3  | papers? 199.   | 3  | we look at the look below. There's the \$19.80   |
| 4  | Oh, did I move that one in? I'd like   | 4  | pay rate for 34 hours and \$27 for 25 hours.   |
| 5  | to move this one in. 73.   | 5  | Do you see that?   |
| 6  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: With no objection, 73   | 6  | A. I do, yes.  |
| 7  | is in.   | 7  | Q. Okay. So isn't it true that, in   |
| 8  | (Whereupon, Exhibit 73 was received.)  | 8  | fact, at some point during this first two weeks  |
| 9  | MR. ROBERTSON: And now we're moving  | 9  | that you were employed at USSA, you were making  |
| 10   | to 199.  | 10   | \$19.80 an hour?   |
| 11   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 11   | A. That is correct, yes.   |
| 12   | Q. Mr. Hansen, I'm putting in front of   | 12   | Q. Okay. And it's another point in time  |
| 13   | you what's been marked as joint Exhibit 199.   | 13   | you were making 27.  |
| 14   | These are the pay records from USSA.   | 14   | A. That's correct, yes, sir.   |
| 15   |  | 15   |  |
|  | Do you recognize these?  | 16   | Q. And do you know when each of those  |
| 16   | A. Yes.  | 17   | periods were?  A. I don't. I don't recall  |
| 17<br>18   | Q. And when we look at the first page,   | 18   |  |
| 19   | it says that the period beginning 7-13 through 7-26-18.  | 19   | specifically.  |
| 20   | Do you see that?   | 20   | Q. And then if we can to go USSA 150, which is one, two, three four pages in.  |
| 21   | A. I do, yes.  | 21   | And I believe, Mr. Hansen, we  |
| 22   |  | 22   |  |
| 23   | Q. With the pay date of August 3rd.  | 23   | established that your last day at USSA, that you   |
| l  | And again, I think we've established   |  | were assigned back to the or assigned to the   |
| 24   | you started working on July 17th; correct?   | 24   | Tesla property was September 4th; is that right?   |
| 25   | A. I believe that's accurate, yes.   | 25   | A. Yes, that's correct.  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Page 216   |  | Page 217   |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay   | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>178.   |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay<br>period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that  | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>178.<br>BY MR. ROBERTSON:  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay<br>period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that<br>your pay rate is \$19.80; correct?  | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178. A. I see it, yes.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178. A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178. A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178. A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to Greg Slettvet at Tesla.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178. A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to Greg Slettvet at Tesla. Subject, Karl Hansen.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes. Q. And did you ever make more than  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178. A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to Greg Slettvet at Tesla. Subject, Karl Hansen. Do you see this?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes. Q. And did you ever make more than \$16.50 an hour when you were working as an  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178.  A. I see it, yes.  Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to Greg Slettvet at Tesla.  Subject, Karl Hansen.  Do you see this?  A. I do, yes.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes. Q. And did you ever make more than \$16.50 an hour when you were working as an employee of Tesla?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178.  A. I see it, yes.  Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to Greg Slettvet at Tesla.  Subject, Karl Hansen.  Do you see this?  A. I do, yes.  Q. Okay. Do you know whose e-mail  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes. Q. And did you ever make more than \$16.50 an hour when you were working as an employee of Tesla? A. No, I don't believe I did.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178. A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to Greg Slettvet at Tesla. Subject, Karl Hansen. Do you see this? A. I do, yes. Q. Okay. Do you know whose e-mail Karl Hannah is?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes. Q. And did you ever make more than \$16.50 an hour when you were working as an employee of Tesla? A. No, I don't believe I did. Q. Okay. So, in fact, the move from   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178. A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to Greg Slettvet at Tesla. Subject, Karl Hansen. Do you see this? A. I do, yes. Q. Okay. Do you know whose e-mail Karl Hannah is? A. I have no idea.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes. Q. And did you ever make more than \$16.50 an hour when you were working as an employee of Tesla? A. No, I don't believe I did. Q. Okay. So, in fact, the move from Tesla to USSA, you got a raise?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178. A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to Greg Slettvet at Tesla. Subject, Karl Hansen. Do you see this? A. I do, yes. Q. Okay. Do you know whose e-mail Karl Hannah is? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. It's not you?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes. Q. And did you ever make more than \$16.50 an hour when you were working as an employee of Tesla? A. No, I don't believe I did. Q. Okay. So, in fact, the move from Tesla to USSA, you got a raise? A. I did, yes.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178. A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to Greg Slettvet at Tesla. Subject, Karl Hansen. Do you see this? A. I do, yes. Q. Okay. Do you know whose e-mail Karl Hannah is? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. It's not you? A. It's definitely not me.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes. Q. And did you ever make more than \$16.50 an hour when you were working as an employee of Tesla? A. No, I don't believe I did. Q. Okay. So, in fact, the move from Tesla to USSA, you got a raise? A. I did, yes. Q. Let's go to 178.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178. A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to Greg Slettvet at Tesla. Subject, Karl Hansen. Do you see this? A. I do, yes. Q. Okay. Do you know whose e-mail Karl Hannah is? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. It's not you? A. It's definitely not me. Q. Okay. Do you know who Jens Peter is?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes. Q. And did you ever make more than \$16.50 an hour when you were working as an employee of Tesla? A. No, I don't believe I did. Q. Okay. So, in fact, the move from Tesla to USSA, you got a raise? A. I did, yes. Q. Let's go to 178. MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, move to admit the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178. A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to Greg Slettvet at Tesla. Subject, Karl Hansen. Do you see this? A. I do, yes. Q. Okay. Do you know whose e-mail Karl Hannah is? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. It's not you? A. It's definitely not me. Q. Okay. Do you know who Jens Peter is? A. Jens Peter Clausen was I think he  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes. Q. And did you ever make more than \$16.50 an hour when you were working as an employee of Tesla? A. No, I don't believe I did. Q. Okay. So, in fact, the move from Tesla to USSA, you got a raise? A. I did, yes. Q. Let's go to 178. MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, move to admit the pay records, 199.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178.  A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to Greg Slettvet at Tesla.  Subject, Karl Hansen.  Do you see this?  A. I do, yes. Q. Okay. Do you know whose e-mail Karl Hannah is?  A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. It's not you? A. It's definitely not me. Q. Okay. Do you know who Jens Peter is? A. Jens Peter Clausen was I think he was an executive vice president, I think, at the  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes. Q. And did you ever make more than \$16.50 an hour when you were working as an employee of Tesla? A. No, I don't believe I did. Q. Okay. So, in fact, the move from Tesla to USSA, you got a raise? A. I did, yes. Q. Let's go to 178. MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, move to admit the pay records, 199. MR. WOODFIELD: I think they were  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178.  A. I see it, yes.  Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to Greg Slettvet at Tesla.  Subject, Karl Hansen.  Do you see this?  A. I do, yes.  Q. Okay. Do you know whose e-mail  Karl Hannah is?  A. I have no idea.  Q. Okay. It's not you?  A. It's definitely not me.  Q. Okay. Do you know who Jens Peter is?  A. Jens Peter Clausen was I think he was an executive vice president, I think, at the factory at one time, during my tenure there. I  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes. Q. And did you ever make more than \$16.50 an hour when you were working as an employee of Tesla? A. No, I don't believe I did. Q. Okay. So, in fact, the move from Tesla to USSA, you got a raise? A. I did, yes. Q. Let's go to 178. MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, move to admit the pay records, 199. MR. WOODFIELD: I think they were admitted before, but                                   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178.  A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a  Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to  Greg Slettvet at Tesla.  Subject, Karl Hansen.  Do you see this?  A. I do, yes. Q. Okay. Do you know whose e-mail  Karl Hannah is?  A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. It's not you?  A. It's definitely not me. Q. Okay. Do you know who Jens Peter is? A. Jens Peter Clausen was I think he  was an executive vice president, I think, at the factory at one time, during my tenure there. I don't know if he's still there, but                                    |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes. Q. And did you ever make more than \$16.50 an hour when you were working as an employee of Tesla? A. No, I don't believe I did. Q. Okay. So, in fact, the move from Tesla to USSA, you got a raise? A. I did, yes. Q. Let's go to 178. MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, move to admit the pay records, 199. MR. WOODFIELD: I think they were admitted before, but MS. DUNNE: They've been admitted. | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178.  A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to Greg Slettvet at Tesla.  Subject, Karl Hansen.  Do you see this?  A. I do, yes. Q. Okay. Do you know whose e-mail Karl Hannah is?  A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. It's not you?  A. It's definitely not me. Q. Okay. Do you know who Jens Peter is? A. Jens Peter Clausen was I think he was an executive vice president, I think, at the factory at one time, during my tenure there. I don't know if he's still there, but Q. Did he ever say the things that are |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Q. Okay. And it shows here on this pay period beginning 8-24 through September 6th, that your pay rate is \$19.80; correct? A. It does, yes. Q. So that was, in fact, your pay rate at the time that you were that the request was made that you no longer be assigned to the Tesla factory; correct? A. It appears that's accurate, yes. Q. And did you ever make more than \$16.50 an hour when you were working as an employee of Tesla? A. No, I don't believe I did. Q. Okay. So, in fact, the move from Tesla to USSA, you got a raise? A. I did, yes. Q. Let's go to 178. MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, move to admit the pay records, 199. MR. WOODFIELD: I think they were admitted before, but                                   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  178.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you what's been identified as Exhibit 178.  A. I see it, yes. Q. That looks to be an e-mail from a  Karl Hannah at karlhannah@contractor.net to  Greg Slettvet at Tesla.  Subject, Karl Hansen.  Do you see this?  A. I do, yes. Q. Okay. Do you know whose e-mail  Karl Hannah is?  A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. It's not you?  A. It's definitely not me. Q. Okay. Do you know who Jens Peter is? A. Jens Peter Clausen was I think he  was an executive vice president, I think, at the factory at one time, during my tenure there. I don't know if he's still there, but                                    |

Page 219 Page 218 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 Q. Do you have any idea where this came 2 I don't know that this was used in 3 from? 3 his deposition. 4 A. I have no idea. First I saw it was 4 I mean, I can withdraw it. That's 5 when it was produced in discovery. 5 fine. I've asked the questions. It's -- I'll б Q. Okay. Did anyone talk to you about б just withdraw it, Your Honor. It's easier. 7 this e-mail or ask you any questions about it? 7 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. 8 A. Never. 8 MR. ROBERTSON: Let's go to 145. 9 9 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Okay. 10 MR. ROBERTSON: Move this in. 10 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, do you know whether 11 MR. WOODFIELD: I'm going to object after you -- well, let me back-up. 11 on this one. This is -- no one's ever seen this. 12 12 Did you ever personally have any 13 It's from some unidentified e-mail. It's hearsay 13 interactions with the Storey County district in the rankest form, and all it is is slanderous, 14 14 attorney in connection with any copper theft? 15 defamatory. I mean, this is just -- if the rules 15 A. I did not, no. of evidence applied, we wouldn't even be horsing 16 16 Q. Okay. And do you know whether 17 around with this nonsense. 17 Mr. Gouthro was having direct communications with 18 JUDGE HOFFMAN: So the objection is 18 the Storey County District Attorney's Office with 19 foundation and relevance? I'm not seeing either 19 regard to the copper theft issue? 20 foundation or relevance in this document. Maybe 20 A. Mr. Gouthro indicated to me that he you can enlighten me. had been in regular contact with the DA's office 21 21 22 MR. ROBERTSON: Well, the issue was 22 there. 23 Mr. Jones, so they made a lot of comments about 23 Q. Okay. Jeff Jones, and, you know, what Jeff Jones may 24 24 Do you know whether there was ever 25 25 have seen. any conclusion that was reached as to how much Page 220 Page 221 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 copper, the value of the copper that the 2 Tesla other than the Lynn Thompson theft or 3 attempted theft was that Mr. Thompson raised? 3 attempted theft? 4 4 A. I'm sorry, can you repeat the A. Yes, there were -- there were several 5 question, please? 5 other investigations that I participated in with 6 6 respect to various thefts of copper, whether --Q. Sure. 7 7 Q. It --Do you know whether there was ever a 8 conclusion as to the value of the copper that was 8 A. Yes. 9 the subject of the attempted theft that 9 Q. And in any of those investigations, Mr. Thompson was involved in? 10 do you recall any specific quantification of the 10 11 A. I believe that you had asked me that 11 amount -- the value of the copper at issue that 12 during the depo, and it came out to something 12 was the subject of either the theft or the 13 13 like \$675, is what you had reported to me during attempted theft? 14 the deposition. 14 A. No, sir, I don't. 15 15 Q. So in terms of how that theft or Q. Okay. And beyond that amount of \$675, again, have you ever had any specific attempted theft might have affected or impacted 16 16 17 incident, a specific incident of theft or 17 Tesla's financial statements, do you have any knowledge of that? 18 attempted theft of copper that you were involved 18 19 in during the time you were at Tesla? 19 A. No, I don't. 20 A. Mr. Robertson, I'm sorry, sir. It 20 MR. ROBERTSON: Actually, Your Honor, 21 was cutting out. Can you please repeat that? 21 if we can get -- what time is it? We've been 22 Q. Probably easier to -- I can just --22 going about an hour. If I can have five minutes, 23 Other than -- was there any specific 23 I can wrap it up. incident of copper theft that you were personally 24 24 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Let's take 25 involved in and investigating while you were at five.

|     | Page 222  |    | Page 223   |
|-----|---|----|--|
| 1   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1            | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1             |
| 2   | (Recess taken, 3:02 p.m. to                       | 2  | A. That wasn't a media out resource.               |
| 3   | 3:11 p.m. PDT)                                    | 3  | Q. The LA Times?                                   |
| 4   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Can we go ahead and                | 4  | A. Yes.  |
| 5   | start?  | 5  | Q. You've also done podcasts; right?               |
| 6   | MR. ROBERTSON: All set?                           | 6  | A. I did two podcasts.                             |
| 7   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, go ahead.                    | 7  | Q. You did a podcast on somebody called            |
| 8   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:                                 | 8  | TSLAQ?   |
| 9   | Q. All right. Mr. Hansen, we mentioned            | 9  | A. That yes, that's correct.                       |
| 10  | quickly the your communications with Ms. Lopez    | 10 | Q. And more than once; right? You did a            |
| 11  | at Business Insider.                              | 11 | couple of podcasts; right?                         |
| 12  | Do you recall that?                               | 12 | A. I did, yes.                                     |
| 13  | A. Yes, sir.                                      | 13 | Q. And how recently have you done those?           |
| 14  | Q. You also communicated with other               | 14 | A. It's been a couple of years.                    |
| 15  | press outlets; correct?                           | 15 | Q. You also have a Twitter account;                |
| 16  | A. I did, yes.                                    | 16 | correct?   |
| 17  | Q. Including CNBC?                                | 17 | A. I do.   |
| 18  | A. Yes.   | 18 | O. And isn't it true that even within              |
| 19  | Q. Bloomberg?                                     | 19 | the last 48 hours, you've posted Twitter comments  |
| 20  | A. Yes.   | 20 | about Tesla on your Twitter account?               |
| 21  | O. The Wall Street Journal?                       | 21 | A. I have.   |
| 22  | A. Yes.   | 22 | Q. Well, you mentioned sort of how                 |
| 23  | Q. The Nevada Appeal?                             | 23 | emotionally distressed you are about this, but     |
| 24  | A. Yes.   | 24 | you've gone on, with numerous press outlets,       |
| 25  | Q. Chartcast?                                     | 25 | you've done a podcast and you're still Twittering  |
|     |   |    |  |
| 1   | Page 224 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 225<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 |
| 2   | about Tesla.                                      | 2  | A. So in preliminary discussions                   |
| 3   | Is that all correct?                              | 3  | regarding that, yeah, there could be a potential   |
| 4   | A. Sure, that's correct.                          | 4  | to make some money.                                |
| 5   | Q. Let's go to Exhibit 17.                        | 5  | BY MR. ROBERTSON:                                  |
| 6   | And while she's pulling that up, in               | 6  | Q. And likewise, when you file a TCR               |
| 7   | fact, you've also talked with someone at Netflix  | 7  | with the SEC, if the SEC investigates and          |
| 8   | about doing a documentary; right?                 | 8  | ultimately there's a settlement or a payment by    |
| 9   | A. I did, yes.                                    | 9  | the target of that whistleblower complaint, you    |
| 10  | Q. And you've talked to book publishers           | 10 | would expect to share in any recovery; correct?    |
| 11  | and authors about doing a book?                   | 11 | A. Mr. Robertson, you broke up. I                  |
| 12  | A. I have talked to people about writing          | 12 | really apologize, but I did not hear your          |
| 13  | a book potentially.                               | 13 | question.  |
| 14  | O. And if there was a Netflix                     | 14 | Q. Okay. Mr. Hansen, when you filed                |
| 15  | documentary for a book, you would assume that you | 15 | your TCR with the Securities and Exchange          |
| 16  | would make some money from that; right?           | 16 | Commission, had the SEC investigated and had       |
| 17  | MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, calls for               | 17 | there been any claim against Tesla where Tesla     |
| 18  | speculation.                                      | 18 | paid money, you expected to share in that money;   |
| 19  | MR. ROBERTSON: So is he answering or              | 19 | correct?   |
| 20  | not?  | 20 | A. I don't know if that's an                       |
| 21  | I think there's a pending objection.              | 21 | expectation. There's a potential written into      |
| 22  | MR. WOODFIELD: There is.                          | 22 | the laws, as I understand it, that that is a       |
| 23  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: I'm sorry, I was                   | 23 | potential.   |
| 24  | muted. The objection is overruled.                | 24 | Q. Well, you understand there's a                  |
| 25  | Answer if you can.                                | 25 | difference between picking up the phone and        |
| 1 - | <u> </u>  |    | 1 3 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1             |

Page 226 Page 227 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 calling the SEC and providing them information 2 recovery that you would get in the event the SEC 3 3 and filing an actual complaint in the recovered money from Tesla; right? 4 Whistleblower Program with the SEC; correct? 4 MR. WOODFIELD: Objection --5 5 A. Potentially, any whistleblower. A. Again, I -- it came in all garbled, б sir. Unfortunately I did not hear any of that. 6 MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, 7 MR. ROBERTSON: Is everyone else 7 Your Honor. There's actually no right to 8 hearing me okay or is it just Mr. Hansen? recovery under the SEC program. It's an awards 9 JUDGE HOFFMAN: I think it's just 9 program and the SEC -- there's no such right or 10 Mr. Hansen. I'm hearing you fine. 10 entitlement. 11 MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. 11 MR. ROBERTSON: Your Honor --12 12 JUDGE HOFFMAN: That was the I'll try again, Mr. Hansen. 13 13 BY MR. ROBERTSON: definition of a speaking objection. Q. You understand --14 MR. ROBERTSON: Yes. Thank you, 14 15 A. I really apologize. 15 Your Honor. Q. That's okay. It's okay. We'll get JUDGE HOFFMAN: If the objection is 16 16 17 through it. 17 competence of this witness or lack of foundation, I'll take that. But I'll let the question go 18 Mr. Hansen, you understand there is a 18 19 big difference between just calling up the SEC 19 unless you have a specific objection like 20 and providing them information and actually 20 competence or foundation or hearsay or that sort filing a claim through a TCR with the 21 21 of thing. 22 whistleblower office; correct? 22 MR. ROBERTSON: And I think we got an 23 A. Yes. 23 answer. So, Your Honor, if you think the record 24 24 will be okay, I'll move on. Q. Okay. And in one instance, you 25 25 can -- you would be entitled to a share of any JUDGE HOFFMAN: I didn't hear the Page 229 Page 228 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 answer, I'm sorry. 2 to -- hopefully we can get this in. 3 3 MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, fine. So my question, Mr. Hansen was, to 4 4 BY MR. ROBERTSON: the extent that you filed a TCR with the SEC, did 5 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, did you understand 5 you understand that --6 that to the extent you filed a TCR and the SEC 6 A. All I heard was SEC. 7 7 actually brought some kind of action against MR. ROBERTSON: I'm not sure what to 8 Tesla and recovered money, that part of that --8 do, folks. 9 that you could potentially share in that money? 9 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, if we could 10 THE WITNESS: My screen is locked and 10 have Mr. Hansen call in on a phone line, I guess. 11 MR. ROBERTSON: Yeah. I'm fine with 11 I can't hear. 12 MR. ROBERTSON: Shall we have him log 12 that. 13 13 MS. BRAXTON: Maybe it makes sense to out and log back in? I'll hold the question. 14 MR. WOODFIELD: Karl, can you hear 14 log out at least once and try logging back in and 15 15 see if that fixes it. us? 16 16 MR. WOODFIELD: Well, it's probably a JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Hansen, can you 17 hear us? 17 bandwidth issue, so the simplest thing for him to 18 THE WITNESS: I just heard the last do is to go on mute here and dial in with the 18 19 part of that, Your Honor. 19 phone. 20 20 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, let's keep JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, that makes 21 21 sense to me. I think that would work, if you -plugging away. 22 22 MR. ROBERTSON: Let's keep plugging Mr. Hansen, if you mute your Zoom, 23 away. 23 and then go ahead and call in on the phone line, 24 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 24 I think you'll be able to testify more clearly. 25 25 Let's go off the record. Q. If I have you, Mr. Hansen, I'll try

Page 230 Page 231 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 (Recess taken, 3:20 p.m. to 2 process of filing with TCR was that you might get 3 3:23 p.m. PDT) 3 money at the end of the day? 4 4 A. Yeah, I did. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. We're in 5 business. 5 Q. Let's go to Exhibit 17. 6 Back on the record. 6 Mr. Hansen, I've put up on the screen 7 7 BY MR. ROBERTSON: joint Exhibit 17. 8 O. Excellent. So when we broke off, 8 A. I see it, yes. 9 Mr. Hansen, I was just asking you whether -- did 9 Q. Is this the -- or why don't you just 10 you understand when you filed the TCR with the 10 explain, what is this document? SEC that if the SEC brought a claim and --11 A. A preliminary report by Mr. Gouthro, 11 MR. ROBERTSON: We're not there yet. 12 a couple weeks after being given that tip, 12 13 I'm trying to clean up the last question. 13 primarily comprised of information related to 14 14 those identified in the -- in that very Sorry. 15 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 15 preliminary document. 16 16 Q. That if the SEC brought any kind of Q. Okay. So, Mr. Hansen, do you recall 17 claim and the SEC was able to settle with or got 17 around when you prepared this document? 18 a judgment against Tesla, that you would be 18 A. I believe it was June 12, 2018. 19 entitled to a monetary payment. 19 Q. And was there any subsequent report 20 A. No, I didn't think I -- I didn't 2.0 that you prepared and submitted to Mr. Gouthro after this one? 21 expect that that was an entitlement. I 21 22 understand that there was a process involved with 22 A. No, there was not. 23 that. That was my understanding, that 23 Q. Okay. And in this report, at the top 24 there's a line item that says estimated value, 24 potentially that could happen. 25 25 Q. Right. So you knew that part of this and it says TBD. Page 232 Page 233 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 Do you see that? 2 whether you have any understanding of how a drug 3 A. Yes, I see that. 3 cartel, purportedly operating within one of the 4 4 Q. Okay. And in terms of this drug Tesla facilities, has any impact on the financial 5 cartel, to the extent that there was a drug 5 statements that are provided to shareholders. 6 cartel operating within the Gigafactory, that MR. WOODFIELD: Your Honor, I'm going 6 7 7 wouldn't have had any effect on Tesla's financial to object at this point because now we're getting 8 statements, would it? 8 into the legal question of the efficacy of 9 MR. ROBERTSON: Objection, calls for 9 whether a TCR is viable and what's a -- what's a speculation. viable 10-K reportable event. And I think that's 10 10 11 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled. 11 beyond the ken of an average individual. 12 You can answer if you know. 12 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, it probably is, 13 A. Your Honor, I don't know. I don't 13 but the witness can answer what his understanding 14 14 is of the impact of a drug investigation on know. BY MR. ROBERTSON: 15 Tesla, if he knows. 15 Q. What I'm trying to understand is 16 16 A. So, Mr. Robertson, your question was 17 Tesla was not in the business of selling drugs 17 if there was a cartel operating out of the and getting revenue or income from drug dealing; Gigafactory; is that correct? 18 18 19 riaht? 19 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 20 20 A. That's correct, and I don't think Q. We can read it back. My question is: 21 that was the basis of the allegations. 21 How does the allegation, unproven allegation of a Q. Right. Well, you filed a claim with 22 potential drug activity within the Gigafactory, 22 23 the SEC claiming that Tesla had SEC violations 23 how does that impact Tesla's financial statements 24 based upon, in part, these allegations of a drug 24 it provides to his shareholders? 25 cartel. And I'm just trying to understand 25 A. I'm not qualified to --

| 1  | Page 234<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 235<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
|--|--|--|---|
| 2  | MR. WOODFIELD: Same objection  | 2  | something with the SEC; correct?  |
| 3  | A answer that question.  | 3  | A. Yes, that is correct.  |
| 4  | BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 4  | Q. Was your contract through USSA   |
| 5  | Q. Okay. And again, there came a point   | 5  | terminated on the 17th of July I mean, of   |
| 6  | in time where you were asked by Tesla personnel  | 6  | August?   |
| 7  | to turn over your work product related to this   | 7  | A. I didn't hear that. Please repeat  |
| 8  | investigation and you refused; correct?  | 8  | the question.   |
| 9  | A. I did, yes.   | 9  | Q. Was your what did Tesla  |
| 10   | Q. And, Mr. Hansen, if I had my dates  | 10   | instruct USSA to end your assignment at the   |
| 11   | right, your attorney put out a press release   | 11   | Gigafactory on the 16th of August?  |
| 12   | about you having gone to the SEC on August 16th;   | 12   | A. No, they did not.  |
| 13   | is that correct?   | 13   | Q. Did they do it on the 17th of August?  |
| 14   | A. Please repeat the question.   | 14   | A. No, they did not.  |
| 15   | Q. Sure.   | 15   | Q. In fact, it was several weeks later,   |
| 16   | My understanding is that in  | 16   | at the end of August, when the instruction came;  |
| 17   | connection with your that Mr. Meissner, your   | 17   | correct?  |
| 18   | attorney, put out a press release indicating that  | 18   | A. That is correct, yes.  |
| 19   | you had spoken to the SEC on August 16th; is that  | 19   | Q. And then oh, yeah, it says here:   |
| 20   | correct?   | 20   | Name of investigators, Karl Hansen & Associate.   |
| 21   | A. August 16th is the date of the press  | 21   | Who was your associate?   |
| 22   | release that Mr. Meissner put out.   | 22   | A. I'm sorry, say again?  |
| 23   | Q. Right.  | 23   | Q. Sure.  |
| 24   | And that August 16th was when in that  | 24   | In this document, it says: Name of  |
| 25   | press release it was disclosed that you had filed  | 25   | investigators. It says: Karl Hansen &   |
|  |  |  | -   |
|  |  |  |   |
| 1  | Page 236<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 237<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Dav 1  |
| 1 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>Associate.   | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not.   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate. Who is your associate?   | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not.  Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority   |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to  | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not.  Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was   | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not.  Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was Mr. Gouthro.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not. Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not. Q. Let's go to seven  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not. Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not. Q. Let's go to seven MR. ROBERTSON: What?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was Mr. Gouthro.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not. Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not. Q. Let's go to seven  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here is Ms. Wells?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not. Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not. Q. Let's go to seven  MR. ROBERTSON: What? Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here is Ms. Wells?  A. I can't hear a word you're saying.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not. Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not. Q. Let's go to seven MR. ROBERTSON: What? Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here is Ms. Wells?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not. Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not. Q. Let's go to seven MR. ROBERTSON: What? Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 17 is in.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here is Ms. Wells?  A. I can't hear a word you're saying.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can everyone else hear me?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not. Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not. Q. Let's go to seven  MR. ROBERTSON: What? Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 17 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was received.)   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here is Ms. Wells?  A. I can't hear a word you're saying.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can everyone else hear me?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not. Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not. Q. Let's go to seven MR. ROBERTSON: What? Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 17 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here is Ms. Wells?  A. I can't hear a word you're saying.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can everyone else hear me?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  A. Okay. I just got you now. So the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not.  Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not.  Q. Let's go to seven  MR. ROBERTSON: What?  Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 17 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 73.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to  Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was  Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here is Ms. Wells?  A. I can't hear a word you're saying.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can everyone else  hear me?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  A. Okay. I just got you now. So the associate is Mr. Gouthro.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not. Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not. Q. Let's go to seven  MR. ROBERTSON: What? Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 17 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Let's go to 73.  While Anne's finding that,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here is Ms. Wells?  A. I can't hear a word you're saying.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can everyone else hear me?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  A. Okay. I just got you now. So the associate is Mr. Gouthro. BY MR. ROBERTSON:   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not. Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not. Q. Let's go to seven MR. ROBERTSON: What? Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 17 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Let's go to 73. While Anne's finding that, Mr. Hansen, there was a discussion of Ken Davis.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to  Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was  Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here  is Ms. Wells?  A. I can't hear a word you're saying.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can everyone else  hear me?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  A. Okay. I just got you now. So the  associate is Mr. Gouthro.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. No. It says investigation  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not.  Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not.  Q. Let's go to seven  MR. ROBERTSON: What?  Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 17 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 73.  While Anne's finding that,  Mr. Hansen, there was a discussion of Ken Davis.  We saw the e-mail from Mr. Davis.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here is Ms. Wells?  A. I can't hear a word you're saying.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can everyone else hear me?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  A. Okay. I just got you now. So the associate is Mr. Gouthro.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. No. It says investigation supervisor, S. Gouthro.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not.  Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not.  Q. Let's go to seven  MR. ROBERTSON: What?  Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 17 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 73.  While Anne's finding that,  Mr. Hansen, there was a discussion of Ken Davis.  We saw the e-mail from Mr. Davis.  Do you recall that?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here is Ms. Wells?  A. I can't hear a word you're saying.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can everyone else hear me?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  A. Okay. I just got you now. So the associate is Mr. Gouthro. BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. No. It says investigation supervisor, S. Gouthro.  Name of investigators, Karl Hansen &   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not.  Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not.  Q. Let's go to seven  MR. ROBERTSON: What?  Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 17 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 73.  While Anne's finding that,  Mr. Hansen, there was a discussion of Ken Davis.  We saw the e-mail from Mr. Davis.  Do you recall that?  A. Yes, I do.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to  Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was  Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here  is Ms. Wells?  A. I can't hear a word you're saying.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can everyone else  hear me?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  A. Okay. I just got you now. So the  associate is Mr. Gouthro.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. No. It says investigation  supervisor, S. Gouthro.  Name of investigators, Karl Hansen &  Associate. Who is the associate?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not.  Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not.  Q. Let's go to seven  MR. ROBERTSON: What?  Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 17 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 73.  While Anne's finding that,  Mr. Hansen, there was a discussion of Ken Davis.  We saw the e-mail from Mr. Davis.  Do you recall that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. Okay. Ken Davis was never your   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here is Ms. Wells?  A. I can't hear a word you're saying.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can everyone else hear me?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  A. Okay. I just got you now. So the associate is Mr. Gouthro.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. No. It says investigation supervisor, S. Gouthro.  Name of investigators, Karl Hansen & Associate. Who is the associate?  A. The associate is also Mr. Gouthro,  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not.  Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not.  Q. Let's go to seven  MR. ROBERTSON: What?  Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 17 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 73.  While Anne's finding that,  Mr. Hansen, there was a discussion of Ken Davis.  We saw the e-mail from Mr. Davis.  Do you recall that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. Okay. Ken Davis was never your supervisor; right?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to  Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was  Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here  is Ms. Wells?  A. I can't hear a word you're saying.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can everyone else  hear me?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  A. Okay. I just got you now. So the  associate is Mr. Gouthro.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. No. It says investigation  supervisor, S. Gouthro.  Name of investigators, Karl Hansen &  Associate. Who is the associate?  A. The associate is also Mr. Gouthro,  and I mentioned that Ms. Wells was aware of this          | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not.  Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not.  Q. Let's go to seven  MR. ROBERTSON: What?  Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 17 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 73.  While Anne's finding that,  Mr. Hansen, there was a discussion of Ken Davis.  We saw the e-mail from Mr. Davis.  Do you recall that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. Okay. Ken Davis was never your supervisor; right?  A. No, he was not.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to  Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was  Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here  is Ms. Wells?  A. I can't hear a word you're saying.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can everyone else  hear me?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  A. Okay. I just got you now. So the  associate is Mr. Gouthro.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. No. It says investigation  supervisor, S. Gouthro.  Name of investigators, Karl Hansen &  Associate. Who is the associate?  A. The associate is also Mr. Gouthro,  and I mentioned that Ms. Wells was aware of this as well. | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not.  Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not.  Q. Let's go to seven  MR. ROBERTSON: What?  Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 17 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 73.  While Anne's finding that,  Mr. Hansen, there was a discussion of Ken Davis.  We saw the e-mail from Mr. Davis.  Do you recall that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. Okay. Ken Davis was never your supervisor; right?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Associate.  Who is your associate?  A. Mr. Gouthro, and I also referred to  Ms. Wells is aware of this investigation, as was  Mr. Gouthro.  Q. So, wait a minute, wait a minute.  So the associate referenced in here  is Ms. Wells?  A. I can't hear a word you're saying.  MR. ROBERTSON: Can everyone else  hear me?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  A. Okay. I just got you now. So the  associate is Mr. Gouthro.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. No. It says investigation  supervisor, S. Gouthro.  Name of investigators, Karl Hansen &  Associate. Who is the associate?  A. The associate is also Mr. Gouthro,  and I mentioned that Ms. Wells was aware of this          | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  A. No, she was not.  Q. Did Ms. Wells ever have any authority to engage in any activities on behalf of Tesla?  A. No, she did not.  Q. Let's go to seven  MR. ROBERTSON: What?  Oh, admit 17, please. Move to admit 17.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: 17 is in.  (Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was received.)  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Let's go to 73.  While Anne's finding that,  Mr. Hansen, there was a discussion of Ken Davis.  We saw the e-mail from Mr. Davis.  Do you recall that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. Okay. Ken Davis was never your supervisor; right?  A. No, he was not.  Q. But Mr. Davis did train you when you |

|   |  | _   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| 1   | Page 238<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1   | Page 239<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2   | Q. Did you tell Mr. Davis at some point  | 2   | joint Exhibit 73.  |
| 3   | that you were e-mailing information from your  | 3   | A. Yes, sir, I see it.   |
| 4   | Tesla account to your personal Gmail account?  | 4   | Q. And do you know who this text chain   |
| 5   | A. I don't recall whether I told him   | 5   | is with?   |
| 6   | that.  | 6   | A. I'm reading it. Hold on.  |
| 7   | Q. So you don't know one way or the  | 7   | O. That's fine.  |
| 8   | other whether you might have mentioned that to   | 8   | A. You're going too fast here. But to  |
| 9   | him?   | 9   | answer your question, it is with Ms. Wells.  |
| 10  | A. I don't. I don't recall.  | 10  | Q. Ms. Wells. Okay.  |
| 11  | Q. Okay. Were you involved in any  | 11  | And again, was this while you were   |
| 12  | discussions with any Tesla management in which   | 12  | working?   |
| 13  | Ken Davis was also in the room?  | 13  | A. It appears to be, yes.  |
| 14  | A. Yes, with Sean Gouthro and on   | 14  | Q. Okay. And again, I'll leave the   |
| 15  | occasion Marshall Sprott periodically.   | 15  | language out, but were you having discussions  |
| 16  | Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Davis criticize   | 16  | with well, in the first paragraph on the first   |
| 17  | you or criticize your work?  | 17  | page let's go back up it looks like there's  |
| 18  | A. No, I never did.  | 18  | a reference to someone else have making  |
| 19  | Q. So to the extent Mr. Davis expressed  | 19  | comments about Jeff Jones.   |
| 20  |  | 20  |  |
| 21  | concerns about you, do you have any reason any basis, based on your interactions with Mr. Davis,   | 21  | A. I'm reading it. Hang on   |
|   |  |   | Q. Okay.   |
| 22<br>23  | to know why that would be?   | 22  | A please. [Document review.]   |
|   | A. No, sir.  |   |  |
| 24  | Q. Mr. Hansen, I've put in front of you  | 24  | THE WITNESS: Okay. So I what is  |
| 25  | what's been identified as Exhibit 73,  | 25  | your question, then?   |
|   | Page 240   | _   | Page 241   |
| 1   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
|   |  | _   |  |
| 2   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 2   | Q. Well, did you raise concerns with the   |
| 3   | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this   | 3   | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still  |
| 3<br>4  | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?   | 3<br>4  | _  |
| 3<br>4<br>5   | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is.   | 3   | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  |
| 3<br>4  | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Okay. And were you having   | 3<br>4  | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete  |
| 3<br>4<br>5   | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is.   | 3<br>4<br>5   | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Okay. And were you having   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion,  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion, yes.  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what that was, and I don't know to the extent of what  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion, yes. Q. And the last sentence says: Yeah,  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what that was, and I don't know to the extent of what the FBI did with it.   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion, yes. Q. And the last sentence says: Yeah, when they know you're a threat to them, most   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what that was, and I don't know to the extent of what the FBI did with it.  Q. Well, you say evidence being  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion, yes.  Q. And the last sentence says: Yeah, when they know you're a threat to them, most people act like that.  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what that was, and I don't know to the extent of what the FBI did with it.  Q. Well, you say evidence being obtained. My question: Was there ever any  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion, yes. Q. And the last sentence says: Yeah, when they know you're a threat to them, most people act like that. I said: Yep.  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what that was, and I don't know to the extent of what the FBI did with it.  Q. Well, you say evidence being obtained. My question: Was there ever any concrete evidence that was presented for you that  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion, yes. Q. And the last sentence says: Yeah, when they know you're a threat to them, most people act like that.  I said: Yep. Did you talk about  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what that was, and I don't know to the extent of what the FBI did with it.  Q. Well, you say evidence being obtained. My question: Was there ever any concrete evidence that was presented for you that anyone at Tesla was continuing to monitor your   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion, yes.  Q. And the last sentence says: Yeah, when they know you're a threat to them, most people act like that.  I said: Yep. Did you talk about A. That's the   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what that was, and I don't know to the extent of what the FBI did with it.  Q. Well, you say evidence being obtained. My question: Was there ever any concrete evidence that was presented for you that anyone at Tesla was continuing to monitor your computer or your phone after your assignment on   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion, yes. Q. And the last sentence says: Yeah, when they know you're a threat to them, most people act like that. I said: Yep. Did you talk about A. That's the Q. Okay. Did you talk about you as a  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what that was, and I don't know to the extent of what the FBI did with it.  Q. Well, you say evidence being obtained. My question: Was there ever any concrete evidence that was presented for you that anyone at Tesla was continuing to monitor your computer or your phone after your assignment on September 4th was ended at the Gigafactory?   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion, yes. Q. And the last sentence says: Yeah, when they know you're a threat to them, most people act like that.  I said: Yep. Did you talk about A. That's the Q. Okay. Did you talk about you as a threat?   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what that was, and I don't know to the extent of what the FBI did with it.  Q. Well, you say evidence being obtained. My question: Was there ever any concrete evidence that was presented for you that anyone at Tesla was continuing to monitor your computer or your phone after your assignment on September 4th was ended at the Gigafactory?  A. No, none was ever presented to me   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion, yes.  Q. And the last sentence says: Yeah, when they know you're a threat to them, most people act like that.  I said: Yep. Did you talk about A. That's the Q. Okay. Did you talk about you as a threat?  A. Mr. German told me that Mr. Jones and  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what that was, and I don't know to the extent of what the FBI did with it.  Q. Well, you say evidence being obtained. My question: Was there ever any concrete evidence that was presented for you that anyone at Tesla was continuing to monitor your computer or your phone after your assignment on September 4th was ended at the Gigafactory?  A. No, none was ever presented to me directly.   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion, yes. Q. And the last sentence says: Yeah, when they know you're a threat to them, most people act like that.  I said: Yep. Did you talk about A. That's the Q. Okay. Did you talk about you as a threat?  A. Mr. German told me that Mr. Jones and the regarded me as a threat.  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what that was, and I don't know to the extent of what the FBI did with it.  Q. Well, you say evidence being obtained. My question: Was there ever any concrete evidence that was presented for you that anyone at Tesla was continuing to monitor your computer or your phone after your assignment on September 4th was ended at the Gigafactory?  A. No, none was ever presented to me directly.  MR. ROBERTSON: I think I'm done.   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion, yes. Q. And the last sentence says: Yeah, when they know you're a threat to them, most people act like that. I said: Yep. Did you talk about A. That's the Q. Okay. Did you talk about you as a threat?  A. Mr. German told me that Mr. Jones and the regarded me as a threat. Q. Okay. And, Mr. Hansen, after you   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what that was, and I don't know to the extent of what the FBI did with it.  Q. Well, you say evidence being obtained. My question: Was there ever any concrete evidence that was presented for you that anyone at Tesla was continuing to monitor your computer or your phone after your assignment on September 4th was ended at the Gigafactory?  A. No, none was ever presented to me directly.  MR. ROBERTSON: I think I'm done.  THE WITNESS: Sir, did you get my   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion, yes. Q. And the last sentence says: Yeah, when they know you're a threat to them, most people act like that.  I said: Yep. Did you talk about A. That's the Q. Okay. Did you talk about you as a threat?  A. Mr. German told me that Mr. Jones and the regarded me as a threat. Q. Okay. And, Mr. Hansen, after you left Tesla, so after your assignment with Tesla was ended, did you reach out to the FBI? | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what that was, and I don't know to the extent of what the FBI did with it.  Q. Well, you say evidence being obtained. My question: Was there ever any concrete evidence that was presented for you that anyone at Tesla was continuing to monitor your computer or your phone after your assignment on September 4th was ended at the Gigafactory?  A. No, none was ever presented to me directly.  MR. ROBERTSON: I think I'm done.  THE WITNESS: Sir, did you get my answer? I'm sorry.  MR. ROBERTSON: Yes. |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Q. Yeah, my question is, is this referencing Mr. German in this first paragraph?  A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And were you having discussions with Matt German at USSA about Mr. Jones?  A. We discussed Mr. Jones on occasion, yes.  Q. And the last sentence says: Yeah, when they know you're a threat to them, most people act like that.  I said: Yep. Did you talk about A. That's the Q. Okay. Did you talk about you as a threat?  A. Mr. German told me that Mr. Jones and the regarded me as a threat.  Q. Okay. And, Mr. Hansen, after you left Tesla, so after your assignment with Tesla  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | FBI that Tesla, after you left, was still monitoring your phone and your computer?  A. Yes.  Q. And was there ever any concrete evidence developed that that was occurring?  A. I was told that there was evidence being obtained. There was I don't know what that was, and I don't know to the extent of what the FBI did with it.  Q. Well, you say evidence being obtained. My question: Was there ever any concrete evidence that was presented for you that anyone at Tesla was continuing to monitor your computer or your phone after your assignment on September 4th was ended at the Gigafactory?  A. No, none was ever presented to me directly.  MR. ROBERTSON: I think I'm done.  THE WITNESS: Sir, did you get my answer? I'm sorry.                      |

|  | Page 242   |  | Page 243   |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2  | have. Thank you very much, Mr. Hansen. I know  | 2  | MR. ROBERTSON: We planned for that.  |
| 3  | that was a slog, but I appreciate it.  | 3  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right,  |
| 4  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  | 4  | good. Then it's we take off your screen  |
| 5  | So we're how are we doing on our   | 5  | Oh, did you move to admit 73?  |
| 6  | timing? We I think I would prefer to have  | 6  | MR. ROBERTSON: Yes, Your Honor,  |
| 7  | both sets of cross-examination be conducted  | 7  | thank you.   |
| 8  | before redirect by Mr. Woodfield, and so that  | 8  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. So 73 is in.  |
| 9  | means that USSA would begin its questioning now.   | 9  | (Whereupon, Exhibit 73 was received.)  |
| 10   | And so my question is: Is that desirable?  | 10   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: So, cross-examination   |
| 11   | I know you all are on the East Coast   | 11   | by USSA.   |
| 12   | and so you're on a different clock than I am.  | 12   | MS. BRAXTON: Your Honor, can we take   |
| 13   | And it seems like we're okay on time. So would   | 13   | 15 before we start?  |
| 14   | the  | 14   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yes, let's start at   |
| 15   | MR. ROBERTSON: Yep.  | 15   | 4:00 my time, which is late your time.   |
| 16   | -  | 16   | 15 minutes. At the top of the hour,  |
|  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Would you prefer to   | 17   | we'll be back.   |
| 17<br>18   | wait until tomorrow to start cross or would you  | 18   |  |
| 19   | rather press on tonight?  MR. ROBERTSON: So, we those of us  | 19   | MR. WOODFIELD: Thank you.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Thank you.   |
| 20   | ·  | 20   | -  |
| 21   | on the East Coast certainly from Boston are fine.  | 21   | (Recess taken, 3:45 p.m. to 4:02 p.m. PDT).  |
| 1  | Nick, are you good? We're good. We   |  | - '  |
| 22   | had assumed, Your Honor, we'd go until 5 o'clock   | 22   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: We are back on the  |
| 23   | West Coast time. That would be 12:00 to 5:00.  | 23   | record.  |
| 24   | (sic)  | 24   | We are back on the record now for  |
| 25   | MR. WOODFIELD: I'm fine.   | 25   | cross-examination by USSA, and that will be done   |
| <b>—</b>   | 5 044  |  |  |
| l .  | Page 244   | _  | Page 245   |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 by Ms. Braxton.   | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the   |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>by Ms. Braxton.<br>As a side note, I wanted to just  | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the  | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about   |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>by Ms. Braxton.<br>As a side note, I wanted to just  | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the  | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and proceed with cross-examination. Ms. Braxton.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat that?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and proceed with cross-examination. Ms. Braxton.  MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat that?  Q. Sure. Sure.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and proceed with cross-examination. Ms. Braxton.  MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat that?  Q. Sure. Sure.  So before you came to USSA, you had  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and proceed with cross-examination. Ms. Braxton.  MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.  EXAMINATION   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat that?  Q. Sure. Sure.  So before you came to USSA, you had testified today to a series of concerns that you   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and proceed with cross-examination. Ms. Braxton.  MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat that?  Q. Sure. Sure.  So before you came to USSA, you had testified today to a series of concerns that you raised when you were working for Tesla.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and proceed with cross-examination. Ms. Braxton.  MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY MS. BRAXTON:  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat that?  Q. Sure. Sure.  So before you came to USSA, you had testified today to a series of concerns that you raised when you were working for Tesla.  Is it your testimony that all of the   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and proceed with cross-examination. Ms. Braxton.  MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. Good afternoon, Karl.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat that?  Q. Sure. Sure.  So before you came to USSA, you had testified today to a series of concerns that you raised when you were working for Tesla.  Is it your testimony that all of the activity, criminal activity that you  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and proceed with cross-examination. Ms. Braxton.  MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. Good afternoon, Karl. So you've testified, you know, about  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat that?  Q. Sure. Sure.  So before you came to USSA, you had testified today to a series of concerns that you raised when you were working for Tesla.  Is it your testimony that all of the activity, criminal activity that you investigated, you reported to Tesla prior to the   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and proceed with cross-examination. Ms. Braxton.  MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. Good afternoon, Karl.  So you've testified, you know, about your employment, and I will do my best not to ask   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat that?  Q. Sure. Sure.  So before you came to USSA, you had testified today to a series of concerns that you raised when you were working for Tesla.  Is it your testimony that all of the activity, criminal activity that you investigated, you reported to Tesla prior to the time that you joined USSA?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and proceed with cross-examination. Ms. Braxton.  MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. Good afternoon, Karl.  So you've testified, you know, about your employment, and I will do my best not to ask repetitive questions, but I will focus more so on   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat that?  Q. Sure. Sure.  So before you came to USSA, you had testified today to a series of concerns that you raised when you were working for Tesla.  Is it your testimony that all of the activity, criminal activity that you investigated, you reported to Tesla prior to the time that you joined USSA?  A. Yes, that is correct.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and proceed with cross-examination. Ms. Braxton.  MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. Good afternoon, Karl.  So you've testified, you know, about your employment, and I will do my best not to ask repetitive questions, but I will focus more so on your USSA employment because I would like to get  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat that?  Q. Sure. Sure.  So before you came to USSA, you had testified today to a series of concerns that you raised when you were working for Tesla.  Is it your testimony that all of the activity, criminal activity that you investigated, you reported to Tesla prior to the time that you joined USSA?  A. Yes, that is correct.  Q. And isn't it also true that Elon   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and proceed with cross-examination. Ms. Braxton.  MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. Good afternoon, Karl.  So you've testified, you know, about your employment, and I will do my best not to ask repetitive questions, but I will focus more so on your USSA employment because I would like to get additional detail from you about your experiences  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat that?  Q. Sure. Sure.  So before you came to USSA, you had testified today to a series of concerns that you raised when you were working for Tesla.  Is it your testimony that all of the activity, criminal activity that you investigated, you reported to Tesla prior to the time that you joined USSA?  A. Yes, that is correct.  Q. And isn't it also true that Elon himself at some point on or around June 5th of  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and proceed with cross-examination. Ms. Braxton.  MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. Good afternoon, Karl.  So you've testified, you know, about your employment, and I will do my best not to ask repetitive questions, but I will focus more so on your USSA employment because I would like to get additional detail from you about your experiences and your claims as they relate to USSA. And with  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat that?  Q. Sure. Sure.  So before you came to USSA, you had testified today to a series of concerns that you raised when you were working for Tesla.  Is it your testimony that all of the activity, criminal activity that you investigated, you reported to Tesla prior to the time that you joined USSA?  A. Yes, that is correct.  Q. And isn't it also true that Elon himself at some point on or around June 5th of 2018 had indicated that there was some theft that  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  by Ms. Braxton.  As a side note, I wanted to just mention, since I didn't before, that the witnesses and parties and attorneys should not separately record these proceedings. We'll have a good record of the proceedings from the court reporter.  All right. Let's go ahead and proceed with cross-examination. Ms. Braxton.  MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. Good afternoon, Karl.  So you've testified, you know, about your employment, and I will do my best not to ask repetitive questions, but I will focus more so on your USSA employment because I would like to get additional detail from you about your experiences and your claims as they relate to USSA. And with that, I do want to confirm and at least clarify | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  Is it your testimony that all of the criminal activity that you reported to Tesla was essentially stuff that they already knew about because you had reported it at some point prior to that in some form?  Prior to your SEC complaint?  A. I don't think I understand the question. I'm really sorry. Can you repeat that?  Q. Sure. Sure.  So before you came to USSA, you had testified today to a series of concerns that you raised when you were working for Tesla.  Is it your testimony that all of the activity, criminal activity that you investigated, you reported to Tesla prior to the time that you joined USSA?  A. Yes, that is correct.  Q. And isn't it also true that Elon himself at some point on or around June 5th of 2018 had indicated that there was some theft that he was aware about? aware of, excuse me. |

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

Page 246

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 still brought on to USSA; right? Tesla still recommended that USSA hire you.

- A. Yes, ma'am, that is correct.
- Q. And as the RIF that has been testified and described today in testimony, as part of the RIF, you weren't the only employee that was subject to the RIF; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am, that's correct.
- Q. And so there were plenty of other employees that were impacted by the RIF around that time as well; right?
  - A. Yes, there were.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And so I understand at some point prior to you joining USSA Security, there was some discussion about you assuming an investigator role, and that never materialized; is that correct?
- A. I'm sorry, Ms. Braxton, could you repeat that question, please?
- Q. Sure. So prior to you joining USSA, there was some discussion about you assuming an investigator role. However, that never materialized; correct?
  - A. That is correct, yes.

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day  $\stackrel{\text{Page }248}{1}$  there. Could you repeat the question one more time? I'm sorry.

- Q. No, sure. So at some point when there was a decision made that USSA was no longer going to handle this investigative program at the Gigafactory, that was a decision made by Tesla; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am, that is correct.
- Q. And when you joined USSA, there was some discussion about whether or not you would or wouldn't assume a supervisor role; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. And isn't it true that ultimately
  Matt German determined that you were going to be
  a supervisor; correct?
  - A. He did, yes.
- Q. Okay. And he also determined that you were going to be paid as a supervisor; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And in making that decision, I understand that there was some communication that he had with you about that. And wasn't it his

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

- Q. And you don't have any reason to believe that the reason that that never materialized or came to fruition has anything to do with USSA not wanting it to happen; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct, yes.
  - Q. So it's not your testimony here today that the reason the investigator program didn't happen, as far as USSA is concerned, that it wasn't USSA's doing or decision for doing away with the investigative program.
    - A. Yes, that is correct.
  - Q. Okay. And so you would agree that at some point, Tesla made a decision and USSA was no longer going to be having an investigative program at the Gigafactory.
    - A. No, that's not accurate.
  - Q. Okay.
- 20 A. My -- I was --21 Go ahead.
- Q. Okay. So you would agree that Tesla directed USSA that there was no longer going to be an investigative program; correct?
- 25 A. Ma'am, you broke up just a little bit

Page 249

Page 247

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 position that no matter who told him at Tesla that they didn't want you to be a supervisor, that was irrelevant to him because he was going to make a -- make you a supervisor; is that correct?

- A. We did have that conversation, and subsequent to that conversation he advised me that Jeff Jones directed him, as well as Mr. McLellan, that I would not be in any supervisory capacity or any investigative capacity for U.S. Security.
- Q. Okay. But as far as the direction is concerned, he disagreed with them; right? And he proceeded and made you a supervisor anyway; correct?
- A. He paid me as a supervisor.
- 18 Q. Okay. And is it your testimony today 19 that you did not perform any work as a supervisor 20 for USSA?
  - A. I believe I initially began doing that with Ryan Leslie, and it was shortly after that began that Mr. German notified me, I believe his hands were tied and that I was not to be an -- in an investigative capacity or a

Page 250 Page 251 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 supervisory capacity. 2 point you decided that you were going to step 3 3 Q. Okay. And, sir, I want to be clear down as a supervisor? 4 when I'm talking about your role at USSA -- if I 4 A. Yes. 5 say investigator, then I'll ask you about an 5 Q. Okay. And you -- no one at USSA 6 investigative role, but I want to be clear that 6 forced you to step down; is that correct? 7 the role ultimately when you were hired for 7 A. Matt German made it very clear that I 8 U.S. Security was as a security officer; is that 8 would not be in any such capacity, as directed by 9 correct? 9 Jeff Jones, and that he would continue to pay me 10 A. I will concede that, yes. 10 at the supervisor rate. 11 Q. So at some point at USSA, you assume Q. Okay. But you just testified that 11 a supervisor role. Matt German makes sure that Matt German is the one who essentially made you a 12 12 13 supervisor. Correct? 13 you are getting paid as a supervisor. And at 14 some point you are no longer a supervisor. 14 A. He did. He said that would happen. 15 Is it true that you worked with an 15 And ultimately he directed Mr. McLellan to put me on the books at the rate of pay of a supervisor, 16 individual named Nubia at some point while you 16 17 were employed at USSA? 17 although I was not working in that capacity any 18 A. Yes. And I don't recall her last 18 longer. 19 name, but I do recall Nubia, yes. 19 Q. Okay. You don't have any evidence 20 Q. Sure. And do you recall also telling 20 that Mr. -- anyone made Mr. McLellan or Mr. German reduce you down from a supervisor to a 21 Nubia that you were going to step down as a 21 22 supervisor? 22 regular security officer; correct? 23 A. I don't recall telling her that. I 23 A. Aside from Matt German telling me have no recollection of that. 24 that, I don't. 24 25 25 Q. Well, isn't it true that at some Q. Okay. And -- so your testimony here Page 252 Page 253 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 today is that Mr. German told you that you 2 This has been introduced. Any 3 objection to 55? weren't going to be able to be a supervisor. Is 3 4 4 that what you're telling me? MR. WOODFIELD: I'm reading it right 5 A. That is correct, yes, ma'am. 5 now. 6 Q. Okay. So when you told Nubia that 6 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. 7 you were stepping down as a supervisor, it was --MS. BRAXTON: Specifically page 3227. 8 you weren't -- you were doing that voluntarily; 8 If we can zoom in just a little bit. 9 right? 9 Mr. Hansen, take an opportunity just to read this 10 MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, 10 page. 11 Your Honor. That mischaracterizes the prior 11 THE WITNESS: I can't see the exhibit 12 testimony. He said he didn't do that. 12 yet. 13 13 You're on mute, sir. Okay. And your question related to 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: I agree with the 14 that exhibit is what? 15 BY MS. BRAXTON: 15 objection. I think that misstates the testimony. 16 Q. My question is -- first of all, do 16 If you want to go at it a different way, you 17 can --17 you recognize this text exchange? On page --18 A. I recognize it. 18 MS. BRAXTON: Sure. 19 JUDGE HOFFMAN: -- Ms. Braxton. 19 Q. And can you tell me who is involved 20 MS. BRAXTON: Sure. So I'd like to 20 in this text exchange? 21 introduce as another exhibit, it's a joint 21 A. That would be myself and Nubia. 22 exhibit, No. 55. Q. Okay. And the person on August 21st 22 23 And Alex will be helping me with the 23 at 9:17, is that a message from you? 24 exhibits. 24 MR. WOODFIELD: Forgive me, which 25 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. 25 is -- when you said "is that a message from you,"

| 1  | Page 254<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 | 1  | Page 255<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 |
|----|--|----|--|
| 2  | what message?                                      | 2  | (Whereupon, Exhibit 55-3227 was                    |
| 3  | MS. BRAXTON: Sure. I'll read it.                   | 3  | received.)   |
| 4  | So there's a text message, Tuesday, August 21,     | 4  | BY MS. BRAXTON:                                    |
| 5  | 9:17. That says: I didn't know anything about      | 5  | Q. And now I would like to pull what I             |
| 6  | two leads. I did tell Rick yesterday I wasn't      | 6  | believe has previously been entered into evidence  |
| 7  | interested in being a supervisor with everything   | 7  | is joint Exhibit 199.                              |
| 8  | going on.  | 8  | A. Yes, ma'am, I see that.                         |
| 9  | BY MS. BRAXTON:                                    | 9  | MS. BRAXTON: And, Alex, if you can                 |
| 10 | Q. Mr. Hansen, is that your text message           | 10 | scroll to the pay week that includes August 21st,  |
| 11 | to Nubia?  | 11 | 2018.  |
| 12 | A. Yeah, it is.                                    | 12 | BY MS. BRAXTON:                                    |
| 13 | Q. Does that refresh your recollection             | 13 | Q. Mr. Hansen, would you agree that this           |
| 14 | whether or not you informed Rick McLellan that     | 14 | appears to be your earnings statement from USSA    |
| 15 | you were no longer interested in being a           | 15 | for the period beginning August 10, 2018 through   |
| 16 | supervisor on or around August 21st?               | 16 | August 23, 2018?                                   |
| 17 | A. Yes, we had those discussions.                  | 17 | A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that?                 |
| 18 | Q. Okay. Thank you.                                | 18 | Q. Sure.   |
| 19 | MS. BRAXTON: Thank you, Alex.                      | 19 | Does this appear would you agree                   |
| 20 | MS. SMITH: You're welcome.                         | 20 | that this is your pay statement from USSA for the  |
| 21 | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Do you move to                      | 21 | period of August 10th through August 23, 2018?     |
| 22 | introduce 55, or that page?                        | 22 | A. Yes.  |
| 23 | MS. BRAXTON: Yes.                                  | 23 | Q. And it appears that your pay goes               |
| 24 | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Exhibit 55,                   | 24 | down from a supervisory rate to 19.80 during this  |
| 25 | page 3227 is admitted.                             | 25 | pay period.  |
|    | 2 076  |    | 2 050  |
| 1  | Page 256<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 | 1  | Page 257<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 |
| 2  | Would you agree?                                   | 2  | around the time that you were hired by USSA, you   |
| 3  | A. Yes, it does appear.                            | 3  | reviewed their employee handbook?                  |
| 4  | Q. Okay.   | 4  | A. Yes.  |
| 5  | A. For a period of time, yes.                      | 5  | MS. BRAXTON: I would like to                       |
| 6  | Q. Okay. I'm sorry, what was that?                 | 6  | introduce joint exhibit numbers 194 and oh,        |
| 7  | A. Yes, it does appear that way. That              | 7  | I'll go slowly.                                    |
| 8  | is correct.  | 8  | BY MS. BRAXTON:                                    |
| 9  | Q. Okay. Thank you.                                | 9  | Q. And, Mr. Hansen, can you take a look            |
| 10 | And the timing of that, on or                      | 10 | at this?   |
| 11 | around between 18 August 10, 2018 and              | 11 | A. Yes, ma'am, I see it.                           |
| 12 | August 23, 2018, that coincides with the time      | 12 | Q. Okay. And do you recognize that as              |
| 13 | that you told Nubia that you had to step down as   | 13 | your signature on this document?                   |
| 14 | supervisor; is that correct?                       | 14 | A. It is my signature, yes.                        |
| 15 | A. It appears to, yes.                             | 15 | Q. And do you agree that you received a            |
| 16 | Q. And so, Mr. Hansen, I do want to talk           | 16 | copy of USSA's security officer handbook at or     |
| 17 | a little bit about your employment at USSA. And    | 17 | around the date that you signed this document?     |
| 18 | let's start with your process when you were hired  | 18 | A. Yes, I do agree.                                |
| 19 | by USSA.   | 19 | MS. BRAXTON: And now I would like to               |
| 20 | Do you remember any kind of new                    | 20 | submit or admit that into evidence.                |
| 21 | employment orientation or having to fill out any   | 21 | MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.                       |
| 22 | new any documents as a new hire when you           | 22 | JUDGE HOFFMAN: 194 is in.                          |
| 23 | joined USSA?                                       | 23 | MR. WOODFIELD: I believe it's 193,                 |
| 24 | A. Yes.  | 24 | sir. No, it's 194. I apologize. Sorry.             |
| 25 | Q. Okay. And is it true that at or                 | 25 | (Whereupon, Exhibit 194 was                        |
| l  |  |    |  |

```
Page 259
                                                Page 258
 1
        Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
                                                                 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
                                                         1
 2
    received.)
                                                         2
                                                                    Q. Okay. Perfect. And you recognize
 3
                MS. BRAXTON: And now I would like to
                                                         3
                                                             this as U.S. Securities security officer's
 4
     introduce joint Exhibit No. 195.
                                                             handbook?
 5
                                                         5
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any objection to 195?
                                                                    A. Yes, I do.
 6
                MR. WOODFIELD: No, Your Honor.
                                                         6
                                                                        MS. BRAXTON: I would like to admit
7
                                                         7
                                                             that into evidence.
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, it's in.
 8
                MR. WOODFIELD: I think it may
                                                         8
                                                                         JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. 197 is in.
9
                                                         9
                                                                         (Whereupon, Exhibit 197 was
     already be in, but -- no.
10
                MS. BRAXTON: Yeah, I think that's
                                                        10
                                                             received.)
    already in. I'm actually looking for our
                                                        11
                                                             BY MS. BRAXTON:
11
    handbook. Give me a second, here.
                                                        12
12
                                                                    Q. Okay. Thanks.
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Oh, okay.
13
                                                        13
                                                                         So we just reviewed the fact that you
                MS. BRAXTON: Give me a second. It's
                                                        14
                                                             signed and acknowledged at the time you were
14
15
     on one of these Post-Its.
                                                        15
                                                             hired with USSA some of its policies and receipt
                                                             and acknowledgment of the employee handbook. And
16
                197? Okay. Joint Exhibit 197. My
                                                        16
17
     apologies.
                                                        17
                                                             during the time that you worked for USSA, fair to
18
                MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.
                                                        18
                                                             say that your supervisors were employees of USSA
19
     BY MS. BRAXTON:
                                                        19
                                                             as well as?
20
           Q. Mr. Hansen, do you recognize this
                                                        20
                                                                    A. Yes, ma'am, that's correct.
     document? We can scroll a little bit. I don't
                                                                    Q. And your USSA supervisors were
21
                                                        21
22
     expect you to read the -- I just want to make
                                                        22
                                                             actually present on the site with you; is that
23
     sure you --
                                                        23
                                                             correct?
24
                                                        24
            A. No, I -- that's not necessary, I do
                                                                    A. That's correct, yes.
                                                        25
25
    recognize it.
                                                                    Q. And during the time that you were
                                                                                                        Page 261
                                                Page 260
1
        Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
                                                                 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
                                                         1
2
     employed by USSA, you were paid only by USSA for
                                                         2
                                                                    A. That's fair, yes.
 3
     the work you performed at the Gigafactory; is
                                                         3
                                                                    Q. If you weren't going to be able to
 4
     that correct?
                                                         4
                                                             make it for a shift or you had to call out, you
 5
            A. That's correct, yes.
                                                         5
                                                             would have to notify your USSA supervisors; is
 6
            Q. And if you had any issues or concerns
                                                             that correct?
                                                         6
7
                                                         7
    with your employment at the Gigafactory during
                                                                        Yes.
8
     the time that you were a USSA employee, you
                                                         8
                                                                    Q. And I want to introduce joint Exhibit
9
     understood that you could go to your direct
                                                         9
                                                             No. 204.
10
     supervisors, also USSA employees; is that
                                                        10
                                                                         JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any objection?
11
     correct?
                                                        11
                                                                         204 is in.
12
           A. Yes, I understood that.
                                                        12
                                                                         (Whereupon, Exhibit 204 was
13
                                                        13
           Q. And likewise, you understood that if
                                                             received.)
     you had any personnel issues that perhaps you
                                                        14
                                                                        MS. BRAXTON: Just take a moment. We
14
                                                        15
                                                             can scroll through this.
15
     didn't think were within the purview of your
     supervisors, you could also go to USSA's Human
                                                        16
                                                                         You can let Alex know. She's doing
16
     Resources; correct?
17
                                                        17
                                                             that.
           A. I didn't know that I could have done
                                                                         [Document review.]
18
                                                        18
19
     that. I assume I could have done that. I'll
                                                        19
                                                                    A. I see the exhibit.
     stipulate to that, I could have done that.
20
                                                        20
                                                             BY MS. BRAXTON:
21
           O. Okay. Fair enough.
                                                        21
                                                                    Q. Okay. And I'm only going to focus on
                                                        22
22
                And during the time that you were
                                                             the top e-mail, from -- it appears to be from you
23
    employed for USSA at the Gigafactory, your hours
                                                        23
                                                             to Matt German on August 1st, 2018.
                                                                         It looks like you are asking
24
    were dictated by your supervisors, your USSA
                                                        24
     supervisors; is that correct?
                                                        25
                                                             Mr. German about his feedback for you attending a
25
```

Page 263 Page 262 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 meeting. Is it -- this is on or around 2 2 So as of August 1, 2018, isn't it 3 August 1st. Would you agree that that's when 3 true that you were engaged in communications with 4 this is happening? That you reached out to Linette from one of the media outlets you talked 5 5 about earlier? Matt German? 6 (Court reporter clarification) 6 A. I did e-mail Linette Lopez at that 7 A. Yes, ma'am, the answer was yes. I 7 time, yes. 8 would agree. 8 Q. And so at the same time, while you 9 BY MS. BRAXTON: were reaching out to Linette Lopez from whatever 9 10 Q. So fair to say that as of August 1st 10 media entity she was from, at the same time Tesla of 2018, some folks from Tesla are trying to was trying to arrange a meeting with you; is that 11 11 correct? arrange a meeting with you; is that correct? 12 12 13 A. That's -- yes. That's correct. 13 A. Yes, it appears they were. 14 Q. And rather than meeting with these 14 Q. And you wouldn't talk to them, the 15 people, you are engaged in kind of having 15 individuals from Tesla who were trying to speak to you; is that correct? 16 conversations with the press. 16 17 17 Would you agree with that? A. I did have one meeting on August 9th MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, 18 18 with Ricky Gecewich. After that, I did not 19 Your Honor. That's a misstatement of prior 19 participate further in meeting with them. 20 testimony. 20 Q. But on August 1st when they were 21 JUDGE HOFFMAN: The witness can 21 reaching out to you, you were not interested at 22 testify what he recalls. 22 that -- at least at that time in speaking with 23 A. Can you repeat the question, please? 23 them. 24 24 BY MS. BRAXTON: A. That is correct. 25 25 O. Sure. How about this? Q. And on 8-9, you did tell Ricky Page 264 Page 265 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 1 2 Gecewich when he reached out -- or when you 2 individuals at USSA; and specifically as it 3 communicated with Ricky Gecewich, you said you 3 relates to your investigations, you did 4 had an attorney and -- but you would discuss; is 4 understand that to the extent you had conducted 5 that correct? 5 investigations for Tesla as a Tesla employee, you 6 6 were expected to maintain the contents of that A. That is correct, yes. 7 7 Q. And I'm sorry, to clarify, when you confidentially; correct? 8 spoke to Mr. Gecewich on August 9th, you said you 8 A. Unless otherwise directed, yes. 9 had an attorney and you would not discuss it with 9 Q. Okay. And when you transitioned to 10 him; is that correct? USSA, you didn't disclose any of that 10 11 A. Actually, I'm going to have to see 11 confidential information to USSA employees 12 the e-mail, if you want to pull that up. I don't 12 generally, did you? recall at this moment what  ${\tt my}$  exact language was 13 A. No, I did not. 13 or verbiage was. 14 Q. So you knew it wouldn't be 14 15 15 Q. Okay. Sure. appropriate for you to go discussing the contents of your highly secretive investigations with USSA 16 Do you recall or do you -- when 16 17 you -- on or around August 9th when Ricky is 17 employees after you became a USSA employee; is trying to get in touch with you, do you remember that correct? 18 18 19 telling him that you would not speak to him 19 A. The only people that had knowledge

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I don't recall that specifically at

Q. Okay. And so now I'm going to talk

because you had an attorney?

20

21

22

23

24

25

this time.

877-702-9580

than that, no.

were ultimately -- what I was working with was

Q. Okay. And when you say had

knowledge, what specifically did you share

with -- let's start with Matt German.

Mr. German, Mr. Leslie, and Rick McLellan. Other

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

15

16

17

18

Page 268

Page 266 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

- 2 What did you tell Matt German?
- 3 A. I shared exactly information related
- 4 to the -- help with the investigations that I was 5 conducting, specifically the cartel investigation
- 6 and the reports of theft.

1

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

4

5

6

8

19

20

- Q. Okay. And this you were sharing with them -- I don't want -- I don't want to be broad. Specifically, are you saying that you shared this
- 9 Specifically, are you saying that you shared thin 10 with Matt German? Who are you -- who is this -- 11 A. Matt German and Rick McLellan and I
  - A. Matt German and Rick McLellan and I both had discussions about these investigations, as I did with supervisor Ryan Leslie.
  - Q. Okay. And why did they have a need to know this information?
  - A. Because of my communications and their concerns with what Tesla was directing them to do regarding my positions, the interference with the contract that Matt German initially offered me. The subsequent directives from Tesla, Jeff Jones specifically, to not have me
- 22 working in an investigator role or a supervisory
- 23 capacity. And subsequently, ultimately at
- 24 Tesla's direction, restricting me from the
- 25 Gigafactory and moving me further out in isolated
  - Hansen v Elon Musk Arbitration Day 1 yes. That -- a conversation like that, I recall,
- 3 occurred.
  - Q. Okay. And specifically with respect to Matt German, what did you tell him about your investigations?
- 7 A. I don't recall specifically
  - everything that I stated, but as he and I were discussing these changes to my role and the
- 9 discussing these changes to my role and the 10 directives he was getting, I explained to him
- that I was directed to investigate allegations of
- 12 potential narcotics trafficking involving
- 13 Mexican -- a Mexican drug cartel, or personnel
- 14 associated with that. In addition, the thefts.
- 15 The thefts were no secret. Matt German,
- 16 U.S. Security, everybody was aware of the rampant 17 theft and everything going on there. So, I mean,
- 18 he had knowledge of that as well.
  - Q. And you didn't have any --
  - A. Those were specific --
- 21 Q. Sorry. Sorry, it looks like you
- 22 paused some time and then there's a delay. So
- 23 I'm not purposely interrupting you. You can 24 finish.
- 25 A. No, I think I answered. I was

- Page 267 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
- 2 positions.
  - Q. Okay.
- 4 A. And they were constructive about that 5 as well.
- Q. And but you weren't an investigator at this time; right? You didn't have a need to tell them.
  - A. I felt I did have a need to tell them, because Matt German was perplexed about what was going on. He talked about Tesla being very cryptic with him. Not initially disclosing who -- ultimately he was talking to Jeff Jones. Both Rick McLellan and Matt German stated that they were going to do what they could to protect me.
  - Q. Isn't it true that at some point
    Matt German told you, Look, I am -- your USSA -I'm USSA, and, you know, to the extent that you
    want to share this confidential investigative
    information, that's not my role, and he was
    not -- you know, they sought very much so to not
    involve themselves in the investigative work that
    you had done?
    - A. That's fair, yes. Yes. Initially,

mac s rair, yes. res. mircrarry,

Page 269

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 waiting to hear your response, ma'am. I

apologize.
Q. Sure.

What I was going to say is during the time that you were at the Gigafactory as a USSA employee, it's true that Mr. German was not working or -- he was not present on a daily basis in the Gigafactory; would you agree?

- 10 A. Absolutely. That is absolutely true. 11 He traveled extensively.
- 12 Q. Okay. And even further, wasn't it 13 true that he was actually assigned and working 14 out of Kansas, Wichita, Kansas?
  - $\ \ \mbox{A.}$  He was. He told me that that was his home location.
  - Q. And even fair to say that you didn't see him regularly or frequently at all?
- 19 A. That's fair. I think I saw him on 20 a -- four times, perhaps.
- Q. So your testimony is that you actually saw Mr. German in person about four times during the duration that you worked for USSA at the Gigafactory?
- 25 A. It was during my total tenure, with

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

 $\begin{array}{c} & \text{Page 270} \\ \text{Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1} \\ \text{both companies.} \end{array}$ 

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

25

- Q. I know that you exchanged some text messages with Mr. German. And I do want to kind of visit some of those, but before that, to the extent that you were sharing information with Mr. German about your investigations, as you've just testified to, what was this method of communication? How did you communicate this information to him?
- A. We had telephonic discussions, we exchanged text messages, and we met on two of those occasions -- well, we met on the -- I think it was two occasions that he was actually at the facility, that we had a discussion -- we had discussions.
- Q. And when you discussed the contents of the investigations that you had done, was that before you had joined USSA as an employee? When you discussed those investigations with Mr. German, was that before you had joined USSA as an employee?
- A. Those began approximately prior to that transition, yes.
  - Probably end of June, beginning of

1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

2  $\;$  July, after I was notified that the investigator

Page 271

- 3 role was not going to -- you know, not moving 4 forward.
- Q. And isn't it true that at some point
  Mr. German was very clear with you in that he no
  longer wished to exchange text messages or have
  calls at some point?
- 9 A. Mr. German asked me to delete text 10 messages between him and I.
  - Q. Okay. And at that time, was it your understanding that he didn't wish to exchange casual text messages with you anymore, or have any kind of casual phone calls?
  - A. I don't -- I don't know -- are you asking me what my understanding was?
  - Q. Yes.
    - A. I can't answer that.
  - Q. Okay.
- 20 A. I don't know what you're looking for 21 there.
- Q. Sure. When he asked you to delete those text messages, you didn't delete them; right?
- 25 A. No, I did not.

Page 272 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

Q. Do you recall any further conversations or communications with Mr. German after he essentially told you, please delete all of these text messages, I don't want to have --continue, you know, discussing any of these issues with you?

A. I don't recall specifically whether he said he didn't want to discuss any of these issues anymore with me. I do recall him stating that -- asking me to delete the messages. We had a telephone conversation also regarding that after the fact, but I don't remember the date specifically.

- Q. Okay. And this telephone conversation that you're referencing, is this -- when you had this telephone conversation, did the communications between you and German continue after that?
- 20 A. I don't recall when specifically they 21 stopped occurring.
  - Q. Okay.
- 23 A. Or if there's even an end date to 24 them. I really don't recall.
  - Q. Well, isn't it true, Mr. Hansen, that

Page 273 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1

2 you produced all of the relevant evidence that 3 you had related to conversations or

4 communications you may have had with Mr. German 5 as part of this case in discovery?

A. Yes.

Q. And it would have been your goal and your objective to make sure that you produced all of the communications that you were able to document with Mr. German; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. And earlier you testified that you had informed various persons within U.S. Security about some of the concerns that you had raised, including investigations. And to the extent that you shared any of those concerns, is it true that --

Well, first of all, excuse me. Strike that.

20 Mr. Hansen, do you have any evidence 21 or is it your testimony today that at some point 22 you told Mr. German about your SEC complaint?

- A. Yes, Mr. German was aware of that.
- Q. Okay. And did --
  - A. I can't recall specifically when.

Page 275 Page 274 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 Q. Did he know when you filed -- or 2 Rick McLellan was aware of it -- became aware of 3 excuse me. You said he's aware of that. What 3 it, and actually had discussed it after that 4 evidence do you have to demonstrate that 4 press release had gone out. 5 5 Mr. German was aware of the SEC filing? Q. So you're saying that -- when you say 6 A. I can't recall off the top of my head 6 discussed it, who discussed it after the press 7 specifically, but at the media release by my 7 8 attorney on the 16th as well is when everybody 8 I want to make sure I'm hearing what 9 became aware of that. But I don't know what 9 you're saying clearly. 10 additional evidence you're asking about. 10 A. U.S. Security supervisors, Q. Sure. So is it your testimony that 11 Ryan Leslie, Rick McLellan. 11 12 Mr. German knew about your SEC complaint because 12 Q. Okay. Do you have any evidence that 13 13 of a press release from your attorney? Matt --14 14 A. I'm not sure when exactly -- that is A. And --15 my testimony, that -- and he became aware, 15 Q. Do you have any evidence that definitely then, at that time. I don't recall 16 16 Matt German specifically knew? I hear what 17 specifically. I may have had a conversation with 17 you're telling me. You're telling me that there 18 him about that, on or about the 9th, but I don't 18 was a press release and there were lots of people 19 know. I don't recall that specifically. 19 talking about it at the Gigafactory. 20 Q. Okay. You said that he became aware 20 My question to you is, are you aware 21 of it because of the press release. How do you 21 or do you have any information or facts to 22 know that? 22 suggest that Matt German knew about this? 23 A. Because it was talked about 23 A. I do believe so, and I believe that 24 extensively in and throughout the Gigafactory at it's -- the evidence is in the record. And I'm 24 25 25 that time. Supervisors were aware of it. not -- I don't remember it -- you have to read Page 276 Page 277 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 the text messages. If you want to pull all of 2 Okay. Hold on there. 3 those up, we can go through all of Matt German's 3 Okay. Keep going, please. 4 4 text messages. [Document review.] 5 Q. Absolutely. I've read all of the 5 Can you slow down just a little, 6 evidence, all 7,500 of your pages that you 6 please? I'm sorry. 7 7 produced, and I do not see any evidence of that, MS. BRAXTON: Yes, no problem. 8 but we can absolutely pull up Mr. German's text 8 A. Okay. To keep -- keep going, please. 9 exchange with you. I believe it's already been 9 [Document review.] entered into evidence as joint Exhibit No. 121. 10 10 Okay. Keep going. 11 So if you -- I don't know if you have 11 [Document review.] 12 the ability to scroll, but I will definitely ask 12 Slow down, please. Alex to scroll this. And we're going to look for 13 13 Okay. Keep going. 14 wherever it is that you tell -- tell me where it 14 [Document review.] 15 15 is that you're telling Mr. German about your SEC BY MS. BRAXTON: complaint. 16 16 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, what date --17 17 [Document review.] A. Yes, ma'am. Q. You do know the date that you filed 18 A. If you could slow down just a little 18 19 bit, please. 19 your SEC complaint; right? 20 20 MS. BRAXTON: Sure. A. I do, yes. 21 21 O. And I think that -- you know, I don't A. Keep going. 22 22 [Document review.] want to preclude you from reading this whole 23 Yeah, keep scrolling slow, that would 23 thread, but the dates are fairly, fairly clear 24 be fine. 24 that this text message exchange ends on or around August 3rd. We'll go down to the bottom. If you 25 [Document review.] 25

Page 278 Page 279 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 BY MS. BRAXTON: 2 want us to go back so you can read, we can. But 2 3 I just think timing alone might help clarify --3 Q. So I'll help with the time frame 4 or speed this up. here, because I think obviously that's very 4 5 important. A. If you could scroll down just --5 б please. 6 MS. BRAXTON: So regarding -- if we 7 7 Oh, go up -- continue going back the could scroll up for one second, Alex, just to the 8 way you were going. I apologize. 8 "shots fired." 9 Okay. Right there, "chance to look 9 Maybe this will refresh your 10 at the e-mail, and if so..." 10 recollection, Mr. Hansen. 11 Keep going down or up, however you 11 BY MS. BRAXTON: 12 Q. This "shots fired" e-mail, or text 12 were scrolling. 13 No, the other way. I do apologize. 13 message, do you remember the timing generally Hold on a sec. 14 when Mr. German would have told you shots fired 14 15 [Document review.] 15 and he loved it? 16 16 A. Okay. Continue scrolling. A. Can you scroll up a little bit more 17 BY MS. BRAXTON: 17 to the prior. 18 Q. Down? Down? 18 Okay. Stop right there, please. 19 A. Yes, down, please. 19 Can you scroll down just a little 2.0 [Document review.] 20 bit. A. Yeah, continue, please. 21 21 [Document review.] 22 [Document review.] 22 A. Yeah, I do -- I'm not sure, but I 23 A. Yeah, I don't see the date, but I 23 believe that might have been around August 9th. believe that was around August 9th. 24 That's the best of my recollection. 24 25 25 I could be wrong. Page 281 Page 280 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 1 2 BY MS. BRAXTON: 2 A. Mm-hmm. 3 3 Q. Okay. All right. I'm sure I can Q. Maybe this will help refresh your 4 call it up for you. recollection. 5 So let's focus on Wednesday, 14:05. 5 All right. So if we can get back to 6 There's a text message that says: Hey Matt, have that Wednesday. All right. Hey, Matt. Have you 6 7 7 you had a chance to look at that e-mail? And if had a chance to look at that e-mail? And if so, 8 so, do you have an opinion on my attendance? I 8 do you have an opinion on my attendance? 9 would like to --9 Mr. Hansen, after reviewing the mail 10 MS. BRAXTON: We'll come back to that you sent to Mr. German on August 1, 2018, 10 11 this, but let's pull up joint Exhibit No. 204. 11 asking about his feedback for a meeting and your 12 BY MS. BRAXTON: 12 attendance, and this text message that you also 13 13 sent on a Wednesday asking about his -- whether Q. So here is an e-mail from August 1st, 14 also a Wednesday, and it is an e-mail from you to 14 or not he had an opportunity to look at your 15 15 Matt. And you're asking -- you can read it e-mail, does this refresh your recollection as to yourself. I won't read it for you. But 16 the date of this text exchange? 16 17 essentially you're asking him for some feedback 17 A. It potentially does. We were talking about attending a meeting, and you want his about two different meetings, an August 9th 18 18 19 feedback. 19 meeting with Mr. Gecewich was not the meeting 20 And this is on August 1st --20 that was being referred to in the prior e-mail 21 A. Correct. 21 that you put up. That was a request to meet with 22 Q. -- 2018, a Wednesday. 22 Gerhard Pretorius. 23 And so now I'd like to go back to the 23 O. Sure. 24 text message that's also on a Wednesday, and it's 24 But at the same time, you are asking 25 about a meeting. 25 Mr. German about his opinion on your attendance

Page 283 Page 282 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 at a meeting on August 1, 2018; would you agree? with Mr. German about your Fox News media, it 2 2 3 A. I would, yes. 3 would have been something that you noted Q. Okay. So is it possible that this 4 somewhere, made a memo, a document or something 4 5 5 e-mail -- or excuse me, this text message on to memorialize that event? б Wednesday at 14:05 was sent on August 1, 2018? 6 A. I don't know. I mean, I'm 7 A. I suppose it's possible. 7 speculating. I spoke to nobody about that prior 8 Q. Please scroll down a little bit. 8 to -- on my day off attending that. 9 9 Okay. And I'm sure we'll go Q. Okay. And if Matt German were to 10 through -- we'll go through other exhibits that I 10 testify that he never talked to you about a 11 think will help focus the time frame of this. Fox News media -- your appearance on Fox News, 11 But for now, those are the only questions that I 12 12 are you saying essentially that that -- do you 13 13 have for that at this time. think that would be untruthful or not true? 14 14 A. Matt German acknowledged that he was Mr. Hansen, you just testified about 15 essentially that everyone knew because there was 15 aware of that when he spoke to me prior --16 this stuff on the media and people were talking 16 when -- after Tesla asked USSA to remove me, he 17 about it. Did you ever discuss your Fox News 17 had stated that he had observed and seen the 18 appearance with Mr. German? 18 Fox News piece, or knew I was on Fox News. 19 A. I don't recall specifically. 19 So prior to that, I can't answer. 20 Q. If you had discussed it, is that 20 Q. Just give me one second. I'm actually looking at the --21 something that you think would have been 21 22 important to memorialize in writing? Document? 22 MS. BRAXTON: I'm sorry, Ms. -- the court reporter, do you mind reading that answer 23 A. Sure. 23 24 24 back for me? Q. So you think it would have been a 25 25 good idea that if you did have a conversation (Whereupon, the following testimony Page 285 Page 284 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 2 was read by the court reporter.) 2 And, Mr. Hansen, I know that we just 3 looked back at the text messages; but without "ANSWER: Matt German acknowledged 3 4 that he was aware of that when he spoke to me pulling that back up, isn't it true that during 5 prior -- when -- after Tesla asked USSA to remove 5 the time that you were employed at USSA, you had 6 me, he had stated that he had observed and seen never raised any claims that Mr. German had 6 7 7 the Fox News piece, or knew I was on Fox News. retaliated against you? 8 "So prior to that, I can't answer." 8 A. That's correct, yes. 9 (End of readback.) 9 Q. And isn't it true, in fact, that you believe that Mr. German was a straight shooter 10 BY MS. BRAXTON: 10 11 Q. Okay. And, Mr. Hansen, do you have 11 when it came to --12 any evidence that you told Mr. German this? 12 A. That is --13 13 Q. And --A. In my phone conversation with him 14 when he notified me that Jeff Jones directed him 14 A. Yes. 15 15 to remove me from the Tesla property. We had a Q. Sorry. conversation. That's the evidence I have 16 16 And during the time that you were 17 regarding that. 17 employed by USSA, did you feel that he was very Q. Okay. And aside from this phone supportive of your employment and continued 18 18 19 conversation that you're referencing, is there 19 employment as a USSA employee? 20 any other evidence that you are aware of that 20 A. Yes, I did. 21 indicates or suggests that you told Mr. German 21 O. And you don't believe that Mr. German 22 22 about your Fox News appearance? had any reason to remove you from the Gigafactory 23 A. No. 23 other than that he received a request from Tesla, 24 Q. And for the -- I'm sorry, strike 24 do you? 25 25 that. That was the reason he gave me, and

Page 287 Page 286 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 no, I don't. I believe that he was given that Mr. Hansen, is that your text message, a text 2 3 directive. 3 message from you to Matt German? 4 4 Q. And you don't have any reason to A. It is, yes. 5 believe that Mr. German had any negative feelings 5 Q. And is it true that you actually told б about you because you had done investigations or 6 him that he's been the only guy to shoot straight 7 you were involved investigating theft at the 7 with you? 8 Gigafactory; correct? 8 A. Yes, it is. 9 9 Q. And also, we did look at this exhibit A. No. 10 That is correct, yes. 10 earlier, and the purpose -- we started talking 11 Q. And when you did share with him about timing and so on and so forth, and the 11 date, but in this text exchange, which appears to information about your investigations and the 12 12 13 work that you were doing, he was very supportive 13 be the only record of the text messages that you and he didn't give you any kind of negative 14 exchanged with Mr. German, anywhere in here did 14 15 responses as far as you can recall; is that 15 you inform him that you filed an SEC complaint? correct? 16 16 A. No. Not in that, no. 17 17 A. That is correct, yes, ma'am. Q. So nowhere in the text exchange or 18 Q. Do you -- I do want to pull back up 18 text messages that you exchanged with Mr. German 19 the text exchange, 121. If we can all turn back 19 did you inform him that you had filed an SEC 20 to that. 2.0 complaint? 21 MS. BRAXTON: Okay. So let's go 21 A. No. 22 to -- I believe it's KH 864. Yeah, it's part 22 Q. And, Mr. Hansen, isn't it true that of -- yeah. 23 23 you recorded conversations that you had with 24 Okay. Scroll up just a little bit. 24 Mr. German without his consent? 25 25 Q. So right there in that text message, A. Yes. Page 288 Page 289 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 1 2 Q. And your recorded phone calls with 2 (Recess taken, 5:05 p.m. to 3 Mr. German, those were made without his consent; 3 5:15 p.m. PDT) 4 is that correct? 4 MS. BRAXTON: Okay. Are we back on? 5 A. Yes. 5 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yes. 6 Q. And you didn't produce those; 6 BY MS. BRAXTON: 7 7 correct? Q. Okay, Mr. Hansen. So just before the 8 8 break, you testified that Matt German knew about 9 Q. If you had one that you think 9 the SEC complaint and that he told you -essentially showed or captured you telling 10 MR. WOODFIELD: Has the judge said 10 Mr. German about the SEC complaint or the 11 11 we're back on and the court reporter? 12 Fox News thing that you did, did -- didn't you 12 MS. BRAXTON: Oh, sorry. 13 13 think it would have been important to produce MR. WOODFIELD: I think you have to 14 14 those? wait. 15 15 MR. WOODFIELD: Objection. We MS. BRAXTON: I did get a thumbs-up 16 produced every recording we had. 16 from the court reporter. 17 A. Yeah, I think we did actually, now 17 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I think we're that I think about it. 18 18 good to go. 19 MS. BRAXTON: Okay. Can we take a 19 Okay. We got a thumbs-up from the 20 short break? 20 court reporter. 21 21 BY MS. BRAXTON: JUDGE HOFFMAN: Sure. How long do 22 you want? 22 Q. Okay. So just before the break, 23 MS. BRAXTON: Ten minutes. 23 Mr. Hansen, you testified that Mr. German knew 24 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Ten minutes. You've 24 about the SEC complaint and that he told you that he knew in the call when he called to inform you 25 got it. We'll be back at 5:15 my time. 25

Page 290 Page 291 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 that you were being removed from the account. 2 2 MR. WOODFIELD: Well, I --3 You also testified that you recorded 3 Your Honor, from an evidentiary standpoint, I 4 think perhaps the way to do it would be for your calls with Mr. German, and that you did not 4 5 have his permission to record him during those 5 the -- for USSA to play it and ask him if it б phone calls. 6 sounds like the recording he made of it, and then 7 MS. BRAXTON: We do have the removal 7 he could -- she could ask if it refreshed his 8 phone call, and we would like to submit that as recollection, but it's still there for the 9 impeachment evidence, because we've just listened impeachment purposes for the arbitrator, because 9 10 to it and there is no mention of an SEC complaint 10 you've heard it as well. or a Fox News appearance. And I think it's very, Because if he says that's the 11 11 very important that the Judge, Mr. Hoffman, 12 12 recording he made, you're in the same position, 13 consider introducing this recording. It's only 13 then we don't need to offer the recording itself. 14 about four and a half minutes. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, I'm fine either 15 JUDGE HOFFMAN: I guess first of all, 15 way. There's no question as to authenticity of is there an objection to the consideration of 16 16 the recording, because it's his recording. So he 17 that recording? 17 has made this recording. And so I'll -- I'll 18 MR. WOODFIELD: I think it would be 18 handle it however USSA would like to present this 19 beneficial to hear the recording. 19 evidence. It's their evidence of impeachment, so 20 JUDGE HOFFMAN: So there's no 20 however you want to handle it is fine with me. 21 objection. It hasn't been marked yet, so it 21 You can stipulate that you've 22 needs to be marked and presented somehow. 22 listened to the recording and it doesn't say what 23 Maybe what we can do is I can listen 23 Mr. Hansen says it said, or you can let me hear 24 to it right now if you want to, and then you can 24 it, or however you want to do it. 25 25 mark it and put it into the record. MR. WOODFIELD: Well, I'm not going Page 292 Page 293 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 2 to stipulate to that. I haven't the slightest 2 playing the MP4, whatever type file it is, I 3 idea, and I thought their characterization of the 3 think that it will come through on the Zoom. 4 text was a little loose, so I would like to hear 4 (Technical discussion off the 5 the -- I'd like to hear the recording. 5 record.) 6 6 MS. BRAXTON: Permission to play, MR. WOODFIELD: I can't hear 7 7 Your Honor? anything. 8 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Sure. Go ahead and 8 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I'm not hearing 9 9 play it. anything either. 10 MS. BRAXTON: Was everyone else able 10 MS. BRAXTON: Okay. Was anyone able 11 to hear that? 11 to hear any of that? 12 MR. WOODFIELD: Nobody has heard 12 JUDGE HOFFMAN: No. 13 13 (Technical discussion off the anything. 14 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: No, I didn't hear record.) 15 15 anything. MS. BRAXTON: Can we take a few minutes just so we can figure out this 16 MS. BRAXTON: Okay. I think I may 16 17 have been on mute. I'm so sorry. Let's try that 17 technology? I'm sure we can try to figure out a one more time. I think it was me, Alex. way to make this work. 18 18 19 (Tape played.) 19 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Sure. Go ahead. 20 20 MR. WOODFIELD: We can't hear MS. BRAXTON: You can see it. You 21 anything. 21 just can't hear it. 22 22 MS. BRAXTON: Okay. Can we --(Recess taken, 5:22 p.m. to 23 MS. DUNNE: I have an idea. I think 23 5:24 p.m. PDT) 24 maybe if you play the recording and share your 24 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Let's go back to 25 screen while you're playing it, like while you're 25 where Ms. Braxton is now going to continue her

```
Page 295
                                               Page 294
 1
        Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
                                                         1
                                                                 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
     cross-examination. And --
2
                                                         2
                                                             of our accounts but keep your rate the same. So
3
                                                         3
                Let's go on the record now, Deb.
                                                             as U.S. Security Associates, we will eat whatever
                                                             marginal difference, the contractual pay rates
 4
                So we are back on the record and
                                                         4
 5
    we've had -- I've taken a few minutes to address
                                                         5
                                                             from your new account and keep you at your
     some technological issues, and now, Ms. Braxton
 б
                                                         6
                                                             current rate.
7
     is going to play a recording of a telephone
                                                         7
                                                                        MR. HANSEN: Okay. I -- wow, I
8
     conversation that she has received in discovery.
                                                         8
                                                             appreciate that. Can't ask for more than that as
9
                (Tape played.)
                                                         9
                                                             I need to stay employed. And holy shit.
10
                MR. HANSEN: All right. If you can
                                                        10
                                                                        MR. GERMAN: I'm not going to go into
11
    hear me, I can hear you. Go ahead, my friend.
                                                        11
                                                             details on that, but you know who I am and what
12
                MR. GERMAN: Okay. Let me preface
                                                             I'm about?
                                                        12
13
                                                        13
     this with saying I have to get through a business
                                                                        MR. HANSEN: Absolutely. Absolutely.
                                                        14
14
     piece first and then I have a personal piece at
                                                                        I didn't -- I didn't know any of
15
     the end of it. Okay?
                                                        15
                                                             this, actually. I mean, I suspected something
16
                                                        16
                                                             was coming down the road, quite honestly. You
                Sounds good.
17
                                                        17
                So business, you are effectively
                                                             know, you heard the piece about Elon Musk coming
     removed via client request from the Tesla site.
18
                                                        18
                                                             through the gate last week.
19
     I will not go into details of the material. You
                                                        19
                                                                        MR. GERMAN: Oh yeah.
                                                                        MR. HANSEN: I'm sure -- yeah. Yeah.
20
     are aware and letter has been populated from
                                                        20
     Tesla to both yourself and your lawyers. I will
21
                                                        21
                                                             Okav.
22
     let that take its course. All right?
                                                        22
                                                                        And I -- I haven't seen the letter.
23
                Moving into the business piece now --
                                                        23
                                                             Do you have the letter? Can you forward that --
24
    or the personal piece. I'm working with the
                                                        24
                                                                        MR. GERMAN: No --
25
                                                        25
    region right now to reassign you to another one
                                                                        MR. HANSEN: -- to me? Or is that
                                                Page 296
                                                                                                        Page 297
1
        Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
                                                         1
                                                                 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1
2
    not something that you guys can do? I'd have
                                                         2
                                                                        MR. HANSEN: All right, my friend.
3
                                                                        MR. GERMAN: All right. So we'll let
     to --
                                                         3
 4
                                                         4
                MR. GERMAN: I don't have it. They
                                                             the -- we'll let the Tesla piece play out. What
 5
     told me it was supposed to be sent to your
                                                         5
                                                             I do need from you is -- this is effective
 6
     representation and that -- well, they didn't
                                                         6
                                                             immediately, so do not go in on your shift
7
                                                         7
     specifically say you, but they said your
                                                             tonight.
8
     representation was sent at 5:00 p.m. Pacific
                                                         8
                                                                        MR. HANSEN: Okay.
9
     Time.
                                                         9
                                                                        MR. GERMAN: We do need you to bring
10
                            Well, today.
                                                        10
                                                             the badge over to the branch office tomorrow, if
                MR. HANSEN:
                                                             you have some time. Obviously Rick is out of
11
                MR. GERMAN: Yep.
                                                        11
12
                MR. HANSEN:
                            Okay, buddy. All right.
                                                        12
                                                             town. I'm not sure if you've had a chance to
13
                                                        13
                                                             meet Scott.
    Well, I damn sure appreciate it.
14
                MR. GERMAN: Not a call I wanted to
                                                        14
                                                                        MR. HANSEN: I know Scott, yeah.
15
                                                        15
    make.
                                                                        MR. GERMAN: Scott is a good dude.
16
                MR. HANSEN: No. But like you said,
                                                        16
                                                                        MR. HANSEN: He really is.
                                                        17
                                                                        MR. GERMAN: I'll let Scott know what
17
     I mean, I've known who you were from the
     beginning, and you've not wavered with your
                                                             is going on, and if you could do that for me, I
18
                                                        18
19
     integrity, and you knew what -- well, maybe you
                                                        19
                                                             would appreciate it, sir.
20
                                                        20
     didn't know the specifics, but you did
                                                                        MR. HANSEN: Sure can, man. I'll
21
     acknowledge that you knew something was going on.
                                                        21
                                                             make that happen tomorrow. I appreciate you.
22
     And it was before your time, and you stuck in
                                                        22
                                                                        MR. GERMAN: All right, Karl.
                                                        23
23
     there to support me, and I appreciate the hell
                                                                        MR. HANSEN: All right, Matt. You
24
     out of that.
                                                        24
                                                             take care, man. We'll talk soon.
25
                                                        25
                                                                        Hey, who will let me know about where
                MR. GERMAN: You got it.
```

|  | D 000  |  | D 200  |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1  | Page 298<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 299<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2  | I'm going to go, though, actually? Will that be  | 2  | record?  |
| 3  | in a couple of days or something?  | 3  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yes. Well, we have  |
| 4  | MR. GERMAN: Yeah, this shouldn't be  | 4  | been on the record, yeah.  |
| 5  | too long. But that will be from Scott. We're   | 5  | MS. BRAXTON: Sorry, my apologies. I  |
| 6  | working with the region. Last we had planned was   | 6  | had my volume on low. We are back on the record;   |
| 7  | we have a new UPS account starting up, and I   | 7  | correct?   |
| 8  | don't think it starts until the 15th. So I was   | 8  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: We are on the record  |
| 9  | really hoping to ride this wave at least until   | 9  | and the recording was recorded.  |
| 10   | then.  | 10   | MS. BRAXTON: Okay. Perfect.  |
| 11   | MR. HANSEN: Yeah.  | 11   | BY MS. BRAXTON: ORBY. Perfect.   |
| 12   |  |  |  |
| 1  | MR. GERMAN: But that clearly didn't  | 12   | Q. So, Mr. Hansen, that's the call you   |
| 13   | happen.  | 13   | were referencing where Mr. German informed you of  |
| 14   | MR. HANSEN: Okay.  | 14   | the removal; is that correct?  |
| 15   | MR. GERMAN: So we may be able to   | 15   | A. That is, yes.   |
| 16   | work something out, you know, until that takes   | 16   | MS. BRAXTON: Okay. And so I do   |
| 17   | off. All right.  | 17   | for everyone who is on the East Coast, I do have   |
| 18   | MR. HANSEN: All right. Well, I'll  | 18   | a next line of questioning, but I do want to at  |
| 19   | talk to him tomorrow, get that badge back to him,  | 19   | least be considerate. It's 5:30 here. I know   |
| 20   | and I'll wait for further direction and guidance.  | 20   | it's probably 8:30, if I can do my math  |
| 21   | MR. GERMAN: All right.   | 21   | correctly, where you are. Everyone have any  |
| 22   | MR. HANSEN: Thanks, Matt. All  | 22   | thoughts or opinions? I think this is a good   |
| 23   | right, brother. You take care. Yeah, bye-bye.  | 23   | breaking point.  |
| 24   | (Tape ended.)  | 24   | MR. WOODFIELD: I think we're going   |
| 25   | MS. BRAXTON: Are we back on the  | 25   | to have to finish with the witness tonight. I  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Page 300   |  | Page 301   |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1  | Page 301<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 1 2  |  | 1 2  | 5  |
|  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 would like to not carry him over.   | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1<br>JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.   |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do   | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do you have to do?   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will be some redirect?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all                       |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one question.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1 would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one question.  (Discussion off the record.)  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do  you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will  be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one question.  (Discussion off the record.)  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, let's let's   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do  you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will  be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one question.  (Discussion off the record.)  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, let's let's  stop, then, because that's the most important  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do  you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less  than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will  be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one  question.  (Discussion off the record.)  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, let's let's  stop, then, because that's the most important  thing is to give your record.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do  you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less  than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will  be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one  question.  (Discussion off the record.)  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, let's let's  stop, then, because that's the most important  thing is to give your record.  So we'll pick up tomorrow morning,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do  you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less  than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will  be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one  question.  (Discussion off the record.)  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, let's let's  stop, then, because that's the most important  thing is to give your record.  So we'll pick up tomorrow morning,  then, at 9 o'clock and continue this, and then  we'll be able to go right into our next witness,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do  you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less  than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will  be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one  question.  (Discussion off the record.)  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, let's let's  stop, then, because that's the most important  thing is to give your record.  So we'll pick up tomorrow morning,  then, at 9 o'clock and continue this, and then   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do  you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less  than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will  be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one  question.  (Discussion off the record.)  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, let's let's  stop, then, because that's the most important  thing is to give your record.  So we'll pick up tomorrow morning,  then, at 9 o'clock and continue this, and then  we'll be able to go right into our next witness,  so I guess will be Mr. Nocon.  MR. ROBERTSON: Correct, Your Honor,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one question.  (Discussion off the record.)  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, let's let's stop, then, because that's the most important thing is to give your record.  So we'll pick up tomorrow morning, then, at 9 o'clock and continue this, and then we'll be able to go right into our next witness, so I guess will be Mr. Nocon.  MR. ROBERTSON: Correct, Your Honor, he's standing by. We'll give him an update and   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do  you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less  than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will  be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one  question.  (Discussion off the record.)  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, let's let's  stop, then, because that's the most important  thing is to give your record.  So we'll pick up tomorrow morning,  then, at 9 o'clock and continue this, and then  we'll be able to go right into our next witness,  so I guess will be Mr. Nocon.  MR. ROBERTSON: Correct, Your Honor,  he's standing by. We'll give him an update and  let him know that given what we've just heard,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do  you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less  than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will  be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one  question.  (Discussion off the record.)  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, let's let's  stop, then, because that's the most important  thing is to give your record.  So we'll pick up tomorrow morning,  then, at 9 o'clock and continue this, and then  we'll be able to go right into our next witness,  so I guess will be Mr. Nocon.  MR. ROBERTSON: Correct, Your Honor,  he's standing by. We'll give him an update and  let him know that given what we've just heard,  have him ready about we're going to start at | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one question.  (Discussion off the record.)  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, let's let's stop, then, because that's the most important thing is to give your record.  So we'll pick up tomorrow morning, then, at 9 o'clock and continue this, and then we'll be able to go right into our next witness, so I guess will be Mr. Nocon.  MR. ROBERTSON: Correct, Your Honor, he's standing by. We'll give him an update and let him know that given what we've just heard, have him ready about we're going to start at 9:00. We'll have him ready at about 10:00,          | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  would like to not carry him over.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: How much more time do  you have to do?  MS. BRAXTON: I'd definitely say less  than an hour. I would probably say 30 to 45.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then, there will  be some redirect?  MR. WOODFIELD: Right now I have one  question.  (Discussion off the record.)  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, let's let's  stop, then, because that's the most important  thing is to give your record.  So we'll pick up tomorrow morning,  then, at 9 o'clock and continue this, and then  we'll be able to go right into our next witness,  so I guess will be Mr. Nocon.  MR. ROBERTSON: Correct, Your Honor,  he's standing by. We'll give him an update and  let him know that given what we've just heard,  have him ready about we're going to start at | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Okay. Good.  Mr. Hansen, bearing this break, you are advised not to discuss your testimony with anybody. All right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.  Then we are off the record. And I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. |

| _  |  |   |   |   |   |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| 1  | Page<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 302   | Hansen v  | Elon Musk - Arbitration Day   | Page 303  |
| 2  | CERTIFICATE  | 2   |   | INDEX   |   |
| 3  |  | 3   |   |   |   |
| 4  | I, DEBRA A. DIBBLE, RDR, CRR, Notary   | 4   | PROCEEDINGS   |   | 4   |
| 5  | Public, do hereby certify:   | 5   |   |   |   |
| 6  | That the witnesses hereinbefore set  | 6   | EXAMINATION   | OF KARL ERIK HANSEN:  |   |
| 7  | forth were duly sworn by me and that such  | 7   | BY MR. WOOD   | FIELD   | 31  |
| 8  | transcript is a true record of the testimony   | 8   | BY JUDGE HO   | FFMAN   | 112   |
| 9  | given;   | 9   | BY MR. ROBE   | RTSON   | 113   |
| 10   | 51,611,  | 10  | BY MS. BRAX   | TON   | 244   |
| 11   | I further certify that I am not  | 11  |   |   |   |
| 12   | related to any of the parties to this action by  | , 12  |   |   |   |
| 13   | blood or marriage; and that I am in no way   | 13  |   |   |   |
| 14   | interested in the outcome of this matter.  | 14  |   |   |   |
| 15   | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto  | 15  |   |   |   |
| 16   | set my hand on 4-11-2022.  | 16  |   |   |   |
| 17   | set my hand on 4 ii 2022.  | 17  |   |   |   |
| 18   |  | 18  |   |   |   |
| 19   | 2061a A. Si664   | 19  |   |   |   |
| 20   | DEBRA A. DIBBLE, RDR, CRR, CRC   | 20  |   |   |   |
| 21   | NOTARY PUBLIC  | 21  |   |   |   |
| 22   | NOTAKI FUDDIC  | 22  |   |   |   |
| 23   |  | 23  |   |   |   |
| 24   |  | 24  |   |   |   |
| 25   |  | 25  |   |   |   |
| 25   |  |   |   |   |   |
|  | _  |   |   |   |   |
| 1  | Page<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 304 1   | Hansen v  | Elon Musk - Arbitration Day   | Page 305  |
| 1 2  |  |   | Hansen v  | Elon Musk - Arbitration Day was received  |   |
|  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1   | 1   |   | _   | 1   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  | 1 2   | Exhibit 100   | was received  | 128   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE   | 2 3   | Exhibit 100<br>Exhibit 111  | was received  | 1 128<br>110  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  Exhibit 1 was received 120  Exhibit 6 was received 97  | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4  | Exhibit 100<br>Exhibit 111<br>Exhibit 121<br>Exhibit 123  | was received was received was received was received   | 1 128 110 62 106  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  PAGE  Exhibit 1 was received 120  Exhibit 6 was received 97  Exhibit 16 was received 37  | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126   | was received was received was received was received was received  | 1 128 110 62 106 39   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  PAGE  Exhibit 1 was received 120  Exhibit 6 was received 97  Exhibit 16 was received 37  Exhibit 17 was received 237   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129   | was received was received was received was received was received was received   | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  Exhibit 1 was received 120  Exhibit 6 was received 97  Exhibit 16 was received 37  Exhibit 17 was received 237  Exhibit 19 was received 96   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131   | was received  | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  PAGE  Exhibit 1 was received 120  Exhibit 6 was received 97  Exhibit 16 was received 37  Exhibit 17 was received 237   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129   | was received was received was received was received was received was received   | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  Exhibit 1 was received 120  Exhibit 6 was received 97  Exhibit 16 was received 37  Exhibit 17 was received 237  Exhibit 19 was received 96  Exhibit 22 was received 84   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 131   | was received  | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  PAGE  Exhibit 1 was received 120  Exhibit 6 was received 97  Exhibit 16 was received 37  Exhibit 17 was received 237  Exhibit 19 was received 96  Exhibit 22 was received 84  Exhibit 23 was received 205  | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 133 Exhibit 136   | was received  | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57 61  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  Exhibit 1 was received 120  Exhibit 6 was received 97  Exhibit 16 was received 37  Exhibit 17 was received 237  Exhibit 19 was received 96  Exhibit 22 was received 84  Exhibit 23 was received 205  Exhibit 31 was received 71  | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 133 Exhibit 136 Exhibit 137   | was received   | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57 61 75   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  Exhibit 1 was received 120  Exhibit 6 was received 97  Exhibit 16 was received 37  Exhibit 17 was received 237  Exhibit 19 was received 96  Exhibit 22 was received 84  Exhibit 23 was received 205  Exhibit 31 was received 71  Exhibit 33 was received 167   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 133 Exhibit 136 Exhibit 136 Exhibit 137 Exhibit 138   | was received   | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57 61 75 49  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  PAGE  Exhibit 1 was received 120  Exhibit 6 was received 97  Exhibit 16 was received 237  Exhibit 17 was received 237  Exhibit 19 was received 96  Exhibit 22 was received 84  Exhibit 23 was received 205  Exhibit 31 was received 71  Exhibit 33 was received 167  Exhibit 49 was received 192   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 133 Exhibit 136 Exhibit 137 Exhibit 138 Exhibit 138   | was received   | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57 61 75 49 89                                     |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  Exhibit 1 was received  Exhibit 6 was received  Exhibit 16 was received  Exhibit 17 was received  Exhibit 19 was received  Exhibit 22 was received  Exhibit 23 was received  Exhibit 31 was received  Exhibit 33 was received  Exhibit 34 was received  Exhibit 49 was received  Exhibit 49 was received  Exhibit 55 was received  Exhibit 55 was received  Exhibit 62 was received  DESCRIPTION  PAGE  97  237  Exhibit 17  Exhibit 28  Exhibit 29  Exhibit 31  Exhibit 33  Exhibit 33  Exhibit 34  Exhibit 35  Exhibit 49  Exhibit 55  Exhibit 55  Exhibit 62  Exhibit 62  Exhibit 62  | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 133 Exhibit 136 Exhibit 137 Exhibit 138 Exhibit 147 Exhibit 150 Exhibit 173   | was received   | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57 61 75 49 89 178                                 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  Exhibit 1 was received  Exhibit 6 was received  Exhibit 16 was received  Exhibit 17 was received  Exhibit 19 was received  Exhibit 22 was received  Exhibit 23 was received  Exhibit 31 was received  Exhibit 33 was received  Exhibit 34 was received  Exhibit 49 was received  Exhibit 49 was received  Exhibit 55 was received  Exhibit 55 was received  Exhibit 62 was received  DESCRIPTION  PAGE  437  237  Exhibit 19  Exhibit 20  Exhibit 21  Exhibit 22  Exhibit 33  Exhibit 33  Exhibit 34  Exhibit 35  Exhibit 49  Exhibit 55  Exhibit 55  Exhibit 62  Exhibit 62  Exhibit 62   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 133 Exhibit 136 Exhibit 137 Exhibit 138 Exhibit 138 Exhibit 147 Exhibit 150   | was received   | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57 61 75 49 89 178 89                              |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  Exhibit 1  Was received  Exhibit 6  Was received  Exhibit 16  Was received  Exhibit 17  Was received  Exhibit 19  Was received  Exhibit 22  Was received  Exhibit 23  Was received  Exhibit 31  Was received  Exhibit 31  Was received  Exhibit 33  Was received  Exhibit 49  Was received  Exhibit 49  Was received  Exhibit 55  Was received  Exhibit 62  Was received  Exhibit 63  Was received  Exhibit 63  Was received  Exhibit 63  Was received  Exhibit 63  Was received  Exhibit 71  Was received  Exhibit 71  Was received  Exhibit 63  Was received  Exhibit 71   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 133 Exhibit 136 Exhibit 137 Exhibit 138 Exhibit 147 Exhibit 150 Exhibit 173 Exhibit 173 Exhibit 176 Exhibit 176 Exhibit 181   | was received  | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57 61 75 49 89 178 89 89                           |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  Exhibit 1  Was received  Exhibit 6  Was received  Exhibit 16  Was received  Exhibit 17  Was received  Exhibit 19  Was received  Exhibit 22  Was received  Exhibit 23  Was received  Exhibit 31  Was received  Exhibit 31  Was received  Exhibit 33  Was received  Exhibit 49  Was received  Exhibit 49  Was received  Exhibit 55  Was received  Exhibit 62  Was received  Exhibit 63  Was received  Exhibit 63  Was received  Exhibit 63  Was received  Exhibit 63  Was received  Exhibit 71  Was received  Exhibit 71  Was received  Exhibit 63  Was received  Exhibit 71   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 133 Exhibit 136 Exhibit 137 Exhibit 138 Exhibit 147 Exhibit 150 Exhibit 173 Exhibit 173   | was received  | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57 61 75 49 89 178 89 89 152                       |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  Exhibit 1 was received  Exhibit 6 was received  Exhibit 17 was received  Exhibit 19 was received  Exhibit 22 was received  Exhibit 23 was received  Exhibit 31 was received  Exhibit 33 was received  Exhibit 49 was received  Exhibit 49 was received  Exhibit 55 was received  Exhibit 62 was received  Exhibit 63 was received  Exhibit 71 was received  Exhibit 71 was received  Exhibit 72 was received  Exhibit 73 was received  | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 133 Exhibit 136 Exhibit 137 Exhibit 138 Exhibit 147 Exhibit 150 Exhibit 173 Exhibit 176 Exhibit 181 Exhibit 183 Exhibit 183   | was received   | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57 61 75 49 89 178 89 89 152 100                   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  Exhibit 1 was received  Exhibit 6 was received  Exhibit 17 was received  Exhibit 19 was received  Exhibit 22 was received  Exhibit 23 was received  Exhibit 31 was received  Exhibit 33 was received  Exhibit 49 was received  Exhibit 49 was received  Exhibit 55 was received  Exhibit 62 was received  Exhibit 63 was received  Exhibit 71 was received  Exhibit 72 was received  Exhibit 73 was received  | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 133 Exhibit 136 Exhibit 137 Exhibit 138 Exhibit 147 Exhibit 150 Exhibit 173 Exhibit 173 Exhibit 176 Exhibit 181 Exhibit 181   | was received  | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57 61 75 49 89 178 89 89 152 100 96                |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  Exhibit 1 was received  Exhibit 6 was received  Exhibit 16 was received  Exhibit 17 was received  Exhibit 19 was received  Exhibit 22 was received  Exhibit 23 was received  Exhibit 31 was received  Exhibit 31 was received  Exhibit 49 was received  Exhibit 49 was received  Exhibit 49 was received  Exhibit 55 was received  Exhibit 62 was received  Exhibit 63 was received  Exhibit 71 was received  Exhibit 71 was received  Exhibit 73 was received  Exhibit 92 was received  121   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 133 Exhibit 136 Exhibit 137 Exhibit 138 Exhibit 147 Exhibit 150 Exhibit 173 Exhibit 173 Exhibit 176 Exhibit 181 Exhibit 183 Exhibit 186 Exhibit 186 Exhibit 194                                     | was received  | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57 61 75 49 89 178 89 89 152 100 96 257            |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  Exhibit 1 was received  Exhibit 6 was received  Exhibit 17 was received  Exhibit 19 was received  Exhibit 22 was received  Exhibit 23 was received  Exhibit 31 was received  Exhibit 33 was received  Exhibit 49 was received  Exhibit 55 was received  Exhibit 62 was received  Exhibit 63 was received  Exhibit 71 was received  Exhibit 73 was received  Exhibit 74 was received  Exhibit 75 was received  Exhibit 76 was received  Exhibit 77 was received  Exhibit 78 was received  Exhibit 79 was received  Exhibit 79 was received  Exhibit 79 was received  Exhibit 90 was received  Exhibit 91 was received  Exhibit 92 was received  Exhibit 94 was received  Exhibit 95 was received  Exhibit 95 was received  Exhibit 96 was received  Exhibit 97 was received  Exhibit 98 was received  Exhibit 99 was received  Exhibit 90 was received | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 133 Exhibit 136 Exhibit 137 Exhibit 138 Exhibit 147 Exhibit 150 Exhibit 173 Exhibit 160 Exhibit 173 Exhibit 176 Exhibit 176 Exhibit 181 Exhibit 186 Exhibit 194 Exhibit 195 Exhibit 197             | was received              | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57 61 75 49 89 178 89 89 152 100 96 257 84 259     |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 1  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  Exhibit 1 was received  Exhibit 6 was received  Exhibit 17 was received  Exhibit 19 was received  Exhibit 22 was received  Exhibit 23 was received  Exhibit 31 was received  Exhibit 33 was received  Exhibit 49 was received  Exhibit 55 was received  Exhibit 62 was received  Exhibit 63 was received  Exhibit 71 was received  Exhibit 73 was received  Exhibit 73 was received  Exhibit 73 was received  Exhibit 73 was received  Exhibit 74 was received  Exhibit 75 was received  Exhibit 77 was received  Exhibit 78 was received  Exhibit 79 was received  Exhibit 90 was received  Exhibit 91 was received  Exhibit 92 was received  Exhibit 94 was received | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 133 Exhibit 136 Exhibit 137 Exhibit 138 Exhibit 147 Exhibit 150 Exhibit 173 Exhibit 173 Exhibit 176 Exhibit 181 Exhibit 186 Exhibit 186 Exhibit 194 Exhibit 194                                     | was received  | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57 61 75 49 89 178 89 89 152 100 96 257 84         |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  Exhibit 1 was received 120  Exhibit 6 was received 97  Exhibit 17 was received 237  Exhibit 19 was received 96  Exhibit 22 was received 96  Exhibit 23 was received 205  Exhibit 31 was received 71  Exhibit 33 was received 71  Exhibit 49 was received 167  Exhibit 49 was received 255  Exhibit 55 was received 255  Exhibit 62 was received 210  Exhibit 63 was received 210  Exhibit 71 was received 210  Exhibit 73 was received 210  Exhibit 73 was received 214  Exhibit 73 was received 214  Exhibit 73 was received 214  Exhibit 92 was received 123  Exhibit 94 was received 123  Exhibit 95 was received 123  Exhibit 95 was received 123  Exhibit 96 was received 123  Exhibit 95 was received 123   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Exhibit 100 Exhibit 111 Exhibit 121 Exhibit 123 Exhibit 126 Exhibit 129 Exhibit 131 Exhibit 133 Exhibit 136 Exhibit 137 Exhibit 138 Exhibit 147 Exhibit 150 Exhibit 173 Exhibit 181 Exhibit 181 Exhibit 183 Exhibit 184 Exhibit 189 Exhibit 194 Exhibit 195 Exhibit 197 Exhibit 197 Exhibit 199 | was received | 1 128 110 62 106 39 66 144 57 61 75 49 89 178 89 89 152 100 96 257 84 259 107 |

Index: \$100..2018

|  | 85:1 86:1 87:1 88:1                    | 255:1 256:1 257:1                          | <b>12:28</b> 139:4              | 11,19 168:11,16                            |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------|--|
| \$   | 89:1 90:1 91:1 92:1                    | 258:1 259:1 260:1                          | 42:20 440:40 407:40             | 214:24 235:5,13                            |
|  | 93:1 94:1 95:1 96:1                    | 261:1 262:1 263:1,2                        | <b>12:30</b> 113:13 137:16      | 49 440,42 420,5                            |
| <b>\$100</b> 50:3 198:9                      | 97:1 98:1 99:1 100:1                   | 264:1 265:1 266:1                          | <b>12th</b> 81:10               | <b>18</b> 119:13 120:5 121:3 150:11 162:20 |
|  | 101:1 102:1 103:1                      | 267:1 268:1 269:1                          | <b>130</b> 150:7                | 163:23 168:9,16                            |
| <b>\$100,000</b> 140:6,12                    | 104:1 105:1 106:1                      | 270:1 271:1 272:1                          | 130 130.7                       | 171:6 256:11                               |
| <b>\$13,000</b> 135:9                        | 107:1 108:1 109:1                      | 273:1 274:1 275:1                          | <b>131</b> 144:4,6,14,15        |  |
| 136:12                                       | 110:1 111:1 112:1                      | 276:1 277:1 278:1                          | <b>133</b> 56:3 57:6,16,19,     | <b>181</b> 151:4,5 152:11,                 |
| <b>\$16</b> 105:5                            | 113:1 114:1 115:1                      | 279:1 280:1 281:1,10                       | 20                              | 13,14                                      |
| <b>\$10</b> 105.5                            | 116:1 117:1 118:1,                     | 282:1,2,6 283:1                            |                                 | <b>183</b> 99:5,24 100:2,3                 |
| <b>\$16.50</b> 139:25 140:17                 | 18,23 119:1 120:1,9,                   | 284:1 285:1 286:1                          | <b>136</b> 61:5,9,14,17,18      |  |
| 216:12                                       | 11,12 121:1 122:1                      | 287:1 288:1 289:1                          | <b>137</b> 74:5,25 75:3,4       | <b>186</b> 90:22 96:8,13,14                |
| <b>\$19.80</b> 160:9,23                      | 123:1 124:1 125:1<br>126:1 127:1 128:1 | 290:1 291:1 292:1<br>293:1 294:1 295:1     |                                 | <b>18th</b> 86:20 120:16                   |
| 215:3,10 216:4                               | 129:1 130:1 131:1                      | 296:1 297:1 298:1                          | <b>138</b> 46:9 48:21 49:3,4    | 40 54:00 55:44 57:0                        |
|  | 132:1 133:1 134:1                      | 299:1 300:1 301:1                          | <b>13th</b> 106:3               | <b>19</b> 54:20 55:11 57:9                 |
| <b>\$27</b> 64:4,13 83:13                    | 135:1 136:1 137:1                      | 302:1                                      | 44 07:47 040:05                 | 95:19 96:2,6,7 170:9                       |
| 85:5 157:13 215:4                            | 138:1 139:1 140:1                      |  | <b>14</b> 37:17 210:25 211:3    | <b>19.80</b> 160:3,12                      |
| <b>\$37</b> 49:21 135:2                      | 141:1 142:1 143:1                      | <b>10</b> 255:15 256:11                    | 211.3                           | 255:24                                     |
| <b>¢E00 000</b> 407:00                       | 144:1 145:1 146:1                      | <b>10-K</b> 233:10                         | <b>14.6</b> 155:13,14           | <b>193</b> 257:23                          |
| <b>\$500,000</b> 197:22 198:20 199:11        | 147:1,24 148:1 149:1                   |  | <b>145</b> 219:8                |  |
| 198.20 199.11                                | 150:1 151:1 152:1                      | <b>100</b> 50:2 126:4,10                   |                                 | <b>194</b> 257:6,22,24,25                  |
| <b>\$675</b> 220:13,16                       | 153:1 154:1 155:1                      | 128:10,12                                  | <b>147</b> 86:18,19 87:4        | <b>195</b> 83:15,16,22,24                  |
| <b>\$787</b> 39:7                            | 156:1 157:1 158:1                      | <b>102</b> 39:9                            | 89:15,17,19                     | 84:2,5 258:4,5                             |
| ·  | 159:1 160:1 161:1                      | <b>10:00</b> 300:23                        | <b>14:05</b> 280:5 282:6        |  |
| <b>\$87,500</b> 63:2                         | 162:1 163:1 164:1                      |  |                                 | <b>197</b> 258:16 259:8,9                  |
| <b>\$98,700</b> 39:7                         | 165:1 166:1 167:1                      | <b>10:25</b> 56:25                         | <b>15</b> 123:22 243:13,16      | <b>199</b> 107:3,8,10,11                   |
| <b>400,100</b> 00                            | 168:1 169:1 170:1                      | <b>10:26</b> 57:2                          | <b>150</b> 178:6,8,11,20,21     | 214:3,10,13 216:20,                        |
|  | 171:1 172:1,14 173:1                   |  | 215:19                          | 24 255:7                                   |
| 0  | 174:1 175:1 176:1                      | <b>10th</b> 255:21                         | <b>15th</b> 298:8               | <b>19th</b> 47:19 54:21 56:5               |
|  | 177:1 178:1 179:1<br>180:1 181:1 182:1 | <b>11</b> 4:4 17:11 176:12                 |                                 | 58:9 106:4                                 |
| <b>0861</b> 158:21                           | 183:1 184:1 185:1                      | 177:22                                     | <b>16</b> 32:23 33:9,14         |  |
|  | 186:1 187:1 188:1                      | 444 400 7 440 4 7 0                        | 37:3,4,8,9,12,13                | <b>1:03</b> 139:5                          |
| 1  | 189:1 190:1 191:1                      | <b>111</b> 109:7 110:4,7,8                 | 97:14 128:23                    | <b>1st</b> 41:7 192:21 193:3               |
|  | 192:1 193:1 194:1                      | <b>11:00</b> 84:15                         | <b>16.50</b> 39:11              | 194:19 195:9,13                            |
| <b>1</b> 4:1 5:1,8 6:1 7:1 8:1               | 195:1 196:1 197:1                      | <b>11:04</b> 84:18                         |                                 | 261:23 262:3,10                            |
| 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1                           | 198:1 199:1 200:1                      |  | <b>16th</b> 58:2,3,4,22         | 263:20 280:13,20                           |
| 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1                          | 201:1 202:1 203:1                      | <b>11:15</b> 84:15                         | 60:14 234:12,19,21,             |  |
| 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1                          | 204:1 205:1 206:1                      | <b>11:19</b> 84:19                         | 24 235:11 274:8                 | 2  |
| 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1                          | 207:1 208:1 209:1                      |  | <b>17</b> 126:11,16 151:20      |  |
| 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1                          | 210:1 211:1 212:1                      | <b>11th</b> 78:24 79:3                     | 152:7 153:18 160:10             | <b>2</b> 39:10 82:23 119:12                |
| 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1                          | 213:1 214:1 215:1                      | 158:24 159:8 160:21                        | 224:5 231:5,7 237:8,            | 154:18                                     |
| 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1                          | 216:1 217:1 218:1                      | 173:23 176:18                              | 9,11,12                         |  |
| 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1                          | 219:1 220:1 221:1                      | <b>12</b> 4:9 17:11 231:18                 | <b>173</b> 86:18 89:4,15,18,    | <b>2.5</b> 197:17,23 199:11,               |
| 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1                          | 222:1 223:1 224:1                      | 424 04:00 00:40 04                         | 23                              | 20   |
| 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1                          | 225:1 226:1 227:1                      | <b>121</b> 61:22 62:13,21, 22 157:8 276:10 |                                 | <b>20</b> 8:10 68:16 122:21                |
| 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1                          | 228:1 229:1 230:1                      | 286:19                                     | <b>176</b> 86:18 87:23          | 165:3                                      |
| 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1,5<br>57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1 | 231:1 232:1 233:1<br>234:1 235:1 236:1 |  | 89:15,17,21 171:19,<br>25 172:6 |  |
| 61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1                          | 237:1 238:1 239:1                      | <b>123</b> 106:6,21,23,24                  | 20 172.0                        | <b>200-semester</b> 32:3                   |
| 65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1                          | 240:1 241:1 242:1                      | <b>126</b> 38:11 39:21,23                  | <b>177</b> 60:18                | <b>2010</b> 35:18                          |
| 69:1 70:1 71:1 72:1                          | 243:1 244:1 245:1                      | 139:10                                     | <b>178</b> 216:18 217:2,5       | 2016 26.0                                  |
| 73:1 74:1 75:1 76:1                          | 246:1 247:1 248:1                      |  | ·                               | <b>2016</b> 36:8                           |
| 77:1 78:1 79:1 80:1                          | 249:1 250:1 251:1                      | <b>129</b> 64:15 66:5,7,8                  | <b>17th</b> 58:3 60:14          | <b>2018</b> 24:4 27:14                     |
| 81:1 82:1 83:1 84:1                          | 252:1 253:1 254:1                      | <b>12:00</b> 242:23                        | 126:22 158:15 159:8,            | 32:19 33:16 38:20                          |
|  |  |  |                                 |  |
|  | I                                      | I .  | T.                              | I .  |

Index: 2019..accelerated

40:4.11 41:7 49:23 54:20 57:9 58:22 63:22 66:14 68:14 72:10 86:21 88:16 90:11 92:2 93:2 97:14 100:22 101:5 116:3 118:25 119:13 120:5 121:3.25 123:22 124:16 126:11,16 128:23 130:4 135:3,8 139:15,22 142:7 144:7 153:18 155:11 158:24 160:10 163:24 164:13 165:19 170:9 172:7 176:13.18 177:22 195:9,11,12 198:12 231:18 245:22 255:11.15.16.21 256:11,12 261:23 262:11 263:2 280:22 281:10 282:2,6

**2019** 115:20

2020 32:8 109:2

2022 4:4

**204** 261:9,11,12 280:11

**205** 104:12,15,16 116:7

209 71:18 73:3.5.6

**21** 254:4

**21st** 171:6 253:22 254:16 255:10

**22** 83:15,19,20,22,24 84:2,4 86:21 88:16 152:21,23,24,25 153:9 172:7

**221** 106:6 110:11,15

**22nd** 87:16 88:8

**23** 192:10,20 205:5,7, 9 255:16,21 256:12

**23rd** 17:21 99:7,19

**24** 130:4

**25** 215:4

**26** 124:17 139:15

**27** 157:16 158:3

205:2,10,13 215:13

27th 64:16 86:21

**28** 66:14 72:10

**28th** 41:18 63:10 64:17 68:4 72:23 75:12

29th 100:22 127:4

**2:03** 183:20

**2:11** 183:21

2nd 40:11,22

3

**3** 42:24 78:15 82:24 92:2

**3-5** 168:9

**30** 8:10 101:5 113:11 137:23 145:19 300:6

**300** 198:20 199:11

**300,000** 197:16,22 199:19

**30th** 103:15,17 208:9 209:8

**31** 66:12 70:21 71:8, 19,21

**31st** 20:7 24:18 86:22 88:24 103:15

**3227** 253:7 254:25

**33** 161:5,10 166:20, 24 167:4,5

3327 209:24

**3380** 170:6

**3382** 170:25

**34** 215:4

**35** 113:14

**37** 198:9 199:20

**3:02** 222:2

**3:11** 222:3

**3:20** 230:2

**3:23** 230:3

**3:45** 243:20

**3:52** 120:17

**3rd** 14:11,25 15:3 19:2 20:11,21 90:11, 24 96:9 136:23 204:17 214:22 277:25

4

4 118:25

**4-11-2022** 302:16

**40** 24:7

**45** 300:6

48 223:19

**49** 184:3,5 192:11,12, 14,15

4:00 243:15

**4:02** 243:21

**4th** 17:13 103:19 105:10 119:8 157:25 168:24 215:24 241:17

5

**5** 40:4 109:4 242:22

**5-24** 162:20 163:23

**50** 31:10

**500,000** 197:16 199:19

**55** 252:22 253:3 254:22,24

**55-3227** 255:2

**58** 167:22

**5:00** 242:23 296:8

**5:05** 289:2

**5:15** 288:25 289:3

**5:22** 293:22

**5:24** 293:23

5:30 299:19

**5:32** 301:11

**5th** 53:9 74:9 168:6,

16 198:11 245:21

6

**6** 97:12,17,19,20 128:16

**6-** 43:11

**6-21** 171:12

**6-5** 163:24

**62** 207:24,25 208:4 210:15,19,21,22 212:17,18,19

**63** 166:18,21,22 167:6,8,14 171:20, 22.23

6th 50:21 216:3

7

**7,500** 276:6

**7-13** 214:18

**7-26-18** 214:19

**71** 78:22 80:8,10,12 176:2,7,11

**73** 212:16,24 213:4 214:5,6,8 237:14 238:25 239:2 243:5, 8,9

**7:30** 161:13

7th 50:21 74:23

8

8 124:16

**8,000** 43:11

**8-24** 216:3

8-9 263:25

**83,000** 109:5

**853** 62:15

864 286:22

8:30 299:20

**8th** 53:17 75:13 161:12 163:25 168:3

9

**9** 17:11 27:14 154:21, 22 300:16

9-11-2018 61:24

**90s** 32:15

**92** 120:7,14 121:14, 16,17

**94** 121:19,21 122:25 123:3,4

**95** 122:25 123:6,8,12, 15,16

**96** 27:9 123:17,20 124:11,12,15

**99** 126:5,7,8

9:00 300:23 301:10

**9:12** 4:4

**9:17** 253:23 254:5

9:44 29:18

9:52 29:19

**9th** 57:9 121:25 263:17 264:8,17 274:18 278:24 279:23 281:18

Α

**a.m.** 4:4 29:18,19 56:25 57:2 84:18,19 301:10

**abide** 138:21 142:17 154:13

abilities 213:14

**ability** 108:17 276:12

**absence** 12:23 13:8 28:13 41:13 188:5,14

absent 25:13

**absolutely** 22:14 26:5 49:10 76:13 147:3 159:14 179:12 203:6 210:12 269:10 276:5.8 295:13

accelerated 102:2

accept 25:11

**accepted** 12:24 63:22,23 174:10 175:16

accepting 156:20

access 9:13 18:12 36:2 45:4 64:8 75:10, 13 77:12 85:11,20 86:8 88:24 89:11 99:14 101:14 105:22 119:17

accessed 18:6

**accessing** 65:16 74:21 122:16,17

accordance 28:11

account 22:16,17 24:22 28:5,17 117:10,14 119:15 120:4 121:2,3,9 122:2,3,10 123:10,22 124:5,17,18 125:21, 22 126:12,13,17,25 127:2 128:5 170:2, 16,17 223:15,20 238:4 290:2 295:5 298:7

accounting 200:24 203:23

accounts 18:19 58:18 295:2

accumulated 32:2

accurate 115:9 124:19 129:12 147:2 168:17 180:15 181:3 200:3,19 214:25 216:10 245:24 247:18

acknowledge 296:21

acknowledged

156:25 200:16 207:15 259:14 283:14 284:3

acknowledgment 259:16

act 21:4 240:13

acted 26:5

**action** 12:17,19,23 13:8 25:19 28:23 97:9 98:15 99:20 228:7 302:12

**active** 31:24 32:14 33:24,25 34:8,23 35:17 36:6 126:17

actively 173:12

**activities** 18:15 20:15 40:17 89:9 237:4

activity 12:14,16,18, 23 13:9,23 14:4,9,13 15:11,12,14,16,18,19 16:6 19:7,19,21 20:9, 10,22 21:2,5,7,13,16 27:7,12 66:17 68:2 70:17 90:6,7 91:4 92:3 96:23 129:16 188:11 233:22 245:3, 16

**actual** 51:7 101:14 126:2 157:20 226:3

acute 111:4

add 9:23

**addition** 41:14 52:6 114:3 117:24 140:9 170:15 178:25 186:8 268:14

**additional** 9:23 94:14 106:14 123:8 164:6 195:18 244:21 274:10

Additionally 197:14

**address** 18:21 88:20 89:11 294:5

**addressed** 5:4 90:19 91:9

**addresses** 18:6 90:25

Administration 133:8,23 134:14

164:15

Administration/ storey 130:6,11 131:7

admit 5:10 23:17 110:12 117:18,21 171:20 194:5 205:5 212:17,18 216:19 237:8,9 243:5 257:20 259:6

Document 66-2

admits 22:24

**admitted** 5:17,19,23 22:25 23:4,12 39:22, 24 216:22,23 254:25

admittedly 25:22

adverse 13:8

advise 80:17

**advised** 56:9 75:8 102:18 249:8 301:4

advises 15:8

advocacy 109:16

**Aerotek** 65:23 206:15

Aerotek.com 64:18

affected 221:16

Afghanistan 36:13

**afternoon** 6:9 244:16

agencies 130:16

**agency** 164:15 207:21

agent 35:3,6

agree 24:16 67:12 136:21 141:17,23 146:9 148:15,23 154:4,24 180:15 182:19 208:23 247:14,22 252:14 255:13,19 256:2 257:15,18 262:3,8,17 269:9 282:2

agreed 7:15,16 159:4

**agreeing** 143:2 154:13

**agreement** 24:13 28:7,12 83:21,22 142:20 143:4 153:5,6 154:25 155:10,15,16, 24,25 156:7,10,21 178:14 179:2,10,14 182:9,12,15,16 **agreements** 155:19 178:25 179:9

agrees 137:15

**ahead** 21:25 29:21 103:5 137:22 178:18 222:4,7 229:23 244:9 247:21 292:8 293:19 294:11

**air** 54:12

**Alarcon** 64:20,21,22 65:3,8,14,22,25 74:7, 11,16,19,20 122:12

Alaska 36:2

**Alex** 11:2 252:23 254:19 255:9 261:16 276:13 279:7 292:18

aligns 12:2

allegation 233:21

allegations 14:25 15:4 17:16 53:21 66:19 67:24 68:8 89:6,7 90:6 92:3 94:18 101:3 129:25 132:25 164:21 187:16 188:13,17 206:12 232:21,24 268:11

alleged 193:22,23

allegedly 165:13

alleges 27:9

allowed 54:18

**amazing** 94:13

**Amber** 145:9

ambitions 146:22

amended 27:10

amendment 155:24

America 192:3

**amount** 104:3 201:25 220:15 221:11

**analysis** 45:15 115:11

analyzing 45:12

and/or 39:15 69:2

**Anne** 60:25 118:18 127:13 129:20 142:13 145:2 151:13 168:4 204:2

**Anne's** 212:21 237:15

announced 213:15

announcement 165:6

**annual** 60:11 140:6

**anonymous** 132:7, 8,12 133:7,25 134:15 164:22 166:3,16 184:7 185:16 188:2 207:22

answering 224:19

**answers** 17:10 30:8 70:3

anticipate 12:7 anxiety 104:3 111:6,

**anymore** 25:8 28:18 271:13 272:10

**AOR** 36:22

14

apologetic 82:4

**apologies** 258:17 299:5

**apologize** 161:6 225:12 226:15 257:24 269:3 278:8,

apologized 63:25

apologizing 82:3

apparently 53:11

**Appeal** 222:23

**appearance** 282:18 283:11 284:22 290:11

appeared 44:4

**appears** 29:3 67:18 99:11 120:16 123:23 124:16 125:23 128:22 161:12

Index: application..authenticate

| 167:18 168:2,17                   | 61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1                        | 238:1 239:1 240:1                      | arrests 51:6,18            | attachments 123:24                       |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|--|
| 216:10 239:13                     | 65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1                        | 241:1 242:1 243:1                      | arrived 102:6              | 124:22,24                                |
| 255:14,23 256:15                  | 69:1 70:1 71:1 72:1                        | 244:1 245:1 246:1                      |                            | attempted 135:9                          |
| 261:22 263:13                     | 73:1 74:1 75:1 76:1                        | 247:1 248:1 249:1                      | articulately 27:11         | 220:3,9,18 221:3,13,                     |
| 287:12                            | 77:1 78:1 79:1 80:1                        | 250:1 251:1 252:1                      | asks 70:10                 | 16                                       |
| application 83:16,23              | 81:1 82:1 83:1,20,21                       | 253:1 254:1 255:1                      |                            |  |
| 171:13 175:16                     | 84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1<br>88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1 | 256:1 257:1 258:1<br>259:1 260:1 261:1 | aspects 107:23             | attempting 186:8                         |
| applications 175:11               | 92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1                        | 262:1 263:1 264:1                      | 125:15                     | attempts 185:18                          |
| ''                                | 96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1                        | 265:1 266:1 267:1                      | assert 21:12               | 197:5,7,9                                |
| applied 35:2 38:9                 | 100:1 101:1 102:1                          | 268:1 269:1 270:1                      | asset 145:20               | attendance 280:8                         |
| 139:19 173:22 174:2,              | 103:1 104:1 105:1                          | 271:1 272:1 273:1                      |                            | 281:8,12,25                              |
| 3,5,15,16 177:14,19,              | 106:1 107:1 108:1                          | 274:1 275:1 276:1                      | <b>assigned</b> 24:8 33:21 |  |
| 23 218:16                         | 109:1 110:1 111:1                          | 277:1 278:1 279:1                      | 35:13 40:17 43:17          | attending 261:25                         |
| apply 5:20,23 32:20               | 112:1 113:1 114:1                          | 280:1 281:1 282:1                      | 55:21 72:15 80:24          | 280:18 283:8                             |
| 152:17 173:14,20                  | 115:1 116:1 117:1                          | 283:1 284:1 285:1                      | 81:2 181:23 196:6          | attention 4:24 14:10,                    |
| annlying 20.0 400.0               | 118:1 119:1 120:1                          | 286:1 287:1 288:1                      | 215:23 216:8 269:13        | 14,15 16:9,11,21,24                      |
| <b>applying</b> 38:8 109:9        | 121:1 122:1 123:1                          | 289:1 290:1 291:1                      | assignment 28:16           | 161:5                                    |
| appreciated 59:19                 | 124:1 125:1 126:1                          | 292:1 293:1 294:1                      | 35:14 235:10 240:22        | ottornov 07:14 24                        |
| appreciation 59:9                 | 127:1 128:1 129:1                          | 295:1 296:1 297:1                      | 241:16                     | attorney 97:14,24<br>100:24 119:6 128:22 |
| ''                                | 130:1 131:1 132:1                          | 298:1 299:1 300:1                      | assignments 25:11,         | 129:4,5 134:2 165:6                      |
| apprehensive                      | 133:1 134:1 135:1                          | 301:1 302:1                            | 14                         | 195:15 219:14                            |
| 93:20,21                          | 136:1 137:1 138:1                          | arbitrations 4:24                      |                            | 234:11,18 240:24                         |
| approached 101:9                  | 139:1 140:1 141:1                          |  | assistant 14:22            | 264:4,9,20 274:8,13                      |
| ''                                | 142:1 143:1 144:1<br>145:1 146:1 147:1     | arbitrator 12:5 26:21                  | assisted 41:16             |  |
| approaching 101:12                | 148:1 149:1 150:1                          | 125:10 291:9                           |                            | <b>Attorney's</b> 68:15                  |
| approved 179:19                   | 151:1 152:1 153:1                          | arbitrator's 14:10                     | assisting 35:24            | 165:2 166:15 219:18                      |
| 180:3                             | 154:1 155:1 156:1                          | Arconic 112:24                         | associate 32:20 39:2       | attorney-client 20:6                     |
| annravimataly                     | 157:1 158:1 159:1                          |  | 40:7,14 235:20,21          | attorneys 244:5                          |
| approximately<br>36:22 58:2 68:14 | 160:1 161:1 162:1                          | <b>area</b> 36:19 85:20,23             | 236:2,3,8,15,20,21         | allumeys 244.5                           |
| 106:3 109:5 197:17,               | 163:1 164:1 165:1                          | 104:9                                  | associates 10:20           | <b>audit</b> 53:10,12                    |
| 23 270:23                         | 166:1 167:1 168:1                          | arguing 26:16                          | 55:21 98:20 165:17         | 200:17,21                                |
|                                   | 169:1 170:1 171:1                          |  | 295:3                      | August 14:11,25                          |
| <b>apps</b> 169:8                 | 172:1 173:1 174:1                          | argument 22:7                          |                            | 15:3 17:21 19:2 20:7,                    |
| <b>April</b> 4:4 40:11,22         | 175:1 176:1 177:1                          | <b>arm</b> 35:11                       | assume 41:13               | 11,21 24:18 27:14                        |
| 124:16,17                         | 178:1 179:1 180:1                          | armed 35:25 94:22                      | 114:14 121:12              | 88:13 90:11,24 92:2                      |
| ADD 40:40.40                      | 181:1 182:1 183:1                          | ailleu 33.23 94.22                     | 151:20 184:17              | 93:24 96:9 97:14                         |
| <b>ARB</b> 13:12,18               | 184:1 185:1 186:1                          | <b>Arms</b> 146:19                     | 210:14 224:15              | 99:7,19 100:22 101:5                     |
| arbitrarily 69:13                 | 187:1 188:1 189:1                          | <b>Army</b> 33:19,25 34:23             | 248:12 250:11<br>260:19    | 103:15 109:2 128:23                      |
| arbitration 4:1 5:1,              | 190:1 191:1 192:1                          | 35:3,9,20 36:9                         | 200.19                     | 136:23 192:21 193:3                      |
| 25 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1                | 193:1 194:1 195:1<br>196:1 197:1 198:1     | 145:18                                 | assumed 242:22             | 194:19 195:9,13                          |
| 10:1 11:1,23 12:1                 | 199:1 200:1 201:1                          |  | assumes 13:6               | 204:17 208:9 209:8                       |
| 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1               | 202:1 203:1 204:1                          | arrange 262:12                         |                            | 214:22 234:12,19,21,                     |
| 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1               | 205:1 206:1 207:1                          | 263:11                                 | <b>assuming</b> 246:16,22  | 24 235:6,11,13,16                        |
| 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1               | 208:1 209:1 210:1                          | arranged 100:25                        | assurance 34:19            | 253:22 254:4,16                          |
| 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1               | 211:1 212:1 213:1                          | arrangement 179:4                      | ot will 440:0              | 255:10,15,16,21<br>256:11,12 261:23      |
| 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1               | 214:1 215:1 216:1                          | 180:23                                 | <b>at-will</b> 142:2       | 262:3,10 263:2,17,20                     |
| 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1               | 217:1 218:1 219:1                          |  | attached 122:9             | 264:8,17 277:25                          |
| 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1               | 220:1 221:1 222:1                          | arrest 53:24                           | 170:11 177:20 199:9        | 278:24 279:23                            |
| 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1               | 223:1 224:1 225:1                          | arrested 68:25                         | 204:6                      | 280:13,20 281:10,18                      |
| 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1               | 226:1 227:1 228:1                          | 122:14 135:11                          | attaching 120:22           | 282:2,6                                  |
| 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1               | 229:1 230:1 231:1                          | 165:19                                 | 122:6                      |  |
| 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1               | 232:1 233:1 234:1                          |  |                            | authenticate 115:18                      |
| 57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1               | 235:1 236:1 237:1                          | arrestees 51:9                         |                            |  |
|                                   |  |  |                            |  |
| L                                 |  |  |                            |  |

authenticity 291:15 authority 237:3 authorize 206:8 authors 224:11 average 233:11

avoid 12:20 13:19

avid 111:16

await 103:6

awards 227:8

award 5:4 59:7,17,19

aware 12:5 14:17,18 50:11 53:8 59:15 66:18 76:16,17,18 77:8,18,19 78:3,8 91:22 96:23 98:12 130:14 131:5 148:10, 13 156:12,14 179:6 190:7,8 191:17 194:10,11 236:5,22 245:23 268:16 273:23 274:3,5,9,15, 20,25 275:2,20

axiomatic 27:19

294:20

283:15 284:4,20

# В

**back** 6:13 14:21 19:15 20:11,13,17 24:9,12 25:13,24 34:5,23 36:13 47:14 57:3 72:22 81:19 82:19 84:15.21 89:4 92:25 93:4 94:23 101:6,25 104:20,23 105:10 106:16 111:18 128:15 141:15 154:12 158:11 173:8,21 174:3,7 177:23 188:20 189:25 191:14 192:6 202:5 204:25 205:13 206:24 215:23 228:13 229:14 230:6 233:20 239:17 243:17,22,24 278:2,7 280:10,23 281:5 283:24 285:3,4

286:18,19 288:25 289:4,11 293:24 294:4 298:19,25 299:6

back-up 219:11

**background** 31:20 39:15 72:17 87:19 146:20 186:16 187:3, 7,13,14,21 188:5,6,8, 19 205:24 206:5,18

badge 297:10 298:19

badge-making 65:7, 20

**badges** 51:14,15 64:24 86:10

**badging** 22:20 41:24 94:11 117:15 119:16 120:23 122:22 123:9, 25 124:3 170:22

**bandwidth** 75:25 80:19 229:17

banned 94:6

barking 48:13

Base 36:14

**based** 45:11 58:7 80:5 122:6 126:22 188:16 199:3 201:2 232:24 238:21

basically 25:13 35:11 36:10 40:17 41:23 60:4 81:14 82:5 85:11 167:23 168:14 169:10 175:10

basis 26:24 28:20 175:21 199:13 200:22 232:21 238:21 269:8

**Bates** 62:15

Battalion 35:9

batteries 42:23

battery 49:19

bear 33:5

bearing 301:3

**began** 31:22 33:17 40:4 43:23 63:21

91:15 165:15 249:21, 23 270:23

Document 66-2

begin 40:19 242:9

**beginning** 41:6 105:11 142:6 202:5 214:18 216:3 255:15 270:25 296:18

**begins** 85:17

**behalf** 9:22 26:11 95:22 237:4

behavior 17:23

belief 15:23,24 83:2

believed 96:25

believes 15:8

**bell** 150:16

beneficial 290:19

bid 204:17,22

**big** 42:25 43:22 226:19

bill 180:20

**bio** 183:14

bit 21:24 34:9 46:6 55:24 67:3,13 73:11 101:18 112:14 129:20 137:19 151:10 153:12 202:12 247:25 253:8 256:17 258:21 276:19 279:16,20 282:8 286:24

bits 8:16

blank 210:4 213:13

blind-copying 192:25

blood 111:15 302:13

Bloomberg 222:19

board 11:23 151:22

boarding 34:13

boards 36:6

**Boeing** 12:25

boiler 86:7 100:11

**bonuses** 60:11

**book** 224:10,11,13, 15

books 83:12 251:16

**Boomtown** 109:20, 21 114:5,24

**Boston** 242:20

**bottle** 59:13

**bottom** 63:14 142:16 145:2,3,4 168:7 177:17 277:25

**box** 167:19,24

**branch** 297:10

branches 35:25

**Braxton** 9:21 10:24, 25 26:8 108:7,10 229:13 243:12 244:2. 10,11,15 246:19 252:18,19,20 253:7, 15 254:3,9,19,23 255:4,9,12 257:5,8, 19 258:3,10,14,19 259:6,11 261:14,20 262:9,24 276:20 277:7,15 278:17 279:2,6,11 280:2,10, 12 283:22 284:10 286:21 288:19,23 289:4,6,12,15,21 290:7 292:6,10,16,22 293:10,15,20,25 294:6 298:25 299:5, 10,11,16 300:5

break 29:16 34:14 84:10 105:15 112:17 113:7,10 137:22 182:25 183:14 288:20 289:8,22 301:3

**breaking** 84:8 113:13 299:23

breaks 34:3

breath 55:25

**briefed** 52:18,19 198:25

**briefing** 13:15 54:11 213:15

briefings 132:24

briefly 12:6 33:11

briefs 10:3,9,11 29:6

**bring** 7:7 9:8 14:14 16:9,11,24 53:6,15 73:18 129:19 297:9

**bringing** 8:15 82:16

broad 266:8

broadly 30:12

**broke** 199:15 225:11 230:8 247:25

**brother** 298:23

**brought** 6:16 51:15 64:25 71:25 228:7 230:11,16 246:2

**Brown** 57:13

**Bruce** 145:9,14

**BS** 81:22

**buddy** 296:12

building 101:13

buildings 85:21

**built** 5:16

**bullet** 134:23

**burden** 13:5,6 20:3

burden-shifting 13:3

**business** 8:21 37:24 145:24 181:25 193:12 222:11 230:5 232:17 294:13,17,23

**bye-bye** 298:23

bypassing 205:23

# С

**California** 36:21 140:6

**call** 6:25 7:16 8:4 29:9,21,25 30:14 72:23 103:7,9,16,18, 20 229:10,23 261:4 280:4 289:25 290:8 296:14 299:12

called 7:3 17:4 31:13

Index: calling..complete

42:11 102:6 112:24 131:14 223:7 289:25 calling 6:18 226:2,19 calls 224:17 232:9 271:8,14 288:2 290:4,6 camera 125:20 **cameras** 119:22 125:8,11,14 cancelled 163:22 candidates 173:10, 13 **canines** 73:19 capacity 35:23 36:15 64:8 82:7 94:6 108:18 249:11,12,25 250:2 251:8,17 266:23 caps 130:7 197:18 capture 125:14 captured 288:10 carbon-copying 64:19 care 36:3.12 78:15 102:21 297:24 298:23 career 31:24 34:24 35:18 carefully 69:25 202:6 **carries** 123:25 **Carroll** 127:25 carry 300:2 cars 42:24 119:24 cart 50:23 cartel 14:13 53:21 66:17,19 67:25 68:5, 20 69:21 70:17 73:13 89:7.9 90:6 91:4 92:3 129:16 206:12 232:5, 6,25 233:3,17 266:5 268:13 case 4:19 5:2 6:19, 23,25 8:2 9:15 11:19,

20 12:12 13:4,11,17
14:4 20:13 21:2
22:10,15 23:2,23
25:20 41:10,20 43:15
47:15 51:3 69:25
74:19 113:25 114:16
136:5 170:3 193:25
194:2,9,11,13 273:5

cases 42:5
casing 65:16

Casino 109:20
114:5,24
casinos 146:20
castings 49:18
casual 271:13,14
catch 167:9
causing 210:11

casing 65:16 **Casino** 109:20 casinos 146:20 castings 49:18 casual 271:13,14 catch 167:9 **causing** 210:11 **cell** 169:7 center 36:16 102:4 **Central** 32:4.11 192:3 certify 302:5,11 cetera 7:18 CFO 200:17.21 chain 104:19 239:4 **chair** 213:16 chance 278:9 280:7 281:7 297:12

chance 278:9 280: 281:7 297:12
change 40:9 41:5 55:7 210:6
changed 40:13
characterization 292:3
charge 34:19
charged 156:3
charging 94:22
chart 47:9 48:7
Chartcast 222:25

chasing 66:22 chastising 201:21 check 85:20 186:17 187:3,7,13,14,22 188:19 205:24 206:6 **checks** 105:23 206:18 **children** 31:17 **Chris** 50:10

**Christopher** 46:24 50:25 **CID** 35:9.11

circles 99:9 Circuit 12:25 circuitous 69:11

cited 18:10 cities 34:21 civil 98:15 108:21

**claim** 12:9 28:21,22 134:19 225:17 226:21 230:11,17 232:22

claiming 232:23 claims 13:2 27:10 244:22 285:6

clarification 262:6

clarified 172:16 clarify 87:18 164:5 244:23 264:7 278:3

clarifying 159:23 Clausen 217:19

**clauses** 15:13 **clean** 210:2 230:13

clear 5:11 10:14 12:21 13:6,20 19:16 20:3 21:17 163:3,9 250:3,6 251:7 271:6 277:23

client 294:18 clients 142:23 climate 210:6 clips 117:22 125:20

**clock** 183:3 242:12

**close** 8:21 50:2

101:20 137:14

closing 29:5

**closings** 22:8 **clue** 185:23

**CNBC** 222:17

Coast 34:11 183:3 242:11,20,23 299:17 300:24

cocaine 165:14

code 102:5

cognizant 183:6

coincides 256:12

**colleague** 17:23 47:4

**colleagues** 47:7 76:18 98:20

collective 49:15

**college** 32:4,6 174:23 175:3

comfortable 22:9

comings 125:16

command 35:4,21 commensurate

39:15 **comments** 102:11

218:23 223:19 239:19

**Commission** 39:7 129:2 225:16

Commission's 36:21

commodity 45:5

common 190:25

common-law 161:20

commonalities 45:16 46:5

communicate 90:4 270:9

communicated 166:7 222:14 264:3 communicating 6:12 77:13 209:12

communication 189:9 191:18 194:20, 24 248:24 270:9

communications 65:9 219:17 222:10 263:3 266:16 272:3, 18 273:4,9

Community 32:6 companies 270:2

company 25:18 34:15,16 79:20 107:20 112:23 141:19,24 169:12 200:18

company's 202:19

company-wide 90:16

**compensation** 83:9, 10 109:3

**competence** 227:17,20

competitive 148:19

compiled 95:9

**compiling** 49:13 211:10,14

complain 211:16 complainant 13:21

complainant's 8:2 30:15

complained 211:18,

complaining 212:4

complaint 15:17 17:3 19:8,9,21 27:13, 18,23,24 41:25 100:25 225:9 226:3 245:7 273:22 274:12 276:16 277:19 287:15,20 288:11 289:9,24 290:10

complaints 17:16 36:4 45:19 95:21

**complete** 130:23 155:16

Index: comply..court

**comply** 24:14 142:19 154:4

**comprised** 130:15 231:13

compromised 198:11

computer 241:4,16

computers 33:5

concede 250:10

**concern** 67:24 102:8 200:15 202:20

**concerned** 18:14 23:6 55:8 78:14 98:19 247:10 249:14

concerns 18:8 48:9 52:11,14 70:17 73:12 77:20 78:11 88:9 90:18 93:13 129:15 238:20 241:2 245:13 260:6 266:17 273:14, 16

concluded 166:2

**conclusion** 21:20 219:25 220:8

concrete 241:6,14

concur 8:19

condition 142:18

**conduct** 23:12 26:25 28:14 40:16 54:19 85:19 115:11 154:6

**conducted** 47:16 69:15 85:9 199:4 242:7 265:4

**conducting** 35:6 55:12 68:19 69:3 72:14 175:23 186:6 189:7 266:5

confer 138:5 154:25

conference 92:19

**confidential** 18:5 142:22 193:21 194:6 265:11 267:20

confidentiality 142:20 143:3

confidentially 265:7

**confirm** 129:11 134:6 153:11 166:13 196:25 244:23

confirmation 134:11

**confirmed** 132:22 133:6

confirming 9:10

conflate 15:24

confused 189:12

confusion 81:11

conjunction 33:23

connected 48:12

**connection** 56:10 132:7 133:24 134:15 153:6 206:11 207:14, 21 219:14 234:17

consensus 10:13

consent 287:24 288:3

considerate 299:19

consideration 290:16

**consistent** 59:20

consistently 77:14

constantly 96:21

constitutes 141:25

construction 53:2

constructive 267:4

constructively

consultant 109:15

**contact** 42:4 54:5 79:14 89:10 90:18 162:10 163:6 164:10 165:12 195:5 219:21

contacts 162:13,16

contemplating 106:16

contemporaneous

155:19

content 80:2

**contents** 18:23 265:6,15 270:17

context 71:2

continuation 155:2

continue 34:24 54:13 60:12,16 74:10,15,18 75:9 79:24 84:21 108:17 139:6 190:18 251:9 272:6,18 278:7,16,21 293:25 300:16

**continued** 35:18 46:16 112:21 190:12, 15 285:18

**continuing** 79:16 85:11 86:13 241:15

**contract** 25:24 34:22 52:25 55:3 60:4,10 63:2 66:2 93:25 179:6 180:10 182:6 204:22 235:4 266:19

**contracting** 34:16 172:18 206:24

**contractor** 18:18 24:8 25:20,23 72:7 104:9 154:23 155:2,5 172:21 173:2 206:23

**contractors** 22:22 44:3 45:22 46:6 206:12,19

contractual 28:6 295:4

contrary 48:20

contributing 12:19

contributions

140:10

**control** 85:11 105:22 111:2 127:14

control-room 48:4

controls 105:22

**conversation** 87:16 88:7 116:17 134:4 162:3 172:11 191:16 212:2 213:21 249:7,8 268:2 272:12,16,17 274:17 282:25 284:13,16,19 294:8 conversations

98:10 132:23 198:3 262:16 264:25 272:3 273:3 287:23

conveying 162:8

convicted 51:9

**convincing** 12:21 13:7,20 19:16 20:3 21:18

**cooperate** 137:6,11

cooperation 129:6

**copper** 43:20,22 44:18,19,24 45:8,20 47:21,24 48:10,11,18 49:8,9,10,11,16,22 50:23 52:12 53:7,13, 24 87:6,10 120:19 134:24 135:17,21 136:12 190:5 191:14 198:10 203:20 219:14,19 220:2,8, 18,24 221:6,11

copy 257:16

corporate 131:22

correct 10:17 11:6 22:18 33:9 39:8 45:10 88:17,25 89:2 95:23 99:21 104:25 109:13,16 114:16 117:10,15,22 122:5 123:10 125:8,12,22, 23 126:17,21,24 128:5,23 129:4 131:18 133:18,19 134:19 136:8 137:3,6 140:7,14 141:10,16 142:7 143:5,22,25 149:23 152:18 155:11 156:15 158:15 160:24 165:20 169:18 171:7 174:3,8,12,13 177:9, 10,15,16,24,25 186:21 189:24 193:4, 8,18,21,25 194:14,16 195:23 197:11 200:18 204:13 206:13,16 208:10 209:11 214:24 215:11,14,25 216:4,9 222:15 223:9,16

224:3,4 225:10,19 226:4.22 232:20 233:18 234:8,13,20 235:2,3,17,18 236:25 237:24 245:19 246:4, 8,9,18,24,25 247:6,7, 13,24 248:8,9,13,14, 17,21,22 249:6,16 250:9 251:6,13,22 252:5 256:8,14 259:20,23,24 260:4, 5,11,17,25 261:6 262:12,13 263:12,16, 24 264:5,6,10 265:7, 18 273:10,11 280:21 285:8 286:8,10,16,17 288:4,7 299:7,14 300:19

correction 42:22

corrections 32:13

**correctly** 105:9 157:17 162:18 299:21

cost 180:14

**counsel** 5:6 6:12 7:6, 12 8:19 9:6 11:3 14:23 27:2,11 28:14, 25 29:4 54:4 70:10 92:25 95:2,22,23 114:10 115:10 138:5 184:22 195:2,9,10,22 201:3 203:9 211:12

**count** 122:19

**counterdrug** 33:21, 22 130:13,15

counterpart 47:4

County 54:4 65:5 130:6,11,19 131:7 132:3,16 133:15 162:11 164:11 185:5, 10 219:13,18

**couple** 7:12 34:3 105:10 223:11,14 231:12 298:3

coupled 18:12

courses 32:3

**court** 10:9 11:22 244:7 262:6 283:23 284:2 289:11,16,20

| <b>coworker</b> 16:15,16                | database 95:8                              | 110:1 111:1 112:1                         | 278:1 279:1 280:1                               | demonstrate 274:4             |
|---|--|---|---|-------------------------------|
| <b>crazy</b> 69:8                       | date 58:6 61:24 62:2                       | 113:1 114:1 115:1<br>116:1 117:1 118:1    | 281:1 282:1 283:1,8<br>284:1 285:1 286:1        | demonstrating 13:6            |
| CRC 302:20                              | 68:4 75:12 103:14<br>119:22 153:17         | 119:1 120:1 121:1                         | 287:1 288:1 289:1                               | denial 22:13                  |
| credence 187:25                         | 171:13 207:9 214:22                        | 122:1 123:1 124:1<br>125:1 126:1,20 127:1 | 290:1 291:1 292:1<br>293:1 294:1 295:1          | denied 163:20                 |
| 188:12                                  | 234:21 257:17                              | 128:1 129:1 130:1                         | 296:1 297:1 298:1                               | deny 117:7 134:6              |
| crews 34:20                             | 272:13,23 277:16,18<br>278:23 281:16       | 131:1 132:1 133:1                         | 299:1 300:1 301:1                               | departed 102:24               |
| crime 92:4                              | 287:12                                     | 134:1 135:1 136:1<br>137:1 138:1 139:1    | 302:1   | department 32:16              |
| <b>crimes</b> 122:18                    | dated 86:20 99:18                          | 140:1 141:1 142:1                         | days 4:7 160:21<br>298:3                        | 35:15,19 36:8 40:13,          |
| criminal 32:10 35:3,                    | 118:25 120:16<br>139:15 172:7 192:21       | 143:1 144:1 145:1<br>146:1 147:1 148:1    | <b>DEA</b> 130:7,19 132:15                      | 25 41:2 50:6 55:10,           |
| 7 40:16 96:23 97:4                      |  | 149:1 150:1 151:1                         | 133:15 134:2,5                                  | 19 108:22 132:4<br>134:12,13  |
| 186:16 187:3,7,13,21<br>188:5,6,8,10,19 | dates 119:16 158:19<br>234:10 277:23       | 152:1 153:1 154:1                         | 162:11 185:6,10                                 | departments 79:11             |
| 206:25 245:3,16                         | daughters 31:17                            | 155:1 156:1 157:1<br>158:1 159:1 160:1    | <b>dead</b> 188:16                              | depo 220:12                   |
| critical 17:8 18:25                     | <b>Davis</b> 16:15,22 17:23                | 161:1 162:1 163:1                         | deal 43:23 111:7                                | _                             |
| 23:20                                   | 18:2,8,10,14 19:10                         | 164:1 165:1 166:1                         | 162:23  | deposed 22:25<br>136:5 200:14 |
| criticize 15:22 16:2                    | 40:21,22 47:18 50:9                        | 167:1 168:1 169:1<br>170:1 171:1 172:1    | dealing 36:16 232:18                            | <b>deposition</b> 8:16 23:4   |
| 238:16,17                               | 99:7 100:16 237:16,<br>17,20,23 238:2,13,  | 173:1 174:1 175:1                         | <b>Deb</b> 294:3                                | 136:11 143:16 219:3           |
| cross 138:4,10                          | 16,19,21                                   | 176:1 177:1 178:1<br>179:1 180:1 181:1    | <b>Debbie</b> 30:16 44:12                       | 220:14                        |
| 242:17                                  | <b>Davis's</b> 16:14 19:13                 | 182:1 183:1 184:1                         | <b>DEBRA</b> 302:4,20                           | depositions 8:7,12            |
| cross-examination<br>112:16 113:5,15    | 20:2 40:23                                 | 185:1 186:1 187:1                         | decade 44:18                                    | deputy 132:14                 |
| 130:24 139:7 242:7                      | day 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1,22                     | 188:1 189:1 190:1<br>191:1 192:1 193:1    | decided 34:23 36:9                              | 133:13                        |
| 243:10,25 244:10                        | 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1<br>12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1   | 194:1 195:1 196:1                         | 37:18,25 95:3 149:11                            | derogatory 188:9              |
| 294:2                                   | 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1                        | 197:1 198:1 199:1<br>200:1 201:1 202:1    | 159:17 175:20 251:2                             | description 147:2             |
| cross/direct 7:18                       | 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1                        | 203:1 204:1 205:1                         | decision 27:17                                  | deserves 5:24                 |
| crosstalk 186:14                        | 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1<br>28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 | 206:1 207:1 208:1                         | 63:15,16 100:9<br>247:11,15 248:5,7,23          | designated 42:3               |
| CRR 302:4,20                            | 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1                        | 209:1 210:1 211:1<br>212:1 213:1,22 214:1 |   | desirable 242:10              |
| cryptic 267:12                          | 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1<br>40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 | 215:1,22 216:1 217:1                      | defamatory 218:15                               | desk 18:9                     |
| culminated 50:21                        | 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1                        | 218:1 219:1 220:1<br>221:1 222:1 223:1    | <b>Defense</b> 35:15,19 36:9                    | detail 17:15 244:21           |
| current 72:3 203:2                      | 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1                        | 224:1 225:1 226:1                         | <b>defined</b> 176:20                           | details 35:14 294:19          |
| 295:6                                   | 52:1,17 53:1 54:1<br>55:1 56:1,8 57:1,8    | 227:1 228:1 229:1                         | definition 227:13                               | 295:11                        |
| Customs 33:23                           | 58:1,8 59:1,5,7 60:1,                      | 230:1 231:1,3 232:1<br>233:1 234:1 235:1  |   | determine 133:22              |
| <b>cut</b> 45:25                        | 14 61:1 62:1 63:1                          | 236:1 237:1 238:1                         | <b>degree</b> 31:24 174:23 175:3                | 182:9                         |
| cutting 201:20 202:2                    | 64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1<br>68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1 | 239:1 240:1 241:1<br>242:1 243:1 244:1    | delay 268:22                                    | determined 18:22              |
| 220:21                                  | 72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1                        | 245:1 246:1 247:1                         |   | 68:22 93:3 248:16,19          |
|   | 76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1<br>80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1 | 248:1 249:1 250:1                         | delegate 42:7                                   | developed 36:11               |
| D                                       | 84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1                        | 251:1 252:1 253:1<br>254:1 255:1 256:1    | delegated 190:24                                | 241:7                         |
| <b>DA's</b> 54:4 65:5,9,19              | 88:1,7 89:1 90:1                           | 257:1 258:1 259:1                         | <b>delete</b> 75:14,18,22 76:3,5,8,11 271:9,22, | Development<br>108:23         |
| 219:21                                  | 91:1,21 92:1 93:1<br>94:1 95:1 96:1 97:1   | 260:1 261:1 262:1                         | 23 272:4,11                                     |                               |
| daily 85:9 269:8                        | 98:1 99:1 100:1                            | 263:1 264:1 265:1<br>266:1 267:1 268:1    | deleted 18:23                                   | dial 229:18                   |
| damn 102:19 162:23                      | 101:1 102:1 103:1,18<br>104:1 105:1 106:1  | 269:1 270:1 271:1                         | deliveries 105:23                               | <b>DIBBLE</b> 302:4,20        |
| 210:5 296:13                            | 107:1 108:1 109:1                          | 272:1 273:1 274:1<br>275:1 276:1 277:1    | 100.20  | dictated 260:24               |
|   |  | 2.0 2.0 2.7                               |   |                               |

**difference** 166:6 225:25 226:19 295:4

differently 137:2

difficult 70:5

direct 23:21 25:6,25 77:12 115:17 128:18 136:22 139:13 153:4 157:7,9,12 161:5 172:11 193:8,14 201:17 219:17 260:9

directed 72:11 81:2 83:11 91:12 92:19 94:4 102:15 103:11 118:16 175:3 247:23 249:9 251:8,15 265:8 268:11 284:14

**directing** 54:22,23 205:12 266:17

**direction** 138:5 249:13 266:24 298:20

directive 286:3

**directives** 266:20 268:10

directly 41:9 72:12 82:10 87:13 90:18 91:7 92:10 101:22 134:2 166:17 213:20 241:19

director 82:11

disability 140:11

**disagree** 48:17,19 149:13

disagreed 249:14

disagreement 70:16

discharged 13:21, 24 19:17

**disclose** 130:2 134:24 265:10

disclosed 234:25

disclosing 267:12

**disclosure** 52:23 142:21

disclosures 201:5

discover 121:11

**discovery** 143:15 218:5 273:5 294:8

discrete 4:9

**discuss** 82:18 92:20 100:25 101:2 110:12 138:19 210:11 264:4, 9 272:9 282:17 301:4

discussed 10:2 22:11 81:11,17 91:11 101:3 150:22 175:2 176:21 177:8 240:9 270:17,20 275:3,6 282:20

**discussing** 162:23 211:24 265:15 268:9 272:6

discussion 10:4 22:9 37:25 44:9 56:21 93:11,18 95:4 173:18 195:8 212:3 237:16 246:16,22 248:11 270:15 293:4, 13 300:11

discussions 59:5 79:5 82:2 134:3 150:21 155:19 156:8, 18,23 160:16 163:5 185:15,19 212:3 225:2 238:12 239:15 240:7 254:17 266:12 270:11,16

**display** 119:16,19

**dispute** 4:16 11:18 22:15,19 24:17,18 25:16

disputed 21:11

distinguishing 166:6

distressed 223:23

district 219:13,18

disturbing 16:25

Dobbins 145:9

doctor 104:2,24

doctor 104:2,24 105:14 110:25

**document** 33:12 53:20 66:19 70:24 71:11 124:7 127:5,7, 9,12 128:7,17 130:18 135:6 141:23 161:9 167:16 169:11 176:4 178:5 184:18 195:24 218:20 231:10,15,17 235:24 239:23 257:13,17 258:21 261:18 273:10 276:17,22,25 277:4, 9,11,14 278:15,20,22 279:21 282:22 283:4

Document 66-2

documentary 224:8, 15

documenting 64:11 85:10 86:12

documents 5:2 9:19 18:20 23:22 69:5 119:14,18 125:2 143:14 184:22 256:22

**Dodd-frank** 12:9 28:21 29:3

dogs 73:18

**dollars** 199:11

domestic 20:19

**Donald** 35:16

door 90:17 91:17

doors 85:21

**Dorado** 109:23,25

**doubled** 110:14

doubt 58:25 59:3

dozens 119:23

drafts 22:15

drawn 4:24

**driver** 65:14 101:10, 24

**dropped** 101:23

drove 209:9

drug 53:21 68:10 69:21 90:6 129:15 130:5,11 131:6 133:8,23 134:13 164:14 165:3 186:10 188:11 205:25 207:21 232:4,5,18,24 233:2,14,22 268:13 drug-trafficking 68:17

**drugs** 232:17

**DTO** 68:12 69:19 165:4,22

dude 297:15

**due** 58:23 78:13 90:14 127:20

dug 19:25

duly 30:20 302:7

**Dunne** 10:20,21 61:3 127:18 216:23 292:23

duration 269:23

**duties** 40:17 41:14, 21 76:7 85:25

**duty** 31:24 32:14 33:24,25 34:8,23 35:18 36:6

Ε

**e-mail** 16:13,14,20 17:24 18:5,18,20 24:24 56:4 57:6,14 75:10 76:5 78:23 79:2 80:15 86:20,21, 22 87:4,6,15,25 88:12,13,23,25 89:3 90:12,23 91:3,18,24 92:8,20 93:10,13 95:5 96:9 99:6 104:5, 18,19 110:11 115:25 116:13 117:9,13 120:4,15,16,25 121:10,24 122:2,9,10 123:10,21 124:16 128:4 144:5,7 145:3 149:24 151:6,7,19 163:5 167:19 169:3, 4,12,16 170:2,8,16, 18 172:6 176:12,17 177:18 192:21 193:7 194:18 204:5.16 217:7,13,24 218:7,13 237:17 261:22 263:6 264:12 278:10 279:12 280:7,13,14 281:7,15,20 282:5

**e-mailed** 14:11

e-mailing 238:3

e-mails 20:23 22:16 24:19 61:23 62:4 64:16 74:7 75:15,19, 22 76:3,12 88:19 90:16 121:8 167:19 169:15 170:15,17,20

earlier 121:5 161:23 263:5 264:24 273:12 287:10

early 32:15 52:18

earned 115:19

earning 114:10

earnings 255:14

easier 10:11 219:6 220:22

**East** 183:3 242:11,20 299:17

easy 45:2

eat 295:3

**education** 31:21,22

effect 189:17 232:7

**effective** 57:25 155:25 297:5

effectively 12:10

17:4 294:17 **efficacy** 233:8

**effort** 101:21

efforts 44:6 59:20

**egregious** 23:11 25:21

eighty-seven-five 60:10

**EI** 109:23,25

**elected** 159:15

electric 42:21

**electrical** 51:23 52:3

**eliminated** 58:10 75:13

Elizabeth 64:18

Index: Elon..exhibit

|   |  |  |                                    | idex: Elonexilibit              |
|---|--|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <b>Elon</b> 4:1 5:1 6:1,18              | 188:1 189:1 190:1                      | employee 18:18                           | engage 15:10 19:19                 | everyone's 16:9                 |
| 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1,15                     | 191:1 192:1 193:1                      | 19:3 25:20 41:8 48:5                     | 95:3 97:2 237:4                    | <b>evidence</b> 5:19,22         |
| 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1,                    | 194:1 195:1 196:1                      | 51:7 58:14 65:22                         | <b>engaged</b> 12:13,16            | 10:7 12:13,21 13:7,             |
| 11,18,20 15:1 16:1                      | 197:1 198:1,8 199:1                    | 66:3 68:24 69:18                         | 13:22 19:18,20 21:16               | 20 19:17 20:3 21:10,            |
| 17:1,9 18:1 19:1,5,8,                   | 200:1 201:1 202:1                      | 72:4,5,8 92:21                           | 25:22 262:15 263:3                 | 18 26:5,17 45:21                |
| 9 20:1,20 21:1 22:1                     | 203:1 204:1 205:1                      | 119:19 133:17                            |                                    | 114:25 115:18 116:8             |
| 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1                     | 206:1 207:1 208:1                      | 141:13 142:17                            | enjoyed 79:23                      | 128:16 135:6,7,12,13            |
| 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1                     | 209:1 210:1 211:1                      | 165:22 168:15                            | 107:19                             | 189:11 198:21                   |
| 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1                     | 212:1 213:1 214:1                      | 216:13 246:7 257:3                       | enlighten 218:21                   | 218:16 241:7,8,12,14            |
| 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1                     | 215:1 216:1 217:1                      | 259:16 260:8 265:5,                      |                                    | 251:19 255:6 257:20             |
| 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1                     | 218:1 219:1 220:1                      | 17 269:7 270:19,22                       | enrolled 32:8                      | 259:7 273:2,20                  |
| 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1                     | 221:1 222:1 223:1                      | 285:19                                   | entered 55:2 115:21                | 274:4,10 275:12,15,             |
| 47:1 48:1 49:1,21                       | 224:1 225:1 226:1                      | employees 22:23                          | 255:6 276:10                       | 24 276:6,7,10                   |
| 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1,9                   | 227:1 228:1 229:1                      | 52:25 55:7 69:2                          |                                    | 284:12,16,20 290:9              |
| 54:1 55:1 56:1 57:1                     | 230:1 231:1 232:1                      | 76:18 90:16 189:15                       | <b>entire</b> 62:16 85:5           | 291:19                          |
| 58:1 59:1 60:1 61:1                     | 233:1 234:1 235:1                      | 246:11 259:18                            | 95:5 167:24 209:5                  |                                 |
| 62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1                     | 236:1 237:1 238:1                      | 260:10 265:11,17                         | entitled 226:25                    | evidentiary 94:14               |
| 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1                     | 239:1 240:1 241:1                      |  | 230:19                             | 291:3                           |
| 70:1 71:1 72:1 73:1                     | 242:1 243:1 244:1                      | <b>employer</b> 12:14,20,                |                                    | <b>exact</b> 264:13             |
| 74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1                     | 245:1,20 246:1 247:1                   | 22 13:5 14:23 21:6                       | entitlement 227:10                 |                                 |
| 78:1 79:1 80:1 81:1                     | 248:1 249:1 250:1                      | 154:4                                    | 230:21                             | examination 31:3                |
| 82:1,10,22 83:1 84:1                    | 251:1 252:1 253:1                      | employment 12:17                         | entity 263:10                      | 84:21 112:9 113:18              |
| 85:1 86:1 87:1 88:1                     | 254:1 255:1 256:1                      | 13:8 15:10 39:19                         |                                    | 202:12 244:13                   |
| 89:1 90:1,10,13,15,                     | 257:1 258:1 259:1                      | 57:24 58:21 63:2                         | <b>Erik</b> 30:19 31:8             | Excellent 183:24                |
| 24,25 91:1 92:1 93:1                    | 260:1 261:1 262:1                      | 81:8 83:17 108:20                        | erratic 18:14                      | 230:8                           |
| 94:1 95:1 96:1 97:1                     | 263:1 264:1 265:1                      | 115:19 141:6,9,24                        |                                    |                                 |
| 98:1,25 99:1 100:1<br>101:1 102:1 103:1 | 266:1 267:1 268:1<br>269:1 270:1 271:1 | 142:2,5,19 244:18,20                     | escalated 19:10                    | exceptional 79:22               |
| 104:1 105:1 106:1                       | 272:1 273:1 274:1                      | 256:17,21 260:7                          | essence 174:5                      | excess 46:2 50:2                |
| 107:1 108:1 109:1                       | 275:1 276:1 277:1                      | 285:18,19                                |                                    | 199:2                           |
| 110:1 111:1 112:1                       | 278:1 279:1 280:1                      | and 4:45 5:45 10:42                      | essentially 47:12                  |                                 |
| 113:1 114:1 115:1                       | 281:1 282:1 283:1                      | end 4:15 5:15 10:12<br>22:10 34:22 41:18 | 60:15 63:5 64:3,9                  | <b>exchange</b> 67:12           |
| 116:1 117:1 118:1                       | 284:1 285:1 286:1                      | 57:24 62:25 81:21                        | 77:17 86:3 90:17                   | 129:2 205:13 208:5,6            |
| 119:1 120:1 121:1                       | 287:1 288:1 289:1                      | 83:3 87:7,10 155:4                       | 93:8 146:3 156:21                  | 225:15 253:17,20                |
| 122:1 123:1 124:1                       | 290:1 291:1 292:1                      | 231:3 235:10,16                          | 245:4 251:12 272:4                 | 271:7,12 276:9<br>277:24 281:16 |
| 125:1 126:1 127:1                       | 293:1 294:1 295:1,17                   | 270:25 272:23 284:9                      | 280:17 282:15                      | 286:19 287:12,17                |
| 128:1 129:1 130:1                       | 296:1 297:1 298:1                      | 294:15                                   | 283:12 288:10                      | 200.19 207.12,17                |
| 131:1 132:1 133:1                       | 299:1 300:1 301:1                      |  | establish 12:11                    | exchanged 270:3,12              |
| 134:1 135:1 136:1                       | 302:1                                  | <b>ended</b> 150:25 172:25               | 115:5                              | 287:14,18                       |
| 137:1 138:1 139:1                       |  | 193:24 194:8,13                          | established 70.05                  | exchanges 213:5                 |
| 140:1 141:1 142:1                       | emergency 32:17                        | 240:23 241:17                            | established 70:25<br>214:23 215:22 |                                 |
| 143:1 144:1 145:1                       | emotional 113:23                       | 298:24                                   | 214:23 215:22                      | excited 141:20                  |
| 146:1 147:1 148:1                       |  | ending 58:21,22 76:9                     | <b>estate</b> 37:24 38:5           | exclusive 155:16                |
| 149:1 150:1 151:1                       | emotionally 110:19,                    |  | estimate 122:23                    |                                 |
| 152:1 153:1 154:1                       | 22 111:3,5,12 223:23                   | <b>ends</b> 277:24                       | 135:25                             | exclusively 48:3                |
| 155:1 156:1 157:1                       | emphasis 32:17                         | enforcement 34:12                        | 133.23                             | <b>excuse</b> 10:2 87:21        |
| 158:1 159:1 160:1                       | -                                      | 53:6,15 54:9,14,16,                      | estimated 43:10                    | 245:23 273:18 274:3             |
| 161:1 162:1 163:1                       | emphasize 30:11                        | 19 73:17,19 82:17                        | 231:24                             | 282:5                           |
| 164:1 165:1 166:1                       | employed 8:13                          | 97:3 130:5,11,16                         | <b>estimates</b> 49:11,25          |                                 |
| 167:1 168:1 169:1                       | 17:17 18:7 28:15,18                    | 131:7 132:3,11                           | 197:25                             | executive 35:13                 |
| 170:1 171:1 172:1                       | 74:21 85:3,24 94:17                    | 133:8,23 134:6,13                        |                                    | 217:20                          |
| 173:1 174:1 175:1                       | 143:5 169:13,17                        | 145:18,19 146:20                         | evaluation 36:5                    | exercised 28:5                  |
| 176:1 177:1 178:1                       | 181:13,22,24 215:9                     | 162:13,16 163:8,19                       | <b>event</b> 111:9 227:2           |                                 |
| 179:1 180:1 181:1                       | 236:25 250:17 260:2,                   | 164:5,10,14 184:16                       | 233:10 283:5                       | <b>exhibit</b> 4:20 5:7,8,10    |
| 182:1 183:1 184:1                       | 23 285:5,17 295:9                      | 185:19 187:6 196:5,                      | 200.10 200.0                       | 32:23,25 33:14 37:3,            |
| 185:1 186:1 187:1                       |  | 16 197:3 207:21                          |                                    | 4,8,13 38:10,17                 |
|   |  |  |                                    |                                 |
|   | •                                      |  | •                                  | •                               |

Index: exhibits..folders

39:21,23 46:9 48:21 49:4 56:3 57:5,6,16, 20 60:18,20 61:5,9, 14,18,22 62:13,22 64:15 66:5,8,12 70:21 71:8,18,19,21 73:3,6 74:5,25 75:4 78:22 80:8,10,12 84:4,5 86:19 87:4,20, 23 89:4,19,21,23 90:22 95:19 96:2,7, 14 97:12,17,20 99:5, 24 100:3 104:12,14, 16 106:19,21,24 107:3,8,11 109:7 110:4,8,14 116:7 118:18,23 120:7,9, 11,12,14 121:14,17, 21 123:4,8,16,20 124:12,15 126:8,10 128:12,16 139:10 144:4,6,15 150:10 152:14,21 153:9 157:8 161:5,10 166:18,20 167:5,14 171:23 172:6 176:11 178:8,11,21 184:5 192:15,20 205:2,9,13 207:25 208:4 210:22, 25 211:3 213:4 214:8,13 217:5 224:5 231:5,7 237:12 238:25 239:2 243:9 252:21,22 253:11,14 254:24 255:2,7 257:6,25 258:4,16 259:9 261:8,12,19 276:10 280:11 287:9

**exhibits** 4:22 5:4,16 9:20 29:23 30:3,5 83:15,22 86:18 89:15 106:6 115:21 211:10, 13 252:24 282:10

exist 88:21

**existence** 63:12 134:7

expanding 37:24

**expect** 4:15 8:3 94:24,25 225:10 230:21 258:22

expectation 225:21

expected 142:17

225:18 265:6

expedite 7:13

expensive 180:7

**experience** 130:17 146:10 149:3 187:6 210:5 212:8

experiences 244:21

**expert** 114:15,19 115:10

expertise 213:18

**explain** 17:15 21:6 27:11 70:9 124:24 131:3 231:10

**explained** 53:17 88:12 102:17 107:21 268:10

**explaining** 71:15 191:13

explains 195:16

express 67:24

**expressed** 18:8 70:16 102:8 238:19

**extensively** 78:7 269:11 274:24

extent 67:2 99:3 131:2 143:8 146:25 156:17 180:12,23 182:2 187:12,20 188:16 201:6 228:6 229:4 232:5 238:19 241:10 265:4 267:19 270:6 273:15

## F

f'ing 213:16

face 41:23

Facebook 186:3

facia 12:12 13:4

**facilities** 45:3 116:19 119:18 233:4

facility 22:21 25:24 42:20 43:6,7,13 73:20 74:21 78:16 100:8 105:24 117:18 118:14 119:21 122:17,21 125:12 158:2 270:15

Document 66-2

fact 19:25 23:15,16, 24 48:20 49:20 50:7 53:16 55:5 59:6 64:22 68:8 69:19 78:19 98:8,10 117:12 131:12,19 133:22 134:12 148:24 152:17 160:7 162:11 166:2 173:20 176:3 177:12,22 188:25 190:17 192:7 194:17 207:10 215:8 216:6, 15 224:7 235:15 259:13 272:13 285:9

**factor** 12:19

factored 200:24

factors 116:24

**factory** 49:9 125:15, 17 191:21 216:9 217:21

**facts** 11:19,20 12:2 25:15 28:2 275:21

**factual** 11:18 26:24 42:17

Failed 129:21 130:2 134:23

fair 122:23 125:17 137:11,12 140:18,20, 23 146:5 182:18,19 202:20 259:17 260:21 261:2 262:10 267:25 269:17,19

fairly 277:23

**familiar** 35:10 36:7 180:9

family 31:15 68:21 104:2 111:8

fast 101:12 239:8

father 111:21

Fayetteville 32:5

**FBI** 54:5,6 68:8,25 69:14 163:6 240:23, 24 241:3,11

February 32:19

38:20 139:15,21

February-march 142:7

federal 35:3,19 36:18,21 39:6 69:17 97:3 106:17 108:21 134:5 140:5 141:5,10 164:20 196:6

Feds 69:20

feedback 261:25 280:17,19 281:11

feeds 125:20

feel 93:8 285:17

feelings 286:5

Fellows 59:16

felon 51:9

felony 35:7 96:23

**felt** 78:4,12 90:19 91:17,18 96:20 97:8, 9 98:9 112:2 267:9

Ferrua 8:4

field 32:13 35:6,7 42:4 148:7

fielding 34:19

fight 96:21

**figure** 38:18 70:6 103:24 293:16,17

figured 8:15

**figures** 49:12,20 94:20 176:21

**file** 9:10 62:9 96:17 207:10 225:6 293:2

**filed** 15:5,6 17:2 19:8, 9 99:19 129:2 225:14 228:6 229:4 230:10 232:22 234:25 274:2 277:18 287:15,19

files 95:8 119:18

**filing** 15:7,17 16:8 27:13,23 207:14 226:3,21 231:2 274:5

**fill** 71:4 172:18 256:21

final 155:15

financial 200:10,25 201:2 202:19 203:19 221:17 232:7 233:4, 23

**find** 14:6 19:23 20:14 23:11 26:22 53:10,12 106:14 121:8

**finding** 26:17 45:25 132:2 237:15

fine 9:16 136:19 137:17 140:25 175:25 177:4 178:3 183:5,15 219:5 226:10 228:3 229:11 239:7 242:20,25 276:24 291:14,20

**finish** 190:18 199:6 268:24 299:25

finished 115:14

fire 32:15

fired 279:8,12,14

firefighting 32:16

firm 24:25 118:24

five-minute 183:14

fixes 229:15

flagged 200:21

flashing 101:12

flight 96:21

floor 86:6

Flores 150:10,13,15, 21

Florida 32:5,11

flurry 20:8

**FMC** 37:16

**focus** 70:7 157:19 244:19 261:21 280:5 282:11

focused 82:22

**folder** 75:19 168:19 169:11

**folders** 18:24

Index: folks..guarding

**folks** 129:20 135:10 148:16 150:3 186:17 187:13 206:20 208:19 229:8 262:11

follow 74:10,15 89:5

**follow-up** 103:20 141:3

footage 22:20 51:21 117:22

footages 46:2

**force** 33:21 58:24 130:7,12,13,20 131:8 132:15 133:14,15 162:11 165:4 196:6

forced 251:6

forces 35:25 130:15

forensic 175:4

**forgive** 11:23 19:22 39:19 104:19 106:7, 20 253:24

**forgot** 59:16

**form** 49:7 218:14 245:6

formal 31:23 187:2

formally 9:14

forms 15:11

Fort 34:17

fortunate 35:2

**forward** 12:3 21:3,10 170:11 271:4 295:23

**forwarded** 18:19 119:13 123:9 204:12

forwarding 126:25

**found** 18:19 23:5 94:12 200:10

**foundation** 5:8 67:7, 9 69:12 218:19,20 227:17,20

fourteen 36:22

**fox** 17:4 20:8 100:21, 23 101:2 282:17 283:2,11,18 284:7,22 288:12 290:11

frame 23:22 52:19 150:12 164:13 279:3 282:11

fraudulent 51:14 64:24 204:23

free 25:10 173:13

freezing 56:20

frequently 269:18

**friend** 37:21 294:11 297:2

front 33:3 38:11 214:12 217:4 238:24

frozen 56:18

fruition 164:4 247:4

frustrate 76:10

frustrated 81:20

**FT** 173:7

FTE 172:19

**full** 31:7 47:15 59:12 177:18 196:2

funny 210:13

**future** 26:2

G

**games** 81:23

garbled 226:5

**Garcia** 150:10,13

**gate** 86:9 101:10,14 102:13 209:10 295:18

gatekeeper 18:13

gates 35:16 45:25

gauge 86:7

**gave** 28:15 59:7 81:18 117:4 285:25

**Gecewich** 92:21 93:5,7 137:2,8 263:18 264:2,3,8 281:19

**general** 14:22 35:22 146:4

**generally** 180:9 265:12 279:13

generous 201:19

**gentleman** 48:2 50:12 51:10,11 68:18

Document 66-2

geographically 42:8,10,13

**Gerhard** 89:5 90:25 281:22

German 7:25 27:16 58:8,11 59:22 60:5,7 62:5,18 63:25 76:16 77:15,16,17,18,21 78:2 79:5,21 81:11, 13,17 83:11 94:4 98:9,11 103:9,16,20 104:7 151:6,20 160:21 171:11 173:25 205:14,18 211:18,25 213:21 240:4,7,19 248:16 249:23 250:12 251:7, 12,21,23 252:2 261:23,25 262:5 265:21,25 266:2,10, 11,19 267:10,14,18 268:5,15 269:7,22 270:4,7,21 271:6,9 272:3,18 273:4,10, 22,23 274:5,12 275:16,22 276:15 279:14 281:10,25 282:18 283:2,9,14 284:3,12,21 285:6, 10,21 286:5 287:3, 14,18,24 288:3,11 289:8,23 290:4 294:12 295:10,19,24 296:4,11,14,25 297:3,9,15,17,22 298:4,12,15,21 299:13

**German's** 58:15 276:3,8

**get all** 4:12

**Gicinto** 8:6,23 14:21 15:2 19:2 20:19 71:25 80:24 87:15 88:5,6,11 91:2,20,22 92:9,10 98:7 172:7, 12 173:18 186:20 189:18

Gicinto's 174:22

Giga 47:21

**Gigafactory** 16:24 18:10,13 24:9 40:15 42:11,18,19 43:3 49:9 50:24 56:5 65:2, 16,17 68:2 69:4,19 70:18 85:14,25 86:5 87:7,11 91:5 92:4,20 94:7,23 97:23 99:14, 16 101:6,8 102:7 103:11 105:7 108:2. 5,14 112:21 118:7 125:12 127:3 134:25 165:23 232:6 233:18, 22 235:11 241:17 247:17 248:7 260:3, 7,23 266:25 269:6,9, 24 274:24 275:19 285:22 286:8

**give** 5:24 8:17,22 61:3 72:22 102:19 127:19 132:25 135:21,24 171:15 258:12,14 283:20 286:14 300:14,20

**giving** 59:18

glad 87:18

global 16:18 82:11

Gmail 24:21,25 25:2 117:10,14 119:15 120:4 121:3 122:3 123:22 124:5,18 127:2 128:5 169:3 238:4

goal 273:7

God 210:5

**goings** 125:16

good 5:6,12 11:8,9 27:4 37:21 84:8,12 137:14,21 182:22 205:18 242:21 243:4 244:7,16 282:25 289:18 294:16 297:15 299:22 301:2

gosh 82:2

Gotta 127:19

**Gouthro** 40:11 41:9, 17 46:18 47:6,10

48:6 50:8 52:17 53:17,19,25 55:17 57:12 58:8,13 59:5 60:2,5,16 64:20,23 65:4.9.18 66:20 69:6 73:17 77:10,13 82:18 88:23 90:24 93:16 98:24 130:17 132:20, 23 133:6,11 151:8 162:4,7,9,12 163:4, 19,24 164:19 185:15, 20 189:2,8,9,12,16 190:24 191:12,19 196:10,22 198:25 219:17,20 231:11,20 236:4,6,15,18,21 238:14

**Gouthro's** 47:5 151:10

**governed** 13:2 179:3

government 23:14 131:20 140:5,14 141:6,10,13 187:6

grandchild 31:18

grandchildren 31:18

grandfather 111:22

**grant** 6:21

granted 28:10

**graphs** 45:17

grass 34:7

great 69:24 79:19 212:7 213:18

greener 34:7

Greg 217:9

Greyhound 116:10

ground 8:11

**group** 24:5,7 146:4 148:16,17,20,21 174:22

**GS-11** 109:4

**GS-13** 39:10

**guard** 24:10,11 33:19 34:11 156:20 177:14

guarding 17:5

guards 52:4

**guess** 7:6 9:25 67:11 69:10 72:6 76:22 138:12 195:19 202:5 229:10 290:15 300:18

guidance 298:20

guy 147:4 287:6

**guys** 50:11 69:16 212:7 213:17 296:2

#### Н

**half** 4:16 115:16 138:25 290:14

half-hour 6:8

**Halladay** 41:8,10,17 45:12 47:7,11,12 50:9 64:18 189:22,25 190:9,18,23 191:13

hand 101:20 302:16

**handbook** 257:3,16 258:12 259:4,16

handcuffed 25:19

handed 53:20

**handle** 4:21 29:23 161:8 248:6 291:18, 20

handled 63:12

handling 80:25

**hands** 54:12 64:2 249:24

handwriting 70:24 71:3,7 184:10,13,14 208:11,12 210:25

**hang** 178:4 183:8 239:20

Hannah 217:8,14

Hansen 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1,20 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1, 11 15:1 16:1,16,18, 19,20 17:1,2,16 18:1, 2,8,11,23 19:1,17 20:1,7 21:1,11 22:1, 13,15 23:1,21 24:1 25:1,7,22 26:1 27:1, 9,23 28:1,4,15,17 29:1 30:1,14,19 31:1, 6,8,9 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1,11 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1,6,18 57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1,18 63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1,13,22 70:1 71:1,7 72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1 80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1 84:1,22 85:1,3 86:1 87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1 96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1 100:1 101:1 102:1,20 103:1 104:1 105:1 106:1 107:1 108:1 109:1 110:1 111:1 112:1,19 113:1,21 114:1 115:1 116:1 117:1,7 118:1, 22 119:1,13 120:1,15 121:1,20 122:1 123:1,7,19 124:1,14 125:1 126:1,11 127:1 128:1,19 129:1 130:1,22 131:1 132:1 133:1 134:1 135:1 136:1,21 137:1 138:1,3,18 139:1,7, 13 140:1,21,25 141:1 142:1 143:1 144:1,5 145:1 146:1 147:1 148:1 149:1,25 150:1,9 151:1,5 152:1,17 153:1,3,15 154:1,22 155:1 156:1 157:1,7 158:1,25 159:1 160:1 161:1,4, 9 162:1 163:1,14 164:1 165:1 166:1 167:1,13 168:1 169:1 170:1 171:1,3 172:1, 5 173:1 174:1 175:1 176:1,10 177:1 178:1,10,24 179:1 180:1 181:1 182:1 183:1 184:1,4 185:1 186:1 187:1 188:1

189:1 190:1 191:1.11 192:1,19 193:1 194:1 195:1 196:1 197:1 198:1,17 199:1 200:1 201:1 202:1,6 203:1, 7,17 204:1,4 205:1, 12 206:1 207:1,3 208:1,3 209:1 210:1 211:1 212:1 213:1,4 214:1,12 215:1,21 216:1 217:1,4,10 218:1 219:1,10 220:1 221:1 222:1,9 223:1 224:1 225:1,14 226:1,8,10,12,18 227:1 228:1,5,16,25 229:1,3,10,22 230:1, 9 231:1,6,16 232:1 233:1 234:1,10 235:1,20,25 236:1,19 237:1,16 238:1,24 239:1 240:1,21 241:1 242:1,2 243:1 244:1 245:1 246:1 247:1 248:1 249:1 250:1 251:1 252:1 253:1,9 254:1,10 255:1,13 256:1,16 257:1,9 258:1,20 259:1 260:1 261:1 262:1 263:1 264:1 265:1 266:1 267:1 268:1 269:1 270:1 271:1 272:1,25 273:1,20 274:1 275:1 276:1 277:1,16 278:1 279:1,10 280:1 281:1,9 282:1,14 283:1 284:1,11 285:1,2 286:1 287:1, 2,22 288:1 289:1,7, 23 290:1 291:1,23 292:1 293:1 294:1,10 295:1,7,13,20,25 296:1,10,12,16 297:1,2,8,14,16,20, 23 298:1,11,14,18,22

Document 66-2

**Hansen's** 14:25 17:22,23 18:14,18 19:13 24:19 26:20,22 27:2,6,13 28:21

299:1,12 300:1

301:1,3 302:1

**happen** 58:5 77:25 173:5 230:24 247:5, 10 251:14 297:21 298:13

happened 19:5 20:12 25:9,15,18 26:3 53:10,12 54:20 64:2 74:2 78:12,20 88:11 90:15 91:14 94:16 101:5 102:16, 17,20 103:8,22 112:3 136:2 191:16

happening 96:19 193:24 262:4

**happy** 29:4 113:8 130:22

harbored 100:16

hard 59:9,19 210:2

hard-working 147:6

hare 66:22

**harm** 210:11

Hasbrouck 11:3

**hate** 160:3

hazardous 32:17

**head** 14:23 16:17 101:23 274:6

**header** 151:11

headquarters 35:21

health 110:21

hear 5:21 9:25 11:24 22:11 44:3 55:7 56:14,15,17 67:3 75:24 76:2 80:18,20 102:10 108:8 112:13, 19 166:19 182:24 205:18 225:12 226:6 227:25 228:11,14,17 235:7 236:10,12 238:16 269:2 275:16 290:19 291:23 292:4, 5,11,14,20 293:6,11, 21 294:11

heard 5:25 22:12 27:11 93:3 115:17 191:3,4 199:14 228:18 229:6 244:24 291:10 292:12 295:17 300:21

**hearing** 4:7,15 5:15 7:13 9:15 23:7 27:5

28:3 70:2 133:20 164:19 226:8,10 275:8 293:8

**hearsay** 218:13 227:20

Heather 57:13

heavily 94:22

hell 102:16,20 296:23

helping 252:23

henhouse 17:5

Hennigan 118:24

hereinbefore 302:6

hereof 155:17

hereunto 302:15

herring 19:24

**hey** 91:17 205:18 213:16 280:6 281:6 297:25

hidden 87:6,10

hide 102:22

**high** 33:18,19 94:19 102:2 111:14

**higher** 28:19

highly 173:9 265:16

hills 102:22

hire 246:3 256:22

**hired** 81:17 83:3,5,7 109:25 206:19 250:7 256:18 257:2 259:15

**hiring** 87:19

**history** 25:17 33:16, 17 45:13 95:6 206:25

Hoene 64:19

Hoffman 4:6 7:23 8:22,25 9:6 10:6,19, 23 11:5 21:19 22:5 26:7,13 29:7,15,20 30:3,16 37:9,11 39:22 44:10,15 48:23 49:2 56:17,23 57:3, 18 60:20 61:17 62:14,19,21 66:7 67:5,11 69:22 70:13

Index: hold..instruction

71:19 73:5 75:3 80:10 84:2.7.12.14. 20 89:17 96:3,6,8,13 97:19 100:2 104:13 106:22 107:10 108:8. 11 110:5,7,10,16 112:11 113:2,4,12 120:11 121:16 123:3, 15 124:11 126:7 128:9 137:17,21 138:2,6,15,18,23 139:6 144:14 152:13, 23,25 166:19,23 167:2,4,6,9 171:22, 24 172:3 178:20 182:24 183:11,16,22 192:13 202:4 203:5, 13 205:7 210:19,21 212:19,22,25 214:6 216:24 218:18 219:7 221:24 222:4,7 224:23 226:9 227:12, 16,25 228:16,20 229:9,20 230:4 232:11 233:12 237:11 242:4,16 243:3,8,10,14,19,22 252:14,19,25 253:6 254:21,24 257:22 258:5,7,13 259:8 261:10 262:21 288:21,24 289:5,17 290:12,15,20 291:14 292:8,14 293:8,12, 19,24 299:3,8 300:3, 7,12 301:2,8

hold 33:8 83:19 106:8 189:17 201:13 228:13 239:6 277:2 278:14

holding 26:24

holes 69:14

holy 295:9

**home** 103:2,6 168:22 269:16

homeless 51:10,11

honest 212:21

**honestly** 146:8 295:16

**Honor** 7:11 9:5,9 10:18 11:14 22:2

29:22 30:14 48:25 61:14,16 67:2,9 70:23 84:24 112:7,23 124:10 137:13,24 144:18 166:21 167:3, 8 182:21 183:13 192:17 201:8,14 202:16 210:20 219:6 221:20 227:7,11,15, 23 228:19 232:13 233:6 242:22 243:6, 12 252:11 258:6 262:19 291:3 292:7 300:19 301:6

hope 141:15 182:2

hoping 298:9

horrifying 17:6

horsing 218:16

Hotel 109:20

hour 39:12 64:4,13 83:13 84:9,16 105:5 137:23 138:25 139:25 140:17 157:14,16 158:4 160:9,23 183:12 215:10 216:12 221:22 243:16 300:6

**hours** 6:7 32:3 65:17 86:7 94:10 115:16 116:19,23 215:4 223:19 260:23

**Housing** 108:22

Houston 34:17

**HR** 93:12 163:9 175:11

HUD 108:25 109:3,9

Huddler 145:9,14,15

**Hueston** 118:24

huge 145:19

**Human** 137:2 260:16

hung 102:9

**hypertension** 104:4 110:24 111:15,19

ı

Document 66-2

**ID** 86:10 119:20

idea 6:10 43:6 82:13 94:25 116:15 162:6, 15 174:17 185:11,22 217:15 218:2,4 282:25 292:3,23

**ideas** 92:5

identification 51:14 119:19

identified 42:15 65:8,13,15 68:11 144:6 161:10 166:16 167:14 173:9 176:11 217:5 231:14 238:25

identify 5:7 17:15 81:16 192:2

identifying 9:19 68:16 165:11 166:3

illegal 51:13

**Image** 170:12

**images** 122:15 125:4,5,7,15

imagine 55:6

**immediately** 103:12 297:6

immigrant 51:13

**impact** 111:12 233:4, 14,23

impacted 55:15,19 110:19,21 111:8 221:16 246:11

impacts 37:20

**impeachment** 290:9 291:9,19

important 15:7,9 16:4 44:12 54:24 69:12 91:19 116:22 157:18 164:8 190:21 279:5 282:22 288:13 290:12 300:13

importantly 14:21

improper 28:14

inappropriately 26:6

incident 50:19 65:13,15 74:22 101:7 122:13 220:17,24

include 119:22 165:21

included 22:19,21, 23 198:10 200:24

includes 15:15 255:10

including 4:10 18:3 22:22 89:6 119:15 222:17 273:15

**income** 38:7 39:14 232:18

incorrect 10:23

increased 110:23

incredible 146:21

independent 164:20 179:10 199:25

Indiana 34:18

indicating 234:18

indicted 165:18 166:4,14

indictment 68:9,15, 22,25 165:3 166:10

individual 15:8 21:4 50:22 64:23,24 65:10 94:17 118:2 181:5 207:20 233:11 250:16

individually 30:2

individuals 8:13 18:6,16 64:25 99:10 119:17 122:13 125:16 165:7,9,10,17 188:8 263:15 265:2

industry 53:2

**infantry** 34:2,24

**inform** 287:15,19 289:25

**information** 4:13 10:10 18:5 19:25 22:19,21,24 24:20

45:21 52:19,23 65:11 67:14 72:18 90:5 93:9,15 94:14,21 114:4,8,9,12,18 115:9 117:8,14,25 118:10,14 120:5 122:8,11,22 124:3,4 129:12 132:5,17 133:12 142:22,23 154:19 162:7,10 163:13 164:6,7,25 165:11,12,13,16 170:21 186:24 188:9, 10,14 189:11 192:2 193:21 194:6 195:18 197:6,24 198:14 211:14 226:2,20 231:13 238:3 265:11 266:3,15 267:21 270:6.10 275:21 286:12

informed 65:4 254:14 273:13 299:13

initial 42:4 107:14 137:7,10 184:14 211:11

initially 33:18 46:16 72:6 81:14 198:2 249:21 266:19 267:12,25

initiated 205:25

injured 36:12

inquiries 127:24

inside 42:10 78:16 92:20 94:7 97:6 99:13 100:8 101:13 186:11

**Insider** 193:12 222:11

inspect 52:3

inspector 51:24

installation 34:20

**instance** 86:4 111:4 226:24

**instruct** 235:10

instructed 190:17

instruction 138:16

Index: insufficient..judge

235:16

insufficient 67:7

integrity 296:19

intentionally 45:23

**interactions** 219:13 238:21

interested 19:6 79:15 254:7,15 263:21 302:14

**interesting** 68:3 107:24

interfere 155:3

**interfered** 93:25 94:3

**interference** 63:5,8 266:18

interior 117:18

internal 18:20 40:13 119:14,15 130:3 152:8 177:20 189:15

internally 51:20

internals 151:21

international 34:16

interpreted 132:4

interrogatory 17:10 19:12

interrupt 69:23 158:10

interrupting 268:23

**interview** 73:14 81:3 186:9 207:17

**interviewing** 79:10 189:13

**interviews** 72:15 205:22

Intrepid 36:16

introduce 114:24 120:9 252:21 254:22 257:6 258:4 261:8

introduced 58:11 253:2

introducing 290:13

introductions 42:5

investigate 91:13 132:11 268:11

investigated 19:2,4 43:25 76:15 77:6 135:23 225:16 245:17

investigates 225:7

investigating 36:4 77:19 135:17 187:19 220:25 286:7

investigation 14:24 35:4 47:23 50:13 53:19 65:6 68:6,10, 13 69:15 86:14,24 87:9 92:13,16,18 121:7 122:12 125:6 130:3 137:6 163:16, 17 164:21 185:25 186:6,21 188:15 189:3,5 190:5,10,12, 16,19 191:15 196:3, 13,20 198:14,18 199:25 233:14 234:8 236:5,17 266:5

investigations

16:23 18:4 35:7 39:16 40:13,14,16,18 42:2 43:16,18 47:11, 17 54:19 55:10,12, 17,19,20 59:20 60:13 64:7 68:19 69:3 72:2. 13 73:12 76:19 77:8 79:11 80:25 81:3 82:6 134:7 136:2 149:21 150:2.4 172:22 173:3 175:22 189:6 190:14,23 191:22 199:3 221:5,9 265:3,5,16 266:4,12 268:6 270:7,18,20 273:15 286:6,12

investigative 41:10, 14,20 43:15 47:15 72:16 78:9 88:10 94:6 247:12,16,24 248:6 249:11,25 250:6 267:20,23

investigator 24:10 36:20 40:24 58:12 59:23 60:6,10 63:14 71:24 80:22 94:2 108:22 109:21 110:2 156:19 159:24 174:22 187:18 188:21 207:16 246:17,23 247:9 250:5 266:22 267:6 271:2

investigators 42:7 50:10 136:24 190:22, 25 235:20,25 236:19

**invite** 11:10

involve 267:23

involved 14:24 36:4 44:23 50:24 51:6,18 60:3 65:16 87:9,13 94:4 135:16,22 186:20 220:10,18,25 230:22 238:11 253:19 286:7

**involvement** 18:15 65:19 81:7 186:22

involving 18:3 74:22 98:15 101:7 190:5 191:15 268:12

**Iraq** 36:13

ironed 7:11

irrelevant 20:14 249:4

**Island** 33:22

**isolated** 85:12 91:16 94:8 96:20 266:25

issue 14:9 15:9 20:22,24,25 24:22,23 27:12 29:5 54:10 91:18 111:15 136:12 202:16 218:22 219:19 221:11 229:17

**issued** 97:14,23 128:22 134:18

issues 7:7 9:7 11:16 14:12,13 36:3 44:8 66:16 90:19 91:3,10 93:9 111:19 200:9 260:6,14 272:7,10 294:6

item 203:18 231:24

items 18:24 19:23

Ivan 150:10,13

J

**Jacob** 71:24 72:14, 19 79:8,9 80:23

**Jake** 71:23 72:12 78:24 79:3,13 80:16 90:25

**James** 150:17,18,19

**JAMS** 9:10

**Janine** 9:21 10:24,25

**January** 49:23 68:14 106:3 115:20 135:2,8 198:12

Jeff 8:6 16:17 17:9 63:15 64:5 80:25 82:5,8,9,14,22 91:2 94:3,7 98:25 103:11 144:8 218:24 239:19 249:9 251:9 266:21 267:13 284:14

**Jens** 217:18,19

job 24:15 28:19 39:6, 11 40:5,6,23 41:21 46:20,25 51:21 54:23 55:12 58:15 63:17,22 72:13 76:9,20 78:2, 12 83:5 85:25 94:2 104:25 105:3 106:2 107:15 108:24 116:24 140:17,21,22 159:12,16 160:8,22 161:2 173:14 174:11 175:13 177:12,23 178:2 209:4

**jobs** 104:22 105:16 160:16 174:6

join 28:24 35:8

joined 33:18 245:18 248:10 256:23 270:19,21

joining 246:15,21

joins 26:15

joint 4:20 32:23 33:14 36:14 37:4,8

38:10 39:21 46:9 48:21 56:3 57:6.15 60:23 61:9,14,22 62:13 64:14 66:12 70:21 71:8,18 73:3,5 74:5,25 78:22 80:8 83:14,22 86:19 87:22 89:3 90:22 95:18,19 96:2 97:12,17 99:4, 24 104:12,15 106:5, 21 107:3,8 109:6 118:18 120:14 121:21 123:8,20 124:15 126:10 128:15 139:10 144:3, 6 172:6 192:20 214:13 231:7 239:2 252:21 255:7 257:6 258:4,16 261:8 276:10 280:11

Jones 8:6,24 16:17 17:9 63:15 64:5 81:2 82:5,8,9,19,22 91:2 94:3,7 98:25 103:11 144:8 151:6 218:23, 24 239:19 240:8,9,19 249:9 251:9 266:21 267:13 284:14

Jones's 82:14

Journal 222:21

journalist 193:11

judge 4:6 7:23 8:22, 25 9:6 10:6,19,23 11:5 21:19 22:5 26:7, 13 29:7,15,20 30:3, 16 37:9,11 39:22 44:10,15 48:23 49:2 56:17,23 57:3,18 60:20 61:17 62:14, 19,21 66:7 67:5,11 69:22 70:13 71:19 73:5 75:3 80:10 84:2, 7,12,14,20 89:17 96:3,6,8,13 97:19 100:2 104:13 106:22 107:10 108:8.11 110:5,7,10,16 112:11 113:2,4,12 120:11 121:16 123:3,15 124:11 126:7 128:9 137:17,21 138:2,6, 15,18,23 139:6

144:14 152:13,23,25

Index: judgment..local

166:19,23 167:2,4,6, 9 171:22.24 172:3 178:20 182:24 183:11,16,22 192:13 202:4 203:5,13 205:7 210:19,21 212:19,22, 25 214:6 216:24 218:18 219:7 221:24 222:4,7 224:23 226:9 227:12,16,25 228:16, 20 229:9,20 230:4 232:11 233:12 237:11 242:4,16 243:3,8,10,14,19,22 252:14,19,25 253:6 254:21,24 257:22 258:5,7,13 259:8 261:10 262:21 288:21,24 289:5,10, 17 290:12,15,20 291:14 292:8,14 293:8,12,19,24 299:3,8 300:3,7,12 301:2,8

judgment 11:22 20:5 230:18

**Julio** 64:20,21,22 65:3 74:7,11,16,19 122:12

July 58:3,4,22 60:14
63:21 74:9 75:12,13
78:24 79:3 81:10
86:20,21,22 87:16
88:7,16,24 89:9 90:3
93:2,23 126:11,16
153:18 155:11
158:15,24 159:8,11,
19 160:10,21 168:11,
16 172:7 173:23
176:12,18 177:22
195:10,12 214:24
235:5 271:2

### jumbling 19:22

June 17:13 24:3 41:18 47:19 49:23 50:21 52:18 53:9,17 54:20,21 55:11 56:5 57:9 58:2 63:10 64:16 66:14 68:4 72:10,23 74:23 75:12 88:7 119:13 120:5,16 121:3,25 123:22 127:4 135:3,8 150:11 161:12 163:25 164:13 165:19 170:9 171:6 198:11,12 205:16 231:18 245:21 270:25

justice 32:10,12

Justifications 144:21

juvenile 32:12

#### Κ

kahansen@tesla. com 88:16,20

**Kalkunte** 13:10,11 21:8

Kansas 269:14

Karl 14:11 16:18,19, 20 17:2,12 30:14,19 31:8 56:6,13,19 57:5 69:13 88:24 205:18 217:8,10,14 228:14 235:20,25 236:19 244:16 297:22

karlhannah@ contractor.net 217:8

keeping 4:18 212:21

**ken** 16:22 19:13 40:21,22,23 50:9 100:16 233:11 237:16,20 238:13

**Kenneth** 16:14,15 17:23 99:7

**Kevin** 146:14

KH 158:21 286:22

kids 161:23

Kim 12:24,25

kind 34:6 49:14 103:24 228:7 230:16 256:20 262:15 270:4 271:14 286:14

kinds 170:22

**knew** 12:14 20:20 23:14 98:21 118:12 143:18 146:25 179:7, 8,10 180:12 181:18 194:18,23 195:4 230:25 245:4 265:14 274:12 275:16,22 282:15 283:18 284:7 289:8,23,25 296:19, 21

Document 66-2

knowledge 41:3 163:21 164:20,25 173:4 175:15,18,22 179:16,17 180:19 182:2 186:9,10 190:11,20 200:8 201:6 203:18,22 204:21 206:17 209:19 221:18 265:19,24 268:18

Kris 41:8 191:13

**Kristopher** 47:6,11, 12 50:9 64:18

#### L

LA 223:3

lack 188:2,5 227:17

laid 56:9 57:8,10 75:8

**Lake** 37:25

land 159:24

Lane 47:25 48:2

language 21:9 161:7 239:15 264:13

laptop 33:2

large 14:16 24:7,19 43:13 46:2 49:8 76:11 107:20

large-scale 14:12

**Largent** 11:2 26:10, 13,14

larger 44:5 45:3 46:6

late 243:15

law 12:2 15:10 27:19 34:12 53:6,15 54:9, 14,16,18 73:17,18 82:17 97:3 112:3 118:24 130:16 132:3, 11 134:5 145:17,19 146:20 162:12,15 163:8,18 164:4,10 184:16 185:19 187:5 196:5,15 197:3

laws 225:22

**lawyer** 131:3 202:21, 25 203:2

lawyers 294:21

lay 69:12

**lay-down** 44:4 45:23 52:4

laying 5:8

layoff 56:6 57:25

layoffs 55:8

LE 184:15 196:5

**LE/DEA** 184:14

lead 188:12

**leader** 68:17 69:19 165:21

leadership 90:20

leading 67:3,10

leads 254:6

**learned** 68:7 70:6 76:9 131:15 194:21

**leave** 8:20 108:18 141:14 142:9 239:14

leaving 45:23

led 38:7 94:13 100:13

leeway 69:24

left 39:9 113:22 115:6 116:2 143:8 153:21 185:2 208:12 210:24 240:22 241:3

**legal** 12:8 26:24 29:5 54:3 163:9 233:8

legitimate 26:19

lengthy 91:3 93:18

**Leslie** 76:17 78:6,7, 8,10,17,18 102:19 181:9,11,16 249:22 265:21 266:13 275:11

letter 38:20,25

118:24 119:8 139:14 294:20 295:22.23

leveled 17:16

levels 90:20

Lewis-mcchord 36:15

**liability** 12:20 13:19 28:20 29:2

liable 26:25

**license** 38:5 117:24 118:2 119:20

lies 22:8

life 31:25 37:17

life-changing 111:9

**light** 14:3

**lights** 101:12

**likewise** 142:9 147:5 225:6 260:13

limine 6:16,22

lines 11:24 81:22

**Linette** 192:22 263:4, 6.9

link 45:15 65:21

linked 69:20

list 4:20 5:6,16 144:24 145:8 149:9 150:5 154:6

listed 151:25

**listen** 202:6 290:23

listened 290:9 291:22

listening 69:25

lists 198:2

**lithium** 67:19 68:20 127:24 191:25 192:2

litigation 184:23

live 31:11,12

loads 4:25

**lobby** 99:13 100:12

local 97:2 196:5

Index: located..message

**located** 43:3 105:17 125:12

location 213:22 269:16

locked 228:10

locks 45:25

lodge 202:11

**log** 168:18 228:12,13 229:14

logged 9:12

logging 229:14

logs 118:6

long 105:25 131:15 288:21 298:5

longer 8:13 63:11 79:7 80:23 88:22,24 103:10 135:14 157:25 190:14 216:8 247:16,23 248:5 250:14 251:18 254:15 271:7

**looked** 20:23 51:4 101:25 115:25 123:23 175:19 285:3

loose 292:4

**Lopez** 192:22 193:10 194:18,19 196:18 204:6,12 222:10 263:6,9

lot 4:25 9:20 13:13, 14,16 25:17 36:3 43:24 44:8 45:2,18 82:2 91:14 118:3 218:23

lots 119:21 275:18

love 84:10

loved 279:15

**low** 75:25 80:19 299:6

lower 90:20

lunch 6:8 113:10

**Lynn** 50:14,16,18 52:21 53:25 65:2 122:14 135:18 136:13 189:11

198:15,19 221:2

#### M

**machine** 65:7,11,20 86:8

made 27:17 51:6,19 52:20 63:15,18 71:12 97:5,24 100:10 115:5 137:5 185:18 191:24 197:5,7,9 199:10 211:6 216:8 218:23 247:15 248:5,7 249:15 251:7,12,20 283:4 288:3 291:6, 12,17

magnitude 50:4

mail 281:9

**main** 99:13,14 100:12

maintain 265:6

major 68:10 147:17

make 4:17 5:5 11:11 13:4 21:22,24 22:3 70:5 106:14 117:4 129:22 137:19,25 166:11 183:6 206:23 209:22 216:11 224:16 225:4 249:5 258:22 261:4 273:8 275:8 293:18 296:15 297:21

**makes** 229:13,20 250:12

**making** 36:23 39:7 118:18 140:6 160:13 198:5 215:9,13 239:18 248:23

malpractice 36:5

**man** 23:14 50:13 297:20,24

management 14:19 52:11 53:14 91:11 97:25 181:23 190:17 238:12

management's 14:15

**manager** 58:18 76:22

**manual** 148:7 208:24 209:5,6

manufactured 42:22

manufacturers 44:23

manufacturing 105:24

**March** 40:4 148:4 168:3,6,16

**Marcus** 147:6,12

marginal 295:4

marine 34:11

**maritime** 34:12 36:21 39:6

mark 30:4 290:25

marked 38:10 56:3 71:18 74:4 87:4 90:22 95:19 97:12 104:11 118:23 123:8 192:20 208:4 214:13 290:21,22

marking 46:9 78:22

marriage 302:13

married 31:14

Marshall 8:7 46:14, 15 47:4 50:8 52:17, 22 53:3 54:9,11,22 56:4 57:7,13 59:15 64:19 66:14 70:15 144:7 238:15

Martenson 11:3

**Martin** 94:16,22 193:15.17 194:20

**master** 28:7 146:19 178:13

match 146:22 152:3

material 44:24 121:6 294:19

materialized 172:20 246:17,24 247:4

materials 32:17

43:21 49:10,17,22 94:19 117:9 134:24 143:9,21 168:25 198:10

math 122:20 299:20

Matt 27:16 58:8,11,15 59:22 62:5 63:25 76:16 81:17 83:11 94:4 98:9 103:9,16, 20 104:6,7 151:19,20 160:21 211:18 240:7 248:16 250:12 251:7, 12,23 261:23 262:5 265:25 266:2,10,11, 19 267:10,14,18 268:5,15 275:13,16, 22 276:3 280:6,15 281:6 283:9,14 284:3 287:3 289:8 297:23 298:22

matter 21:3 23:13 37:17 73:13 87:13 136:13 155:17,20 188:17 202:9 249:2 302:14

matters 7:14 9:18 23:8 86:15 111:7 189:16

Mclellan 76:17,23,25 77:7,8,12,22 79:5,8 80:14,17,21 83:11 98:12,13 102:14,18 181:9,11,13,18 182:5 211:25 249:10 251:15,20 254:14 265:21 266:11 267:14 275:2,11

Mclellan's 76:20

means 20:2 242:9

meant 44:22

media 165:15 166:8 186:4 194:16 195:3 197:7 223:2 263:4,10 274:7 282:16 283:2, 11

**medical** 35:19,20 36:3,5,11 113:24

medication 104:4 111:6 medications 111:2

**meet** 20:2 54:23 88:8 90:4 136:24 164:4,14 281:21 297:13

meeting 54:2,11 55:17 58:7 92:24 93:2 136:25 137:10 163:8 262:2,12,14 263:11,17,19 280:18, 25 281:11,19 282:2

meetings 162:12 163:18,21 164:2 281:18

meets 13:5

**Meissner** 100:24 119:4,5,9 195:3 234:17,22

Melissa 161:18

member 33:20 130:19 132:10,15 133:15 196:18 198:5 199:8,13,22 204:13 211:6

**members** 68:16 165:3 196:5

memo 283:4

**memorialize** 282:22 283:5

**memory** 160:12

**Mendez** 162:18

**Mendoza** 133:14 162:18,21

mention 27:3 183:9 244:4 290:10

mentioned 44:17 98:21 110:11 113:22 140:4 161:22 179:21 189:22 193:15 197:7 204:16 209:9 222:9 223:22 236:22 238:8

mentions 162:22

merges 155:18

merit 187:16

message 54:22 72:9 213:20 253:23,25 254:2,4,10 277:24

Index: messages..News

|                             |                       |  | 1110                                     | iex: illessagesNews                       |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|---|
| 279:13 280:6,24             | miscited 29:3         | Motors 10:16                               | 107:1 108:1 109:1                        | 274:1 275:1 276:1                         |
| 281:12 282:5 286:25         | misguided 92:6        | <b>move</b> 37:15 110:12                   | 110:1 111:1 112:1                        | 277:1 278:1 279:1                         |
| 287:2,3                     |                       | 120:8 121:13 122:25                        | 113:1 114:1 115:1                        | 280:1 281:1 282:1                         |
| messages 62:5,18            | misplaced 48:10       | 123:12 124:7 126:5                         | 116:1 117:1 118:1                        | 283:1 284:1 285:1                         |
| 66:13 67:13,17 81:12        | missing 49:23,24      | 128:7 140:18 144:11                        | 119:1 120:1 121:1                        | 286:1 287:1 288:1                         |
| 270:4,12 271:7,10,          |                       | 152:11 166:24                              | 122:1 123:1 124:1                        | 289:1 290:1 291:1                         |
| 13,23 272:5,11              | misstated 203:20      | 171:20 176:3 178:18                        | 125:1 126:1 127:1                        | 292:1 293:1 294:1                         |
| 276:2,4 285:3               | misstatement          | 192:11,12 202:22                           | 128:1 129:1 130:1<br>131:1 132:1 133:1   | 295:1,17 296:1 297:1<br>298:1 299:1 300:1 |
| 287:13,18                   | 262:19                | 205:5 210:15 212:18                        | 134:1 135:1 136:1                        | 301:1 302:1                               |
| met 57:11,12 88:4           | misstatements 97:5    | 214:4,5 216:15,19                          | 137:1 138:1 139:1                        |   |
| 164:12 207:20               |                       | 218:10 227:24 237:8                        | 140:1 141:1 142:1                        | <b>mute</b> 229:18,22                     |
| 270:12,13                   | misstates 252:15      | 243:5 254:21                               | 143:1 144:1 145:1                        | 252:13 292:17                             |
|                             | Missy 161:18,19,23    | moved 148:24                               | 146:1 147:1 148:1                        | muted 224:24                              |
| meter 34:20                 | 169:23                | 155:11                                     | 149:1 150:1 151:1                        |   |
| metering 34:20              | <b>Mm-hmm</b> 187:23  | <b>moving</b> 5:9 214:9                    | 152:1 153:1 154:1                        | N   |
| methamphetamine             | 281:2                 | 266:25 271:3 294:23                        | 155:1 156:1 157:1                        |   |
| 165:14                      |                       |  | 158:1 159:1 160:1                        | named 50:13 68:18,                        |
|                             | <b>mode</b> 96:22     | <b>MP4</b> 293:2                           | 161:1 162:1 163:1                        | 24 119:17 146:13                          |
| method 270:8                | Model 42:24 78:15     | multiagency 130:15                         | 164:1 165:1 166:1<br>167:1 168:1 169:1   | 150:15 165:10 166:9                       |
| Mexican 268:13              | 82:24 101:10          | multiple 157:12                            | 170:1 171:1 172:1                        | 250:16                                    |
| <b>Mexico</b> 69:21         | modification 155:23   | <u>-</u>                                   | 173:1 174:1 175:1                        | mamaa 0.00 50.04                          |
|                             | inodification 155.25  | municipalities                             | 176:1 177:1 178:1                        | names 8:23 52:24<br>127:3 151:21 165:11   |
| mgerman@                    | Mohamed 8:5 14:22     | 34:17                                      | 179:1 180:1 181:1                        |   |
| ussecurityassociat          | moment 261:14         | Musk 4:1 5:1 6:1,18                        | 182:1 183:1 184:1                        | narcotics 44:7,8                          |
| <b>es</b> 171:3             | 264:13                | 7:1,4 8:1 9:1 10:1,15                      | 185:1 186:1 187:1                        | 73:18 268:12                              |
| Microgrid 67:22             | moments 37:20         | 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1,                       | 188:1 189:1 190:1                        | <b>narrative</b> 11:19,20                 |
| mid-june 52:18              |                       | 12,18,20 15:1 16:1,                        | 191:1 192:1 193:1,8<br>194:1 195:1 196:1 | narratives 70:5                           |
| •                           | monetary 230:19       | 17 17:1,9 18:1 19:1,                       | 197:1 198:1,8 199:1                      |   |
| <b>middle</b> 138:10 171:2  | money 106:14          | 5,8,9 20:1,20 21:1<br>22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1  | 200:1 201:1 202:1                        | narrowed 11:16                            |
| 197:13                      | 160:14 180:14 194:9,  | 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1                        | 203:1 204:1,17 205:1                     | national 33:19 58:18                      |
| midst 138:4                 | 13 224:16 225:4,18    | 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1                        | 206:1 207:1 208:1                        | noture 74.45                              |
| <b>Milburn</b> 46:24 50:11, | 227:3 228:8,9 231:3   | 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1                        | 209:1,9 210:1,11,18                      | nature 71:15                              |
| 25                          | <b>monitor</b> 241:15 | 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1                        | 211:1 212:1 213:1                        | <b>Navy</b> 145:18 146:19                 |
|                             |                       | 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1                        | 214:1 215:1 216:1                        | necessarily 70:3                          |
| military 36:7 37:22         | monitoring 47:20      | 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1,                       | 217:1 218:1 219:1                        |   |
| 146:20                      | 86:9 241:4            | 21 50:1 51:1 52:1                          | 220:1 221:1 222:1                        | needed 38:7 77:23                         |
| million 49:21 50:3          | month 25:13 148:3     | 53:1,9 54:1 55:1 56:1                      | 223:1 224:1 225:1<br>226:1 227:1 228:1   | 78:3 103:23 104:23                        |
| 135:2 198:9 199:20          | months 36:23 37:17    | 57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1                        | 229:1 230:1 231:1                        | 105:15 106:13,14<br>112:2 188:23          |
| millions 199:3,10,20        | 50:14 51:20 69:16     | 61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1<br>65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1 | 232:1 233:1 234:1                        |   |
|                             | 93:17 197:17,23       | 69:1 70:1 71:1 72:1                        | 235:1 236:1 237:1                        | negative 188:9,20                         |
| mind 53:8 181:10            | 199:11,20             | 73:1 74:1 75:1 76:1                        | 238:1 239:1 240:1                        | 286:5,14                                  |
| 283:23                      | <b>Mora</b> 68:11,18  | 77:1 78:1 79:1 80:1                        | 241:1 242:1 243:1                        | negotiating 60:3                          |
| minute 56:24 69:23          | · ·                   | 81:1 82:1,10,22 83:1                       | 244:1 245:1 246:1                        | Netflix 224:7,14                          |
| 201:10 236:7                | Morales 68:21         | 84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1                        | 247:1 248:1 249:1                        | ,   |
| minutes 12:7 29:13,         | morning 6:7 9:11      | 88:1 89:1 90:1,10,13,                      | 250:1 251:1 252:1                        | network 18:12                             |
| 16 113:11,14 137:15,        | 26:12 27:12 51:5      | 15,24,25 91:1,6,8,17,                      | 253:1 254:1 255:1<br>256:1 257:1 258:1   | Nevada 38:5 43:3                          |
| 23 183:17 221:22            | 72:23 115:14 300:15   | 21,23 92:1,11 93:1                         | 259:1 260:1 261:1                        | 105:19 112:24 163:7                       |
| 243:16 288:23,24            | motion 6:16,19,21     | 94:1 95:1 96:1 97:1<br>98:1,25 99:1 100:1  | 262:1 263:1 264:1                        | 166:15 186:11                             |
| 290:14 293:16 294:5         | 11:21                 | 101:1,7,8,21,24                            | 265:1 266:1 267:1                        | 222:23                                    |
| mischaracterizes            |                       | 102:1,18,22 103:1                          | 268:1 269:1 270:1                        | News 20:8 100:21,23                       |
| 252:11                      | motions 9:14          | 104:1 105:1 106:1                          | 271:1 272:1 273:1                        | 101:2 282:17 283:2,                       |
|                             |                       |  |  |   |
|                             |                       |  |  |   |

Index: Nick..Pacific

11,18 284:7,22 288:12 290:11

Nick 8:6 14:21 15:2 19:2 20:19 61:4,5 71:25 80:24 87:15 91:2,20 144:11 178:17 189:18 201:10 242:21

**Nicole** 17:12

night 65:2

Ninth 12:25

Nocon 7:20 71:23,24 72:12,14,19 73:9,16, 25 78:24 79:3,8,9,13 80:16,23 81:4 91:2 176:12 186:20 300:18

noncommissioned 34:25

nonindented 141:19

nonprofit 131:21

nonretaliatory 26:19

nonsense 218:17

normal 76:6 191:4

**Notary** 302:4,21

**note** 50:17 54:24 70:23 176:18 244:3

notebook 59:12

**noted** 28:15 283:3 301:11

**notes** 10:15 11:6 71:12 78:9 86:12

notice 56:7 75:25

notification 130:4

**notified** 24:3 63:10 102:3 190:13 249:23 271:2 284:14

**notify** 261:5

notwithstanding

179:9 195:6

**Nubia** 250:16,19,21 252:6 253:21 254:11 256:13

**Null** 150:17,18,19,21

**number** 61:2 62:15 91:4 116:9,19,23 124:21 125:11 136:3 152:9 164:5 198:20, 23 199:19,21,23,24

**numbers** 22:22 119:20 198:24 257:6

**numerous** 18:19 97:4 119:14 132:24 223:24

#### 0

oath 22:25 136:8

**object** 5:11 10:8 17:20 67:2 218:11 233:7

objected 7:5

objecting 6:18

objection 5:13,21,22 37:9,10,12 48:23,24 57:17,19 61:15 62:19,20 66:6 67:4,6 70:22.25 73:4 75:2 80:9 83:25 89:16 96:3,5,10,11 97:18 99:25 106:23 107:9 108:6,9 110:5,6 120:10 121:15 123:2, 14 124:9 126:6 128:10,11 138:16 144:13 152:12 167:2 171:21 178:19 191:5 192:14 201:7 202:10, 11 205:6 210:19 214:6 218:18 224:17. 21,24 227:4,6,13,16, 19 232:9 234:2 237:10 252:10.15 253:3 257:21 258:5, 18 261:10 262:18 288:15 290:16,21

**objective** 15:23 273:8

objective/ subjective 16:5

obligation 143:8,20

observation 16:25

**observed** 45:9 50:22 65:2 90:13 94:15 283:17 284:6

Document 66-2

observing 64:11

obtain 94:14 195:17

**obtained** 52:20 125:5 162:7 197:5 241:9,13

occasion 132:14 238:15 240:9

occasions 81:9 157:12 270:13,14

**occurred** 24:3 50:20 101:8 135:2,7 163:22 268:3

occurring 241:7 272:21

**October** 105:11

offboarded 24:8

offer 37:8 38:20,25 48:21 53:15 57:15 60:6 61:14 62:12,14, 16 63:17,22 66:4 70:20 73:3 74:24 78:2 80:5,7 83:21 88:11 89:14 96:2 97:16 99:23 104:8 106:20 107:7 110:3, 15 139:14 160:2 291:13

**offered** 5:17 39:21 58:12 60:9 63:11 78:2 79:21 80:23 104:25 115:21 116:4, 12 149:23 160:23 174:11 266:20

offers 90:5

office 35:21 38:4 41:24 54:4 65:5,10, 19 68:15 130:6,12 131:8 165:2 166:15 181:25 219:18,21 226:22 297:10

officer 34:13,25 37:22 63:6 64:10 83:8 86:4 100:13 101:14,16 144:20 147:7,24 148:3,6 150:2,14 160:3,8,23 162:19 250:8 251:22 257:16

officer's 259:3

officers 85:19

oftentimes 190:22

ombudsman 35:20

on-site 42:14 76:21

onboarded 208:23

one's 218:12

**ongoing** 20:11 46:7 47:23 50:15 68:10 82:25 86:14 96:23

online 171:13

**open** 90:17 165:16 186:7 202:24

**opened** 91:17

**opening** 11:11 21:23 22:13 26:11,15 27:3 28:25

openings 22:8

operating 232:6 233:3,17

operation 55:21 107:20

**operational** 85:18 145:25

operations 32:18 33:22 46:23 47:3 76:22 82:12 85:10 102:4 181:25 186:10

operator 48:4

**opinion** 49:7 50:6 78:18 112:4 187:17 280:8 281:8,25

opinions 299:22

**opportunities** 79:20 116:3,9,11,16,25

**opportunity** 5:11,12 108:16 117:4 138:11 253:9 281:14

opposed 15:16

opposing 15:15

oppositional 15:14, 15.18

option 112:4 159:11

options 34:6

**order** 5:5 7:16,19 27:7,25 115:5 179:18 180:3

orders 160:4

**organization** 55:6 68:11,17 90:20 131:6,13,20 151:22

organizational 47:9 48:7

organize 137:18

organized 44:5 92:4

orientation 256:21

original 161:25

originally 80:4 173:9

originated 212:2

**OSHA** 51:22,23

**outcome** 194:11,12 302:14

outlets 222:15 223:24 263:4

outlined 101:3

outset 42:16

outsourcing 179:4

overlap 190:23

overnight 52:2

overruled 224:24 232:11

overtime 117:5

Ρ

**p.m.** 120:17 139:4,5 161:13 183:20,21 222:2,3 230:2,3 243:20,21 289:2,3 293:22,23 296:8 301:11

Pacific 296:8

Index: packs..posited

packs 49:19

**pages** 8:10 167:21, 22 215:20 276:6

**paid** 106:15 116:16 140:13 180:18,24 181:2 182:5 225:18 248:20 249:17 250:13 260:2

Panasonic 51:7

panel 11:24

panels 67:21

**papers** 214:3

paragraph 27:9 119:12 129:14,21 141:19 142:15 153:21 154:18,21,22 155:14 176:19 177:18 195:25 196:2 197:14 239:16 240:4

paralegal 32:8

parked 119:23

**Parker** 59:16

**parking** 118:2 119:21

part 6:17 16:23 23:25 24:2,5,6,18 38:4 47:22 98:14 140:18 143:3,19 150:3,20,22 151:2 152:7 194:10, 12 200:20 211:5 228:8,19 230:25 232:24 246:7 273:5 286:22

partial 20:6

participate 92:13,17 164:9 263:19

participated 221:5

participating 15:20, 21,25 16:2

participatory 15:13, 19

**parties** 18:4 51:6 60:3 155:16 244:5 302:12

parties' 110:18

parts 191:20

party 27:19 51:16 156:2

past 98:16

patrols 85:19

pattern 45:8

pause 55:24

paused 268:22

pay 60:23,24 61:10 64:3,12 85:4,7 105:4 107:4 114:4,23 115:3 116:18,22 139:25 140:11 151:25 158:12 160:17 177:7 180:10,20 182:10 194:13 214:14,22 215:2,4 216:2,4,6,20 251:9,16 255:10,20, 23.25 295:4

paying 28:19 194:8

**payment** 225:8 230:19

payments 165:13

**PDT** 4:4 29:19 57:2 84:19 139:5 183:21 222:3 230:3 243:21 289:3 293:23 301:11

peers 47:12

**pending** 112:18 138:6 224:21

Pennsylvania 34:18

people 15:9 24:7
43:6,11 47:8 50:5
55:8,14 68:24 98:21
129:22 133:21
144:24 145:8 149:11,
15 152:7 166:3,4,7,9,
16 175:11,12 186:9,
13 187:3 191:20
192:6 206:10,24
224:12 240:13
262:15 265:19
275:18 282:16

**Perfect** 145:5 183:18 259:2 299:10

perfectly 25:10 26:4

**perform** 108:17 249:19

**performed** 92:14 260:3

performer 146:21

**period** 69:15 77:11, 25 79:12 93:22 135:8 141:8,25 167:25 168:14 169:12,17 198:2 203:23 214:18 216:3 255:15,21,25 256:5

periodically 238:15

periods 215:16

permanently 18:23

**permission** 290:5 292:6

perpetrate 122:17

**perplexed** 78:19 267:10

**person** 15:22 20:20 81:15 163:17 180:3 253:22 269:22

personal 18:20 22:16,21,22 24:21 83:2 117:10,14 119:14,20 120:4 121:2 122:2,9 123:10 124:18 125:22 126:12 127:2 128:5 170:16,18 238:4 294:14,24

personally 8:18 135:16,23 187:21 197:10 198:22 200:2 204:21 211:20 219:12 220:24

**personnel** 12:17,22 52:25 91:12 119:18 179:5 234:6 260:14 268:13

persons 81:2 273:13

**pertaining** 97:3 132:25 164:7 191:25

**Peter** 217:18,19

Petersen 64:17

Philadelphia 34:18

**phone** 22:22 102:3,9 103:7 169:7 225:25 229:10,19,23 241:4, 16 271:14 284:13,18 288:2 290:6,8

**photographs** 100:6 117:17,25 119:23 122:21 124:23 125:2 170:22 204:7,9

**photos** 119:21

**physical** 64:10 83:8 85:15,22 105:21 145:25

physically 85:17

physician 37:23

physiologically 111:3

pick 300:15

**picking** 225:25

pickup 46:3

**picture** 119:19

pictures 99:8

**piece** 68:5,20 73:13 198:13 283:18 284:7 294:14,23,24 295:17 297:4

**pissed** 99:2 102:22

**place** 27:25 30:11 94:24 100:10 108:16 156:22

**places** 115:4

plaintiff 12:11 13:3,5

plaintiff's 29:4

plaintiffs' 13:9

**plan** 74:2 113:13

**planned** 80:4 243:2 298:6

planning 6:6

**plans** 6:13

plate 117:24 119:20

**plates** 118:2

platforms 166:8

**play** 81:22 291:5 292:6,9,24 294:7 297:4

played 292:19 294:9

**players** 52:24 68:21 165:18

playing 292:25 293:2

pleadings 23:23

**plenty** 4:8,11 246:10

plugging 228:21,22

podcast 223:7,25

podcasts 223:5,6,11

**point** 11:17 12:3 13:10 14:19 16:6 21:9 30:13 32:2 39:20 42:4 54:8 66:4, 17,21 71:3 72:2 73:2 79:13 84:8 88:20 99:14 102:23 103:23 114:16,23 137:14 157:5 159:10 160:13, 15 161:20 173:6,24 186:20 189:2 191:11 194:18 195:21 197:12 201:15 202:13 205:3 209:6 215:8,12 233:7 234:5 238:2 245:5,21 246:14 247:15 248:4 250:11,14,16 251:2 267:17 271:5,8 273:21 299:23

pointed 17:2

**pointing** 176:10

points 16:11 26:16 28:24

**police** 51:18

policies 18:3,21 23:4,16,18 24:15 25:3 118:13 142:18 154:7,13,14,17,18 208:18 259:15

policy 24:23 153:22

populated 294:20

posited 48:18

Index: position..put

**position** 40:8,9,14 41:4 58:9,12 60:5 62:8 63:10 73:15 79:6,21 80:22 88:10 104:9 139:19 149:22 152:18 153:7 156:18, 19 173:21,22 174:2, 3,15,21 176:22 177:15,19 179:19 249:2 291:12

position's 62:9

positioned 154:12

**positions** 60:8,9 266:18 267:2

**possession** 65:11 143:10

possibility 162:24

**possibly** 18:11 200:17

**post-hearing** 10:3,8 29:5

Post-its 258:15

post-trial 10:2

**posted** 176:23 223:19

**posting** 173:14 175:12

posts 85:12 186:4

posttraumatic 110:22

potential 52:24 70:17 160:16,17 163:12 177:7 186:9 225:3,21,23 233:22 268:12

potentially 117:6 141:9 150:22 179:23 188:7 224:13 227:5 228:9 230:24 281:17

pre-dating 20:11

pre-op 213:15

preceding 55:5,11 59:4

preclude 277:22 predicate 42:18 predicated 196:3,14

preface 294:12

**prefer** 112:17 242:6, 16

preferring 173:10

preliminarily 11:10 132:16 133:13,16

**preliminary** 9:7,18 107:22 176:21 177:7 198:3 225:2 231:11, 15

premises 204:10

preparation 7:13 168:24 184:21

prepare 95:16

**prepared** 93:18 231:17,20

preponderance 12:12

**presence** 85:18,23 188:6

present 6:14 259:22 269:8 291:18

presentation 4:17

presented 10:7 184:22 241:14,18 290:22

president 217:20

press 97:13,23 99:20 128:21 129:3,5,7,12 130:2 134:18,22 196:18 198:5 199:9, 13,22 204:13 211:6 222:15 223:24 234:11,18,21,25 242:18 262:16 274:13,21 275:4,6,18

**pressure** 96:19 111:15

**Pretorius** 89:5 90:25 281:22

pretty 11:21 46:18 148:16

preventing 81:16 previous 95:13 165:20

previously 147:7 163:25 172:17 174:25 255:6

price 44:19 82:23

prima 12:12 13:4

**primarily** 43:18,20 67:19 195:4 231:13

primary 42:4 79:13

**print** 167:23 168:18

**printed** 61:25 62:3 69:5 71:13 169:10

**printout** 169:2,3

**prior** 9:14 27:15 40:25 43:25 59:8 65:12,20 95:22 111:16 115:10 138:12 155:18 156:8, 22 163:25 181:22 245:5,7,17 246:15,21 252:11 262:19 270:23 279:17 281:20 283:7,15,19 284:5,8

Priscilla 64:17

prison 69:17

private 34:15 109:15

privilege 20:6

probable 97:2

**problem** 4:19 9:2 20:19 21:14 49:8 50:5 127:17 201:19 277:7

problems 50:12 91:4

procedure 13:3

**procedures** 23:16, 18 118:13 142:18

**proceed** 5:14 12:5 244:10

proceeded 249:15

proceedings 244:6,

**process** 15:20,22,25 16:3 230:22 231:2

256:18

**produce** 113:24 114:3 288:6,13

**produced** 20:5 114:8 115:12 218:5 273:2,8 276:7 288:16

product 234:7

**production** 42:20 43:12

productions 20:5

**program** 32:9 148:6 226:4 227:8,9 247:9, 12,17,24 248:6

prohibited 118:13

prohibition 208:18

prohibits 142:21

projects 147:17,19

promise 205:3

promotion 36:17

promotions 148:20

prompted 177:21

pronounce 136:25

**property** 25:8 42:3 215:24 284:15

proprietary 24:20 118:10,14

prosecution 65:6

prospects 81:8

protect 98:18 267:15

**protected** 12:13,16, 18,23 13:9,22 14:3,8 15:11,12 16:6 19:7, 18,21 20:21 21:2,5,7 27:6,12 45:4

**protection** 32:20 35:13 39:2 40:7 55:21 162:24 163:2, 10

protective 35:8,14

**proves** 12:21

**provide** 26:11 35:12 72:17

**provided** 5:2 28:9 64:24 69:6 114:9,12, 19,20 115:9,10 187:25 196:4,15 233:5

**providing** 226:2,20

**proving** 12:12 29:2

provision 30:6

provisions 143:3

**PTSD** 36:17

**public** 97:24 201:3 302:5.21

publicly 97:5

publishers 224:10

**pull** 14:10 30:2 38:12, 15 114:23 118:19 144:3 151:12 212:16 255:5 264:12 276:2,8 280:11 286:18

**pulling** 46:2 144:5 224:6 285:4

purported 200:9

**purportedly** 132:15 233:3

**purpose** 287:10

purposely 268:23

**purposes** 6:6 188:21 291:9

pursue 111:24 112:5

pursuing 19:6

**purview** 260:15

**push** 78:14

**pushed** 54:10 93:24 94:8

**pushing** 22:9 50:23

put 14:16 21:3 29:24 30:7 45:16 53:9 83:12 90:15 94:21 101:20 115:3,18 118:22 121:20 123:19 124:14 129:3 134:17 149:9 167:13 172:5 177:8 178:10

184:4 192:19 211:12

Index: putting..references

217:4 231:6 234:11, 18,22 238:24 251:15 281:21 290:25

**putting** 214:12

Puyallup 31:13

Q

quad 100:10

**qualified** 174:19 175:20 233:25

quality 34:19

quantification 135:20 221:10

**quantify** 136:11

quarter 82:23 84:16

quarterly 201:5

question 17:14 30:8 63:19 70:4 74:13 76:2 112:12,18,20 115:13.15 130:21 134:10 136:17,18 143:16 149:4 159:7 163:14 175:24 180:22 189:19 191:8 199:7,12 202:10,17, 20 203:10 220:5 225:13 227:18 228:13 229:3 230:13 233:8,16,20 234:3,14 235:8 239:9,25 240:3 241:13 242:10 245:9 246:20 248:2 253:13. 16 262:23 275:20 291:15 300:10

questioning 242:9 299:18

**questions** 52:6 55:9 70:2,8,9 112:7 130:25 138:7 153:4 191:21 192:8 202:7 218:7 219:5 244:19 282:12

quick 137:25

quickly 60:19 222:10

**quote** 132:12 **quotes** 130:7

R

rabbit 69:14 radar 50:19 82:14

**Rahul** 147:21

raise 24:22 91:3 180:10 216:16 241:2

raised 14:12 15:4 26:16 28:24 52:11 77:20 91:10 93:10,13 94:18 135:10 220:3 245:14 273:14 285:6

raises 24:23

raising 66:16 67:25

ramp 42:25 78:14 82:24

rampant 268:16

ran 187:2

rankest 218:14

rate 64:4,12 83:10,12 85:4,7 102:2 116:23 139:25 158:4 180:20 215:4 216:4,6 251:10,16 255:24 295:2.6

rates 116:18 151:25 215:2 295:4

rationale 88:12

**raw** 43:21 44:24 49:10,17,22 134:24 198:10

**RDR** 302:4,20

reach 89:8 90:9 207:7 240:23

reached 79:12 104:22 172:17 194:22,25 207:17 219:25 240:24,25 262:4 264:2

reaching 263:9,21

**reaction** 52:10,14,16 97:22

reactions 111:5

read 8:8 129:23 156:24 182:12 209:5 210:2 233:20 253:9 254:3 258:22 275:25 276:5 278:2 280:15.

readback 284:9

16 284:2

reading 8:16 158:25 176:25 239:6,20 253:4 277:22 283:23

**ready** 183:22 300:22, 23

real 37:24 38:5 162:24

realize 143:11,13 190:21

realized 68:23 110:13

realtor 37:23

reason 5:18 13:25 14:7 26:23 27:4 28:4, 8,17 58:25 59:3 108:18 149:8,9 238:20 247:2,3,9 285:22,25 286:4

reasons 26:19

reassign 294:25

reassigned 112:20

rebuttal 4:10

recall 14:23 38:21 41:12 58:16 78:23 87:12,25 88:4 105:9 116:5,11,14 128:19 129:17 136:10,14 139:18 146:8 147:14 150:13.24 152:9 153:3 157:17,22 160:11 162:18 168:23 173:17 176:14 179:24 181:11 189:20 191:11,18 192:3,5 204:19 207:5 208:20, 22 209:6 211:4,7,9, 23 212:4,6,9,12,15 215:17 221:10 222:12 231:16 237:18 238:5,10 250:18,19,20,23

264:13,16,21 268:2,7 272:2,8,10,20,24 273:25 274:6,16,19 282:19 286:15

recalls 262:22

receipt 207:15 259:15

receive 38:17

received 17:22 32:13 36:17 37:13 39:2 45:21,22 49:5 54:21 57:21 59:11 61:19 62:23 66:9 71:21 73:7 75:5 80:6,12 84:4,6 88:13 89:20, 22,24 96:7,15 97:20 100:4 103:18 104:5 106:25 107:12 110:9 120:12 121:17 123:4, 16 124:12 126:8 128:13 130:4 132:5 134:8 144:16 152:15 167:5 171:23 178:22 184:18 192:15 205:9 210:22 214:8 237:12 243:9 255:3 257:15 258:2 259:10 261:13 285:23 294:8

receiving 87:25 130:18

recent 109:8 130:3

recently 32:7 207:11 223:13

recess 29:18 56:25 84:18 139:4 183:20 222:2 230:2 243:20 289:2 293:22

recognize 167:16 214:15 253:17,18 257:12 258:20,25 259:2

recognized 209:15, 20

recollection 11:7 152:6 158:6 250:24 254:13 279:10,24 281:4,15 291:8

recommended

73:16 104:2,24 105:14 246:3 record 8:8 19:14 31:7 44:9 52:7 56:22, 24 57:3 95:6 124:4 139:8,11 227:23 229:25 230:6 243:23, 24 244:6,7 275:24 287:13 290:5,25 293:5,14 294:3,4 299:2,4,6,8 300:11, 14 301:9 302:8

recorded 119:16 287:23 288:2 290:3 299:9

recording 288:16 290:13,17,19 291:6, 12,13,16,17,22 292:5,24 294:7 299:9

records 22:20 113:24 117:15 119:16 120:23 122:22 123:9,25 135:12 158:12 170:22 214:14 216:20

recovered 227:3 228:8

recovery 225:10 227:2,8

recruiter 175:9,19,21

recruiters 173:12 175:7.17

red 19:24 99:9

redirect 131:4 242:8 300:8

redirecting 201:18 202:2

reduce 251:21

reduction 58:23

**refer** 30:5,12 87:15 161:19

reference 116:9 169:23 199:10 210:25 239:18

referenced 193:7 211:13 236:8

**references** 23:24 197:25

Index: referencing..Rick

**referencing** 162:3 211:8 240:4 272:16 284:19 299:13

referrals 95:21

**referred** 14:5 95:12 174:24 236:4 281:20

**referring** 191:24 210:17,18 212:10

**reflect** 153:17 160:12 169:15,25

reflected 154:17 202:18

reflects 169:11

**refresh** 254:13 279:9 281:3,15

refreshed 291:7

refuse 81:22 92:12

refused 92:17 137:10 234:8

refusing 90:3

**regard** 25:19 74:2 196:25 209:3 219:19

regarded 240:20

region 294:25 298:6

regular 219:21 251:22

regularly 46:18 55:4 90:15 269:18

rejected 175:17

relate 244:22

related 45:19 48:18 52:13 53:20,24 67:19 68:5 70:4 73:12 86:13 87:19 122:11 127:24 154:18 180:20 188:10 192:2 213:21 231:13 234:7 253:13 266:3 273:3 302:12

relates 265:3

relations 14:24 19:3 92:22

**relationship** 154:23 155:3,5

**relative** 14:8 47:8

release 68:14 82:24 97:13,23 99:21 128:21 129:3,5,7,12 130:2 134:18,22 234:11,18,22,25 274:7,13,21 275:4,7, 18

released 164:25 201:3

relevance 218:19,20

relevant 116:24 117:2,5 187:15 273:2

relief 112:5

relieve 100:13

remain 27:5 41:2 85:3

remained 85:7

remaining 85:5

remains 28:22

remember 38:17 46:20,25 136:4 150:19 171:10 193:15 198:8 256:20 264:18 272:13 275:25 279:13

removal 26:20,22 27:15,17 28:6,8 290:7 299:14

remove 283:16 284:5,15 285:22

removed 28:4,16 45:25 75:13 85:13 86:5 103:12 105:7 117:8 193:21 290:2 294:18

removing 118:13

renew 7:2

**Reno** 36:24 37:15,24 104:9 112:25 140:18 141:5 181:25 186:11

**repeat** 74:12 75:16 76:24 199:16 220:4, 21 234:14 235:7 245:9 246:20 248:2 255:17 262:23 repeatedly 55:14 91:10

repetitive 244:19

rephrase 203:14

replaced 156:22

replete 23:23

report 17:22 18:17 19:11,13,20 20:2 41:25 49:20 51:5 86:13 92:19 100:12 196:4,15,19 231:11, 19,23

reportable 233:10

reported 18:2 46:6, 17 51:17 52:6 65:3, 18 77:9,10 82:10 92:10 93:15 102:17 104:22 122:14 192:6 194:15 197:18 198:9 199:22 201:4 220:13 245:3,5,17

reporter 10:10 244:8 262:6 283:23 284:2 289:11,16,20

reporting 50:14 51:20 64:11 85:10 94:11

**reports** 20:10 43:19 45:11,13 52:9 86:13, 14 95:13 266:6

represent 10:15 167:20

representation 296:6,8

representations 206:22

representative 27:17 36:20 93:12

represented 92:25 195:2,22

representing 11:4

request 7:3 25:23 27:18 28:6,9,11 53:5 137:7,25 201:24 216:7 281:21 285:23 294:18 requested 51:21 138:10

requesting 26:20 82:16

**requests** 137:5 164:4

require 104:4 175:2

required 13:4 38:5 81:3 169:9 174:22 206:18

reserve 21:23

residing 51:11

resign 106:12

resignation 106:9

resigned 28:19 107:14,18,25 108:4, 13

resolve 194:9

resorts 109:24

resource 223:2

**resources** 137:3 186:5,7 195:4 260:17

**respect** 46:18 72:13, 20 73:14 77:2,25 79:6 88:9 127:19,20 155:2,17 163:10 196:11 221:6 268:4

responded 14:20 50:25 207:13

Respondent 17:17

respondents 6:17 8:4 13:18 17:19 21:22 112:16 113:6

response 6:19,20 19:10 80:15 89:4 269:2

responses 286:15

responsibilities

41:13,21 47:15 51:25 85:9 86:2

responsible 35:24 190:9

responsive 201:16

rest 6:8 8:2

restate 149:4

restricting 266:24

restroom 29:14

**result** 18:17 19:11,12 69:17 110:23 111:5

resumé 32:23 37:4 62:8 109:8 171:4,10 173:25 175:20 177:20

retained 92:24 195:9,10 211:11

retaliate 27:7,20

retaliated 285:7

retaliating 27:22

retaliation 15:13 26:18 29:2

retaliatory 21:17 26:23

retired 35:17

retirement 140:10

**return** 104:10 143:9, 20

returned 41:16 190:10

reveal 43:16

revealed 44:8

**revenue** 232:18

review 4:8 18:22 44:13 71:10,11 119:7 127:7,9,12 129:7 239:23 261:18 276:17,22,25 277:4, 9,11,14 278:15,20,22 279:21

**reviewed** 4:21 6:19 18:18 19:13 198:22 257:3 259:13

reviewing 24:18 206:11 281:9

revisionist 25:17

**Rick** 76:16,20,21 80:14,17,21 83:11 159:23 181:9,11,13,

Index: Ricky...September

18,22 254:6,14 265:21 266:11 267:14 275:2,11 297:11

**Ricky** 92:21 263:18, 25 264:3,17

rid 20:9

ride 111:22 298:9

**RIF** 23:25 24:2 54:25 88:25 107:16 149:8,9 150:23 151:2 152:8 246:5,7,8,11

rigamarole 8:14

rigged 204:22

rigging 204:18

rights 108:21 155:25

ring 150:16

risks 18:11

road 101:15 295:16

**Robert** 35:16

Robertson 9:7,9 10:14,17,21 22:2,6 37:10 48:24 57:17 61:15 62:20 66:6.25 67:8 70:22 73:4 75:2 80:9 83:24 87:20 89:16 96:4.11 97:18 99:25 107:9 108:6 110:6 113:8,16,20 115:8 118:17,21 120:8,13 121:13,18 123:5,12,17,18 124:7,13 126:4,9 127:13,17,19 128:2, 7,14 129:19,24 137:13,18,24 138:3, 8,20 139:2,7,9,12 142:12,14 144:11,17, 19,25 145:7 151:12, 15,16 152:11,16,20, 24 153:2,10,13 158:20,22 166:21,24 167:7,11,12 168:4 170:5 171:15,18,20 172:2,4 176:3,6,9 178:4,7,17,23 182:21 183:2,15,18,23,24 184:2 191:7,9 192:12,16,18 199:16

201:9.12 202:14 203:14,16,25 204:3, 25 205:8,10,11 210:15,23 212:17,20, 24 213:3 214:2,9,11 216:19,25 217:3 218:10,22 219:8,9 220:20 221:20 222:6, 8 224:19 225:5,11 226:7,11,13 227:11, 14,22 228:3,4,12,22, 24 229:7,11 230:7, 12,15 232:9,15 233:16,19 234:4 236:11,16 237:7,13 240:2 241:20,23,25 242:15,19 243:2,6 300:19

**Robin** 11:2

**Rogers** 147:6,10,12

role 18:13 35:5 41:14 42:11 47:16 64:9 72:3,14,16 79:22 88:10 94:2,5 109:25 156:20 159:25 160:3, 8,23 172:18,19,20 180:14 181:23 182:11 246:17,23 248:12 250:4,6,7,12 266:22 267:21 268:9 271:3

roles 173:8

rolling 46:3,4

**room** 92:20 100:11 238:13

**roommate** 161:17, 18

roughly 152:7

round 205:22

route 66:23

routed 185:10

**Routing** 185:3,5

roving 85:19

**Row** 115:4

**rules** 5:19,22 8:11 154:5 209:3 218:15

Rumsfeld 35:16

run 29:14 73:19 158:18 186:17 187:7, 13,21 188:8

runner 111:17

**running** 43:12

runs 168:11

**Ryan** 76:17 181:9,11, 16 249:22 266:13 275:11

S

**S-I-D-H-E-R** 147:21

salaried 156:18

**salary** 79:22 140:7 157:13,20

**Salas** 164:7

satisfied 188:22

scale 14:16

**scaled** 173:8

**scaling** 107:23

scared 111:14

SCC 42:12 48:4 schedule 73:18

scheduled 4:6 206:2

school 33:18.19

science 34:11

**scope** 52:12 176:19, 22 177:7

**Scott** 104:6,21 297:13,14,15,17 298:5

**scrap** 43:21 49:14 53:13 94:19 198:10

**scraps** 49:22

scratch 178:3

**screen** 29:24 30:11 46:11 118:23 121:21 123:20 124:15 172:6 175:11 178:11 184:5 192:20 205:25 228:10 231:6 243:4 292:25

**screenshot** 125:4,5, 7 167:18

**screenshots** 125:25 169:7

**scroll** 71:9 127:5 145:2 255:10 258:21 261:15 276:12,13 278:5 279:7,16,19 282:8 286:24

**scrolling** 151:9 276:23 278:12,16

**Sean** 40:11 47:6,10 50:8 52:17 53:3 55:16 59:5 64:20 88:23 90:24 150:2 238:14

**search** 38:13

**Seattle** 31:12

**sec** 15:6,7 16:2,20 17:3.6.24 19:21 23:9 27:13,23 95:17,22 96:17 99:19,20 100:17,25 119:6 134:19 171:15 207:7, 16 211:15 225:7,16 226:2,4,19 227:2,8,9 228:6 229:4,6 230:11,16,17 232:23 234:12,19 235:2 245:7 273:22 274:5, 12 276:15 277:19 278:14 287:15.19 288:11 289:9,24 290:10

second-to-the-last

142:15

**secret** 35:12 55:2 131:14,21,23,24 133:25 268:15

Secretary 35:15

secretive 265:16

**sector** 34:15 106:17 107:24

**secure** 45:24

secured 85:20

**Securities** 55:16 129:2 172:17,21 173:2 225:15 259:3

**security** 10:20 16:18 20:20 24:10.11.24 39:15 41:24 42:14 46:23 50:5,25 52:3 55:3 56:5 58:19 60:3. 4 63:6 64:10 76:22 80:5 82:11 83:7,8 85:10,16,17,18,22 86:3 93:12 101:13 102:4,6,13 104:8 105:21,23 109:22 119:15,22 125:8 145:19,23 146:2 147:7,17 148:16 149:23 150:15 152:18 156:20 177:14 179:4 180:10 246:15 249:12 250:8 251:22 257:16 259:3 268:16 273:13 275:10 295:3

seek 115:17

**selected** 35:8 36:19 41:12

selective 173:10

self-study 38:6

**selling** 232:17

**senate** 163:7

senator 163:7

**send** 72:9 79:2 91:6 92:8 118:5 120:3 170:17

**sending** 18:4 78:23 117:9 125:21 170:15 195:14

**senior** 36:20 51:22 52:25

sense 229:13,21

sensitive 6:9

sentence 240:11

sentences 55:25

separate 37:18

separately 244:6

separation 143:21

**September** 103:19 105:10 116:2 118:25 119:8 157:25 168:24

215:24 216:3 241:17

sequence 6:4

**series** 245:13

served 35:6 37:21

service 28:11 34:4. 14 35:12 36:18

**services** 35:9,14 178:14

set 11:21 13:13 21:8 38:3 54:2 131:24 163:20 164:2 222:6 302:6.16

sets 242:7

setting 42:17

settle 230:17

settlement 225:8

severe 104:3 111:4

**shack** 101:19

**share** 50:6 225:10,18 226:25 228:9 265:24 267:20 286:11 292:24

shared 78:18 266:3,9 273:16

shareholders 233:5, 24

sharing 266:7 270:6

she'd 194:23

shelter 51:12

Sheriff's 130:6,12 131:8 132:3

**shift** 40:15 46:23 47:3 52:2 53:18 81:21 83:12 85:4 86:6 100:15 102:24 261:4 297:6

**shifts** 43:12

**Shipley** 47:25 48:2 100:12 102:4,7

shipments 67:20 165:14 191:25

shit 295:9

**shoot** 94:23 287:6

**shooter** 285:10

**short** 77:24 93:22 102:12 288:20

short-term 140:21

**shortly** 53:20 126:18 249:22

**shots** 279:8.12.14

**show** 12:6 13:20.23 19:16 20:16 21:17 33:10 38:10 46:8 55:23 56:2 60:18 61:8,21 64:14 66:12 71:17 74:4 78:21 83:14 86:17,19 90:21 95:18 96:22 97:11 99:4 104:11 106:5,18 107:2 109:6

showed 57:5 69:6 102:18 288:10

**showing** 20:7 61:9 123:7 151:5 208:3

**shown** 28:2 128:17 139:14 157:9

shows 4:9 52:7 216:2

shut 62:12 159:25

**shv** 137:16

**sic** 124:15 242:24

side 4:14,16 5:11 145:23 146:2 153:21 175:4 244:3

**sides** 11:10

Sidher 147:21 148:10

sign 142:19

signature 153:11,12, 15 257:13,14

signed 17:12 153:5, 6,18 155:10 156:2,7, 11 157:3 179:9 257:17 259:14

significance 14:13

significant 68:4,6 94:18 102:8 110:24 136:3

similar 14:4 35:12

similarly 27:21 134:21

**Simon** 11:3

simplest 229:17

simply 25:7,23 28:10 74:6 148:25 149:10

simultaneously 64:5

Sinaloa 69:20

sir 32:21 33:13 38:24 40:22 43:4 44:14 45:10 46:13 47:22 50:7 57:11 61:12 62:6 63:8 65:24 66:15 67:17 70:12.19 71:23 72:20 74:8 75:20 76:13 78:25 83:4,18 84:13,17 87:8 88:2,18,21 92:7 93:20 95:7,11,14,24 97:15 99:17,22 100:19 105:2 106:11 107:6,17 108:3 109:10 113:3 117:11, 16,20,23 118:4,8 120:18,24 121:23 122:4 123:11 124:6, 20 126:15 127:11 128:6.20.24 129:18 134:20 135:5 139:17, 23 140:3,8,15,19 141:7,11,17,22 142:3,8,11,25 143:6 144:9 145:12 146:24 151:3,24 152:2 153:8,16 154:20 155:8,12 156:5,16 157:10 159:2,20 160:6,18,25 161:14 164:16 167:15 170:14.24 171:5.8 172:9 175:14 180:21 182:7 184:6,9,12,19, 25 185:23 192:24 193:13 195:23 199:15 200:19 204:8 205:15,20 206:14 208:25 215:14

238:23 239:3 241:21 250:3 252:13 257:24 297:19

sit 93:11

site 26:21,23 27:16 47:21 51:15 67:22 68:2 105:8 181:23 259:22 294:18

sites 52:3

**sitting** 86:6 158:5 185:21 203:17 212:14 213:16

situation 110:23

situations 37:19

**size** 43:5

skills 213:14

slanderous 218:14

Slettvet 217:9

slightest 292:2

slog 242:3

**slots** 173:7

**slow** 55:13,24 101:16 276:18,23 277:5,12

**slowed** 101:18

**slowly** 257:7

**Smith** 11:2 254:20

smoother 137:19

SOC 42:12 48:4

social 165:15 166:8 186:4 197:6

solar 67:21

soldier 34:2.6

soldiers 36:12

**sole** 196:21 198:4,7, 13 199:23

solely 24:6

solve 202:9

Someone's 15:24

son 31:17

**SOP** 148:14

**sort** 12:4 42:17 45:8 223:22 227:20

sorts 22:23 94:21

sought 45:5 121:8 267:22

**sounds** 291:6 294:16

**source** 165:16 173:13 186:7 196:9, 21 198:4,7 199:23

sources 189:14

**south** 31:12 32:5,11 192:3

southern 36:21 87:7, 10 140:5

SOX 12:9 13:2,11

spanned 68:13

**Sparks** 43:3

**speak** 26:8 72:11,21, 24,25 73:17 81:6 82:16 207:8 263:15 264:19

speakerphone 102:14

**speaking** 6:15 53:23 227:13 263:22

**special** 35:6 36:11

specialist 41:10,20 43:15 47:16

specialty 60:8,9 62:8,9

**specific** 87:2 131:9 134:9,10,11 135:17 136:11 164:21 179:14 181:4 198:21 199:8,10,18,21 202:17 203:18 204:22 213:22 220:16,17,23 221:10 227:19 268:20

specifically 18:10 41:12 48:3 50:8 55:18 59:6 67:18 77:7 81:9 87:12 98:23 124:25 127:23 136:14,17 148:14 157:22 160:11 176:20 181:21 189:4

217:25 220:20

221:14 222:13 226:6

Index: specifics..support

191:24 200:15 208:21 209:7 211:4 212:5 215:18 253:7 264:21 265:2,24 266:5,9,21 268:4,7 272:8,14,20 273:25 274:7,17,19 275:16 282:19 296:7

**specifics** 78:9 160:2 194:7 296:20

speculated 173:11

speculating 82:15 283:7

speculation 224:18 232:10

speed 102:2 278:4

spent 13:13,14,16

**spike** 44:19

**Spirit** 36:16

**spoke** 59:22,25 73:9 88:9 134:2 264:8 283:7,15 284:4

**spoken** 234:19

spools 43:22

spreadsheet 45:17

**Sprott** 8:7,24 46:14, 15 50:8 52:17,22 53:3 54:10,11,22 56:4 57:7,13 59:15 64:19 66:14,17,18,21 67:16,23 69:7 70:15 77:13 93:16 98:8,22, 24 130:18 132:22 133:6,12 144:8 148:11,14 149:11 189:3.7 191:12.19 198:25 238:15

Sprott's 47:4

**squaw** 42:15

stack 62:16,17

staff 55:18 163:8

stage 13:20

stages 107:22

**stand** 6:11 189:3

**stand-up** 147:4

standard 12:8.24 13:13.25 19:15 21:8 29:2 142:20

standing 300:20

standpoint 291:3

**staring** 101:21

stars 210:8

Case 3:19-cv-00413-LRH-CSD

start 7:25 26:14 29:12 31:23 39:18 40:3 55:9 60:12 66:11 77:25 105:11 108:24 112:16 113:8, 15.21 137:20 144:25 159:4 222:5 242:17 243:13,14 256:18 265:25 300:22

**started** 7:8 44:2 45:11,16 50:20 63:24 75:9 126:21 142:6 157:21 158:14 159:10 160:9.22 183:5 184:24 202:20 214:24 287:10

**starting** 11:12 63:18 104:21 111:17 115:24 159:18 298:7

**starts** 15:3 129:21 141:19 145:8 158:24 197:14 298:8

**state** 31:6 33:19,20 36:2 74:6 97:2 164:21 175:2 177:18

**stated** 13:18 45:7 53:25 55:18 133:11 156:25 186:23 209:6 267:14 268:8 283:17 284:6

statement 11:11 21:23 26:11,15 27:3, 10 28:25 140:20,24 198:5 199:13 203:19 255:14,20

statements 52:20 60:24 61:10 114:10 200:11,25 201:2 202:19 221:17 232:8 233:5,23

states 133:23 141:24 142:16 153:22 154:3

155:15 172:16

stating 90:16 272:10

stationed 100:14 158:2

**status** 131:23

**statute** 12:10

statutes 15:12 97:4

**stay** 34:8 60:15 80:3 105:25 295:9

**stayed** 33:24 34:9 37:16

staying 60:15

**stays** 6:11

**step** 39:10 109:4 141:14,15 205:22 250:21 251:2,6 256:13

**stepped** 101:19

stepping 252:7

steps 164:8

stint 34:10

stipulate 260:20 291:21 292:2

stock 15:8 82:23

stolen 49:17,24 197:17,23 198:11

stood 52:22

stop 101:18,21 189:8 279:18 300:13

**stopped** 272:21

stops 188:15

**Storey** 54:4 65:5 130:19 132:3,16 133:15 162:10 164:11 185:5,10 219:13,18

**story** 81:21

straight 285:10 287:6

Street 222:21

stress 104:3 110:22 111:4,8 113:23

stressful 111:20

strike 108:10 202:22 273:19 284:24

**string** 158:23

stronger 6:25

struggle 111:13,14

**Stuart** 119:4

stubs 107:4 114:23 115:4

stuck 296:22

studied 32:10,16

studies 32:8

**study** 32:3

stuff 52:4 245:4 282:16

**Suarez** 164:7

**subject** 54:25 64:20 144:20 155:17,20 217:10 220:9 221:12 246:8

subjective 15:23

submission 95:17 211:5

**submit** 257:20 290:8

**submitted** 8:8 9:14 32:24 37:5 95:21 134:19 185:22 207:4 231:20

submitting 8:6

subsequent 92:13 207:11 231:19 249:8 266:20

subsequently 65:15 72:7,24 91:20 165:19 240:25 266:23

successful 148:21

sudden 101:25

sued 193:18,20

suffered 12:16 110:22 111:4

sufficient 97:2

suggest 275:22

suggesting 66:22

suggests 284:21

**suit** 111:24

suitable 39:16

sum 28:20

**summary** 11:21 20:5 167:24

summoned 100:11

superintendent 51:22,23 197:25

superintendents 53:2

superseded 156:10

supersedes 155:18

supervisor 40:12 46:15,23 47:2,3,10 56:5 59:7,14 64:4 72:2 81:21 83:13 85:4 109:22 132:19 133:18 146:7 160:19 179:22,23 180:4,7,8, 18 181:6 182:5 236:18 237:21 248:12,17,20 249:3, 5,15,17,19 250:12, 13,14,22 251:3,10,

supervisors 50:10 93:16 95:13 102:13 259:18,21 260:10,16, 24,25 261:5 274:25 275:10

13,16,21 252:3,7

254:7,16 256:14

266:13

supervisory 64:8,9 82:7 85:8 91:12 94:5 249:11 250:2 255:24 266:22

supplement 38:7 39:14

supplemental 20:4

**supplier** 154:4,5

supplies 67:20

supplying 180:13

**support** 26:17 28:3 172:22 173:3 198:22 296:23

supporting 188:13

**supportive** 285:18 286:13

suppose 282:7

**supposed** 163:23 296:5

Surgeon 35:21

**surprised** 97:25 98:2,3

**surveillance** 22:20 99:15 117:22

suspect 27:25

**suspected** 12:14 295:15

**SWAT** 145:18

swaths 24:20 76:11

swear 30:17

**Swindle** 146:14,17, 25 147:3,9

**swing** 40:15 81:21 85:4 159:24

switched 60:23

**sworn** 30:20 35:3 302:7

**system** 9:11,12 42:6 51:4 94:11 203:23

# Т

**Tahoe** 37:25

takes 298:16

**taking** 10:10 25:19 47:21 117:19,21 153:6 173:2 190:10

talented 148:17 149:2,10,14

talk 30:6 43:20 54:14, 15,18 73:10 94:13 112:15 145:13 164:9 185:19 189:18 202:20 218:6 240:15, 17 256:16 263:14 264:23 297:24

298:19

talked 4:23 17:5 46:17 52:8 55:4 73:11 129:14 170:21 186:8 224:7,10,12 263:4 267:11 274:23 283:10

talking 5:7,9 45:12 54:9 67:16 87:17 95:2 98:24 104:7 135:21 163:11 174:25 186:13 189:5, 14 195:3 199:18 201:17 250:4 267:13 275:19 281:17 282:16 287:10

talks 54:25 147:16

**tape** 292:19 294:9 298:24

**target** 44:25 45:2 225:9

targeted 96:21

**task** 33:21 130:7,12, 13,15,20 131:8 132:15 133:14,15 162:11 165:3 196:6

**tasked** 43:19 163:17 187:19

tasks 105:22

**TBD** 231:25

**TBI** 36:16

TCR 15:5,6,7,18 16:8 19:8 20:11,12 21:16 95:16,20 96:4,17 99:20 101:4 129:3 207:3,11 225:6,15 226:21 228:6 229:4 230:10 231:2 233:9

**team** 16:23 145:20 150:4 172:17,18 173:7

tech 118:19

**technical** 32:6 56:21 293:4,13

Technically 205:21

technician 34:12,20

technological 294:6

technology 293:17

**telephone** 88:6 272:12,15,17 294:7

telephonic 270:11

telling 58:9 64:5 103:10 201:21 250:20,23 251:23 252:4 264:19 275:17 276:15 288:10

temperature 86:7

temporarily 18:9

Ten 288:23,24

**tenure** 23:9 35:5 40:25 42:23 43:25 85:13 190:12 217:21 269:25

term 49:14,15 155:10

terminable 20:15 21:5,7,13

terminate 14:2,7 16:7 19:24 20:16 21:15 23:21 25:6

**terminated** 14:2,8 21:4 23:10,24,25 24:2 94:12 235:5

termination 98:16

**terms** 11:18 28:7 43:5 50:4 63:23 179:14 221:15 232:4

**Tesla** 6:17 8:13,19 9:7 10:15,16 14:15, 19 17:7,19,22 18:3,5, 7,16,17,20,21,22 19:11,13,16 20:4,17 21:12 22:16 23:6,20, 25 24:2,12,16,21 25:4 26:5,16,18,22 27:16,22 28:4,5,7,17 32:20,24 36:24 37:5 38:8,9 39:3 40:3,6,9 42:6 46:21 52:10,24 54:24 55:2 58:21 60:24 61:11 63:15 65:7 72:4,5,7 75:10, 14.18 76:3.15 77:6 78:13 79:16,18,19,25 80:3 82:21 86:25

88:25 89:8,10 90:4, 10.13 91:25 92:14 93:25 94:20,21 95:5, 6,10,12 97:6 101:10 105:7 107:15,19 110:18 113:15 116:2 117:8,9,13,18 118:2, 10,12 119:14,17,22 120:4 121:2,9 122:2 123:21 124:4,17 125:8,11,21 126:12, 16,25 128:4 130:2,3 133:6,17 136:24 137:2 139:15 142:5, 16,18,21,23 143:4,8, 9,20,22 154:12 156:9 158:2 163:9 168:15 169:2,4,8,12,13,16 170:2,16,17 172:25 173:21 174:3,7,12 175:6 177:19,23 178:13 179:2,3,11,15 180:8,14,17,25 181:19 182:6,8 186:12 193:18,20,22 194:6,9,14 196:4,15 203:19 204:9,23 206:13,23,25 215:24 216:8,13,16 217:9 220:19 221:2 223:20 224:2 225:17 227:3 228:8 230:18 232:17, 23 233:4,15 234:6 235:9 236:25 237:4, 24 238:4,12 240:22 241:3,15 245:3,14,17 246:2 247:15,22

**Tesla's** 17:9 18:12 23:3 26:15 27:18 28:14,25 42:20 54:3 63:4,7 79:11 81:7 82:23 134:25 142:20 154:5,14,19 155:4 200:10 203:23 208:17 221:17 232:7 233:23 266:24

248:7 249:2 262:11

263:10,15 265:5

266:17,21 267:11

283:16 284:5,15

297:4

285:23 294:18,21

Tesla-embossed 59:12

testified 30:21 115:16 121:5 133:9 136:22 140:16 157:11 172:10 197:4 244:17 245:13 246:6 251:11 264:24 270:8 273:12 282:14 289:8, 23 290:3

**testify** 69:24 229:24 262:22 283:10

testifying 115:14

testimony 28:3 44:11 52:20 113:22 114:7,22 115:17 116:21 128:18 130:22 133:5 136:7 138:13,19 139:14 153:4 157:9,12,15 158:12 165:5,20 176:14 191:2 193:8, 14 195:7 211:22,24 244:25 245:2,15 246:6 247:8 249:18 251:25 252:12,15 262:20 269:21 273:21 274:11,15 283:25 301:4 302:8

**Texas** 32:4,11 34:17

text 54:21 62:5,17 66:13 67:12,17 72:9 81:12 158:23 159:22 161:7,12,15 189:17 208:4,6 213:5 239:4 253:17,20 254:4,10 270:3,12 271:7,9,13, 23 272:5 276:2,4,8 277:24 279:12 280:6, 24 281:12,16 282:5 285:3 286:19,25 287:2,12,13,17,18 292:4

**texting** 208:14,18 209:3 213:8

**texts** 71:2,16 158:17 179:22 209:23 213:7

thanked 102:9

**theft** 14:12 42:2 43:19 44:18 45:9 48:10 49:8 50:5 52:12 53:7 65:3,7,20 86:15 90:7 91:4

Index: thefts..U.S.

Page 106 of 225

94:11,19 120:19 135:9,17 189:10 200:9 219:14,19 220:3,9,17,18,24 221:2,3,12,13,15,16 245:22 266:6 268:17 286:7

thefts 47:24 48:12,18 50:15 53:24 67:20 77:9 134:24 135:7 136:12 190:5 200:15 203:20 221:6 268:14, 15

then-sealed 68:9

thereof 188:2

thing 9:25 16:10 22:11 60:18 77:18 91:19 98:10 105:21 111:25 130:10 227:21 229:17 288:12 300:14

things 7:12 12:4
15:16 16:8 19:3,4,6
20:14 21:12 23:17
44:3 45:14,17,19
46:19 49:16,18 78:10
82:16,25 85:21 86:10
87:19 91:13,15
111:13,18 113:23
117:12 118:5 135:22
148:11,14 160:20
170:23 194:4 201:22
204:15 211:12
217:23

**thinking** 189:12

**Thompson** 50:14, 16,18,22 51:17,19 52:21 53:25 65:2,13 74:22 94:10 122:14 135:10,18 136:13 189:11 190:6 191:15 197:25 198:6,15,19, 23 199:14,24 200:3 220:3,10 221:2

Thompson's 52:8

**thought** 45:7 48:9, 11,13 65:21 79:18,19 92:2,5 107:23 149:14 164:8 202:16 292:3

**thoughts** 100:17 299:22

thread 277:23

threat 240:12,18,20

three-year 60:9 63:2

threshold 17:7

threw 54:12

throwing 46:3

**thumbs-up** 289:15,

Thursday 66:14

**tied** 64:3 69:20 249:24

**ties** 69:18 165:15,17 166:7 197:6

**tighten** 202:12 **time** 4:8,12,14,17 5:9 6:21,24 7:19 9:2 13:13,14,16 15:3 23:22 25:12 27:15 34:2,25 37:18 38:12 39:10,17 40:20 41:22 42:25 43:10 44:12 46:22 48:22 52:19 53:6 57:16 58:17 60:14 61:13 62:13 64:15 67:23 68:7,9 69:3 70:7,21 74:25 75:7 77:11,25 80:8 81:18 82:17,25 83:23 84:12,16 85:5 87:18 89:15 90:3 91:14 92:25 93:19,22,23 95:6 96:2,18,22 97:7, 17 98:23 99:24 101:11 102:12,15 103:21,24 104:6,23, 24 105:6,13 106:16 109:17 113:14 114:2, 5 122:16 134:18 141:4,25 142:10

143:11 147:13

148:12 149:21

176:21 179:13

182:22,23 183:4

188:14 189:13,23

191:11 195:2 198:2

200:12 201:4 204:23

150:12 155:5,10

156:11,25 163:4,13

164:13 169:8 172:12

180:25 181:5,14,19

207:19 210:4 213:14 215:12 216:7 217:21 220:19 221:21 234:6 242:13,23 243:15 245:18 246:12 248:3 256:5,12 257:2 259:14,17,25 260:8, 22 263:7,8,10,22 264:22 267:7 268:22 269:6 271:11 274:16, 25 279:3 281:24 282:11,13 285:5,16 288:25 292:18 296:9, 22 297:11 300:3 301:11

time-stamped 119:23

**times** 4:25 16:14 45:2 54:17 119:17 223:3 269:20,23

**timing** 45:14 157:23 242:6 256:10 278:3 279:13 287:11

tip 131:12,19,24 132:2,7,8,12,17 133:7,25 134:15 164:6,22 165:10 166:3,9,16 184:7 185:16 188:2 196:12 197:2 207:22 231:12

tips 95:20

**title** 40:6 46:20,25 56:4 120:19

today 6:5 7:20 56:7 158:6,12 176:23 185:21 203:17 212:14 244:24 245:13 246:6 247:8 249:18 252:2 273:21 296:10

token 59:9

told 53:11 54:12,18 57:10,23,24 58:5,17, 20,23 59:10,18,25 62:7 64:2 69:7 72:5, 12 77:7,17 78:10,20 79:8,9 81:14,19 82:5, 18 93:14 98:8,9,13, 17 99:3 103:6,17,21 104:7 130:17 132:13, 18,19 133:12,17 160:20 164:8 189:2,8 196:4,10,14,18,20,21 198:6,19,23 202:21, 25 203:9 238:5 240:19 241:8 249:2 252:2,6 256:13 267:18 269:15 272:4 273:22 279:14 284:12,21 287:5 289:9,24 296:5

tomorrow 7:24 8:21 242:17 297:10,21 298:19 300:15 301:10

tonight 242:18 297:7 299:25

top 71:14 120:17 137:23 151:7 158:21 184:11 210:24 231:23 243:16 261:22 274:6

total 269:25

touch 264:18

tough 111:22

tours 127:23

town 31:13 297:12

track 4:14,18

tracked 65:15

traffic 86:9

trafficking 53:21,22 68:11 186:10 188:11 268:12

trail 54:23,24 111:16

trailer 42:14,15 57:12

train 237:23

trainer 51:23

**training** 32:13 40:19 148:6

transcript 302:8

transcripts 9:11

transferred 36:14

**transition** 36:10,23 55:8 86:24 91:16 100:15 270:24

**transitioned** 55:10 149:25 265:9

**traveled** 103:25 269:11

treatment 110:19

tree 48:14

**tremendous** 11:18 104:3 111:12

tremendously 111:20

triage 42:5

**trip** 191:24

**Tripp** 94:16,22 193:15,17,24 194:2, 5,20,24 195:5

trucks 46:4

true 7:4 140:9 160:7 172:25 188:25 189:21 215:7 223:18 245:20 248:15 250:15,25 256:25 263:3 267:17 269:7, 10,13 271:5 272:25 273:16 283:13 285:4, 9 287:5,22 302:8

**TSLAQ** 223:8

**Tuesday** 57:9 254:4

**turn** 112:14 187:10, 14 234:7 286:19

turned 31:10

**Twitter** 223:15,19,20

Twittering 223:25

two-two 152:24

two-year 34:10

**type** 98:15 121:10 175:4 293:2

types 122:8 134:7

Typically 138:9

U

**U.S.** 10:20 33:23,25 34:11 35:3,9,15,20 55:3,16 58:19 60:4

Index: ultimately..weeks

68:15 76:22 80:5 93:12 102:12 104:8 108:22 130:5,10 131:6 133:8 134:12, 13 149:23 152:18 165:2,6 166:15 172:17,21 173:2 249:12 250:8 259:3 268:16 273:13 275:10 295:3

ultimately 25:12 32:14 35:17 36:17 41:16 47:14 50:21 51:3,17 52:5 65:18 66:20 81:19 83:7 102:24 103:19 160:20 161:17 172:20 174:10 194:5 225:8 248:15 250:7 251:15 265:20 266:23 267:13

## ultramarathon 111:17

unable 101:17

unable 101.17

unaccounted 49:24

unannounced 101:9 102:7

**unauthorized** 18:15 65:17 142:21

**uncover** 25:21 185:25

**uncovered** 24:19 25:6 90:10

underscoring 28:13

understand 5:12,21
10:11 44:10 67:5,12
70:11 125:11 132:8
141:12 143:7,17,19
154:10,24 156:6,7,15
159:6 160:4 175:6,9
178:24 185:16 187:9,
20 190:15 191:2,6,10
193:24 194:2 196:17
198:17 199:23 202:4
225:22,24 226:14,18
228:5 229:5 230:10,
22 232:16,25 236:24
245:8 246:14 248:24
265:4 301:7

understanding 6:4

98:22 122:8 131:23, 25 147:18 159:16,17 174:14 181:15 185:8 230:23 233:2,13 234:16 271:12,16

understood 23:2 24:13,14,15 98:14 118:9 133:2 142:4,5 143:2 154:16 155:9 156:19 178:2 181:24 182:8 203:12 260:9, 12.13

# underutilized 211:17,19,22

undisputed 25:5 27:4,5

unfavorable 12:17,

unidentified 218:13

**Union** 165:12

unit 86:5

**United** 133:23

units 36:11

University 32:5,7

unproductive 66:23

unproven 233:21

unresponsive

201:24

unrestricted 18:11

unsecured 45:24

unspecified 81:15

untruthful 283:13

**up-and-coming** 79:20 107:20

upcoming 82:23

. .

**update** 300:20

upper 208:12

**UPS** 116:10 298:7

**upset** 192:7

upsetting 191:20

**upwards** 198:9

**Urban** 108:23

USSA 9:22 11:4 variety 43:18 16:19 23:21 24:8,13 varying 130:16

25:6,11,14 26:11,14, 24 27:3,5,16,21,24 **vehicle** 32:18 65:14

28:4,8,10,14,15,18,

76:14 79:25 80:15,22

81:7,8 82:7 83:3,6,17

85:6,25 86:25 88:11

112:22 115:6 116:2

126:21 148:25 153:7

154:11 155:11 156:9,

21 157:13,21 158:14

159:4,12,18 160:9

174:2,7,11 177:13

178:25 179:3,11,15,

18 180:2,13,18,24,25

181:4,14,19,22 182:6

214:2,14 215:9,19,22

240:7 242:9 243:11,

25 244:20,22 245:12,

206:6 208:17,23

216:16 235:4,10

18 246:2,3,15,21

248:5,10 249:20

250:4,11,17 251:5

255:14,20 256:17,19,

23 257:2 259:15,17,

18,21 260:2,8,10,23,

24 261:5 265:2,10,

11,16,17 267:18,19

269:6,24 270:19,21

283:16 284:5 285:5,

**USSA's** 23:3 110:18

٧

17,19 291:5,18

154:13 247:11

257:16 260:16

utilize 186:5

**VA** 109:11

197:11

19 197:3

valued 134:25

validate 196:25

validated 132:16

133:13,16 196:4,15,

247:5,10,15,23

105:16 106:10,12

107:5,25 109:12

20,22 58:14 59:24

62:25 63:18 75:9

101:11,17,18,20

**vehicles** 42:21 47:20 122:16

vendors 127:25

verbally 156:8

verbiage 264:14

**Verdi** 105:18 112:24 116:20

verification 199:25

verified 133:7

**verify** 132:12

verifying 86:10

**versus** 12:25 180:20 195:14

veteran 145:18

veterans 35:25

viable 233:9,10

vice 217:20

**video** 22:20 47:20 51:21 117:21 125:20

videos 126:2

violated 23:15,17

violating 18:3

violation 18:21 24:23 112:3

**violations** 25:3,22 97:4 232:23

violative 23:3

visit 270:5

visited 118:6 127:4

visitor 118:6

visitors 127:3

volume 299:6

voluntarily 28:19 252:8

volunteer 32:15

Voyager 102:5 vulnerable 24:25

#### W

W-2S 114:10

**wage** 157:13

**wait** 201:9,10,12 236:7 242:17 289:14 298:20

**waiting** 21:20 53:4 269:2

waiver 20:6 155:24

**Wall** 222:21

**Walmart** 116:10

wanted 13:15 14:14, 15 16:24 41:25 62:7 90:18 113:10 159:18 244:3 296:14

wanting 247:5

warehouse 191:25

warrior 36:10

**Washington** 31:13 32:7 103:25

waste 213:13

wasteful 66:24

watching 86:7

water 34:15,16 59:13 188:16

wave 298:9

wavered 296:18

**Wayne** 34:17

**ways** 111:10

**website** 173:14 177:20

**Wednesday** 78:24 79:3 280:5,14,22,24 281:6,13 282:6

**week** 54:3 87:17 255:10 295:18

**weeks** 20:21 41:11 55:5,11 59:4 105:9, 10 215:8 231:12

Index: weigh..zoom

235:15

weigh 34:6

weight 5:24

well-established 27:19

Wellesley 33:21

Wells 161:18,19 162:8 169:23 170:3,8 192:25 208:8,15 209:13 210:9,10 213:5 236:5,9,22,24 237:3 239:9,10

**Wells's** 170:18 208:13 209:23

**west** 101:9 242:23 300:24

Western 165:12

**whatnot** 38:6 68:22 166:8

Wheat 14:4 20:13 21:2

**WHEREOF** 302:15

whistleblower 12:8 13:2 16:21 17:6,25 99:20 100:18 225:9 226:4,22 227:5

White 17:12

whomever 169:16

Wichita 269:14

wide 43:17

Wiebke 104:6,21 116:18

**wife** 31:16 37:18 161:20,22,24,25

wild 66:22 69:14

window 16:5 210:5

wire 43:20,22 45:20 46:2 50:23

wished 271:7

**withdraw** 191:8 219:4,6

witnessed 77:5

witnesses 4:9,10,23 6:5,14,15 7:17 138:22 163:12 189:16 244:5 302:6

witnessing 18:2

wolf 17:4

won 13:11 148:3

Woodfield 6:24 7:9, 10,24 8:24 9:4,5 11:12,13 22:12,18 23:8 24:17 29:9,11, 17,20,22 30:9 31:5 37:2,7,14 38:14,19 39:20 40:2 44:16 48:15 49:6 55:13,22 56:13,19 57:4,15,22 60:22 61:6,7,13,20 62:11,17,24 66:10 67:4,15 70:14,20 71:4,6,17,20,22 73:2, 8 74:12,24 75:6 80:7, 13,20 81:5 83:20 84:7,10,13,17,23 85:2 87:21,22,24 89:14 90:2 95:25 96:16 97:16,21 99:23 100:5 104:15,17 107:2,7,13 108:12 110:3,10,13,17 112:6 120:10 121:15 123:2, 14 124:9 126:6 128:11 138:15,17 144:13 152:12 167:3 171:21 176:5,8 178:19 183:13,19 191:5 201:7,11,13 205:6 210:20 216:21 218:11 224:17,22 227:4,6 228:14 229:16 233:6 234:2 236:13 237:10 242:8, 25 243:18 252:10 253:4,24 257:21,23 258:6,8,18 262:18 288:15 289:10,13 290:18 291:2.25 292:12,20 293:6

word 236:10 words 19:23 131:9

299:24 300:9

**work** 6:6,8 7:17 24:9 33:15,17 36:24 38:3

59:9,19 60:16 63:21, 24 72:20 74:18 75:9 77:4 79:7,11,16,24 80:2,24 85:12 86:24 100:14 107:24 108:16 109:9,16 112:21,22 159:11,18 175:5 176:20,22 177:7 190:14 229:21 234:7 238:17 249:19 260:3 267:23 286:13 293:18 298:16 300:25

worked 34:15 36:22 41:9 42:13 43:7 48:3, 5 52:2 58:10 63:5 64:17 78:7 80:4,5 85:5 86:25 95:16 109:11,12,14 115:5 147:7 168:15 180:11 181:5 250:15 259:17 269:23

working 16:19 17:3 32:12 33:22 35:20 36:15 39:5 40:3,4,14 41:19 42:9 43:14 58:13 61:11 62:25 65:5 71:25 73:12 76:19 78:3 80:22 95:9 98:18 100:8 105:12 107:5 108:2, 5,14 110:25 111:11 140:5,13 147:16 148:5,11,13 149:18, 20 150:2 154:11 173:12 181:19 208:15,19 213:8 214:24 216:12 239:12 245:14 251:17 265:20 266:22 269:8,13

294:24 298:6 **Workman** 8:4

worries 127:18

worry 171:17

**worth** 49:22 197:16, 23 199:19

wounded 36:12

wow 69:7 295:7

wrap 221:23

write 10:11 44:12 90:12

writing 156:2 177:8, 11,13 224:12 282:22

written 130:4 135:7 225:21

**wrong** 48:14 61:2 182:23 278:25

wrongful 98:15

**wrote** 14:21 16:16, 18,20 72:22 91:21 184:17 199:8

Υ

yards 44:4 45:24

**year** 60:11 63:3 109:5 207:11

years 33:25 35:24 37:22 109:17 110:20 145:19 146:11 223:14

yesterday 254:6

York 33:18,20

**young** 34:5

Yusuf 14:22

Ζ

**zoom** 229:22 253:8 293:3

```
Page 306
 1
            JUDICIAL ARBITRATION AND MEDIATION SERVICES
                                 (JAMS)
 2
     KARL HANSEN,
 3
             Complainant,
                                            JAMS REFERENCE NO.
                                            1260005897
 4
     v.
 5
     ELON MUSK; TESLA, INC., TESLA
     MOTORS, INC.; and U.S.
 6
     SECURITY ASSOCIATES,
 7
             Respondents.
 8
 9
10
                               *REVISED*
11
                          EVIDENTIARY HEARING
12
                        TUESDAY, APRIL 12, 2022
13
14
                                VOLUME 2
15
                         On Tuesday, April 12, 2022, the
16
17
          following proceedings came on to be heard in the
18
             above-entitled and -numbered cause before
19
                   Judge Carl (Bill) Hoffman (Ret.).
20
21
22
23
          Job#: 208975
24
          Proceedings were reported by stenographic method
25
          by: DEBRA A. DIBBLE, RDR, CRR, CRC
```

```
Page 308
                                                   Page 307
        Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
                                                                   Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
1
                                                            1
2
                                                            2
                                                                      MARTENSON HASBROUCK & SIMON
                                                                             JANINE BRAXTON, ESOUIRE
3
    ARBITRATOR:
              Judge Carl (Bill) W. Hoffman (Ret.)
                                                            3
                                                                             ALEX SMITH, ESQ.
 4
5
                                                                             ROBIN LARGENT, ESQ.
                                                                       455 Capitol Mall
6
    FOR THE CLAIMANT:
                                                                       Sacramento, California 95814
7
          THE EMPLOYMENT LAW GROUP
                                                            5
                NICHOLAS WOODFIELD, ESQ.
                                                                       Counsel for U.S. Security Associates
8
                 R. SCOTT OSWALD, ESQ.
                                                            6
           888 17th Street, NW
                                                            7
9
           Washington, DC 20006
                                                            8
10
                                                            9
                                                                ALSO PRESENT:
11
                                                           10
                                                                            Karl Hansen
12
                                                           11
                                                                            Stephanie Stroup
13
    FOR THE RESPONDENT:
                                                                            Tesla in-house counsel
14
           SEYFARTH SHAW
                                                           12
                 CHRISTOPHER ROBERTSON, ESO.
                                                                            Jaime Bodiford
15
                 ANNE DUNNE, ESO.
                                                           13
                                                                            Tesla in-house counsel
           World Trade Center East
                                                                            Lisa Flegenheimer
                                                           14
16
           Two Seaport Lane
                                                                            Tesla paralegal
           Boston, Massachusetts 02210
                                                           15
17
                                                           16
           Counsel for Elon Musk; Tesla, Inc.; and
                                                           17
18
           Tesla Motors, Inc.
                                                           18
19
                                                           19
20
                                                            20
21
                                                            21
22
                                                            22
23
                                                            23
24
                                                            24
25
                                                            25
                                                   Page 309
                                                                                                              Page 310
        Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
                                                                    Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
1
                                                            1
 2
                        _____
                                                            2
                                                                 received.)
 3
                                                            3
                    PROCEEDINGS
                                                                            MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.
 4
                                                             4
                April 12, 2022, 9:04 a.m. PDT
                                                                                    _____
 5
                         _____
                                                            5
                                                                                     EXAMINATION
 6
                 JUDGE HOFFMAN: We're beginning Day 2
                                                                                     -----
                                                            6
 7
                                                            7
     of our case of Hansen versus Tesla and USSA, and
                                                                 BY MS. BRAXTON:
 8
     Mr. Karl Hansen is on the witness stand, subject
                                                            8
                                                                        Q. So, Mr. Hansen, yesterday you
 9
     to cross-examination by USSA.
                                                            9
                                                                 testified that no one had told you to stop the
                 And, Mr. Hansen, you are reminded
                                                                 investigations -- your investigation work that
10
                                                            10
11
     that you are still under oath.
                                                            11
                                                                 you were doing for Tesla.
12
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                           12
                                                                            Do you recall that -- saying that
13
                 MR. ROBERTSON: Your Honor, one point
                                                            13
                                                                 yesterday?
14
     of procedure, and this can be on the record.
                                                           14
                                                                        A. I don't recall specifically, but
                                                            15
15
                 We noticed when we went back to the
                                                                 that's a pretty accurate statement.
     transcript that both sides referenced
                                                           16
                                                                        Q. Sure. So it's your testimony that
16
17
     Exhibit 205. But it looks like neither Nick nor
                                                            17
                                                                 essentially when you were conducting the
     I moved it in, so we'd like to add that to the
                                                                 investigations for Tesla, no one told you to stop
18
                                                            18
19
     list.
                                                            19
                                                                 the investigative work that you were doing?
20
                 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection,
                                                            20
                                                                        A. That's correct, yes, ma'am.
21
     Your Honor.
                                                            21
                                                                        O. I would like to enter or I'd like to
22
                                                            22
                 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. 205 is in.
                                                                 show you a joint Exhibit No. 10.
23
                 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.
                                                            23
                                                                            MS. BRAXTON: And Alex will pull that
24
                 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Ms. Braxton.
                                                            24
                                                                 up.
25
                                                            25
                 (Whereupon, Exhibit 205 was
                                                                             JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I'm there.
```

Page 312 Page 311 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 BY MS. BRAXTON: 2 Okay. I think Alex's computer just 3 Q. And, Mr. Hansen, do you recognize 3 froze, so this exhibit is probably not going to 4 this as a text exchange between you and move. Let us see if we can -- someone else can 4 5 Mr. Sprott? 5 put up 212. Give us a second here. б A. Yes, I do. And we discussed that б MR. WOODFIELD: If you let me share, 7 7 I can pull it up for you. yesterday. Yes. 8 Q. Thank you. 8 MS. BRAXTON: The person who has our 9 And now I would like to show you tech, her computer totally died. She's logging 9 10 joint Exhibit No. 212. 10 off and coming back in. In the meantime, 212, MS. BRAXTON: Oh, I'd like to move 11 obviously this is a copy of discovery responses 11 joint Exhibit 10 into evidence. 12 from Mr. Hansen. 12 13 JUDGE HOFFMAN: There being no 13 MR. WOODFIELD: Janine, if you want, 14 objection, 10 is in. 14 share with me and I'll put it up for you. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 10 was received.) 15 MS. BRAXTON: And share with you? BY MS. BRAXTON: 16 16 MR. WOODFIELD: I can do it now. 17 17 Q. And, Mr. Hansen, do you recognize Hold on one second. 18 this document? 18 What page do you need? 19 A. Yes. 19 MS. BRAXTON: Just the verification Q. I'd like to direct your attention to 20 20 page. 21 the last verification page. 21 MR. WOODFIELD: Okay. 22 MS. BRAXTON: We'll scroll down to 22 MS. BRAXTON: Thanks, Nick. 23 that. I think it's loading. We're just trying 23 MR. WOODFIELD: No problem. to scroll down to the verification. Give us a 24 24 BY MS. BRAXTON: 25 25 second. Q. All right. Mr. Hansen, do you Page 313 Page 314 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 recognize this as your signature dated 2 of the e-mail exchanges that you had with an 3 November 16, 2021? 3 individual named Scott Wiebke at the time -- do 4 4 A. Yes. you recall exchanging e-mails with Mr. Wiebke after your reassignment -- or excuse me, after 5 Q. And is it true that at the time you 5 6 signed this, you reviewed these responses and your removal from the Gigafactory? 6 7 7 they were true and accurate to your knowledge? Α. Yes. 8 A. Yes. 8 Q. And do you recall telling him that 9 Q. Okay. Thank you. 9 you were traveling with your kids and would not A. You're welcome. 10 be available for a meeting until on or around 10 October 3rd? 11 MS. BRAXTON: And thank you, Nick. 11 12 MR. WOODFIELD: No problem. 12 A. I believe that's accurate, yes. 13 MS. BRAXTON: And I'd like to move 13 O. And is it true that the first time 14 Exhibit No. 212 into evidence. 14 you were available for a meeting with Mr. Wiebke 15 MR. ROBERTSON: No objection. 15 was on or around October 3rd, after your removal JUDGE HOFFMAN: 212 is in. 16 from the Gigafactory? 16 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 212 was 17 17 A. I believe that's accurate. I think that is in those e-mails. received.) 18 18 19 BY MS. BRAXTON: 19 Q. And I do want to show you those 20 Q. And, Mr. Hansen, also yesterday you 20 e-mails. I'm just not sure our tech is ready 21 testified about the small period of time after 21 yet. 22 you worked at the Gigafactory and the time before 22 MR. WOODFIELD: Just tell me and I 23 you started working at your next assignment as a 23 can do it. 24 USSA employee at Verdi, California [sic]. 24 MS. BRAXTON: It's joint Exhibit 25 And I want to walk you through some 25 No. 198.

```
Page 316
                                                Page 315
1
        Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
                                                                Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
                                                         1
2
                MR. WOODFIELD: Hold on one second.
                                                         2
                                                                        MS. BRAXTON: I don't have any
3
    Okay. Hold on.
                                                         3
                                                             additional questions about Exhibit No. 198. I'd
 4
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: What was the exhibit
                                                         4
                                                             like to move to submit that into evidence.
 5
                                                                        MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.
    number again, please?
                                                         5
 б
                MS. BRAXTON: 198.
                                                         6
                                                                        JUDGE HOFFMAN: 198 is in.
7
                                                         7
                                                                         (Whereupon, Exhibit 198 was
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Thank you.
8
    BY MS. BRAXTON:
                                                         8
                                                             received.)
9
                                                         9
                                                                        MS. BRAXTON: And my final exhibit
           Q. And, Mr. Hansen, do you recognize
10
     this as the text exchange -- excuse me, the
                                                        10
                                                             here is joint Exhibit No. 213.
     e-mail exchange you had with Mr. Wiebke about the
                                                                        Nick, if you would be so kind. I
11
                                                        11
    meeting on or around -- it looks like -- yeah,
12
                                                        12
                                                             really do appreciate this.
13
    October 3, 2018?
                                                        13
                                                                        MR. WOODFIELD: It's no problem.
14
           A. Yes.
                                                        14
                                                             BY MS. BRAXTON:
15
                MS. BRAXTON: And if we can scroll
                                                        15
                                                                    Q. And, Mr. Hansen, do you recognize
16
     down just a little bit to the e-mail there on
                                                        16
                                                             this document?
17
     September 24th. Hi, Scott.
                                                        17
                                                                    A. Yes, ma'am, I do.
     BY MS. BRAXTON:
18
                                                        18
                                                                        MS. BRAXTON: And can we scroll down
19
            Q. The e-mail on September 24, 2018
                                                        19
                                                             to the verification page.
20
     appears to be an e-mail from you to Mr. Wiebke.
                                                        20
                                                                        Maybe there's not a verification
21
     Is this the e-mail where you tell Mr. Wiebke that
                                                        21
                                                             page. Is that what I'm seeing?
22
    you're still out of town visiting with your kids
                                                        22
                                                                        MR. WOODFIELD: These are RFPs, so
23
    and you won't be in Reno until the 1st?
                                                        23
                                                             there's not a verification page on the RFPs.
24
                                                        24
           A. It appears to be, yes.
                                                             There are on the irogs.
                                                        25
25
           Q. Okay. Thank you.
                                               Page 317
                                                                                                        Page 318
        Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
                                                                Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
1
                                                         1
2
    BY MS. BRAXTON:
                                                         2
                                                             but I want to be certain that I'm done before I
 3
           Q. And, Mr. Hansen, do you recall
                                                         3
                                                             say that.
 4
    reviewing the responses to -- these responses
                                                         4
                                                                        JUDGE HOFFMAN: We're off the record.
5
    when they were provided and served by your
                                                         5
                                                                        MS. BRAXTON: Thank you.
 6
    counsel?
                                                         6
                                                                         (Recess taken, 9:19 a.m. to
7
                                                         7
           A. Yes.
                                                             9:23 a.m. PDT)
8
           Q. Is it your testimony that when you
                                                         8
                                                                        JUDGE HOFFMAN: We're back on the
9
    responded to the request for documents from
                                                         9
                                                             record.
    U.S. Security Associates, that you produced all
                                                                        MS. BRAXTON: I have no further
10
                                                        10
11
    of the responsive documents that you had in your
                                                        11
                                                             questions for Mr. Hansen.
12
    possession?
                                                        12
                                                                        JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Redirect from
13
                                                        13
                                                             Mr. Woodfield.
           A. Yes.
14
                MS. BRAXTON: And I'd like to move to
                                                        14
                                                                        MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.
                                                                                              Thank you.
     admit Exhibit 213 into evidence.
                                                        15
                                                                                -----
15
                MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.
                                                        16
16
                                                                                EXAMINATION
                                                                                 _____
17
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: 213 is in.
                                                        17
                (Whereupon, Exhibit 213 was
18
                                                        18
                                                             BY MR. WOODFIELD:
19
    received.)
                                                        19
                                                                    Q. All right. Mr. Hansen, if you will
20
                MS. BRAXTON: And, so I think I'm
                                                        20
                                                             recall, Ms. Braxton asked you some questions
21
    pretty close to done with my questions. If you
                                                        21
                                                             about conversations you had with Mr. German about
22
    could give me just like five minutes, I might be
                                                        22
                                                             what empathy Mr. German might have expressed with
23
    entirely done.
                                                        23
                                                             you about your situation at Tesla.
24
                Just want to -- can we go off the
                                                        24
                                                                        Do you recall that?
25
    record for five minutes? And I'm probably done,
                                                        25
                                                                    A. I do, yes.
```

Page 319 Page 320 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 2 because this is the clip that I believe the Q. And Ms. Braxton played a recording 3 for you where you -- where it was the telephone 3 witness was referring to. 4 conversation that you had recorded where you were 4 MS. BRAXTON: Objection, Your Honor. 5 being terminated. It wasn't on the witness list and it's not for 6 Do you recall that? 6 impeachment. 7 7 A. Yes, sir. MR. WOODFIELD: Your Honor --8 Q. Do you recall a conversation that you 8 MS. BRAXTON: And --9 had with Mr. German previously, where you had 9 MR. WOODFIELD: I'm sorry. The 10 also discussed with him the August 3rd e-mail you 10 impeachment was not on the witness list. And to 11 had sent? 11 rehabilitate, you cannot -- countering the 12 A. Yes, Mr. German and I had several 12 argument that you cannot rehabilitate with 13 13 conversations and that topic did come up, yes. information that is not on the witness -- on the 14 Q. At that point, did he tell you that 14 exhibit list with impeachment information are --15 he thought you would be removed? 15 cannot rehabilitate impeachment. 16 A. I don't recall; but that comment came 16 Scratch -- forgive me. 17 The argument of USSA is that we 17 from Rick McLellan, and Mr. German did 18 indicate -- I guess the answer is yes, actually. 18 cannot use materials that are not on the exhibit 19 Yes, he did express concern. The pressure being 19 list when they have used materials that are not 20 placed on him and USSA by Jeff Jones might 20 on the exhibit list to impeach, and that is illogical on its face because we did not know ultimately lead to that, and I was told that I 21 21 22 could be asked to leave. 22 that they were going to impeach with materials 23 O. All right. 23 outside. But I am allowed to both rehabilitate 24 MR. WOODFIELD: Your Honor, I'd like 24 him with items off the witness -- or the exhibit 25 25 list and I'm also allowed to refresh his to rehabilitate the witness with this video clip, Page 322 Page 321 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 recollection. 2 testifying soon anyway. I tend to want to hear 3 JUDGE HOFFMAN: So what is the 3 it, but I -- you're saying it's a recording. How 4 4 evidence that you have that you want to present? long is the recording? 5 MR. WOODFIELD: It is the recording MR. WOODFIELD: The recording in 5 6 of Mr. German expressing that he believed that 6 total is four minutes and 50 seconds, but it's at 7 7 Mr. -- that Mr. Hansen was going to be removed as one minute and fifteen -- or it's at -- the point 8 the result of his having articulated concerns in 8 that I have is at one minute and 15 seconds in. 9 his August 3rd e-mail, and that he was going to 9 I can play it for about 30 seconds if you want to 10 be removed from his position at the Gigafactory. hear the limited portion, or I can play the 10 entire four minutes and 50 seconds of the 11 MS. LARGENT: Your Honor, on behalf 11 12 of USSA, we don't think this is appropriate, 12 recording. 13 13 because --JUDGE HOFFMAN: When was the 14 MR. WOODFIELD: There should be one 14 recording made? attorney on each side, Your Honor. 15 15 MR. WOODFIELD: Shortly after 16 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, I want to 16 August 3rd. There's no date stamped on the 17 hear -- I want to hear USSA's argument. You're 17 recording. right, Mr. Woodfield, I don't want them to gang MS. LARGENT: Right. Your Honor, I 18 18 19 up on you, but I'm interested -- this is kind of 19 go I don't think this is appropriate, that 20 a unique situation. I'd like to hear from USSA. 20 Mr. Woodfield is testifying to something he 21 It seems to me that there has been 21 doesn't have personal knowledge of here as to the 22 some surprise impeachment evidence that was 22 date a recording was made, when none of us know 23 played by USSA, and now you have something else 23 this. that you want to play. It's an important 24 24 And secondly, you know, you don't 25 question, and Mr. German is going to be 25 have to identify impeachment evidence that you

Page 323 Page 324 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 may use in the exhibit list. That's the whole 2 2 And he can't impeach your own witness, so it 3 3 should have been disclosed if Mr. Woodfield reason for impeachment evidence. USSA didn't know that Mr. Hansen -- this is the first time 4 4 wanted to use these recordings affirmatively. 5 5 we've heard him say that USSA's knowledge of the Right now, he's trying to use a б SEC complaint was in some call that he recorded б different recording of a different conversation 7 or that he told him this, that he knew about it 7 that was illegally obtained to support something 8 when he called to tell Mr. Hansen that Tesla was 8 his client is already saying happened. It's not 9 demanding his removal. 9 even to contradict his client. He wants to use 10 This is the first we ever heard about 10 it to further his client's testimony on redirect 11 it. We knew it wasn't true. We had no intention 11 examination. 12 of using any recordings, because frankly, 12 For those reasons, we think it's totally inappropriate and outside of the rules. 13 13 Your Honor, they were completely illegal. It's 14 14 undisputed that this was done without JUDGE HOFFMAN: I tend to agree with 15 Mr. German's consent, and we didn't find them to 15 you, Ms. Largent. be appropriate evidence. The only reason we used 16 16 When Mr. German testifies, will that it is because we knew that Mr. Hansen was saying 17 17 document or that recording then be available to 18 something that categorically didn't happen. 18 impeach Mr. German? 19 What Mr. Woodfield is trying to do is 19 MR. WOODFIELD: Certainly, 20 essentially, on his redirect, use a different 20 Your Honor. recording that his client did for direct 21 21 JUDGE HOFFMAN: I'm sorry? 22 evidence. You can't do that because it wasn't on 22 MR. WOODFIELD: It will be indeed, 23 his witness list. 23 Your Honor. 24 It's not rehabilitation. It's about 24 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Is that -- does that 25 25 a different conversation on a different subject. make any difference? I mean, we can -- it seems Page 325 Page 326 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 to me if Mr. German is going to be impeached on 2 inappropriate. 3 this question with this evidence anyway, and 3 JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. I think 4 4 we've already used these illegal recordings for I'm going to sustain USSA's objection at this 5 that purpose, then it's a goose and gander, isn't 5 point. Not allow that evidence to be used to 6 6 bolster or to rehabilitate Mr. Hansen's it? 7 7 MS. LARGENT: Well, Your Honor, I testimony, understanding that if it comes up in 8 think this is a little bit premature. You know, 8 the future, we'll take it up again. 9 we're not in Mr. German's testimony, and 9 MR. WOODFIELD: Thank you, 10 Mr. Woodfield isn't trying to use a recording to 10 Your Honor. 11 11 impeach Mr. German. I don't know, and no one on MS. LARGENT: Thank you. 12 this recording knows whether Mr. German is going 12 MR. WOODFIELD: I would like to know 13 to say something inconsistent with any of these 13 who the lawyer is on the other side for the rest 14 recordings in order for them to be used to 14 of this cross, though. 15 15 impeach him in the first place. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, I appreciate 16 I think we cross that bridge when we your concern, and normally it would only be one 16 17 get to it. But I'm not sitting here trying to 17 lawyer. And I agree with you, Mr. Woodfield. tell you or Mr. Woodfield that we're going to try And so I'll ask counsel, as you're deciding who 18 18 19 to use an illegal recording affirmatively against 19 is going to take the roles, to make that 20 Mr. Hansen, but then object that it was recorded 20 decision. I don't think it's fair to team up on 21 illegally if he tries to use it to impeach our 21 the other side. 22 22 witness. MR. WOODFIELD: All right. 23 I'm not telling you that. I'm just 23 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 24 saying that Mr. Woodfield's attempt to use this 24 Q. Now, Mr. Hansen, did you record every 25 on direct essentially of his client is 25 conversation you had with Mr. German?

Page 328 Page 327 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 A. No, sir, I did not. 2 not want to put up with the BS that Tesla was 3 Q. And did you discuss with Mr. German 3 putting forward? 4 the fact that you had filed an SEC TCR? 4 A. Yes, he did. 5 5 A. Mr. German was aware, yes. O. And was that set forth in the text б Q. And, in fact, did you discuss that in 6 that Ms. Braxton showed you? 7 your direct testimony? 7 A. Yes, it was. 8 A. I believe I did, yes. 8 MR. WOODFIELD: I have no further 9 Q. And what, if anything, did you report 9 questions for this witness. 10 in your direct testimony about any empathy that 10 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Circling back, 11 he expressed toward you? 11 then, to Tesla. Tesla has the first right to 12 12 continue its cross-examination within the scope A. Mr. German expressed empathy because 13 of the redirect. 13 he -- he empathized with my situation. He 14 expressed his concerns as well that the influence 14 Mr. Robertson, do you have any 15 he was receiving and the directions and the 15 additional questions? pressure from Jeff Jones to interfere with my 16 16 MR. ROBERTSON: I do, oddly, even 17 positions was something that he didn't -- he 17 though Nick only asked two questions. 18 didn't know why. He couldn't answer why this was 18 19 happening. He felt that there were some things 19 EXAMINATION 20 going on that Jeff Jones and Tesla weren't being 20 forthcoming with him about regarding their BY MR. ROBERTSON: 21 21 22 rationales and their reasons moving forward with 22 Q. So, Mr. Hansen, you spent a lot of 23 Mr. German as related to my positions. 23 time talking about Jeff Jones and what you heard 24 24 Q. And -- give me one second. Jeff Jones had said through other people. Did 25 25 Did he in fact tell you that he did you ever in August -- can you recall one Page 330 Page 329 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 conversation that you had directly with 2 JUDGE HOFFMAN: I have no questions, 3 Jeff Jones at any point in August of 2018? 3 Mr. Hansen. Thank you for your testimony. You 4 4 A. No, sir. can stand down. Of course, you're going to 5 MR. ROBERTSON: And my only other 5 remain as the client in this case. 6 question for you -- and maybe it's more for 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 7 Nick -- is since USSA put in the interrogatory JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. 8 responses, Nick, I would like to move the 8 Mr. Woodfield, do you have another 9 interrogatory responses to our interrogatories 9 witness? 10 into evidence. I wasn't aware whether we needed 10 MR. WOODFIELD: Yes. At this time 11 to do that through the witness or not. 11 we'd like to call Jacob Nocon. 12 Do you have any objection if we put 12 MR. ROBERTSON: All right. So let 13 13 us -- if we can take five. Mr. Nocon is standing in 211? 14 14 by, but we'll have him join and go into the MR. WOODFIELD: No, I'm happy to 15 15 jointly offer 211. waiting room. MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. 16 16 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. We're off the 17 JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So 211 is 17 record. 18 in. 18 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you. 19 (Whereupon, Exhibit 211 was 19 (Recess taken, 9:38 a.m. to 20 received.) 20 9:44 a.m. PDT) 21 MR. ROBERTSON: Yeah, I have nothing 21 JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. We have a 22 22 further. Thank you. quorum here. 23 23 JUDGE HOFFMAN: And then Mr. Nocon, my name is Judge Hoffman. 24 cross-examination by USSA. 24 I am the arbitrator in this case. And so, 25 MS. BRAXTON: No questions. 25 Mr. Nocon, you've been called as a witness by

| 1  | Page 331 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2    | 1  | Page 332 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  |
|----|---|----|---|
| 2  | Mr. Woodfield in this case. I'm going to ask the    | 2  | A. Yes, that's correct as well.                   |
| 3  | court reporter to swear you in at this time.        | 3  | Q. And by whom are you currently                  |
| 4  | MR. ROBERTSON: And just for the                     | 4  | employed?   |
| 5  | record, Your Honor, Ms. Dunne will be handling      | 5  | A. I'm currently employed by Tesla.               |
| 6  | Mr. Nocon's testimony today.                        | 6  | Q. And that's Tesla, Inc.?                        |
| 7  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Thank you.                           | 7  | A. Yes, sir.                                      |
| 8  | <del></del>   | 8  | Q. And when were you first hired by               |
| 9  | JACOB DONNELLY NOCON,                               | 9  | Tesla?  |
| 10 | having been duly sworn,                             | 10 | A. My official hire date from with                |
| 11 | testified as follows:                               | 11 | Tesla is July 23, 2018.                           |
| 12 |   | 12 | Q. And what were you hired to do at               |
| 13 | EXAMINATION   | 13 | Tesla?  |
| 14 |   | 14 | A. I was initially hired by Tesla to              |
| 15 | BY MR. WOODFIELD:                                   | 15 | build and manage an investigations team that      |
| 16 | Q. Mr. Nocon, could I get you to state              | 16 | focused on protection of intellectual property,   |
| 17 | your full name for the record, please?              | 17 | trade secrets.                                    |
| 18 | A. Yes. It's Jacob Donnelly Nocon.                  | 18 | Q. And what was that group called?                |
| 19 | Q. And you testified previously in this             | 19 | A. In 2018, the name of the team was              |
| 20 | matter in your individual capacity and as the       | 20 | Global Security Response.                         |
| 21 | corporate representative for Tesla; correct?        | 21 | Q. And did you have a particular title            |
| 22 | A. Yes, that's correct.                             | 22 | at that time?                                     |
| 23 | Q. And you're testifying today in your              | 23 | A. I believe that my title was manager            |
| 24 | personal capacity and as a corporate                | 24 | of investigations for Global Security Response.   |
| 25 | representative for Tesla; correct?                  | 25 | Q. And where was your job physically              |
|    |   |    |   |
| 1  | Page 333<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 | 1  | Page 334 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  |
| 2  | located?  | 2  | supervisor, though?                               |
| 3  | A. Since starting at Tesla, I have                  | 3  | A. Yes, to the best of my recollection.           |
| 4  | worked remotely out of San Diego. I've got          | 4  | Q. And one of the topics you testified            |
| 5  | employees that are employed globally, so I manage   | 5  | on as the corporate representative was Tesla's    |
| 6  | pretty much everybody remotely.                     | 6  | policies and guidelines concerning retaliation in |
| 7  | Q. And who was your supervisor in July              | 7  | violation of the Dodd-Frank Act; correct?         |
| 8  | of 2018?  | 8  | A. Yes, that's correct.                           |
| 9  | A. In 2018, my manager was Nick Gicinto.            | 9  | Q. And in terms of the policies, Tesla            |
| 10 | Q. And what was Mr. Gicinto's title at              | 10 | employees are protected against retaliation for   |
| 11 | the time?   | 11 | providing good-faith complaints of concerns that  |
| 12 | A. I believe his title was senior                   | 12 | they think are violations of the Dodd-Frank or    |
| 13 | manager, Global Security Response.                  | 13 | Sarbanes-Oxley Acts; is that correct?             |
| 14 | Q. And what is your title now?                      | 14 | A. I'm sorry, that was a long question.           |
| 15 | A. Currently, my job title is senior                | 15 | Would you mind repeating that?                    |
| 16 | manager of security intelligence and security       | 16 | O. Yes. If someone comes forward and              |
| 17 | engineering.  | 17 | they think that in good faith that they are       |
| 18 | Q. And in terms of what how                         | 18 | reporting a violation of Dodd-Frank or            |
| 19 | forgive me.   | 19 | Sarbanes-Oxley, they are protected against        |
| 20 | For how long did Mr. Gicinto remain                 | 20 | retaliation; is that correct?                     |
| 21 | your supervisor?                                    | 21 | A. Correct. My understanding is anybody           |
| 22 | A. I don't remember the exact date that             | 22 | who comes forward with any type of complaint      |
| 23 | Nick resigned his position. I believe it was in     | 23 | either about management or the company's          |
| 24 | April of 2019.                                      | 24 | activities, you know, is protected.               |
| l  | Q. Through April of 2019 he was your                | 25 | Q. And do you know if, under Dodd-Frank,          |
| 25 | O. IIII OUGII ADIII OI ZUIJ IIE WAS YOUI            |    |   |

| 1  | Page 335 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   | 1  | Page 336 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  |
|--|--|--|---|
| 2  | if someone who is a whistleblower can legally  | 2  | Q. And you are the corporate  |
| 3  | take documents and provide them to the SEC even  | 3  | representative on that issue for Tesla in this  |
| 4  | though they may be confidential documents at   | 4  | matter; correct?  |
| 5  | work?  | 5  | A. I am the corporate representative for  |
| 6  | MS. DUNNE: Objection.  | 6  | Tesla in this matter. I don't know if I can   |
| 7  | MS. BRAXTON: Objection as well.  | 7  | speak to that particular question.  |
| 8  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: What's the objection?   | 8  | Q. Does Tesla or Tesla Motors provide   |
| 9  | MS. DUNNE: Calls for a legal   | 9  | training on Dodd-Frank nonretaliation to  |
| 10   | conclusion.  | 10   | employees?  |
| 11   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. So the  | 11   | A. We do provide training on  |
| 12   | question is: Is this witness competent to  | 12   | nonretaliation. I don't know if that speaks   |
| 13   | testify about that?  | 13   | specifically to Dodd-Frank.   |
| 14   | Maybe a little bit more foundation,  | 14   | Q. And so in terms of what might be   |
| 15   | Mr. Woodfield, on this witness's competency.   | 15   | scratch that.   |
| 16   | MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  | 16   | In 2018, did you come to know of an   |
| 17   | BY MR. WOODFIELD: Tes.   | 17   | individual named Karl Hansen?   |
| 18   | O. Are document are witnesses allowed  | 18   | A. Yes, I did.  |
| 19   | to give documents to the SEC in support of   | 19   | Q. And how did you come to know of  |
| 20   | complaints of Dodd-Frank violations?   | 20   | Karl Hansen's name?   |
| 21   | A. I'm sorry, was that question directed   | 21   | A. I believe Mr. Hansen was introduced  |
| 22   | at me?   | 22   | to me by Sean Gouthro back in 2018.   |
| 23   | Q. Yes, sir.   | 23   | Q. And what was Mr. Gouthro's job in  |
| 24   | A. I don't know the answer to that   | 24   | 2018?   |
| 25   | question. I'd have to consult with counsel.  | 25   | A. I believe that his actual job was as   |
| 23   | quescion. I a have to consuit with comiser.  | 23   | A. I believe that his actual job was as   |
|  | 225  |  |   |
| 1  | Page 337 Hangon W. Flon Muck - Arbitration Day 2   | 1  | Page 338  |
| 1  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  | 1  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   |
| 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the  | 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2<br>Q. Do you recall what he was reporting   |
| 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was  | 2 3  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I  | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into. Q. And was that something that he was  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into.  Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into. Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe it was through Nick Gicinto, saying that one of   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into. Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla? A. I don't know what his guidance had   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe it was through Nick Gicinto, saying that one of his, I guess, employees had some information that   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into. Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla? A. I don't know what his guidance had been or I don't know what guidance he had   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe it was through Nick Gicinto, saying that one of his, I guess, employees had some information that they thought, you know, needed further  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into. Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla? A. I don't know what his guidance had been or I don't know what guidance he had received from Sean Gouthro.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe it was through Nick Gicinto, saying that one of his, I guess, employees had some information that they thought, you know, needed further investigation.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into. Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla? A. I don't know what his guidance had been or I don't know what guidance he had received from Sean Gouthro. Q. And what was Mr. Gouthro telling you   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe it was through Nick Gicinto, saying that one of his, I guess, employees had some information that they thought, you know, needed further investigation.  So I believe that Sean provided me a   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into. Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla? A. I don't know what his guidance had been or I don't know what guidance he had received from Sean Gouthro. Q. And what was Mr. Gouthro telling you about narcotics trafficking activity?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe it was through Nick Gicinto, saying that one of his, I guess, employees had some information that they thought, you know, needed further investigation.  So I believe that Sean provided me a report that Mr. Hansen had generated.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into. Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla? A. I don't know what his guidance had been or I don't know what guidance he had received from Sean Gouthro. Q. And what was Mr. Gouthro telling you about narcotics trafficking activity? A. To the best of my recollection, he   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe it was through Nick Gicinto, saying that one of his, I guess, employees had some information that they thought, you know, needed further investigation.  So I believe that Sean provided me a report that Mr. Hansen had generated.  Q. I'm going to show you now what I'm  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into. Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla? A. I don't know what his guidance had been or I don't know what guidance he had received from Sean Gouthro. Q. And what was Mr. Gouthro telling you about narcotics trafficking activity? A. To the best of my recollection, he stated that there were there was a source who   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe it was through Nick Gicinto, saying that one of his, I guess, employees had some information that they thought, you know, needed further investigation.  So I believe that Sean provided me a report that Mr. Hansen had generated.  Q. I'm going to show you now what I'm marking or has already been entered into   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into. Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla? A. I don't know what his guidance had been or I don't know what guidance he had received from Sean Gouthro. Q. And what was Mr. Gouthro telling you about narcotics trafficking activity? A. To the best of my recollection, he stated that there were there was a source who provided information stating that narcotics were  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe it was through Nick Gicinto, saying that one of his, I guess, employees had some information that they thought, you know, needed further investigation.  So I believe that Sean provided me a report that Mr. Hansen had generated.  Q. I'm going to show you now what I'm marking or has already been entered into evidence as joint Exhibit 209.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into. Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla? A. I don't know what his guidance had been or I don't know what guidance he had received from Sean Gouthro. Q. And what was Mr. Gouthro telling you about narcotics trafficking activity? A. To the best of my recollection, he stated that there were there was a source who provided information stating that narcotics were being moved on semitrailers coming up from   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Mansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe it was through Nick Gicinto, saying that one of his, I guess, employees had some information that they thought, you know, needed further investigation.  So I believe that Sean provided me a report that Mr. Hansen had generated.  Q. I'm going to show you now what I'm marking or has already been entered into evidence as joint Exhibit 209.  And this is a text that Mr. Hansen  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018? A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into. Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla? A. I don't know what his guidance had been or I don't know what guidance he had received from Sean Gouthro. Q. And what was Mr. Gouthro telling you about narcotics trafficking activity? A. To the best of my recollection, he stated that there were there was a source who provided information stating that narcotics were being moved on semitrailers coming up from Mexico, going through the Gigafactory, Nevada.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Mansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe it was through Nick Gicinto, saying that one of his, I guess, employees had some information that they thought, you know, needed further investigation.  So I believe that Sean provided me a report that Mr. Hansen had generated.  Q. I'm going to show you now what I'm marking or has already been entered into evidence as joint Exhibit 209.  And this is a text that Mr. Hansen sent to you on June 28, 2018.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018?  A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into.  Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla?  A. I don't know what his guidance had been or I don't know what guidance he had received from Sean Gouthro.  Q. And what was Mr. Gouthro telling you about narcotics trafficking activity?  A. To the best of my recollection, he stated that there were there was a source who provided information stating that narcotics were being moved on semitrailers coming up from Mexico, going through the Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And is that something that Tesla as a   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Mansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe it was through Nick Gicinto, saying that one of his, I guess, employees had some information that they thought, you know, needed further investigation.  So I believe that Sean provided me a report that Mr. Hansen had generated.  Q. I'm going to show you now what I'm marking or has already been entered into evidence as joint Exhibit 209.  And this is a text that Mr. Hansen sent to you on June 28, 2018.  Do you remember sending texts with                              | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018?  A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into.  Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla?  A. I don't know what his guidance had been or I don't know what guidance he had received from Sean Gouthro.  Q. And what was Mr. Gouthro telling you about narcotics trafficking activity?  A. To the best of my recollection, he stated that there were there was a source who provided information stating that narcotics were being moved on semitrailers coming up from Mexico, going through the Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And is that something that Tesla as a corporate entity would want to know about?                        |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Mansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe it was through Nick Gicinto, saying that one of his, I guess, employees had some information that they thought, you know, needed further investigation.  So I believe that Sean provided me a report that Mr. Hansen had generated.  Q. I'm going to show you now what I'm marking or has already been entered into evidence as joint Exhibit 209.  And this is a text that Mr. Hansen sent to you on June 28, 2018.  Do you remember sending texts with Mr. Hansen in 2018, in June? | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018?  A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into.  Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla?  A. I don't know what his guidance had been or I don't know what guidance he had received from Sean Gouthro.  Q. And what was Mr. Gouthro telling you about narcotics trafficking activity?  A. To the best of my recollection, he stated that there were there was a source who provided information stating that narcotics were being moved on semitrailers coming up from Mexico, going through the Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And is that something that Tesla as a corporate entity would want to know about?  A. I would assume so. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Mansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 manager of the video investigations team at the Gigafactory, Nevada. But at the time that I was interacting with Mr. Gouthro, he was in a I believe a temporary role, managing most of security for Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And what was it that Mr. Gouthro was reaching out to you about when he spoke to you about Mr. Hansen in 2018?  A. Mr. Gouthro contacted me, I believe it was through Nick Gicinto, saying that one of his, I guess, employees had some information that they thought, you know, needed further investigation.  So I believe that Sean provided me a report that Mr. Hansen had generated.  Q. I'm going to show you now what I'm marking or has already been entered into evidence as joint Exhibit 209.  And this is a text that Mr. Hansen sent to you on June 28, 2018.  Do you remember sending texts with                              | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Q. Do you recall what he was reporting to you in June of 2018?  A. The main subject that I recall him discussing with us was potential narcotics trafficking allegations that he had been looking into.  Q. And was that something that he was supposed to be looking into in his job duties and responsibilities at Tesla?  A. I don't know what his guidance had been or I don't know what guidance he had received from Sean Gouthro.  Q. And what was Mr. Gouthro telling you about narcotics trafficking activity?  A. To the best of my recollection, he stated that there were there was a source who provided information stating that narcotics were being moved on semitrailers coming up from Mexico, going through the Gigafactory, Nevada.  Q. And is that something that Tesla as a corporate entity would want to know about?                        |

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 would want criminal activity happening on their premises.

- Q. And it would reflect badly if that was happening; correct? It would reflect badly on the organization?
- A. Yes, I think it would reflect badly on any organization if there was criminal activity afoot within their business.
- Q. It's something that Tesla would certainly want to stop if that was the case; correct?
  - A. If that was the case, yes.
- Q. And did Mr. Gouthro offer any opinion on the subject matter that Mr. Hansen was reporting on, on whether he thought it was legitimate or far-fetched or anything like that?
- A. I don't recall Mr. Gouthro having an opinion one way or the other.
- Q. And at the same time that Mr. Hansen was reporting this information about narcotics trafficking activity at the Gigafactory, were you aware of anyone else who was reporting that there might be narcotics trafficking at the Gigafactory in Reno, Nevada in 2018?

Page 341

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 would have fallen to the investigations team within global security investigations.

- Q. Was anyone other than Mr. Hansen raising concerns about possible infiltration by organized crime cartels and crime syndicates in the Gigafactory other than Mr. Hansen?
- A. I'm sorry, is your question was anybody else raising questions about -- or -- Could you repeat the question, please?

11 please?

б

Q. Yes.

Was anyone other than Mr. Hansen raising concerns about possible infiltration by cartels or organized crime syndicates in the Gigafactory?

- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Had anyone at Tesla offered any commentary on the concerns being raised by Mr. Hansen in June or July about cartel activity, about whether they thought it was a credible allegation being raised by Mr. Hansen or not?
- A. I provided commentary back to Mr. Gouthro. After I received the initial report from Mr. Hansen, I had the employer who I was

Page 340 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

- A. I don't believe so, no.
- Q. Was Mr. Hansen, in June of 2018, also raising concerns about copper thefts and thefts of other materials?
- 6 A. In my personal capacity, I don't 7 believe I was aware of that.

8 As the company representative, yeah, 9 my understanding is that he was raising those 10 concerns as well.

- Q. And in June of 2018, were you and Mr. Gicinto conducting an extensive amount of work into the issue of thefts at the Gigafactory?
- A. No, we were not.
- Q. During that time, did you hear allegations of copper thefts?
- A. Yes, I had heard of allegations of copper thefts at the Gigafactory, but that was not -- neither mine nor Mr. Gicinto's area of responsibility.
  - Q. Was it Mr. Gouthro's?
- A. As somebody who was leading security at Gigafactory, Nevada, yeah, I would assume that that would fall partially -- at least partially within his purview. I think more accurately it

Page 342

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
working with at the time, Nisos, conduct some
criminal background checks on the individuals who
were named in that report.

The response that we got back from those criminal background checks was that none of the individuals had any remarkable criminal history, which, given my experience in conducting high-level narcotics investigations as a criminal investigator with the U.S. government, struck me as odd, that somebody would have no criminal record.

And when I directed -- or what I commented back to Mr. Gouthro at the time was, it looks like this information would need to be developed further. And I provided a couple of suggestions on things that they might be able to do. But I said that it seemed like underdeveloped information at the time.

- 20 Q. Did you ever form the opinion that 21 Mr. Hansen was raising any of these allegations 22 or concerns in bad faith?
  - A. I can't say that I thought about that one way or the other.
    - Q. So you never formed that opinion?

Page 344 Page 343 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 A. That it was in bad faith? 2 received.) 3 3 BY MR. WOODFIELD: Q. Yes, sir. A. No. Q. This is a text between you and 4 4 5 Q. Did you ever form the opinion that he Karl Hansen on July 13, 2018. б was raising the opinions and part of the concerns 6 Do you recall texting back and forth 7 7 other than in good faith? with Mr. Hansen about his possibly joining Tesla 8 A. No, I really hadn't formed an opinion 8 as an employee? 9 one way or the other. I took it as a report, 9 A. This appears to be an e-mail on the 10 gave my assessment on it, and then provided it 10 mobile version of Outlook. So I do recall him back and said that I'd be happy to assist in any e-mailing and inquiring about positions, or he 11 11 may have texted me and I returned the message via 12 way that I could. 12 13 13 e-mail. Q. Let me show you joint Exhibit 14 14 No. 219. He did state that he was interested 15 JUDGE HOFFMAN: What was the number 15 in a full-time role with Tesla and was interested in the full-time roles that my team was going to 16 again, please? 16 be hiring for at the time. And as I stated in 17 MR. WOODFIELD: 219. 17 18 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Thank you. 18 this e-mail, you know, I advised him that he 19 MR. WOODFIELD: And I will offer it 19 should go through the normal Tesla website to go 20 into evidence while I still remember it right 20 ahead and apply and have his application and resumé screened by our recruiters. 21 now. 21 22 MS. DUNNE: No objection. 22 Q. And as of July 13, 2018, had you made 23 JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. 219 is 23 any opinion as to whether he would be a good 24 hire, a bad hire, or had you made no -- formed no in. 24 25 25 (Whereupon, Exhibit 219 was opinion at all? Page 345 Page 346 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 1 2 A. I don't believe I had an opinion at 2 Gigafactory, Nevada. 3 the time. 3 Q. And when you say "it was a concern," 4 Q. So at that point you hadn't decided 4 it was a concern that the copper theft might be 5 that based on what he said, you would not 5 at a large scale? 6 consider him as an employee? 6 A. At a large enough scale that you 7 7 A. It was a long time ago, but I don't would need to be concerned about it. 8 believe that I had formed any sort of an opinion. 8 I mean, I think the term "large" is 9 Q. Let me show you what I'm marking as 9 rather ambiguous. 10 Exhibit No. -- or is marked as Exhibit No. 147. Q. Well, large enough that it was 10 Joint 147 is already in evidence. 11 11 getting the attention of people up at 12 Sean Gouthro was e-mailing with 12 Mr. Gicinto's level? certain people, including you, Ken Davis, 13 13 A. Yes. I mean, it was a large enough 14 Kristopher Halladay, Nick Gicinto, about hidden 14 issue that it needed to be at least investigated 15 to determine the scope. 15 copper at the south end of the Gigafactory. 16 16 A. Mm-hmm. Q. I'm going to show you now an e-mail marked as 176, joint Exhibit 176. That's already 17 Q. Do you recall whether there were 17 in evidence. And this is from Nick Gicinto to 18 concerns that copper theft might be on a large 18 19 scale at the Gigafactory in July of 2018? 19 Karl Hansen, carbon-copying Gerhard Pretorius. 20 20 A. As I previously testified, I mean, Do you know who Gerhard Pretorius is? 21 this was not an area that my team was focused on 21 A. Yes, I do. 22 at the time; however, I do recall there being 22 Q. And what's his -- what was his job 23 discussions about copper theft, and it was a 23 title in July of 2018, sir? 24 concern, you know, amongst both the security 24 A. I believe his job title was senior 25 teams and also the management team at the 25 manager, global security investigations.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

- Q. And where was he located, sir?
- 2 3

1

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

25

sir?

A. That's a complicated answer to that question.

So his team was run out of Fremont, California, but I believe at the time he was actually living in Seattle.

Q. And this e-mail from Mr. Gicinto was addressed to Mr. Hansen and looped in Gerhard Pretorius, Sean Gouthro, Jake Nocon, you, and Mr. Jones. It dealt with some hiring issues, and it also stated that he would like -- Mr. Gicinto would like someone from his team to meet with Mr. Hansen to accept turnover of his work on the possible cartel infiltration involvement in our supply chain through Mexico.

Had you heard discussions about cartel involvement in Tesla's supply chain through Mexico?

- 20 A. Well, if I recall correctly, that was part of the allegations that Mr. Hansen had 21 22 raised.
- 23 Q. Yeah, it -- I mean, clearly that's 24 what he's talking about here. Had you heard 25 discussions about that? Did you hear them in

Page 347 1

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

Page 348

- 2 July of 2018 in Tesla, where people were
- 3 articulating any concerns about that?
  - A. Nothing comes to mind.
- 5 Q. Well, Mr. Gicinto was asking for 6 Mr. Hansen to debrief someone on his team to 7 discuss that; correct?
- 8 A. I believe he was looking for turnover of the work product. 9
  - Q. Had you heard anyone say that you -that they believed that there was nothing to the allegations that there might be some cartel involvement in Tesla's supply chain through Mexico?

A. I don't think that I've heard anybody say that there was nothing to it. I think that the -- what I recall, you know, saying to Nick and to Sean, and then also hearing -- yeah, and this is in my capacity as Tesla's representative, you know, in seeing what Valerie Workman was able to -- or was not able to find, was that the allegations had simply just not been substantiated.

I don't know -- I think there's a difference between saying that there's nothing to

Page 349

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the allegations and then being able to say that there's just no evidence that substantiates

what's being -- you know, what's being alleged.

O. What was Valerie Workman able to find, sir?

A. I don't know. I mean, I think that the -- that was part of the issue, at least of my understanding, is that she had tried to get information from Mr. Hansen, and that she was -was unable to get much of anything to again corroborate the allegations that he had made.

Q. Was that after August 3rd of 2018,

15 A. I don't know when she reached out to him. I'm not sure. 16

Q. Okay. Were you involved in any investigation involving Ms. Workman?

19 A. I'm sorry, investigation involving 20 Ms. Workman?

21 O. Yes. You had talked about 22 Ms. Workman performing an investigation. Were 23 you involved in it at all?

24 A. No. So the -- at the time, Valerie Workman was the head of compliance for Tesla.

Page 350 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

2 The -- because of the nature of the complaints

3 that had been made and the fact that, you know,

4 at some point there were allegations made against

5 both myself and also Mr. Gicinto, we were --

neither me nor Nick were involved in the 6

7

investigation. It was conducted independently by 8 Valerie.

Q. What were the allegations made against you and Mr. Gicinto, sir?

A. You know, I'm actually not sure exactly what the allegations were. I know that I received questions about a piece of electronic equipment that was in the security room at Gigafactory in Nevada. You know, there were allegations about method -- investigative methods against us that were made, things that would be illegal that we did not do. I don't know the exact details.

- 20 Q. And when did this investigation take 21 place?
- 22 A. I can't recall the exact dates.
  - Q. Was Mr. Hansen still working there?
- 24 A. Like I said, I can't remember the
- 25 exact dates, so I wouldn't be able to say whether

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 351

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 or not he was working yet as a contractor with Tesla or not at the time.

- Q. Do you know what the questions were about electronic equipment?
- A. There was a question about a piece of electronic equipment that Nisos had provided. This is --
- Q. Nisos is a contractor that you formerly worked for?
  - A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

23

24

25

So when I started working for Tesla, or with Tesla, I was a contractor with Nisos. And during those initial weeks, we had a significant investigation that initiated at Gigafactory, Nevada, involving an individual named Martin Tripp. As a result of that -- our investigation into Mr. Tripp's activities, there was concern that there was -- there was concern that there may have been code placed onto the network and that there may be suspicious activity happening on Tesla's network that would put

24 So a piece of equipment that was 25 introduced with the blessing of the information

Tesla's information and data at risk.

1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2

security team at Tesla going to the necessary

Page 352

3 work simply provided a bridge for forensic

4 investigators at Nisos to conduct network

5 forensics on Tesla's network. It was looking at

б different types of log and activity that were 7 happening on the network just to ensure there

8 weren't any anomalies specific to what was

9 happening with Martin Tripp.

- Q. So, for example, it was looking at who was sending e-mails to whom and the like?

  - Q. Tell me what it was doing, sir.
- A. I don't have the exact details on what it was doing, but it was not looking at e-mails. It didn't have the ability to do that. It simply provided a bridge into the network.
- Q. Okay. It provided a bridge into the network. And you said you don't have the specific details. Give me the broad details about what you understood it was doing such that it was providing actionable information.
- A. The specific piece that we were looking for was any data that was leaking out based on any code that Mr. Tripp had introduced

Page 353

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 into our manufacturing operating system.

You know, the concern was that there was persistent access to our network that would be -- would continue to persist after Mr. Tripp was exited from the company. So that was the purpose of that device. It required login credentials, which people had within Nisos, including myself at the time, but also some of my colleagues.

And although the device ended up staying on the network, my understanding is is that it had largely been forgotten after the Tripp investigation wrapped up.

- Q. Was Mr. Hansen ever investigated as part of the Tripp investigation?
- 17 A. Not that I'm aware of. And I should know, I was the lead investigator. 18
- 19 Q. I'm showing you joint Exhibit 20 No. 173. Do you recall Gerhard Pretorius 21 reaching out to Mr. Hansen to address the issues 22 raised by Nick Gicinto?
  - A. I can see the e-mail and that I'm on distribution on it. I don't recall this specific e-mail, no.

Page 354 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

Q. And this was on July 31, 2018, and it was pointed out to everyone on the e-mail distribution list that everyone who is e-mailing Karl Hansen at kahansen@tesla.com, that Mr. Hansen no longer has access to Tesla e-mail since the RIF that had occurred in June of 2018.

Do you know if people were complaining in July of 2018 that Mr. Hansen was unresponsive to efforts to try and contact him?

- A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that question again.
- Q. Do you know if people at Tesla were articulating in July of 2018 that Mr. Hansen was unresponsive to efforts to try and contact him?
- A. I'm not aware of that, one way or the other.
- Q. You would agree with me that it would be unreasonable for Tesla to complain that Mr. Hansen was unresponsive to e-mails that were being sent to his Tesla e-mail address in July of 2018 when he was not allowed access to it, wouldn't you?
- 24 A. That if people were complaining about 25 him being nonresponsive, if he didn't have

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 355 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

access? Is that the question?

Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Sure. I mean, if somebody didn't have access to an e-mail account, I don't know if you could expect them to respond to it, to any e-mails going to that account.

Q. Let me show you Exhibit No. 204. It appears Mr. Gouthro subsequently reached out to Mr. Hansen and looped you in on this as well to get Mr. Pretorius in.

Do you know why Tesla was so eager to investigate the concerns raised by Mr. Hansen in his allegations to Mr. Gicinto in July?

A. I don't. I don't know why the issue was raised at that particular moment.

Q. Now, I'm going to show you what's marked as Exhibit No. 46. Joint Exhibit No. 46, and then I will offer it in.

This is an e-mail following -- and mercifully, just to keep the pages down, this the Karl Hansen August 3rd e-mail where he sent it to Sean Gouthro, Elon Musk, Gerhard Pretorius, you as well. But Yusuf Mohamed -- Mohamed wrote back to Mr. Hansen.

Page 357 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

policy in 2018?

A. Open door to the extent that Elon regularly told employees if they had any concerns, that they could feel free to contact him directly.

Q. Did Mr. Hansen do anything inappropriate on August 3rd, when he raised concerns including things such as narcotics trafficking activity and cartel activity at the Gigafactory when he raised them to Elon Musk?

A. Not being able to see the rest of the e-mail, I mean, I can't speak to anything that could have been inappropriate within the e-mail. But simply the act of e-mailing our CEO in general is -- I mean, it's not a policy violation, and it's not something that anybody's going to get in trouble for.

Q. Well, is it something that Mr. Hansen should have been discouraged from doing, from raising concerns about issues like cartel involvement at the Gigafactory to Elon Musk?

A. I think that depends. You know, if this was information or allegations that had been investigated and addressed and was being handled

Page 356 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

Do you recall receiving this e-mail 3 from Mr. Mohamed in response to Mr. Hansen's

4 August 3rd e-mail that he sent to everyone

including Elon Musk?

б A. I'm sorry, you're asking me if I 7 received this e-mail from Yusuf Mohamed?

8 Q. Yes. Do you recall seeing this 9 e-mail? Were you bcc'd?

A. I don't recall.

Q. Do you recall seeing the e-mail that Mr. Hansen sent on August 3rd -- Friday, August 3rd to you, Elon Musk, Sean Gouthro, Gerhard Pretorius, Jeff Jones, and Nick Gicinto?

A. I do remember receiving that e-mail.

16 Q. And what was the reaction amongst 17 Mr. Gouthro, Mr. Pretorius, Mr. Jones,

18 Mr. Gicinto, when Mr. Hansen sent the e-mail?

19 A. The only conversation I recalled 20 having, that I recall having following this 21 e-mail being sent was a conversation I had with

22 Mr. Gicinto. At a high level, it was just

23 surprise that this information had been sent 24 directly to Elon.

Q. And did Tesla have an open door

Page 358

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 by people that reported to Jeff Jones,

3 potentially it's inappropriate to e-mail the CEO

4 of the company on these matters. 5

But, I mean, I think there's a difference between it being inappropriate versus, you know, being a policy violation.

Q. Well, let me ask you, had the issue of cartel involvement been investigated and resolved by Jeff Jones and his group such that it was inappropriate to raise -- for Mr. Hansen to raise these issues to Mr. Musk?

A. I don't know the answer to that because I'm -- again, this was not something that my team and I were focused on investigating. We were asked to look at this by Mr. Gouthro, I quess, earlier that year. I don't remember the exact date from the e-mails that you had showed me previously. You know, we gave an assessment, and it was turned back over to develop further.

O. You and Mr. Gicinto were surprised at this e-mail; correct?

A. To the extent that it was sent to Mr. Musk, yes.

Q. And you didn't think he should have

Page 360 Page 359 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 done it, did you? 2 Q. So it would have been really -- it A. I mean, I don't know if I would 3 3 wouldn't -- it showed bad judgment to distract 4 characterize it as I don't think he should have 4 him at that time with allegations of cartel 5 done it. It certainly is something that I 5 involvement at the Gigafactory or drug use at the 6 wouldn't have done. 6 factory or massive theft at the factory. 7 7 O. Why not? Is that fair to say? 8 A. Because the CEO of the company is 8 A. In my opinion, yeah. I mean, I would 9 somebody who's got numerous other things on his say, you know, looking at the totality of the 9 10 plate, you know, lots of other issues that he's 10 facts as I knew them at the time, that it was 11 addressing. Particularly at the time, you know, probably something that would have been better 11 Tesla was struggling financially, trying to ramp 12 directed towards Jeff Jones rather than directing 12 13 up on Model 3. 13 it towards Elon. 14 14 Q. Did other people at Tesla share your Yeah, as an employee and somebody who 15 wasn't in a particularly high level at the time, 15 opinion, that that was bad judgment? A. I don't recall. I don't recall I don't think I had a full appreciation for, you 16 16 17 know, the perilous situation that the company was 17 anybody saying -- any commentary on this e-mail, 18 in. But certainly, yeah, Mr. Musk was extremely 18 one way or the other, other than the one 19 busy at the time. 19 discussion I had with Mr. Gicinto, and, you know, 20 I think that, you know, it's always 20 he shared the same surprise that I did, that Elon 21 good to measure what you're pushing up to senior 21 had been e-mailed. 22 leadership, whether it's one of Elon's directs or 22 Q. Well, did he share your opinion that 23 Elon himself, yeah, making sure that this is 23 he wouldn't have done it either? 24 24 A. I don't recall him saying one way or something that, you know, really is appropriate 25 for the CEO or one of his directs to address. 25 the other. Page 361 Page 362 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 Q. People share the opinion that Tesla 2 that he was going to be reaching out to Mr. Musk? 3 didn't need that distraction? 3 Q. Yeah. When you talked to 4 A. I don't recall anybody saying that. 4 Mr. Gicinto, did Mr. Gicinto tell you he reached 5 Q. Do you recall feeling that way? 5 out to Mr. Musk and said that Mr. Musk -- or 6 A. No, not particularly. Mr. Hansen's allegations were, according to 6 7 7 Q. I'm going to show you what I'm Mr. Gicinto, not corroborated? 8 marking as Exhibit 186. 8 A. I don't recall Mr. Gicinto telling me 9 9 at the time that he was going to be reaching out It's already --10 10 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move to Mr. Musk. 11 46 in? 11 Q. Did he tell you afterwards? 12 MR. WOODFIELD: Yes, sir, I'm 12 A. I don't remember one way or the 13 13 other. offering 46. 14 JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. If 14 O. Did you discuss with Mr. Gicinto at there's no objection, 46 is in. 15 any time whether Mr. Hansen had made numerous 15 16 MS. DUNNE: No objection. 16 assumptions that were coloring his conclusions? 17 17 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Thank you. A. I don't recall having that discussion (Whereupon, Exhibit 46 was received.) with Mr. Gicinto. 18 18 19 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 19 Q. Had you ever heard anyone say that 20 20 Q. And I'm showing you 186. before August 3, 2018? 21 Did Mr. Gicinto tell you that he 21 A. Not that I can recall. 22 Q. Had you ever spoken with Mr. Gicinto reached out to Mr. Musk to tell you that -- or to 22 23 tell Mr. Musk that Mr. Hansen's allegations were 23 and had -- heard him say that independent efforts 24 uncorroborated? 24 to corroborate Mr. Hansen's allegations about the 25 A. So the question, did Nick tell me 25 cartel investigation were not successful as of

15

18

21

9

Page 363

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

August 3, 2018?

A. I'm sorry, that was a long question.

So --

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Had you ever heard Mr. Gicinto tell you, as of August 3, 2018, that independent efforts to corroborate Mr. Hansen's conclusions about cartel investigation -- or cartel involvement in the Gigafactory were not successful as of August 3, 2018? Had Mr. Gicinto ever told you that?
- A. I don't believe that Mr. Gicinto ever told me that. I know that I had a discussion with Nick likely sometime prior to August 3rd of 2018, stating that the initial background checks that were conducted on the individuals who were identified by Mr. Hansen did not yield any substantial, you know, criminal history, and that my recommendation was that they should develop the information further.
- Q. Did Mr. Gicinto tell you, before -when your call on -- before you spoke with -when you spoke with him, when you said you spoke
  with him after the August 3rd e-mail that was
  sent at 9:29 a.m. and then he followed up with

age 363 | 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

- 2 Mr. Musk shortly thereafter and you said you
- 3 spoke with Mr. Gicinto, did Mr. Gicinto tell you

Page 364

Page 366

- 4 that it was not Mr. Hansen's role to perform
- 5 these investigations and that he appears instead
- 6 to be misinformed? Did he tell you that?
- 7 MS. DUNNE: Objection. You're
- 8 misstating the prior testimony.
- 9 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, which part of
  - the prior testimony is being misstated?
- 11 MS. DUNNE: About when the
- 12 conversations happened. I don't believe that
- 13 Mr. Nocon has testified as to when those
- 14 conversations occurred.
  - JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. All right.
- Go ahead and clean up your question,
- 17 then, Mr. Woodfield.
  - MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.
- 19 BY MR. WOODFIELD:
- Q. Did Mr. -- and I'll read it to you.
  - Did Mr. Gicinto tell you that as
- 22 early as August 3rd, the same day as the e-mail
- 23 is sent, that Mr. Gicinto thought there was
- 24 little or no truth to what Mr. Hansen was
- 25 alleging?

Page 365 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

- A. I do not recall having that
- conversation with Mr. Gicinto.
- Q. Had Mr. Gicinto ever told you that Mr. Hansen relied on unnamed or anonymous sources and that Tesla could not back up any of his conclusions?
- A. I believe that Mr. Gicinto and I had conversations about the unnamed and anonymous sources that were part of the allegations that Mr. Hansen had raised. I don't recall when we had that conversation. And I'm sorry, you're going to have to repeat the second part of your statement. Yeah, I just -- I don't recall having that conversation in or around August 3rd.

that conversation in or around August 3rd.

And, you know, further, I don't recall when I had the conversation with Nick, with Mr. Gicinto after the e-mail was sent by Karl Hansen. I don't know if it was hours or maybe even the next day or two after the e-mail was sent. You know, Nick and I were not necessarily collocated at all times, you know, during this period in 2018.

Q. Had you ever heard, prior to August 3, 2018, that Mr. Hansen had been 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

- 2 counseled by Tesla on his tendency to venture too
- 3 far outside of his lane with investigations, and
- 4 that even after his cases were referred to
- 5 others, because they were not part of his role,
- 6 he was not inclined to let go of control? Had
- 7 you ever heard that criticism of him as of
- 8 August 3, 2018?
  - A. Not that I can remember.
- 10 Q. Were you aware of any investigation
- 11 Yusuf Mohamed made into Mr. Hansen following
- 12 August 3, 2018?
- 13 A. I was not aware of any investigation 14 conducted by Yusuf Mohamed. The only
- 15 investigation that I was aware of was conducted
- 16 by Valerie Workman.
- 17 Q. And is that -- when you say -- was it 18 made by legal counsel?
- 19 A. I'm sorry, I don't know what you're 20 referring to.
- Q. Okay. What investigation do you know was made by Valerie Workman?
- A. Again, as the company representative, my understanding is that Valerie Workman
- 25 conducted an inquiry into the allegations made by

```
Page 367
                                                                                                         Page 368
 1
        Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
                                                          1
                                                                 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
 2
     Karl Hansen at some point after those allegations
                                                          2
                                                              I'm offering them now.
 3
     were raised.
                                                          3
                                                                         MS. DUNNE: No objection.
 4
            Q. And do you know when that
                                                          4
                                                                         JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. They're in.
 5
     investigation commenced?
                                                          5
                                                                         (Whereupon, Exhibit 208 was
 6
            A. I do not.
                                                          6
                                                              received.)
 7
            Q. Do you know what triggered the
                                                          7
                                                              BY MR. WOODFIELD:
 8
     investigation?
                                                          8
                                                                     Q. And I'm going to submit to you that
 9
                                                          9
                                                              on August 23, 2018, that the interrogatory
            A. I do not know what triggered that
10
     investigation.
                                                         10
                                                              question was: Identify and explain in detail any
11
            Q. Let me show you what's marked as
                                                         11
                                                              complaints or allegations leveled against Hansen
                                                         12
12
     joint Exhibit 208.
                                                              when he was employed by any respondent or
13
                                                         13
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Before you move on,
                                                              thereafter. It starts with an objection.
                                                         14
                                                                         And then it says: On August 23,
14
     I'm not sure in my notes that 186 is in.
15
                Do you move to admit 186?
                                                         15
                                                              2018, Tesla received a report regarding Hansen's
                                                              behavior from Hansen's colleague Kenneth Davis.
16
                MR. WOODFIELD: I do move to admit
                                                         16
17
     186.
                                                         17
                                                              Davis reported witnessing Hansen violating Tesla
18
                MS. DUNNE: No objection.
                                                         18
                                                              policies including involving third parties with
19
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. It's in.
                                                         19
                                                              his investigations and sending confidential Tesla
20
                (Whereupon, Exhibit 186 was
                                                         2.0
                                                              information to outside e-mail addresses to be
                                                              accessed by individuals not employed by Tesla.
21
     received.)
                                                         21
22
     BY MR. WOODFIELD:
                                                         22
                                                                         Davis expressed concerns about Hansen
23
            Q. These are Tesla's first interrogatory
                                                         23
                                                              being temporarily placed at the front desk of the
     responses, and I believe they were offered in
                                                         24
24
                                                              Gigafactory. Specifically, Davis cited the risks
                                                         25
25
     already and we jointly admitted them, but if not
                                                              of Hansen possibly having unrestricted access
                                                Page 369
                                                                                                         Page 370
1
        Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
                                                          1
                                                                 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
 2
     into Tesla's network coupled with his role as
                                                          2
                                                                         That's marked as Exhibit 183.
 3
                                                          3
     gatekeeper into the Gigafactory after Davis
                                                                     A. I'm sorry, what is the question?
 4
     became concerned about Hansen's erratic and
                                                          4
                                                                     Q. One of the causes is Exhibit 183,
 5
     unauthorized activities and involvement of
                                                          5
                                                              Mr. Kenneth Davis's August 23rd e-mail, the
 6
     activity -- of individuals outside of Tesla.
                                                          6
                                                              referenced e-mail here, August 23rd. Tesla
 7
                                                          7
                As a result of this report, Tesla
                                                              received a report regarding Hansen's behavior
 8
     reviewed Hansen's employee and contractor e-mail
                                                          8
                                                              from Hansen's colleague Kenneth Davis. I'm
 9
     accounts and found that he forwarded numerous
                                                          9
                                                              referring you to Exhibit 183. You said that one
10
     e-mails -- Tesla internal documents to his
                                                         10
                                                              of the causes was this e-mail; correct?
     personal e-mail address in violation of Tesla
                                                                     A. Again, I don't know all of the causes
11
                                                         11
12
     policies. During this review, Tesla also
                                                         12
                                                              of why the investigation was opened and why it
13
     determined that Hansen permanently deleted the
                                                         13
                                                              was conducted by Valerie Workman. Yes,
14
     contents of his sent items folders.
                                                         14
                                                              certainly --
15
                                                         15
                Do you know if this is the reason why
                                                                     Q. -- you understand?
     Mr. -- or why Tesla commenced its investigation
                                                         16
                                                                     A. Excuse me.
16
     into Mr. Hansen?
                                                         17
                                                                     Q. Is this one of them, as far as you
17
            A. I don't know if this was the reason.
                                                              understand?
18
                                                         18
19
     And again, I would have to speculate, but it
                                                         19
                                                                         MS. DUNNE: Objection. Let the
20
     would be maybe one of the reasons why Tesla was
                                                         20
                                                              witness finish answering the question before you
21
     looking into matters involving Mr. Hansen.
                                                         21
                                                              ask another.
22
            Q. And that is this e-mail, Kenneth
                                                         22
                                                                         JUDGE HOFFMAN: I agree.
23
     Davis's August 23, 2018 e-mail to Jeff Jones and
                                                         23
                                                                     A. Like I previously stated, I don't
                                                              know if this was -- e-mail was the cause of one
24
     Elon Musk: Karl Hansen, SEC whistleblower,
                                                         24
25
     immediate attention. Correct?
                                                         25
                                                              of the -- was one of the triggers that caused the
```

```
Page 371
                                                                                                        Page 372
1
        Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
                                                         1
                                                                Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
     investigation into Mr. Hansen's activities. I'm
                                                                        JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. Let's
 2
                                                         2
 3
                                                         3
                                                             see, we're in cross-examination, but this witness
    not sure. I was not a part of that
     investigation. I know that the investigation was
                                                             was going to be a joint witness. Is that true?
 4
                                                         4
                                                         5
 5
     conducted. I know what the end result of the
                                                                        MS. DUNNE: Yes.
                                                         6
 6
     investigation was, but I do not know what
                                                                        JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. So I guess,
7
     triggered it.
                                                         7
                                                             Mr. Robertson, you can go ahead and inquire. I
8
     BY MR. WOODFIELD:
                                                         8
                                                             want to caution you about leading too much. He's
9
                                                         9
                                                             going to be your witness as well as
            Q. You have no -- no one ever told you
10
     that Interrogatory 12 was wrong and that it
                                                        10
                                                             Mr. Woodfield's witness, so let's see how that
11
    needed to be withdrawn; correct?
                                                        11
                                                             goes.
12
           A. I'm sorry, that something was wrong
                                                        12
                                                                        Let's start with --
13
                                                        13
                                                                        MR. ROBERTSON: I will direct your
    with Interrogatory 12?
                                                        14
14
           Q. Yes, has anyone ever told you that?
                                                             caution to Ms. Dunne, because she's going to do
15
           A. Not that I can recall.
                                                        15
                                                             the question.
            O. And do you know who Nicole White is?
                                                        16
16
                                                                        JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yes, Ms. Dunne.
           A. Yes, I know who Nicole White is.
                                                        17
17
                                                                        MR. ROBERTSON:
                                                                                        Thank you,
           Q. And who is Nicole White?
18
                                                        18
                                                             Your Honor.
19
            A. Nicole White is an employee at Tesla.
                                                        19
                                                                        MS. DUNNE: Your Honor, can we just
20
            Q. And is she a senior manager in people
                                                        20
                                                             take five minutes before I start my examination?
    analytics and systems at Tesla, Inc.?
21
                                                        21
                                                             I will not contact the witness per our previous
22
           A. I don't know if that's her current
                                                        22
                                                             agreement with counsel.
23
    role, but that sounds right.
                                                        23
                                                                        JUDGE HOFFMAN: That's fine. Five
24
                MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any
                                                        24
                                                             minutes. We'll be back at quarter till the hour.
                                                        25
25
    further questions for this witness.
                                                                        MS. DUNNE: Thank you.
                                                Page 373
                                                                                                        Page 374
1
        Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
                                                                Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
                                                         1
2
                (Recess taken, 10:41 a.m. to
                                                         2
                                                             I think the -- our philosophy is the best way to
 3
     10:51 a.m. PDT)
                                                         3
                                                             protect the information is to not let it leave in
 4
                                                         4
                                                             the first place. So we spend a lot of time and
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Ms. Dunne, your
5
     opportunity to inquire.
                                                         5
                                                             effort on deterring any type of, you know, either
 6
                We're back on the record, Debbie.
                                                         6
                                                             malicious activity or negligent activity that
7
                                                         7
                MS. DUNNE: Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                             would put information and data at risk.
                       _____
8
                                                         8
                                                                        And if we can't deter people from
9
                        EXAMINATION
                                                         9
                                                             doing the things they need to in order to protect
10
                                                        10
                                                             our information, we have systems in place to
                                                             detect when policy violations are taking place or
11
     BY MS. DUNNE:
                                                        11
12
           Q. Good morning, Mr. Nocon.
                                                        12
                                                             when there's mishandling of confidential
13
                You testified before that your title
                                                        13
                                                             information, and that's where my technical
14
    with Tesla is senior manager of security
                                                        14
                                                             experts come in.
     intelligence; is that correct?
                                                        15
15
                                                                        And, you know, if neither of those is
16
            A. Yes, that's correct.
                                                        16
                                                             successful and somebody does either take
                                                             confidential information or leak information,
17
            Q. Can you explain to me what you do in
                                                        17
     that role?
                                                             I've got a team of investigators to fully delve
18
                                                        18
19
           A. Sure. So the mission of security
                                                        19
                                                             into the incident and take it to a logical
20
     intelligence is to protect Tesla's intellectual
                                                        20
                                                             conclusion.
21
     property, trade secrets, and confidential
                                                        21
                                                                    Q. And you mentioned the leaking of
22
     information. The team consists of trained
                                                        22
                                                             Tesla information. Does that include images of
23
     investigators, analysts, and forensic experts to
                                                        23
                                                             Tesla facilities?
24
     deploy different -- a bunch of different measures
                                                        24
                                                                    A. That can at times. I mean, it would
25
     in order to protect Tesla's information. I mean,
                                                        25
                                                             depend upon what the subject matter of that
```

box?

Page 375

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 picture is, but yes.

б

- Q. Okay. And would it involve the leaking of Tesla security records?
- A. Sure. I mean, any type of controlled record or controlled data, if that information was found to be making its way off of our networks, we would investigate those matters.
- Q. And you mentioned that your team is staffed in part by investigators. And can you explain to me the role that those investigators have as part of their day-to-day routine?
- A. Sure. So the investigators will initiate cases based on, you know, different types of circumstance. So in one case we may have a react -- a truly reactive investigation where something is reported in the media or social media, and it's clearly, you know, leaked information.

Our investigators will take that -- you know, leverage the facts that are available to them and work to identify who the source of that leaked information was.

We also have systems in place where we can conduct proactive inquiries across our

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

Page 376

- 2 network. And if employees or those with access
- 3 to the network are found to be, you know,
- 4 sending, you know, internal data outside of the
- 5 Tesla domain via e-mail or if they're uploading
- 6 it to cloud drives or if they are uploading it to 7 web mail, we are very good at detecting that and
- web mail, we are very good at detecting that and deciphering, you know, what is confidential
- 9 information and what is not and holding those

employees accountable.

- Q. Understood. And in your group, are you ever involved in reviewing security footage related to employee complaints of -- affect their personal property, like a water bottle or a lunch
  - A. Typically that's not going to fall within my team's purview, although we have on occasion had circumstances where we do review video footage. We've got access to do it, but we can also rely on others within the company whose responsibility it is to review that footage.
  - Q. Okay. So the responsibility to review video footage is in general separate from the investigation function of your team?
    - A. In general, yes.

Page 378

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Okay. You testified earlier that you 2 Mr. Nocon, I am showing you an

Page 377

had communications with Mr. Hansen regarding an alleged drug activity at the Gigafactory.

Do you recall that?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And can you please tell me about those communications?
- A. I believe the first time that it was raised to me, it was in person by Sean Gouthro. It was while we were conducting an investigation out at Gigafactory, Nevada, myself and Nick Gicinto and a couple of other employees of Nisos, that Mr. Gouthro came into the conference room, where me and Mr. Gicinto were sitting, and mentioned that there was some information that he wanted us to look at and assess and said that he'd be following up with an e-mail with that information.

I believe that that e-mail had Mr. Hansen's report about the narcotics trafficking attached to it, and that was the first time that I actually saw that information.

Q. Okay. I'm going to share my screen with you to show you an exhibit.

Mr. Nocon, I am showing you an exhibit that has previously been marked joint Exhibit 49 and admitted in this matter.

You testified before that in your history as a federal investigator, you had high level experience with investigations into drug activity; is that correct?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And so you were familiar with reports compiled by other federal agencies reviewing drug activity, such as the DEA?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And on this exhibit that I have in front of you, Exhibit 49, does this appear to be the type of record that would be created by the DEA?
- A. I mean, on its face? I mean, there's nothing that screams out to me that it was created by the DEA. I mean, typically any documents that are releasable documents generated by them would have a header that would say what agency they're coming from, that would have some sort classification or sensitivity marking at the top of it. You know, this looks like -- this

Page 380 Page 379 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 looks like a -- maybe like a journal or a blotter beginning with: I took the cartel investigation? 2 3 entry. 3 A. Let's see here. I took the cartel 4 4 investigation allegations seriously, but our I'm not sure. I'm not sure what I'm 5 looking at here. 5 independent efforts to corroborate his б Q. On the second page here, the final 6 conclusions were not successful. 7 line I've highlighted in yellow, and I'll zoom in 7 O. Can you read -- that's great, 8 for you. 8 actually. 9 Can you read that final line? 9 And you testified before that you 10 A. Sure. It says: Star, star, star, 10 were involved in those independent efforts; 11 report forwarded to Storey County SO and DEA. 11 correct? 12 Q. And so based on that final line, 12 A. In at least a part of it, yes. 13 13 would it appear to you that this report was Q. And in your role in those independent 14 generated by the DEA? 14 efforts, you were unable to corroborate 15 A. Likely not. I mean, if it had been 15 Mr. Hansen's allegations; correct? generated, there would be no reason to forward it 16 16 A. That's correct. 17 17 to them. Q. And you were unable to corroborate 18 Q. Okay. Thank you. 18 his conclusions? 19 And, Mr. Nocon, I'm going to go back 19 A. That's correct, which is why I'd 20 to Exhibit 186, which you had just been looking 20 asked them to further develop information if they at with Mr. Woodfield. 21 21 wanted to pursue it. 22 Can you see that? 22 Q. Understood. Thank you. 23 A. Yes, I can. 23 Mr. Nocon, you testified before that 24 24 in your role, you're involved in the Q. Okay. And on -- in the second 25 25 paragraph, can you please read the sentence investigation of exfiltration of Tesla data, Page 382 Page 381 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 including leaks; correct? 2 standards as our network. 3 A. That's correct. 3 Q. Okay. And if you -- if your team 4 4 Q. Can you explain in layman's terms determines that data was exfiltrated, what steps 5 exfiltration of data to the extent you feel you 5 do you take? 6 have not fully covered it already? 6 A. So it depends upon the case, but 7 7 A. Sure. So any files or data that are generally speaking, we will, after getting 8 Tesla work product and that are on Tesla's 8 permission from counsel --9 systems are Tesla property. There are times 9 Let me back up. 10 when, you know, people will send Tesla files or So initially what we'll receive is an 10 11 Tesla data to an external e-mail address, like a 11 alert. And these alerts are set up to monitor 12 Gmail address or, you know, a Yahoo address, and 12 logs and metadata that's collected across our 13 it contains that information. And on its face, 13 networks. 14 it can be evident that that information is being 14 Once we receive an alert that moved off for something other than a work 15 15 somebody has engaged in what could potentially be 16 a policy violation, we'll work with legal counsel 16 purpose. 17 And obviously, there are instances 17 in order to get permission to pull the original where sending data off the network is going to be 18 18 e-mail or pull an original file from an 19 authorized, if you're interacting with a 19 employee's either e-mail account or from their 20 customer, you're interacting with a vendor. So 20 computer. 21 what my team is specifically looking for and what 21 Yeah, this is done at an abundance of 22 we classify as exfiltration is, you know, that 22 caution. You know, it's Tesla property. We let 23 data that's moving outside of Tesla's control 23 everybody in our company know that their

24

25

onto networks that we don't -- are not familiar

with and that may not be up to the same security

24

25

activities are subject to monitoring. But just

to make sure that, you know, we follow process,

Page 383 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 it's always done with legal concurrence. 2 we'll take appropriate work action. 3 We'll then review the file or review 3 And by we, I mean the collective we. the e-mail, see if it truly is confidential 4 4 Our team doesn't actually do the action. 5 5 information and does not constitute personal Q. Understood. I'd like to direct your б information or other types of data that is not 6 attention to Exhibit 42. I'm pulling it up on 7 sensitive. And if it is confidential, sensitive, 7 the screen now. 8 proprietary, intellectual property, we then will 8 It's just loading. One minute, 9 make contact with legal, employment legal, Human 9 please. 10 Resources, and then the employee's manager. 10 MS. DUNNE: And this exhibit has not 11 And at that time we'll let them know 11 been entered into evidence yet. Nick, do you 12 what we found. We will come up with a game plan, 12 have any objection? 13 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. depending upon what was disclosed, to determine, 14 all right, if the person doesn't have a 14 BY MS. DUNNE: 15 legitimate reason for why they moved this off, 15 Q. Okay. Mr. Nocon, can you please tell you know, what is the potential action that's me the date on this e-mail? 16 16 17 going to be taken, is the person going to be put 17 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 42 is in. 18 on administrative leave, are they going to be 18 (Whereupon, Exhibit 42 was received.) 19 terminated, are they going to get a final written 19 BY MS. DUNNE: 20 warning. 20 Q. Mr. Nocon, can you please tell me the 21 And then once that's been 21 date of this e-mail? 22 established, we'll interview the employee or the 22 A. Yes. It says Tuesday, July 3, 2018. 23 contractor, try to get an explanation for why 23 Q. And can you please tell me the sender 24 they took the actions they did. And then, based 24 and recipient of this e-mail? 25 25 on the results of that, that interview, you know, A. The sender was Karl Hansen. And Page 385 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 that's kahansen@tesla.com. Recipient is Karl 2 was a badging record. 3 Hansen, and that's sa6892@gmail.com. 3 Are badging records accessible to the 4 4 Q. Thank you. Tesla employee population as a whole? 5 And this exhibit has -- or this 5 A. No. Badging records are restricted 6 e-mail has five attachments. I'm going to keep 6 to those who need to have access to those badging 7 7 scrolling because they are larger. records. 8 So these are the attachments to this 8 Q. Okay. And you testified before that 9 e-mail. And can you see this attachment? I can 9 10 blow it up if you need me to. 10 11 A. Yes, I can see it. 11 12 O. Okay. And this attachment was sent 12 13 13

from a Tesla e-mail address to a Gmail address. And would the sending of a file such

as this trigger an exfiltration -- would the sending of this exhibit be exfiltration of data? A. Yes. I mean, it meets the criteria that there are files or data that are being sent

from a Tesla e-mail address to an external e-mail address.

- Q. And are you familiar with this specific attachment, this type of document?
  - A. On its face, I am not.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 Q. Okay. We have learned through 25 testimony in this proceeding that the attachment

forwarding restricted access documents would be considered exfiltration of data; correct? A. Correct. And above and beyond that, I mean, forwarding this type of information, it's a little bit small, but if it has personally identifiable information on it is also something that's, you know, looked at being very sensitive. You know, if PII -- or personally identifiable

Page 384

Page 386

16 17 information is forwarded off the network, that sometimes can trigger, you know, other types of 18

19 investigations and disclosures by our privacy 20

21

14

15

22

23

Q. Understood. I'm going to direct your attention to Exhibit 99. Exhibit 99 has previously been entered into evidence in this matter.

24 25

And, Mr. Nocon, could you please tell

Page 388 Page 387 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 me the date of this e-mail? 2 If we were to get an alert, which I 3 A. The e-mail is labeled with Thursday, 3 assume, given the fact that these are all picture 4 April 26, 2018. 4 files, that this would be a relatively large 5 Q. And can you please tell me who the 5 e-mail, our team likely would receive an alert on б sender of this e-mail is? 6 this. Seeing this many files moving on an 7 A. The sender was Karl Hansen. And 7 externally-directed e-mail would cause us to 8 that's kahansen@tesla.com. investigate further and make a determination 9 Q. And can you please tell me two 9 based on the content of those files. 10 recipients of this e-mail? 10 Q. Understood. And if they were 11 A. One of the recipients is Karl Hansen photographs taken from inside of the Gigafactory, 11 at the same tesla.com e-mail address I previously would that constitute exfiltration or leaking of 12 12 13 13 Tesla information? stated. 14 14 A. Potentially, depending upon the The other recipient is listed as Karl 15 Hansen, with the e-mail address sa6892@gmail.com. 15 content of those photos. But in addition to Q. And this exhibit appears to have a that, it would also be a violation of Tesla 16 16 17 number of attachments. 17 policy regarding photography inside our And while it does not have the 18 18 factories. 19 attachments, it was not produced with the 19 Q. Okay. And is footage to the Tesla 20 attachments actually available, based on your 20 security camera system restricted access? review of the listing of attachments, does this 21 21 A. Yes, it is. 22 appear to be Tesla proprietary or confidential 22 Q. And so forwarding any restricted 23 information? 23 access document or information constitutes 24 A. I mean, I think that it's difficult 24 exfiltration of data; correct? 25 25 to assess by based on just the file names. A. Correct. I mean, those -- again, the Page 389 Page 390 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 files, whether it's, you know, security camera 2 Q. I'm going to zoom in on the 3 footage or pictures, you know, that may be Tesla 3 attachments. 4 4 property. And if that is Tesla property that's And can you tell what these first two 5 being sent off, that is considered exfiltration 5 attachments are? 6 of that data. 6 A. They appear to be picture files. You 7 7 Q. Okay. I'm now going to show you know, looking closely at the screen, I mean, that 8 Exhibit 92. 8 appears -- it appears to be security camera 9 And if you could be so kind as to 9 footage, like a snapshot of a security camera. again let me know the date of Exhibit 92. Q. Okay. And then these next 10 10 11 A. Sure. The date is Monday, June 18, 11 attachments -- I'm going to zoom in, but I don't 12 2018. 12 want to destroy the quality. 13 Q. And who is the sender of this e-mail? 13 Can you tell what these attachments 14 A. The sender is Karl Hansen. And 14 are? that's kahansen@tesla.com. 15 15 A. I mean, they appear to be some sort Q. And who were the recipients of this 16 of employee or personnel record. 16 17 June 18th e-mail? 17 Q. And do they --A. I'm not sure what the --18 A. There are two recipients that are 18 19 listed. One is Karl Hansen at the same Tesla 19 Q. I apologize. Do they contain a 20 e-mail address that I just provided. Second 20 photograph of the employee? 21 recipient is Karl Hansen with the e-mail address 21 A. Yes, they contain photographs. 22 Q. And then these final several sa6892@gmail.com. 22 23 Q. And how many attachments does the 23 attachments, they do not have images like the 24 face of this e-mail state it contains? 24 others, but they have titles. Can you read the 25 A. It states 17. 25 title of this first attachment, that's a pdf

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 entitled "Herrera" is the first word?

A. Sure. The name of the file is

- A. Sure. The name of the file is
  Herrera, GustavoAccessDenied, Granted and Other
  Badge Events.pdf.
- Q. And would logs of badging information be available to Tesla employee population at large?
  - A. They would not be.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So these files would be restricted access?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And so the forwarding of these -files types such as these badge events would be considered the exfiltration of Tesla proprietary or confidential data?
- A. Yeah, if they were sent from the Tesla domain to an external account, yes.
- Q. Okay. And I believe you testified before that these were sent to a Gmail address?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. And so based on your review of this June 18, 2018 e-mail, does it fit the parameters of exfiltration of Tesla data?
  - A. Yes, based on what I can see here, it

Page 392

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

does.

- Q. You testified earlier with

  Mr. Woodfield that in August of 2018, you were

  then currently affiliated with the group that you

  now manage; correct?
  - A. Yes, that is correct.
- 8 Q. And at that time you were a Tesla 9 employee?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q. I'm going to show you an exhibit 12 marked as Exhibit 188.

MR. WOODFIELD: Your Honor, I've got the same continuing objection to this, in that I think it's a partial waiver. And I think that we've only gotten some exhibits, and so I -- I think that you can produce all of the exhibits or you can produce none of the exhibits, but you can't produce the ones that you like and not all of them.

JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, that's too general an objection on this to be very helpful, Mr. Woodfield. You are right, the

24 attorney-client privilege waived on some

25 categories is going to waive similar categories.

Page 394

Page 393

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

I'm not sure it waives everything. So, I mean, if your objection is that this document should not be introduced --

MR. WOODFIELD: My objection is that there was -- if you'll recall, following summary judgment, Tesla produced three e-mails and then in the last week it produced three additional e-mails, all of which are dated from the same date which is August 31, 2018. But you cannot use the attorney-client privilege as both a shield and a sword.

And if Ms. Workman is going to say the entire extent of her investigation is limited to these six e-mails, then that's fine, but if there's additional e-mails, then I think we've got a problem in that they can't come in because you can't offer just some of them and offer partial disclosure. And so I don't think it's equitable to allow Tesla -- to allow a partial offer of documentation.

So I would object to the admissibility of these documents, because I -- on the basis of the attorney-client privilege as an affirmative weapon at this point.

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, let me hear

3 from Ms. Dunne on this.

4 MR. ROBERTSON: Your Honor, may I -5 I know we've done the one witness, but on this
6 issue, obviously we've briefed it. So if I may
7 weigh in.

JUDGE HOFFMAN: Sure. Go ahead.

MR. ROBERTSON: Sure. I mean, we made this point obviously in our brief. The scope of what we're talking about is the discovery of a very specific violation of Tesla policy in the course of an investigation. The scope of the waiver that Mr. Woodfield --

personally you said we'd deal with this. I don't
think we're -- with this witness, we're

17 specifically, you know, he's being asked any

18 questions about issues where we're asserting 19 privilege. So we're not asserting privilege as

20 to this. This is a document that's at a point in

21 time.

22 Our point on the scope was always the 23 fact that -- you know, confirming that on

August 31st, this issue of the discovery of the fact that these e-mails have been exfiltrated was

Page 395
Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
uncovered, and there were communications about
those facts, and then that resulted in the
communication to USSA that Tesla no longer wanted
Mr. Hansen on their facility based on what was
uncovered.

To say that that opens up a waiver of -- you know, a broader waiver, A, we disagree with; but B, I don't think it's relevant to whether this particular document is admissible in the hearing on the specific issue of what was uncovered factually on August 31st.

JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. I understand the objection, Mr. Woodfield. I'm going to overrule the objection. I'm going to allow the exhibit to be considered at this time, and we'll see where this goes.

I'm sensitive to your objection and your concerns about fairness, and -- but I want to see how the testimony actually develops here.

MR. WOODFIELD: Thank you.

JUDGE HOFFMAN: Go ahead, Ms. Dunne.

23 BY MS. DUNNE:

б

Q. I'd like to direct your attention to the second paragraph in this e-mail starting

 $\begin{array}{c} & \text{Page 397} \\ \text{Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2} \end{array}$ 

compliance does not have the ability to pull e-mail from an employee's e-mail in-box. So, you know, like I stated, one of probably three people at the company at the time would have had to have pulled these particular e-mails.

What they would have done is looked for any e-mail to and from or the entire contents of, let's say, Karl Hansen's Tesla e-mail account, and they would extract that information into a PST file, which would then be provided over to, you know, Ms. Workman or somebody else from the compliance team in order to conduct a review.

- Q. Understood. And earlier you stated that your team regularly deals with the exfiltration of data by Tesla employees or Tesla contractors; correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And at times when exfiltration of data is identified and your review determines that in fact the data was exfiltrated, are employees terminated for exfiltrating Tesla data?
- A. Certainly there have been plenty of instances where employees have been terminated

Page 396 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day
with: As a result of the information.

3 And you can read this to yourself.

4 You don't need to read it out loud.

- A. Okay.
- Q. And were you -- was your group involved with reviewing Mr. Hansen's Tesla e-mail account?

A. So at the time that Ms. Workman was conducting her inquiry, my team would not have been able to review these particular -- these particular e-mails. You know, e-mails within the Tesla domain or access to employee e-mails within the Tesla domain are extremely restricted.

Currently, my team does have the ability to pull and review e-mails from Tesla employee e-mail in-boxes, but at the time we did not have that capability. It would have had to have come from one of a handful of other people that were working at the company at the time.

- Q. Understood. So did a member of your team or another individual within Tesla review Mr. Hansen's in-box?
  - A. So typically, for an investigation that's being conducted by our compliance team,

Page 398

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 for exfiltrating Tesla data, you know, based on evidence collected by my team's investigations.

- Q. And based your team's investigations, have contractors been removed from the Tesla site based on exfiltration of data?
- A. Certainly. Yeah, we've had instances where, you know, we identify somebody who has a Tesla e-mail account that is able to access the Tesla domain but may not be a Tesla employee.

If they're not a Tesla employee, we obviously don't have the ability to take any adverse work action on them as an employer, but we can certainly go to their employer and say that they're not welcome on our site anymore.

16 MS. DUNNE: I don't believe I have 17 any further questions. Might I take a two-minute 18 break off the record?

JUDGE HOFFMAN: Go ahead and take two minutes off the record to make sure that you don't have any more questions. Thank you.

22 MS. DUNNE: Thank you.

(Recess taken, 11:31 a.m. to

24 11:37 a.m. PDT)

25 MS. DUNNE: Back on the record,

Page 400 Page 399 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 Your Honor? 2 criminal records is not necessarily dispositive 3 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yes. 3 of -- or it's not -- it doesn't prove an absence 4 MS. DUNNE: Mr. Nocon, thank you so 4 of criminal activity; correct? 5 much for your -- did we lose the witness? 5 A. Absolutely. That's correct. б There he is. Okay. 6 Q. And, in fact, one of the issues at 7 Mr. Nocon, thank you so much for your 7 the Gigafactory that Mr. Hansen had been looking 8 time. I have no more questions at this time. 8 into was the theft of an ID machine; is that 9 JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. Time for 9 correct? 10 cross-examination by USSA. 10 A. I'm not aware of that. MS. LARGENT: No questions from USSA. Q. If there was a theft of an ID machine 11 11 and people were coming onto the Gigafactory Thank you, Mr. Nocon. 12 12 13 13 complex with fake IDs, assuming someone else's JUDGE HOFFMAN: Redirect to 14 identity, running background checks would be a 14 Mr. Woodfield. 15 15 fruitless activity, wouldn't it? MR. WOODFIELD: Yes, please. 16 -----16 A. I mean, obviously the checks would 17 EXAMINATION 17 only be as good as the information that was 18 \_\_\_\_\_ 18 coming in. 19 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 19 Now, I conducted the queries or had 20 Q. Mr. Nocon, you mentioned that you had 20 Nisos conduct those queries based on the your prior employer run a -- run background information I was presented. 21 21 22 checks on employees; correct? And contractors? 22 Q. Okay. But if there was a -- if one 23 A. On -- of the individuals identified 23 of the ID machines that -- that was -- one of the by Mr. Hansen, yes. vendors had been using had been compromised and 24 24 25 25 Q. All right. And the absence of there were IDs being produced that were not Page 401 Page 402 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 authentic, running background checks on those 2 for that data at the time in July of -- in August 3 individuals that were listed on those IDs, that 3 of 2018, would you have --4 4 wouldn't be dispositive of anything, correct? A. Yes. 5 A. I suppose not. But again, you know, 5 Q. -- the authority to ask for it? 6 the checks were conducted based on the A. Yes. I mean, we had asked for it 6 7 information that was provided. And the direction 7 pursuant to investigations. But I would run 8 wasn't -- or the assessment wasn't that there 8 that -- at the time our practice was that we 9 wasn't any criminal activity, it was that it just 9 would go to Todd Maron, who was the general 10 needed to be further developed. counsel, and, you know, request authorization in 10 11 Q. Okay. And you said that one of three 11 order to go into somebody's account. 12 people would have pulled the data for the 12 I don't know if Valerie, as part of 13 her investigation, as the head of compliance, e-mails; correct? 13 14 A. Yeah, three people that I'm aware of 14 would have had to go to her boss in order to ask that would have had access to those e-mail 15 15 for that permission. in-boxes at the time. 16 Q. Would Mr. Jones have had to ask for 16 17 Q. Okay. But you don't know who or when 17 permission? A. I don't know. I'm not sure. anyone actually pulled that data; correct? 18 18 19 A. If you're referring to the data that 19 Q. What about Mr. Musk? 20 was likely reviewed by Valerie Workman, no, I 20 A. I don't know. I don't know if that 21 don't know. 21 would be -- if there's any policy preventing him 22 22 Q. And you said someone fairly high up from requesting access to that data. 23 would have had to have asked for it. 23 Q. Now, you said there were other 24 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. 24 comparators that were -- that have been 25 Q. Well, you couldn't -- could you ask 25 terminated for exfiltrating data.

4

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

Page 403

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

Do you know if Tesla has progressive discipline policy?

A. I don't know what a progressive discipline policy is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Do you know if Tesla has a policy that on first offenses, people get some sort of lesser discipline than higher discipline, like, for example, a warning, et cetera?
- A. Certainly the company has different tools at its disposal. You know, our lawyers, especially our employment counsel, are very conscious of ensuring that whatever the action that we're taking is appropriate based on the circumstances and the evidence that's been collected.

But generally speaking, it's -- you know, our policy is if you violate, you know, the -- our nondisclosure agreements, if you violate the business code of ethics, for most violations it is up to and including termination. So it could be -- it could be a written warning; could be, you know, some sort of sanction; or it could be a termination of employment.

Q. Does it also depend upon mitigating

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day  $\overset{\text{Page 405}}{2}$  what their prior discipline record was such that it warranted discipline?

A. Sure. So around the same time as all of these e-mails that I've been shown today were being drafted and sent back and forth, we had an investigation into an individual in Draper, Utah, who had been posting threats on Twitter, that he was going to disclose confidential Tesla information.

We were able to investigate it to determine exactly which employee was associated with that particular Twitter account. And in looking at his background, we were able to see that he had moved a significant number of internal Tesla documents and data to his personal Google Drive account. That employee was subsequently terminated for his actions, and also he was --

- Q. What was --
- A. Excuse me?
  - Q. Sorry, go ahead.
- A. I was going to say, he was also

24 prosecuted by the Attorney General's Office in 25 Utah. Page 404 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

- 2 circumstances that you could not have any
- 3 discipline at all?
  - A. Certainly.
- Q. And so who makes that decision as to whether someone who exfiltrates data in violation of a policy receives no discipline or is
- 8 terminated potentially as a first offense? 9 A. That's great question. I mean,
- 10 ultimately, it's up to the business, whoever the 11 manager is of the employee to make the
- determination on what particular action is taken.

  Now, normally, the managers will
- Now, normally, the managers will follow the advice of counsel. And if counsel is
- 15 stating that -- you know, for a particular 16 offense that, you know, we generally terminate an
- 17 employee for committing this type of policy
- 18 violation, usually managers will follow that 19 counsel's quidance.
- Q. Can you tell me how many times people have been terminated for exfiltrating data?
- A. I can't tell you. I don't know that number off the top of my head.
- Q. Can you give me any specific examples of what data has been exfiltrated by someone and

Page 406

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

- Q. Was that because he was trying to extort the company?
  - A. Was the prosecution because he was trying to extort the company?
    - Q. Yes.
  - A. I don't believe he was ever actually trying to extort the company. He was just angry with the company and was threatening to disclose information. Now, in his capacity as an employee, he did have, you know, various claims that he was trying to make, you know, regarding, you know, money that he thought he was due. But it was not necessarily connected to what he was posting on Twitter.
  - Q. Okay. Mr. Hansen never asked for money from Tesla in exchange for documents, did he?
    - A. No, not that I'm aware of.
- 20 Q. And can you tell me any other 21 specific examples that you would hold up as a 22 comparator to Mr. Hansen?
  - A. Specific examples. Sure. So in 2019, we had a series of investigations that we were conducting regarding Tesla solar sales

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 407 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 advisors who were taking -- exfiltrating spreadsheets of potential lead -- leads for sales as they were departing Tesla. There had been a reorganization of our energy department. They ended up getting lumped in with our vehicle sales department. There was some discontent over changes to compensation structure along with that. So many of them were looking for other employment, and as part of that, they tried to take customer logs.

I can remember at least two examples where people took information that included customer PII that was stored within our systems. You know, similar to the employee or contractor PII that was in those screenshots that I saw earlier. And those individuals who were still employed at the time were exited as a result of taking that data, you know, with malicious intent.

- O. And what was the malicious intent that they were intending to use it for?
- 23 A. We would surmise to generate sales 24 leads with a new solar employer, because they 25 were all leaving for competitors.

Page 409 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 money from Tesla by sending the tweets talking 3 about money being owed and was -- that the Storey 4 County prosecutor had prosecuted, and then the 5 individual --

6 MS. DUNNE: Objection. You're 7 miss --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

10

13

14

15

16

17

8 Sorry.

9 BY MR. WOODFIELD:

O. And then the individuals who were taking the sales leads, correct? 11 12

MS. DUNNE: I'm going to object, mischaracterization of testimony with regard to the subject matter of the tweets.

JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. I understand the objection. I'm going to overrule the objection.

18 Mr. Nocon, if you can answer, please 19 do.

20 MR. ROBERTSON: Sure. So that isn't 21 what I previously testified. The tweets that 22 were made by that prior employee were simply 23 tweets that were made. I don't recall any 24 attempt to extort money out of the company as 25 part of those tweets.

Page 408 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

2 Q. And what was the intent that you 3 determined, if anything, that you determined that 4 Mr. Hansen was exfiltrating this data for?

A. That's a good question. I don't know -- I can't, obviously, read Mr. Hansen's mind. You know, it certainly is bad form to -well, not only bad form. It's also a violation of our policies to move investigations data and investigations records, you know, outside of Tesla's domain. Those are records that we're supposed to maintain for -- I believe it's ten years that we're supposed to maintain those internally. They certainly are confidential, you know, documents.

As to the why he would be doing that, I mean, I'm not sure. I don't know if -- what his intent would have been. It could have been trying to -- in an effort to further investigate on his own and maybe -- I don't know -- increase his bone fides with those that he was working with, but that would be pure speculation on my part.

Q. So the comparators that you had given us are the individual who was looking to extort

Page 410

1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 But yes, I mean, those are two 3 examples of many that my team has investigated, 4 you know, over the three-plus years that the team 5 has been in existence, involving, you know, Tesla 6 employees who have taken our property without 7 authorization and in violation of our policies 8 and have lost our employment as a result of it. 9 BY MR. WOODFIELD:

- Q. And can you point to any other employees who filed a complaint with the SEC and then were subsequently terminated other than Mr. Hansen?
- A. So maybe I'm misunderstanding, but I didn't believe that Mr. Hansen was terminated following his --

Q. Removed.

A. -- following his --

O. Removed.

A. So those exact set of circumstances? No, I can't recall any other that --

22 that we've had involvement where somebody has 23 gone to the SEC.

24 Q. How long does it normally take to 25 investigate someone? If Ms. Workman's e-mails

| l .  |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1  | Page 411<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  | 1  | Page 412<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   |
| 2  | are all on August 1st, does it typically take one  | 2  | Ms. Workman's notes, took place in one day.   |
| 3  | day to investigate someone and have them removed?  | 3  | A. I don't know if that's true or not.  |
| 4  | Is that how fast Tesla normally moves to remove  | 4  | Q. Well, do you know if it actually took  |
| 5  | someone?   | 5  | more than one day? Because all of her notes are   |
| 6  | A. It can. It can take hours. I mean,  | 6  | from one day.   |
| 7  | we've we've been able to identify, establish,  | 7  | Do you know if  |
| 8  | and remove somebody from the property extremely  | 8  | A. I don't know.  |
| 9  | quickly. I mean, but it depends upon the case.   | 9  | MR. WOODFIELD: I have no further  |
| 10   | There have also been circumstances where an  | 10   | questions.  |
| 11   | investigation may run months. You know,  | 11   | MS. DUNNE: I just have one brief  |
| 12   | depending upon, you know, what the circumstances   | 12   | follow-up, Your Honor.  |
| 13   | are.   | 13   |   |
| 14   | O. If the instruction comes from the   | 14   | EXAMINATION   |
| 15   | top, from, say, Mr. Jones, get this done today,  | 15   |   |
| 16   | that would be something that you could get done  | 16   | BY MS. DUNNE:   |
| 17   | today; correct?  | 17   | Q. Mr. Nocon, you just discussed  |
| 18   | A. Again, I think it would depend.   | 18   | potential ramifications of exfiltrating Tesla   |
| 19   | We've had investigations where Mr. Musk has had  | 19   | data as to Tesla employees with Mr. Woodfield; is   |
| 20   | direct visibility into what we were doing and we   | 20   | that correct?   |
| 21   | weren't able to resolve things in a day. I mean,   | 21   | A. Yes, that's correct.   |
| 22   | we moved as quickly as we can, but it is going to  | 22   | Q. And at this time, Mr. Hansen was a   |
| 23   | depend upon the circumstances of the   | 23   | contractor; is that correct?  |
| 24   | investigation.   | 24   | A. If by "this time" you mean when we   |
| 25   | Q. But this investigation, according to  | 25   | were looking into this matter? Or when the tech   |
|  |  |  |   |
|  | D 412  |  |   |
| 1  | Page 413 Hansen v. Flon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   | 1  | Page 414 Hangen v. Flon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  |
| 1  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  | 1  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   |
| 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?   | 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   |
| 2 3  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was   | 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor?  | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 EXAMINATION   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was  Mr. Hansen a contractor?  A. Yes, I believe so.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 EXAMINATION BY MR. WOODFIELD:   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter? Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And are contractors ever treated   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   EXAMINATION  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Does Ms. Workman what's her job?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter? Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Does Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter? Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Does Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter? Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Does Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter? Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee? A. Sure. I would say that with any   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Does Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter? Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee? A. Sure. I would say that with any investigation we conduct, if it's a contractor  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Does Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of compliance for Tesla.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor?  A. Yes, I believe so.  Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee?  A. Sure. I would say that with any investigation we conduct, if it's a contractor that's engaged in misconduct, as soon as we've   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Does Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of compliance for Tesla.  Q. Does she normally get involved in   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor?  A. Yes, I believe so.  Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee?  A. Sure. I would say that with any investigation we conduct, if it's a contractor that's engaged in misconduct, as soon as we've been able to establish that misconduct, we'll   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Does Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of compliance for Tesla.  Q. Does she normally get involved in work-a-day personnel matters?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was  Mr. Hansen a contractor?  A. Yes, I believe so.  Q. And are contractors ever treated  differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would  ask for termination of an employee?  A. Sure. I would say that with any investigation we conduct, if it's a contractor  that's engaged in misconduct, as soon as we've  been able to establish that misconduct, we'll  engage with the contracting company and ask   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  Description  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Does Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of compliance for Tesla.  Q. Does she normally get involved in work-a-day personnel matters?  A. No, I don't I can't speak to what  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor?  A. Yes, I believe so.  Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee?  A. Sure. I would say that with any investigation we conduct, if it's a contractor that's engaged in misconduct, as soon as we've been able to establish that misconduct, we'll engage with the contracting company and ask for typically ask for that person to be   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Does Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of compliance for Tesla.  Q. Does she normally get involved in work-a-day personnel matters?  A. No, I don't I can't speak to what her job responsibilities were at the time. I   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor?  A. Yes, I believe so.  Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee?  A. Sure. I would say that with any investigation we conduct, if it's a contractor that's engaged in misconduct, as soon as we've been able to establish that misconduct, we'll engage with the contracting company and ask for typically ask for that person to be removed.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  Does Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of compliance for Tesla.  Q. Does she normally get involved in work-a-day personnel matters?  A. No, I don't I can't speak to what her job responsibilities were at the time. I would imagine they were compliance issues.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor?  A. Yes, I believe so.  Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee?  A. Sure. I would say that with any investigation we conduct, if it's a contractor that's engaged in misconduct, as soon as we've been able to establish that misconduct, we'll engage with the contracting company and ask for typically ask for that person to be removed.  MS. DUNNE: Okay. Thank you. No  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Does Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of compliance for Tesla.  Q. Does she normally get involved in work-a-day personnel matters?  A. No, I don't I can't speak to what her job responsibilities were at the time. I would imagine they were compliance issues.  Q. When you say "compliance issues,"  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor?  A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee?  A. Sure. I would say that with any investigation we conduct, if it's a contractor that's engaged in misconduct, as soon as we've been able to establish that misconduct, we'll engage with the contracting company and ask for typically ask for that person to be removed.  MS. DUNNE: Okay. Thank you. No further questions for Tesla.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  Des Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of compliance for Tesla.  Q. Does she normally get involved in work-a-day personnel matters?  A. No, I don't I can't speak to what her job responsibilities were at the time. I would imagine they were compliance issues.  Q. When you say "compliance issues," what do you mean?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor?  A. Yes, I believe so.  Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee?  A. Sure. I would say that with any investigation we conduct, if it's a contractor that's engaged in misconduct, as soon as we've been able to establish that misconduct, we'll engage with the contracting company and ask for typically ask for that person to be removed.  MS. DUNNE: Okay. Thank you. No further questions for Tesla.  MR. WOODFIELD: One very quick  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  Does Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of compliance for Tesla.  Q. Does she normally get involved in work-a-day personnel matters?  A. No, I don't I can't speak to what her job responsibilities were at the time. I would imagine they were compliance issues.  Q. When you say "compliance issues."  what do you mean?  A. So the types of matters that we would  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor?  A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee?  A. Sure. I would say that with any investigation we conduct, if it's a contractor that's engaged in misconduct, as soon as we've been able to establish that misconduct, we'll engage with the contracting company and ask for typically ask for that person to be removed.  MS. DUNNE: Okay. Thank you. No further questions for Tesla.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Does Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of compliance for Tesla.  Q. Does she normally get involved in work-a-day personnel matters?  A. No, I don't I can't speak to what her job responsibilities were at the time. I would imagine they were compliance issues.  Q. When you say "compliance issues," what do you mean?  A. So the types of matters that we would refer to compliance would be if somebody if we   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor?  A. Yes, I believe so.  Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee?  A. Sure. I would say that with any investigation we conduct, if it's a contractor that's engaged in misconduct, as soon as we've been able to establish that misconduct, we'll engage with the contracting company and ask for typically ask for that person to be removed.  MS. DUNNE: Okay. Thank you. No further questions for Tesla.  MR. WOODFIELD: One very quick question in follow-up.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Does Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of compliance for Tesla.  Q. Does she normally get involved in work-a-day personnel matters?  A. No, I don't I can't speak to what her job responsibilities were at the time. I would imagine they were compliance issues.  Q. When you say "compliance issues."  What do you mean?  A. So the types of matters that we would refer to compliance would be if somebody if we have an employee who is maybe receiving kickbacks  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor?  A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee?  A. Sure. I would say that with any investigation we conduct, if it's a contractor that's engaged in misconduct, as soon as we've been able to establish that misconduct, we'll engage with the contracting company and ask for typically ask for that person to be removed.  MS. DUNNE: Okay. Thank you. No further questions for Tesla.  MR. WOODFIELD: One very quick question in follow-up.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Go ahead.                | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  Description  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of compliance for Tesla.  Q. Does she normally get involved in work-a-day personnel matters?  A. No, I don't I can't speak to what her job responsibilities were at the time. I would imagine they were compliance issues.  Q. When you say "compliance issues."  What do you mean?  A. So the types of matters that we would refer to compliance would be if somebody if we have an employee who is maybe receiving kickbacks from a contractor, conflict of interest   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor?  A. Yes, I believe so.  Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee?  A. Sure. I would say that with any investigation we conduct, if it's a contractor that's engaged in misconduct, as soon as we've been able to establish that misconduct, we'll engage with the contracting company and ask for typically ask for that person to be removed.  MS. DUNNE: Okay. Thank you. No further questions for Tesla.  MR. WOODFIELD: One very quick question in follow-up.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Go ahead.  * * *        | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  Des Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of compliance for Tesla.  Q. Does she normally get involved in work-a-day personnel matters?  A. No, I don't I can't speak to what her job responsibilities were at the time. I would imagine they were compliance issues.  Q. When you say "compliance issues.  Q. When you say "compliance issues."  what do you mean?  A. So the types of matters that we would refer to compliance would be if somebody if we have an employee who is maybe receiving kickbacks from a contractor, conflict of interest investigations. Matters involving, you know, |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 company was looking into the matter?  Q. Yes, in August of 2018, was Mr. Hansen a contractor?  A. Yes, I believe so.  Q. And are contractors ever treated differently in terms of the likelihood of asking for their removal perhaps sooner than you would ask for termination of an employee?  A. Sure. I would say that with any investigation we conduct, if it's a contractor that's engaged in misconduct, as soon as we've been able to establish that misconduct, we'll engage with the contracting company and ask for typically ask for that person to be removed.  MS. DUNNE: Okay. Thank you. No further questions for Tesla.  MR. WOODFIELD: One very quick question in follow-up.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Go ahead.  * * *  * * * | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  Des Ms. Workman what's her job?  A. She is, I believe, general counsel at Handshake now.  Q. Okay. What was her job in 2018?  A. I believe that she was the head of compliance for Tesla.  Q. Does she normally get involved in work-a-day personnel matters?  A. No, I don't I can't speak to what her job responsibilities were at the time. I would imagine they were compliance issues.  Q. When you say "compliance issues."  what do you mean?  A. So the types of matters that we would refer to compliance would be if somebody if we have an employee who is maybe receiving kickbacks from a contractor, conflict of interest  |

| 1  | Page 415<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   | 1  | Page 416<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   |
|--|---|--|---|
| 2  | Q. According to who?  | 2  | like to call Mr. German, but at this point, with  |
| 3  |   | 3  | that, we'll defer to Tesla to call Tesla's  |
| 4  | A. Reporting to regulatory bodies.  O. Like the SEC?  | 4  | witnesses.  |
| 5  | A. Potentially.   | 5  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. And from   |
| 6  | O. Okay. Thanks.  | 6  | Tesla, what's your thoughts here? Do you want to  |
| 7  | MR. WOODFIELD: No further questions.  | 7  | press on? Do you have a witness standing by, or   |
| 8  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. There being no   | 8  | should we break for lunch, or what do think?  |
| 9  | further questions of Mr. Nocon, I have no   | 9  | MR. ROBERTSON: Why don't we break   |
| 10   | questions. Thank you very much for your   | 10   | for lunch and we'll coordinate with our folks as  |
| 11   | testimony and you're excused and you can sign   | 11   | to who we can get on today. But we can probably   |
| 12   | off.  | 12   | use the time to figure out where everyone is.   |
| 13   | THE WITNESS: Thank you.   | 13   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Then let's   |
| 14   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Our next witness was   | 14   | break for about 30 minutes. So we'll be back at   |
| 15   | to be Mr. German.   | 15   | the half hour.  |
| 16   | Ms. Largent, anything new on that?  | 16   | MR. ROBERTSON: Perfect. Thank you,  |
| 17   | MS. LARGENT: We asked him to contact  | 17   | Your Honor.   |
| 18   | us if his job duties cleared and he became  | 18   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Thank you.   |
| 19   | available. We haven't gotten any update yet. We   | 19   | MR. WOODFIELD: Thank you.   |
| 20   | will keep everyone posted as soon as we hear  | 20   | (Recess taken, 11:57 a.m. to  |
| 21   | something with availability.  | 21   | 12:31 p.m. PDT)   |
| 22   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, in the sequence  | 22   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are we ready to  |
| 23   | of events, Mr. Woodfield, do you have anything  | 23   | proceed? I'm going to go ahead and let  |
| 24   | further from your case in chief?  | 24   | Ms. Ferrua in.  |
| 25   | MR. WOODFIELD: No. Obviously we'd   | 25   | ris. Pellua III.  |
|  | Mr. Wooding we a  | 23   |   |
|  |   |  |   |
| 1  | Page 417  | 1  | Page 418 Hansen v. Flon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  |
| 1  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   | 1  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   |
| 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2<br>Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  | 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2<br>A. I work in Austin, Texas, at   |
| 2 3  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay?  | 2 3  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at Gigafactory, Tesla.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello.  | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at Tesla?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay?  THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness.  All right, Deb, on the record.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started in 2018?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started in 2018?  A. Yes.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness. All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at  Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at  Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started  in 2018?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your current position at  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness. All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  JENNA RAE FERRUA,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at  Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at  Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started  in 2018?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your current position at  Tesla?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness. All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  JENNA RAE FERRUA, having been duly sworn,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at  Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at  Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started  in 2018?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your current position at  Tesla?  A. I'm a senior manager, HR.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness. All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  JENNA RAE FERRUA,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at  Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at  Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started  in 2018?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your current position at  Tesla?  A. I'm a senior manager, HR.  Q. How long have you held that title?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness. All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  JENNA RAE FERRUA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at  Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at  Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started  in 2018?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your current position at  Tesla?  A. I'm a senior manager, HR.  Q. How long have you held that title?  A. Since July 2020.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness. All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  JENNA RAE FERRUA, having been duly sworn,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at  Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at  Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started  in 2018?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your current position at  Tesla?  A. I'm a senior manager, HR.  Q. How long have you held that title?  A. Since July 2020.  Q. And before that, what was your title?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness. All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  JENNA RAE FERRUA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at  Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at  Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started  in 2018?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your current position at  Tesla?  A. I'm a senior manager, HR.  Q. How long have you held that title?  A. Since July 2020.  Q. And before that, what was your title?  A. Senior HR partner.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness. All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  JENNA RAE FERRUA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at  Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at  Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started  in 2018?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your current position at  Tesla?  A. I'm a senior manager, HR.  Q. How long have you held that title?  A. Since July 2020.  Q. And before that, what was your title?  A. Senior HR partner.  Q. Did you have any other titles while   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness. All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  JENNA RAE FERRUA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Good morning or afternoon,  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at  Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at  Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started  in 2018?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your current position at  Tesla?  A. I'm a senior manager, HR.  Q. How long have you held that title?  A. Since July 2020.  Q. And before that, what was your title?  A. Senior HR partner.  Q. Did you have any other titles while  you were at Tesla?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness. All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  JENNA RAE FERRUA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at  Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at  Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started  in 2018?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your current position at  Tesla?  A. I'm a senior manager, HR.  Q. How long have you held that title?  A. Since July 2020.  Q. And before that, what was your title?  A. Senior HR partner.  Q. Did you have any other titles while  you were at Tesla?  A. Yes. HR partner.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness. All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  JENNA RAE FERRUA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Good morning or afternoon, Ms. Ferrua, depending on where people are. A. Good afternoon.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at  Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at  Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started in 2018?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your current position at  Tesla?  A. I'm a senior manager, HR.  Q. How long have you held that title?  A. Since July 2020.  Q. And before that, what was your title?  A. Senior HR partner.  Q. Did you have any other titles while  you were at Tesla?  A. Yes. HR partner.  Q. Is that the somewhat natural  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness. All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  JENNA RAE FERRUA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. Good morning or afternoon,  Ms. Ferrua, depending on where people are. A. Good afternoon. Q. Can you state your full name for the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at  Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at  Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started  in 2018?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your current position at  Tesla?  A. I'm a senior manager, HR.  Q. How long have you held that title?  A. Since July 2020.  Q. And before that, what was your title?  A. Senior HR partner.  Q. Did you have any other titles while  you were at Tesla?  A. Yes. HR partner.  Q. Is that the somewhat natural  progression of an HR partner, senior HR partner,           |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness. All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  JENNA RAE FERRUA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Good morning or afternoon, Ms. Ferrua, depending on where people are. A. Good afternoon.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at  Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at  Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started in 2018?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your current position at  Tesla?  A. I'm a senior manager, HR.  Q. How long have you held that title?  A. Since July 2020.  Q. And before that, what was your title?  A. Senior HR partner.  Q. Did you have any other titles while  you were at Tesla?  A. Yes. HR partner.  Q. Is that the somewhat natural  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay?  THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're  going to go on the record now, and you'll be  sworn in as a witness.  All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  JENNA RAE FERRUA,  having been duly sworn,  testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Good morning or afternoon,  Ms. Ferrua, depending on where people are.  A. Good afternoon.  Q. Can you state your full name for the record, please.  A. Jenna Rae Ferrua. | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 A. I work in Austin, Texas, at Gigafactory, Tesla. Q. How long have you been an employee at Tesla? A. Four years and a month. Q. So if my math is right, you started in 2018? A. Yes. Q. And what is your current position at Tesla? A. I'm a senior manager, HR. Q. How long have you held that title? A. Since July 2020. Q. And before that, what was your title? A. Senior HR partner. Q. Did you have any other titles while you were at Tesla? A. Yes. HR partner. Q. Is that the somewhat natural progression of an HR partner, senior HR partner, senior manager? A. Yes.       |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Good afternoon, Ms. Ferrua. I'm  Judge Hoffman. Can you hear me okay?  THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Hello.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. We're going to go on the record now, and you'll be sworn in as a witness.  All right, Deb, on the record.  Please swear in the witness.  JENNA RAE FERRUA, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  EXAMINATION  O. Good morning or afternoon,  Ms. Ferrua, depending on where people are.  A. Good afternoon.  Q. Can you state your full name for the record, please.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. I work in Austin, Texas, at Gigafactory, Tesla.  Q. How long have you been an employee at Tesla?  A. Four years and a month.  Q. So if my math is right, you started in 2018?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your current position at Tesla?  A. I'm a senior manager, HR.  Q. How long have you held that title?  A. Since July 2020.  Q. And before that, what was your title?  A. Senior HR partner.  Q. Did you have any other titles while you were at Tesla?  A. Yes. HR partner.  Q. Is that the somewhat natural progression of an HR partner, senior HR partner, senior manager? |

17

18

19

20

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

action.

Page Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

A. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

- Q. So going back to 2018, can you just describe generally for the arbitrator what your day-to-day responsibilities were in your position as HR partner?
- A. Yes. My day-to-day job duties consisted of employee changes in the system, whether that be from pay changes to promotions to location changes, in addition to handling any employee issues and concerns that were raised. I would do the in-takes and I would be listening to the employees and working with my respective groups that I was overseeing at that time.
- Q. And in addition to employees, did you have involvement with any of Tesla's contractor relationships?
- A. If the organization had contractors, then we would work with the respective contractor's account manager.
- Q. And so, Ms. Ferrua, can you just generally explain how HR works with legal and compliance in connection with the potential either discipline or the potential decision with regard to an employee to either discipline them

Page 419 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

- Hansen V. Elon Musk Arbitration Day
- or terminate their employment?
- A. Yes. HR is anything that is employee facing, and we are there to support the business and be there for the business when any disciplinary actions come into place or if we need to do terminations, devotions, corrective

Page 420

Page 422

9 With compliance, right, compliance 10 ensures that the rules are being upheld within 11 the company, and then HR's job is to ensure that employees are following the rules within the 12 13 company. So what usually would happen is if 14 there were something that came up from a 15 compliance perspective and we needed to address the employee, compliance would reach out to HR 16

Q. And in your role, did you have -were you connected with any particular groups of employees or departments?

and then HR would connect with the employee.

- A. Yes. The -- at the time, in 2018, I oversaw -- sorry, I was the recruiting -- sorry, the HR partner for recruiting and security.
  - Q. And can you just generally explain what recruiting and security are?

Page 421

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

A. Yes. Recruiting essentially attracts the talent that we have for our company. They source, they go out and hunt essentially for talent and personnel at Tesla. And security protects the employees at Tesla.

Q. And we've heard the term -- prior in this proceeding, the term "recruiter" within Tesla.

Can you just generally explain what a recruiter does and what their responsibilities are?

A. Yeah. A recruiter would — they're in charge of X amount of requisitions that we have, which means how many, you know, open jobs that we have at Tesla. And therefore, they're assigned to sourcing and finding individuals that fit this job description based on their job qualifications.

Q. And in 2018 -- and you may or may not know this. This may not be a fair question.

But just generally, I mean, how many applications for employment at different positions within the groups you were involved with generally were there? Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

A. I don't know, but thousands, definitely, for each req that recruiters had to source through. And we had our own sourcing team, per req as well, requisition.

Q. Is it fair to say that the Tesla jobs were highly competitive?

A. Yes. Still are.

9 Q. And, Ms. Ferrua, do you recall having 10 any -- or the name Karl Hansen in 2018 coming to 11 your attention?

A. Yes.

Q. And let's go to Exhibit 157.
We're just pulling up a document,

15 Ms. Ferrua. It will take us a second.

So, Ms. Ferrua, I've put a document up on the screen. It's been identified as joint Exhibit 157. It's an e-mail exchange, it appears, between Richard Clark, Ricky Gecewich, and Jeff Jones and yourself.

Do you see that?

A. T do

Q. And again, taking us back -- and this is dated August 9, 2018; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Page 424 Page 423 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 Q. Do you recall who Richard Clark was 2 A. No, I do not. 3 Q. Okay. But you as an HR at that time? 3 4 A. I know he was a security contact for 4 representative were involved in these 5 5 university security. communications; is that correct? б Q. And when you say "university 6 A. Yes, that's correct. 7 7 security," what do you mean? O. And is that -- I'm sorry. Go ahead. 8 A. Sorry, the -- our contracted service 8 A. No, go ahead. 9 that we use, our third party for our service, 9 Q. I was just going to say, is that 10 security service. 10 fairly typical --Q. And at that time do you know who 11 A. Yes. 11 12 Q. -- to the extent that the contractor 12 Jeff Jones was? 13 A. Yes. He was the leader of security. 13 or employee is being asked to meet with someone O. And then Mr. Gecewich, what was his 14 from Tesla, that an HR person would be called? 14 15 15 A. Yes, and that's from the employer position? 16 A. He was an employee relations partner. 16 relations team. So any time they are reaching 17 Q. And this e-mail seems to indicate a 17 out to an employee, they have to include their 18 request by Mr. Gecewich to meet with Mr. Hansen. 18 respective HR partner. 19 Do you recall around the second week 19 MR. ROBERTSON: I'd move joint 20 of August there being a request by Mr. Gecewich 20 Exhibit 157 in. to meet with Mr. Hansen? 21 21 MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. 22 A. Not until seeing this e-mail. 22 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, 157 is in. 23 Q. Okay. So other than what's in this 23 (Whereupon, Exhibit 157 was 24 e-mail, you don't have any specific recollection 24 received.) 25 25 of this request to meet with Mr. Hansen? Page 425 Page 426 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 1 2 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 2 specifically our Tesla Code of Conduct and Code 3 Q. And, Ms. Ferrua, do you recall later 3 of Business and Ethics policy. 4 that month, in August of 2018, an issue coming up 4 And at the time, Yusuf, Valerie, 5 with regard to Mr. Hansen? 5 Jeff Jones, legal, HR, and the business 6 A. Yes. 6 collectively had decided that Karl needed to be 7 7 Q. And just to the extent you can, what removed from the site due to the policy 8 do you recall happened later in the month of 8 violations. 9 August 2018 with regard to Mr. Hansen? 9 Q. If we go to Exhibit 187. Joint 10 A. Yeah, I was brought in at the tail 10 Exhibit 187. end of this, where Yusuf Mohamed was our -- he 11 11 This appears to be an e-mail from you 12 was our managing counsel, he was the legal 12 to Yusuf Mohamed, Ms. Workman, Jeff Jones, dated person, and he had called to let me know that 13 13 August 31, 2018. 14 there was an investigation that was involving 14 Do you see this? 15 A. Yes. 15 Mr. Hansen, specifically that the -- he was exfiltrating, you know, confidential and 16 16 Q. And this is an e-mail from you to 17 proprietary documents from his Tesla account to 17 these folks on that date; is that right? his personal account. A. Correct. 18 18 19 The documents were anything from, 19 Q. It references a: Just spoke with Rick 20 like, badge records to photos within the factory, 20 from U.S. Security. 21 like, footage that we had from our security 21 Do you see that? 22 cameras and pictures of, like, a driver's license 22 A. Yes, I do see that. 23 23 that he had sent to himself outside of Tesla. Q. Do you know who that was? A. I don't know specifically, but it was 24 And that is a policy violation, when 24 25 employees do that, so -- and it violated 25 definitely Rick which would be the contact from

| 1  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  | 1  | Page 428 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  |
|--|--|--|---|
| 2  | U.S. Security.   | 2  | A. Yes. This was not asking to term.  |
| 3  | Q. And again, with you as the HR person,   | 3  | It was just saying we no longer want him to be  |
| 4  | was that standard practice with a contractor to  | 4  | working on our site due to the policy violations.   |
| 5  | reach out to your contact at the contractor?   | 5  | MR. ROBERTSON: I'll move 187 in.  |
| 6  | A. Yes. So that HR or Tesla, if  | 6  | MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  |
| 7  | there was ever any issues with our contractors,  | 7  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: 187 is in.   |
| 8  | it was always done by their company. Tesla never   | 8  | (Whereupon, Exhibit 187 was   |
| 9  | had any sort of disciplinary action or   | 9  | received.)  |
| 10   | termination conversations with the respective  | 10   | MR. ROBERTSON: Let's go to 189.   |
| 11   | employees. It was always done by their leader.   | 11   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 12   | Q. And how common and I know, again,   | 12   | Q. And while that document is being   |
| 13   | not a fair question, but does this happen from   | 13   | pulled up, Ms. Ferrua, you were not involved in   |
| 14   | time to time that contractor employees violate   | 14   | the actual underlying investigation, were you?  |
| 15   | Tesla policies and are instructed with regard to   | 15   | A. No, I was not.   |
| 16   | that particular claim?   | 16   | O. So whatever information you learned  |
| 17   | A. Yes.  | 17   | about the investigation came from Ms. Workman and   |
| 18   | Q. And do you know, does Tesla make any  | 18   | Mr. Mohamed?  |
| 19   | recommendation or determination with a contractor  | 19   | A. Correct.   |
| 20   | about the employees' employment with the   | 20   | Q. So I'm pulling up what's been  |
| 21   | contractor as opposed to just being located at   | 21   | identified as Exhibit 189. Joint Exhibit 189,   |
| 22   | Tesla?   | 22   | this appears to be an e-mail. At the bottom it  |
| 23   | A. No, our recommendation is just we   | 23   | looks to be from Mr. Jones to Matt German at  |
| 24   | want them removed from the site.   | 24   | U.S. Security and then rolls up to Mr. German to  |
| 25   | Q. And is that what happened here?   | 25   | Mr. Jones and yourself.   |
|  |  |  | -   |
|  |  |  |   |
| 1  | Page 429 Hansen v Flon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  | 1  | Page 430<br>Hansen v Flon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  |
| 1 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  | 1 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   |
| 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2<br>Do you see that?  | 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  |
| 2 3  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2<br>Do you see that?<br>A. Yes, I do.   | 2 3  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up   |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication which is dated September 4, 2018?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between Q. Either either or both.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between Q. Either either or both. A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between Q. Either either or both. A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I don't recall of who did it.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between Q. Either either or both. A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I don't recall of who did it. Q. But was it your understanding that as  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was received.)   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between Q. Either either or both. A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I don't recall of who did it. Q. But was it your understanding that as of September 4th, Mr. German had spoken to   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Pull up 42. I think   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between Q. Either either or both. A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I don't recall of who did it. Q. But was it your understanding that as of September 4th, Mr. German had spoken to Mr. Hansen and he would no longer be on the Tesla   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Pull up 42. I think it's already in.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between Q. Either either or both. A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I don't recall of who did it. Q. But was it your understanding that as of September 4th, Mr. German had spoken to Mr. Hansen and he would no longer be on the Tesla property?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Pull up 42. I think it's already in. BY MR. ROBERTSON:  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between Q. Either either or both. A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I don't recall of who did it. Q. But was it your understanding that as of September 4th, Mr. German had spoken to Mr. Hansen and he would no longer be on the Tesla property? A. Correct.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Pull up 42. I think it's already in. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. I'm pulling up document 42,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication Which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between Q. Either either or both. A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I don't recall of who did it. Q. But was it your understanding that as of September 4th, Mr. German had spoken to Mr. Hansen and he would no longer be on the Tesla property? A. Correct. Q. And did you ever personally have any   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Pull up 42. I think it's already in. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. I'm pulling up document 42, Ms. Ferrua, which is already in.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between Q. Either either or both. A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I don't recall of who did it. Q. But was it your understanding that as of September 4th, Mr. German had spoken to Mr. Hansen and he would no longer be on the Tesla property? A. Correct. Q. And did you ever personally have any direct interaction with Mr. Hansen?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Pull up 42. I think it's already in. BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. I'm pulling up document 42, Ms. Ferrua, which is already in. This is an e-mail dated July 3rd.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication Which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between Q. Either either or both. A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I don't recall of who did it. Q. But was it your understanding that as of September 4th, Mr. German had spoken to Mr. Hansen and he would no longer be on the Tesla property? A. Correct. Q. And did you ever personally have any direct interaction with Mr. Hansen? A. No.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Pull up 42. I think it's already in. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. I'm pulling up document 42, Ms. Ferrua, which is already in. This is an e-mail dated July 3rd. And appreciating that you are not on this e-mail,  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication Which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between Q. Either either or both. A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I don't recall of who did it. Q. But was it your understanding that as of September 4th, Mr. German had spoken to Mr. Hansen and he would no longer be on the Tesla property? A. Correct. Q. And did you ever personally have any direct interaction with Mr. Hansen? A. No. Q. Your only interaction was with USSA   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Pull up 42. I think it's already in. BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. I'm pulling up document 42, Ms. Ferrua, which is already in.  This is an e-mail dated July 3rd. And appreciating that you are not on this e-mail, I just want to ask you some questions about some   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication Which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between Q. Either either or both. A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I don't recall of who did it. Q. But was it your understanding that as of September 4th, Mr. German had spoken to Mr. Hansen and he would no longer be on the Tesla property? A. Correct. Q. And did you ever personally have any direct interaction with Mr. Hansen? A. No. Q. Your only interaction was with USSA to communicate Tesla's decision; is that right?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Pull up 42. I think it's already in. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. I'm pulling up document 42, Ms. Ferrua, which is already in. This is an e-mail dated July 3rd. And appreciating that you are not on this e-mail, I just want to ask you some questions about some of the attachments.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between Q. Either either or both. A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I don't recall of who did it. Q. But was it your understanding that as of September 4th, Mr. German had spoken to Mr. Hansen and he would no longer be on the Tesla property? A. Correct. Q. And did you ever personally have any direct interaction with Mr. Hansen? A. No. Q. Your only interaction was with USSA to communicate Tesla's decision; is that right? A. Yes. Correct.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Pull up 42. I think it's already in.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. I'm pulling up document 42, Ms. Ferrua, which is already in.  This is an e-mail dated July 3rd. And appreciating that you are not on this e-mail, I just want to ask you some questions about some of the attachments.  Do you know what a badging record is?      |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. Do you recall this communication  Which is dated September 4, 2018?  A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between  Q. Either either or both.  A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I don't recall of who did it.  Q. But was it your understanding that as of September 4th, Mr. German had spoken to  Mr. Hansen and he would no longer be on the Tesla property?  A. Correct.  Q. And did you ever personally have any direct interaction with Mr. Hansen?  A. No.  Q. Your only interaction was with USSA to communicate Tesla's decision; is that right?  A. Yes. Correct.  Q. And subsequent to this communication                                  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | A. Not that I can recall. Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189? MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor. MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was received.) MR. ROBERTSON: Pull up 42. I think it's already in. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. I'm pulling up document 42, Ms. Ferrua, which is already in. This is an e-mail dated July 3rd. And appreciating that you are not on this e-mail, I just want to ask you some questions about some of the attachments.  Do you know what a badging record is? A. Yes.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recall this communication  which is dated September 4, 2018? A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the  conversation between Q. Either either or both. A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I  don't recall of who did it. Q. But was it your understanding that as  of September 4th, Mr. German had spoken to  Mr. Hansen and he would no longer be on the Tesla  property? A. Correct. Q. And did you ever personally have any  direct interaction with Mr. Hansen? A. No. Q. Your only interaction was with USSA  to communicate Tesla's decision; is that right? A. Yes. Correct. Q. And subsequent to this communication  with USSA, do you recall any further | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189?  MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was received.)  MR. ROBERTSON: Pull up 42. I think it's already in. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. I'm pulling up document 42, Ms. Ferrua, which is already in. This is an e-mail dated July 3rd. And appreciating that you are not on this e-mail, I just want to ask you some questions about some of the attachments.  Do you know what a badging record is? A. Yes. Q. Can you just briefly describe what a |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. Do you recall this communication  Which is dated September 4, 2018?  A. Do I recall the e-mail or just the conversation between  Q. Either either or both.  A. The e-mail. But the conversation, I don't recall of who did it.  Q. But was it your understanding that as of September 4th, Mr. German had spoken to  Mr. Hansen and he would no longer be on the Tesla property?  A. Correct.  Q. And did you ever personally have any direct interaction with Mr. Hansen?  A. No.  Q. Your only interaction was with USSA to communicate Tesla's decision; is that right?  A. Yes. Correct.  Q. And subsequent to this communication                                  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | A. Not that I can recall. Q. Let me pull up JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are you going to move in 189? MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor. MR. WOODFIELD: No objection. JUDGE HOFFMAN: 189 is in. (Whereupon, Exhibit 189 was received.) MR. ROBERTSON: Pull up 42. I think it's already in. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. I'm pulling up document 42, Ms. Ferrua, which is already in. This is an e-mail dated July 3rd. And appreciating that you are not on this e-mail, I just want to ask you some questions about some of the attachments.  Do you know what a badging record is? A. Yes.   |

|       | D 421  | ı  | 2 420   |
|-------|--|----|---|
| 1     | Page 431<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  | 1  | Page 432<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 |
| 2     | tells us when an employee has physically badged  | 2  | (Recess taken, 12:52 p.m. to                        |
| 3     | in on-site. So they have to swipe in with their  | 3  | 12:55 p.m. PDT)                                     |
| 4     | badge and swipe out when they have left for the  | 4  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Back on.                             |
| 5     | day, whether it be a lunch break as well. That's   | 5  | MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you,                           |
| 6     | what a badging record shows us.  | 6  | Ms. Ferrua. I have nothing further. Appreciate      |
| 7     | Q. And does a badging record have  | 7  | your time.  |
| 8     | personal information about the individual, either  | 8  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Cross-examination                    |
| 9     | an employee or contractor?   | 9  | from Mr. Woodfield.                                 |
| 10    | A. Yes.  | 10 | MR. WOODFIELD: Thank you, sir.                      |
| 11    | Q. And would you consider the badging  | 11 |   |
| 12    | records of employees from an HR perspective to be  | 12 | EXAMINATION   |
| 13    | confidential information?  | 13 |   |
| 14    | A. Yes.  | 14 | BY MR. WOODFIELD:                                   |
| 15    | O. Confidential to Tesla?  | 15 | Q. Ms. Ferrua, my name is Nick Woodfield            |
| 16    | A. Yes.  | 16 | and I represent Mr. Hansen in this matter.          |
| 17    | Q. Would you consider it a violation if  | 17 | You said that you had a conversation                |
| 18    | an employee was removing badging records from the  | 18 | with Yusuf Mohamed about Mr. Hansen exfiltrating    |
| 19    | facility and sending them to their personal  | 19 | accounts. When was that conversation?               |
| 20    | e-mail?  | 20 | A. August 31, 2018.                                 |
| 21    | A. Yes.  | 21 | Q. And do you know when Mr. Mohamed                 |
| 22    | MR. ROBERTSON: Your Honor, can I   | 22 | first investigated anything about Mr. Hansen,       |
| 23    | just have literally the obligatory two minutes?  | 23 | anything Mr. Hansen might have done?                |
| 24    | I think I'm done, but let me make sure.  | 24 | A. I don't.   |
| 25    | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Go ahead.   | 25 | Q. Was August 31st the first time                   |
|       | obbil lorring. Go dieda.   |    | v. Has ragase size the first time                   |
| 1     | Page 433<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  | 1  | Page 434<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 |
| 2     | Mr. Mohamed reached out to you?  | 2  | A. Yes.   |
| 3     | A. Yes.  | 3  | Q. Do you normally have calls with the              |
| 4     | Q. And in 2018, how many employees were  | 4  | assistant or the deputy general counsel, head       |
| 5     | there at Tesla as far as you know? Overall, in   | 5  | of compliance and global head of security to make   |
| 6     | the company.   | 6  | a termination decision?                             |
| 7     | A. I don't remember exactly, but we had  | 7  | A. Sometimes.                                       |
| 8     | just gone through some RIFs. 70,000?   | 8  | Q. And what sort of "sometimes" warrant             |
| 9     |  | 9  | that kind of situation for someone who's an         |
| l     | -  | 10 | hourly security guard?                              |
| 10    |  |    |   |
| 11 12 | Q. Okay. And you said that there was a call. And Jeff Jones, what was his title at the   | 11 | A. It was based on business groups they             |
| 1     |  | 12 | supported. So it was the same structure like HR.    |
| 13    | time?  | 13 | We had different business groups that we            |
| 14    | A. Director of security.   | 14 | supported.  |
| 15    | Q. In fact, wasn't his title at the time   | 15 | Q. Do you how many times did you                    |
| 16    | global head of security?   | 16 | speak with Mr. Jones in 2018, do you think?         |
| 17    | A. That makes sense. We changed titles   | 17 | A. A lot. I was his HR partner for his              |
| 18    | a little bit.  | 18 | organization.                                       |
| 19    | Q. And Valerie Workman, her title at the   | 19 | Q. How many terminations did you work on            |
| 20    | time was chief of or of compliance?  | 20 | with him, do you think?                             |
| 21    | A. Head of compliance.   | 21 | A. A lot as well. I don't have the                  |
| 22    | Q. And then Yusuf's title, he was what?  | 22 | exact number.                                       |
| 23    | A. He was DGC, deputy general counsel.   | 23 | Q. When you say "a lot," are you talking            |
| 1 ')/ | Q. And Mr. Hansen was a contractor,  | 24 | about like 200? Are you talking about 5? What's     |
| 24    | hands a made a later and the same and the sa |    | - 1-+0  |
| 25    | hourly security guard in Reno, Nevada; right?  | 25 | a lot?  |

| 1  | Page 435<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   | 1  | Page 436<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   |
|--|---|--|---|
| 2  | A. I would say it was 150.  | 2  | if they were taking documents and sending it to   |
| 3  | Q. And how many terminations did you  | 3  | their themselves. I mean, even if it could  |
| 4  | work on with Valerie Workman?   | 4  | be maybe theft as well. It was anything   |
| 5  | A. In 2018?   | 5  | really that was violating our policy at the   |
| 6  | Q. Yes.   | 6  | company.  |
| 7  | A. Or in total?   | 7  | Q. So anything violating a policy at the  |
| 8  | O. In 2018.   | 8  | company, you would go to compliance?  |
| 9  | A. I can't remember.  | 9  | A. Sometimes, yes.  |
| 10   | Q. Well, give me a ballpark. Like one?  | 10   | Q. With 70,000 people, anybody violating  |
| 11   | A. Five.  | 11   | a policy went to compliance?  |
| 12   | Q. Okay. Five. And you how many   | 12   | A. Sometimes.   |
| 13   | times did you work with her in total on   | 13   | Q. Tell me what I'm trying to figure  |
| 14   | terminations?   | 14   |   |
| 15   | A. I would say the same five. If it was   | 15   | out how that's workable over a tenure of years,<br>because people what policies escalate to   |
|  | <del>-</del>  | 16   | compliance, someone of Ms. Workman's level?   |
| 16   | regarding compliance and investigation that she   |  | -   |
| 17   | was investigating, then she would be a part of  | 17   | A. If there were a lot of it was  |
| 18   | that discussion.  | 18   | related to cyber, anything that was happening   |
| 19   | Q. So Mr. Hansen and four other people  | 19   | that could have been exposing Tesla.  |
| 20   | would be the compliance issues.   | 20   | Anything that we had that you   |
| 21   | And when you say "compliance issues,"   | 21   | know, if there was like training material that  |
| 22   | that's like SEC compliance issues; right?   | 22   | was being shared to other businesses, if there  |
| 23   | A. No, it's anything that is if it  | 23   | was yeah, any like tax violations; but a lot  |
| 24   | violated our policy as it pertained to like any   | 24   | of it at the time in 2018 from what I was a part  |
| 25   | sort of regulatory trade or anything that was   | 25   | of was employees that were taking documents that  |
|  |   |  |   |
|  | Page 437  |  | Page 438  |
| 1  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   | 1  | Page 438<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   |
| 1<br>2   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was  | 1 2  | =   |
|  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it   |  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   |
| 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was  | 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.   |
| 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it   | 2 3  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2<br>we had or taking anything that was<br>confidential to Tesla and they were sending it<br>outside of Tesla. Because the perception was   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD: Q. You can go ahead.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD: Q. You can go ahead. A. I don't remember exactly. There was  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps,  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD: Q. You can go ahead. A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  Q. Do you remember whether  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with Jeff Jones and Valerie Workman and Yusuf Mohamed   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  Q. Do you remember whether  Martin Tripp do you remember if Martin Tripp  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with Jeff Jones and Valerie Workman and Yusuf Mohamed on a termination?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  Q. Do you remember whether  Martin Tripp do you remember if Martin Tripp was someone that Tesla prosecuted subsequently?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with Jeff Jones and Valerie Workman and Yusuf Mohamed on a termination?  A. I can't remember. Quite a bit.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  Q. Do you remember whether  Martin Tripp do you remember if Martin Tripp was someone that Tesla prosecuted subsequently?  A. I don't know.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with Jeff Jones and Valerie Workman and Yusuf Mohamed on a termination?  A. I can't remember. Quite a bit. Q. Like quite a bit would be like 70?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  Q. Do you remember whether  Martin Tripp do you remember if Martin Tripp was someone that Tesla prosecuted subsequently?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did anyone ever tell that you Mr   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with Jeff Jones and Valerie Workman and Yusuf Mohamed on a termination?  A. I can't remember. Quite a bit.  Q. Like quite a bit would be like 70? Or 100? Or 1?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD: Q. You can go ahead. A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had. Q. Do you remember whether  Martin Tripp do you remember if Martin Tripp was someone that Tesla prosecuted subsequently? A. I don't know. Q. Did anyone ever tell that you Mr that Mr. Hansen had filed a what's called a  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with Jeff Jones and Valerie Workman and Yusuf Mohamed on a termination?  A. I can't remember. Quite a bit. Q. Like quite a bit would be like 70? Or 100? Or 1?  Other than Mr. Hansen, can you name   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  Q. Do you remember whether  Martin Tripp do you remember if Martin Tripp was someone that Tesla prosecuted subsequently?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did anyone ever tell that you Mr that Mr. Hansen had filed a what's called a TCR, a tips complaints a TCR, a document or a   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with Jeff Jones and Valerie Workman and Yusuf Mohamed on a termination?  A. I can't remember. Quite a bit.  Q. Like quite a bit would be like 70? Or 100? Or 1?  Other than Mr. Hansen, can you name one other person you worked with them on?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  Q. Do you remember whether  Martin Tripp do you remember if Martin Tripp was someone that Tesla prosecuted subsequently?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did anyone ever tell that you Mr that Mr. Hansen had filed a what's called a TCR, a tips complaints a TCR, a document or a report with the SEC?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | <pre>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with Jeff Jones and Valerie Workman and Yusuf Mohamed on a termination?  A. I can't remember. Quite a bit.  Q. Like quite a bit would be like 70? Or 100? Or 1?  Other than Mr. Hansen, can you name one other person you worked with them on?  A. In 2018?</pre>  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  Q. Do you remember whether  Martin Tripp do you remember if Martin Tripp was someone that Tesla prosecuted subsequently?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did anyone ever tell that you Mr that Mr. Hansen had filed a what's called a TCR, a tips complaints a TCR, a document or a report with the SEC?  A. Not that I can recall.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with Jeff Jones and Valerie Workman and Yusuf Mohamed on a termination?  A. I can't remember. Quite a bit.  Q. Like quite a bit would be like 70? Or 100? Or 1?  Other than Mr. Hansen, can you name one other person you worked with them on?  A. In 2018?  Q. Yeah.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  Q. Do you remember whether  Martin Tripp do you remember if Martin Tripp was someone that Tesla prosecuted subsequently?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did anyone ever tell that you Mr that Mr. Hansen had filed a what's called a TCR, a tips complaints a TCR, a document or a report with the SEC?  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Did Ms. Workman ever tell you that he   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with Jeff Jones and Valerie Workman and Yusuf Mohamed on a termination?  A. I can't remember. Quite a bit.  Q. Like quite a bit would be like 70? Or 100? Or 1?  Other than Mr. Hansen, can you name one other person you worked with them on?  A. In 2018?  Q. Yeah.  A. I can't remember the names  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  Q. Do you remember whether  Martin Tripp do you remember if Martin Tripp was someone that Tesla prosecuted subsequently?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did anyone ever tell that you Mr that Mr. Hansen had filed a what's called a TCR, a tips complaints a TCR, a document or a report with the SEC?  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Did Ms. Workman ever tell you that he had raised allegations with the SEC saying that   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 we had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with Jeff Jones and Valerie Workman and Yusuf Mohamed on a termination?  A. I can't remember. Quite a bit.  Q. Like quite a bit would be like 70? Or 100? Or 1?  Other than Mr. Hansen, can you name one other person you worked with them on?  A. In 2018?  Q. Yeah.  A. I can't remember the names specifically.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  Q. Do you remember whether  Martin Tripp do you remember if Martin Tripp was someone that Tesla prosecuted subsequently?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did anyone ever tell that you Mr that Mr. Hansen had filed a what's called a TCR, a tips complaints a TCR, a document or a report with the SEC?  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Did Ms. Workman ever tell you that he had raised allegations with the SEC saying that things should be reported to shareholders?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | We had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with Jeff Jones and Valerie Workman and Yusuf Mohamed on a termination?  A. I can't remember. Quite a bit.  Q. Like quite a bit would be like 70?  Or 100? Or 1?  Other than Mr. Hansen, can you name one other person you worked with them on?  A. In 2018?  Q. Yeah.  A. I can't remember the names specifically.  Q. Can you remember the names of anyone  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  Q. Do you remember whether  Martin Tripp do you remember if Martin Tripp was someone that Tesla prosecuted subsequently?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did anyone ever tell that you Mr that Mr. Hansen had filed a what's called a TCR, a tips complaints a TCR, a document or a report with the SEC?  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Did Ms. Workman ever tell you that he had raised allegations with the SEC saying that things should be reported to shareholders?  A. Not that I can recall.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | We had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with Jeff Jones and Valerie Workman and Yusuf Mohamed on a termination?  A. I can't remember. Quite a bit.  Q. Like quite a bit would be like 70?  Or 100? Or 1?  Other than Mr. Hansen, can you name one other person you worked with them on?  A. In 2018?  Q. Yeah.  A. I can't remember the names specifically.  Q. Can you remember the names of anyone ever that you worked with Ms. Workman, Mr. Jones,  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  Q. Do you remember whether  Martin Tripp do you remember if Martin Tripp was someone that Tesla prosecuted subsequently?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did anyone ever tell that you Mr that Mr. Hansen had filed a what's called a TCR, a tips complaints a TCR, a document or a report with the SEC?  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Did Ms. Workman ever tell you that he had raised allegations with the SEC saying that things should be reported to shareholders?  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Is that something you would have  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | We had or taking anything that was confidential to Tesla and they were sending it outside of Tesla. Because the perception was that was not okay to do that.  There had to be a bad reason if it was being sent outside of Tesla to a personal account.  Q. How many times did you work with Jeff Jones and Valerie Workman and Yusuf Mohamed on a termination?  A. I can't remember. Quite a bit.  Q. Like quite a bit would be like 70?  Or 100? Or 1?  Other than Mr. Hansen, can you name one other person you worked with them on?  A. In 2018?  Q. Yeah.  A. I can't remember the names specifically.  Q. Can you remember the names of anyone ever that you worked with Ms. Workman, Mr. Jones, Mr. Mohamed, where you brought that kind of fire | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 the characterization.  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. You can go ahead.  A. I don't remember exactly. There was a couple of names that Martin Tripp, perhaps, was a name. I really can't recall some of the names that we've had.  Q. Do you remember whether  Martin Tripp do you remember if Martin Tripp was someone that Tesla prosecuted subsequently?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did anyone ever tell that you Mr that Mr. Hansen had filed a what's called a TCR, a tips complaints a TCR, a document or a report with the SEC?  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Did Ms. Workman ever tell you that he had raised allegations with the SEC saying that things should be reported to shareholders?  A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Is that something you would have wanted to know, that he had filed an SEC TCR two |

Page 440 Page 439 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Title VII is, right? And I don't want to be 2 violated the policy. We just need to know if he 2 flip. You're HR, you know what Title VII 3 didn't comply to Tesla's... 3 4 Q. So, like, if someone had filed -- and 4 discrimination is, right? 5 let's make sure -- I want to understand that, 5 A. Yes. because you do HR work, right? If someone files б б Q. You know it's illegal to discriminate 7 a -- like, say, a discrimination complaint, and 7 against someone who files a protected complaint, 8 then two weeks later, you find out they violated 8 like a discrimination complaint; right? 9 a policy, the person they say violated -- the 9 A. Yes. 10 person that they alleged violated a policy -- the 10 Q. So if someone files a complaint of person they say did something wrong, discrimination and two weeks later they're --11 11 their boss wants them terminated, do you want to 12 12 subsequently, say they violated policy, wants 13 them terminated, is that -- forgive me. Let me 13 know that they've engaged in protected activity 14 back that up. We'll start fresh. 14 two weeks earlier, even if perhaps they did 15 Do you know if Tesla has 15 something that possibly warrants termination two antiretaliation policies? 16 weeks later? Would you want to know that? 16 17 17 A. We do have that, yes. A. Possibly. 18 Q. Do you know if Tesla has a Dodd-Frank 18 Q. Why? 19 antiretaliation policy? 19 A. Because we'd want to look into 20 A. I don't know what that means. 20 anything that is brought forward to us. If Q. Do you know if Tesla has a SOX there's anything that came after the fact -- if 21 21 22 retaliation policy? 22 any information is brought to us after the fact 23 A. Not that I'm aware of. 23 that there was a decision made to terminate, we 24 Q. Do you know if Tesla has Dodd-Frank 24 would still look into it. 25 25 or SOX retaliation policy -- well, you know what Q. Do you know if there was ever -- did Page 442 Page 441 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 you ever see the actual physical results of any 2 A. We have the documentation from 3 investigation made into Mr. Hansen? 3 Valerie, so then we would attach it into the 4 4 A. Did I see results? system once the respective employee was being 5 Q. Yeah, did you actually see, like, any 5 removed from the site. 6 report that Ms. Workman produced? 6 Q. When you say you got the respective 7 7 A. Not that I can recall. documentation from Valerie, what was it you got 8 Q. And do you know who actually asked 8 from Valerie? 9 Ms. Workman to investigate? 9 A. We got the documentation that she 10 A. I don't know. 10 had -- had this investigation; from this investigation, this is what she confirmed, that 11 Q. Do you know when she was asked to 11 12 investigate? 12 there were documents that had been exfiltrated 13 A. I don't know. 13 out from Tesla to a personal e-mail account. 14 Q. And do you know if anyone asked 14 Q. And when you say that was -- did --15 Ms. Workman to investigate and find a preordained 15 I've seen three e-mails she sent on August 31st. result? 16 16 A. It was on August 31st, that is 17 17 A. I don't know that. correct. Q. Okay. Did Ms. Workman ever tell you Q. Is it the three e-mails she sent? 18 18 19 that -- or how long the investigation took? 19 Do you know what you -- what are you 20 20 talking about? I'm trying to figure out what she A. No. 21 Q. When you process on people for 21 sent you that's Tesla's documentation of any 22 22 removal from facilities, do you normally try and investigation. 23 23 document what investigation took place? A. It was an e-mail that she sent to 24 A. Yes. 24 myself and Yusuf regarding that she had looked 25 Q. And did you do that here? 25 into an investigation. One -- do you want me to

Page 444 Page 443 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 tell you the number? Is that what you're asking 2 Q. Is this the extent of the 3 for? 3 investigation that you're aware of that 4 4 Valerie Workman performed? Q. Do you know what it is? 5 5 A. It's an e-mail that she had sent. A. Yes. 6 Q. Hold on one second. 6 Q. And this is an e-mail that you --7 MR. WOODFIELD: Court's indulgence 7 you're forwarding. I've got 188. 8 for one moment, sir. 8 This is an e-mail that was sent at 9 12:23 on August 31st. Would you do me a favor, Anne, and 9 10 put up -- I think it's 201. I'm looking for it 10 This is 189. 11 Let's see if I can get a better image 11 right now. 12 12 MR. ROBERTSON: Which document? of it, but... 13 13 But is this the extent of the MS. DUNNE: I don't think you want 14 14 investigation, as far as you know it, by 201, Nick. 15 MR. WOODFIELD: No, which one is 15 Ms. Workman? it -- I'm trying to remember which number it is. 16 16 A. Yes. Is it -- the -- it's the three of 17 17 Q. And you know nothing further about 18 them. They're successive. 18 who asked her to investigate, when they started MS. DUNNE: 187, 188, and 189. 19 19 it, what she did, et cetera? 20 MR. WOODFIELD: Okay. Let me pull 20 A. No, I do not. Q. Would you have wanted to know that 21 that up. Thank you. 21 22 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 22 Mr. Hansen filed a complaint with the SEC three 23 Q. I'm showing you right now, one --23 weeks earlier? 24 24 document No. 187. A. I mean, it's helpful to know, but 25 A. Yes. 25 it's still -- this was what happened. And the Page 445 Page 446 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 1 2 investigation was taking place during the 2 needed at this moment? 3 complaint, from what I understand. 3 A. No. 4 4 Q. But would you have wanted to know --Q. Are you aware of an individual named 5 I mean, there doesn't -- do you know if any 5 Jacob Nocon? 6 investigation actually took place before the 6 A. Yes. 7 7 complaint -- the SEC complaint was filed. Q. If Jacob Nocon said that in August of 8 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2018, it was a very hard time for Tesla, would 9 Q. Because of the August 31st document. 9 you disagree? 10 Moreover, I've got a question. 10 A. No. 11 Mr. Hansen was on Fox News on August 29th. And 11 Q. And why is that? 12 I'm wondering if there's any -- if you saw 12 A. Because we were going through --13 anything before August 29th. 13 Tesla was going through a reduction in forces. 14 A. No. 14 And we had a few that year. 15 15 Q. Okay. Did anyone tell you that Q. Okay. And in August especially, was Mr. Hansen had been on Fox News talking about his that a difficult time? 16 16 SEC TCR leveled against Tesla and Elon Musk? A. I believe so, because RIFs were 17 17 A. No. starting in May of 2018 and continued throughout 18 18 19 Q. Did anyone mention whether Elon Musk 19 the year. 20 was upset about this? 20 Q. And was that right around the time 21 A. No. 21 that Elon Musk had tweeted that he was looking at Q. Did anyone mention that this was not 22 22 a private equity buyout of the stock? needed in this difficult time where Tesla was 23 23 MR. ROBERTSON: Objection --24 having a difficult time and this was not -- this 24 A. I don't recall. issue that Mr. Hansen was raising was really not 25 25

Page 448 Page 447 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 2 the decision to remove Mr. Hansen? Do you know? 3 3 Q. Were you surprised when A. It wasn't one person; it's a 4 Valerie Workman, Jeff Jones, Yusuf Mohamed all 4 collective decision. 5 5 got together and terminated Mr. Hansen, an Q. So name the individuals in legal and 6 individual, in one day? 6 HR -- who were the people that if we were to say, 7 MR. ROBERTSON: Objection. 7 these are the people who made the decision, then 8 A. They didn't terminate him, though. 8 I want to know the factors that were involved? 9 They asked for him to be removed from the site. 9 So who made the decision? 10 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 10 A. Yusuf Mohamed, Valerie Workman, 11 Q. That it all came to a head in about 11 Jeff Jones, the business leader; and HR, myself, 12 two hours? Were you surprised that this -- that 12 Tenna 13 these individuals came in this fast on this one 13 Q. When was the decision made? 14 A. August 31st. 14 issue? 15 A. No. 15 Q. At what time? 16 16 Q. Do you know who actually made the A. I don't recall the exact time. Right 17 decision to remove Mr. Hansen? 17 after I sent the e-mail to one of the Ricks. 18 A. It was collective. It was between 18 Q. Okay. And when you say "one of the 19 legal, the business, and HR. 19 Ricks," what is one of the Ricks? 20 Q. So if I was to put like a pin on 20 A. It would have been the 21 someone, like a name tag that said, hello, my 21 U.S. Security -- U.S. Security contact. 22 name is -- and I was to put it on someone's 22 Q. Okay. You're talking about the 23 jacket, who would I put it on? Because everyone 23 e-mail that is -- it looks like I'm looking at --24 24 says it's collective. But who is the guy or the Well, let me ask you: Was the 25 25 woman that I would put it on? Who actually made decision made after you received this e-mail Page 450 Page 449 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 that's marked as 188, Valerie Workman --2 was made, based on the findings of the 3 August 31, 2018, 12:23 p.m., that provided this 3 investigation and based on the policies that were 4 violated, and therefore, I made the decision to information? Is this what you all considered? 4 5 A. We received that e-mail, and then 5 have Mr. Hansen no longer working on our site. 6 there was a phone call that I received from 6 Q. And did anyone ask Ms. Workman 7 7 Yusuf. whether there were any mitigating factors? 8 Q. And did Yusuf tell you some separate 8 A. Not that I'm aware of. 9 information? 9 Q. Did anyone ask Ms. Workman why she 10 A. Nothing separate than what is on 10 was investigating this? 11 here. 11 A. Not that I'm aware of. 12 Q. So this is all the information you 12 O. Did anyone ask Ms. Workman who 13 relied on? 13 prompted the investigation? 14 A. Yes. 14 A. Not that I'm aware of. 15 15 Q. And the decision was then made by Q. Did anyone ask Ms. Workman who asked you, Ms. Workman, Mr. Mohamed, and Mr. Jones? her to investigate it? 16 16 17 A. Correct. 17 A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And did someone sign a document? Was MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any 18 18 19 there someone who was, you know, the titular 19 further questions for this witness, Your Honor. 20 responsible person or was it signed -- did you 20 JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. 21 all -- is there a -- an actual responsible party 21 Cross-examination by USSA? 22 or was the decision attributable to the four of 22 MS. LARGENT: No questions from USSA. 23 23 you? How does that work? JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. Redirect 24 A. There was no signed document. There 24 by Tesla? 25 was a decision, like I said, collectively, that 25

```
Page 452
                                               Page 451
1
       Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
                                                         1
                                                                Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
2
                                                         2
                                                             the company or doing something that would expose
3
                        EXAMINATION
                                                         3
                                                             the company. So anything, like I said, that
                        _____
 4
                                                         4
                                                             was leaking anything out regarding confidential
 5
                                                         5
    BY MR. ROBERTSON:
                                                             and proprietary information.
 б
            Q. Yeah, just one question, Ms. Ferrua.
                                                         б
                                                                        MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you. That's
7
                You were asked about Title VII and
                                                         7
                                                             all I have.
8
    other ways employees might be protected. If
                                                         8
                                                                        JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. I have no
9
     someone is protected, for whatever reason, does
                                                         9
                                                             questions.
10
     that give them tenure? They have a lifetime job?
                                                        10
                                                                        Ms. Ferrua, thank you very much for
                                                             your testimony. You're excused and you can go
11
           A. No.
                                                        11
12
            Q. And what kind of things could happen
                                                        12
                                                             ahead and sign out.
                                                        13
                                                                        THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13
    after they are protected that would potentially
    give a company like Tesla the right to take
                                                        14
14
                                                                        JUDGE HOFFMAN: Next witness from
15
     disciplinary action?
                                                        15
                                                             Tesla?
16
           A. Sorry, can you repeat the question?
                                                        16
                                                                        MR. ROBERTSON: So I take it are we
17
     I'm sorry.
                                                        17
                                                             doing Mr. German now tomorrow? Is that the --
18
           Q. Sure. Sure. What kinds of things
                                                        18
                                                                        MS. LARGENT: Yeah, we still don't
19
     might, from an HR perspective, give Tesla reason,
                                                        19
                                                             have any update from him. We've asked him to get
20
     even after someone is protected, to potentially
                                                        20
                                                             in touch with us as soon as he has availability,
21
     take disciplinary action?
                                                        21
                                                             and that hasn't happened yet. Sorry for the
22
           A. I mean, anything from -- I mean,
                                                        22
                                                             inconvenience.
23
    really it comes down to our policy violations.
                                                        23
                                                                        MR. ROBERTSON: Yeah. We're
                                                             similarly figuring out our -- trying to reach
24
    And, yeah, if it's a policy violation, that would
                                                        24
                                                        25
25
    really come to it, or anything that was harming
                                                             Ms. Workman.
                                               Page 453
                                                                                                        Page 454
       Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
                                                         1
                                                                Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
1
2
                So maybe we could take maybe like ten
                                                         2
                                                             been called as a witness. And so we're going to
 3
    minutes and then we can see. So we're now into
                                                         3
                                                             go on the record and the court reporter is going
 4
    witnesses on our side that are no longer
                                                         4
                                                             to swear you in.
 5
     employees, so we kind of have to work with the
                                                         5
                                                                        THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
 6
     individuals a little bit.
                                                         6
                                                                               _____
7
                                                         7
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Sure. Understand.
                                                                          VALERIE CAPERS WORKMAN,
8
    Well, let's take a break until -- here it's 1 --
                                                         8
                                                                          having been duly sworn,
9
     until 1:35.
                                                         9
                                                                          testified as follows:
10
                MR. ROBERTSON: Great. Thank you.
                                                                               _____
                                                        10
11
                (Recess taken, 1:23 p.m. to
                                                        11
                                                                                EXAMINATION
12
    1:38 p.m. PDT)
                                                        12
                                                                                _____
13
                                                        13
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any luck finding a
                                                             BY MR. ROBERTSON:
14
                                                        14
                                                                    O. Good afternoon, Ms. Workman.
    witness?
               MR. ROBERTSON: Yes. I think
                                                        15
15
                                                                    A. Good afternoon.
    Ms. Workman should be logging in.
16
                                                        16
                                                                    Q. Can you just state and spell your
17
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Good.
                                                        17
                                                             full name for the record, please?
                MR. ROBERTSON: But that's probably
                                                                    A. Sure. Valerie Capers Workman,
18
                                                        18
19
    all we've got for today. We did our best, so...
                                                        19
                                                             V-A-L-E-R-I-E, C-A-P-E-R-S, W-O-R-K-M-A-N.
20
                                                        20
                (Discussion off the record.)
                                                                    Q. And, Ms. Workman, where are you
21
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. There she is.
                                                        21
                                                             currently employed?
                                                        22
22
    Ms. Workman. Can you hear me okay?
                                                                    A. I am employed in the office out of
23
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                                        23
                                                             Austin, Texas, where I am at right now.
                                                                    Q. Excellent.
24
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: I'm Judge Hoffman.
                                                        24
25
     I'm the arbitrator in this case. And you have
                                                        25
                                                                        And what's your current position in
```

Page 455 Page 456 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 your current job? 2 and Shanghai, I was the HR lead. A. I am a chief legal officer for a 3 3 Q. And again, the time frame that you 4 privately held company. held that position? 5 5 Q. And did you previously work at Tesla? A. December 2019 to about 6 I did. б August 2020-ish. 7 O. For what time frame did you work at 7 Q. And prior to that, what was your 8 Tesla? 8 position? 9 A. From approximately February 2018 to 9 A. Sure. Associate general counsel, 10 December -- January 2022. Approximate. 10 compliance. Q. And what was your position when you 11 Q. And what was the time frame of that, 11 started -- at the end and then I'll back up. 12 12 holding that position? 13 13 When you left Tesla, what was your A. From the time I joined, which is 14 February 2018 to December 2019, approximately. 14 position? 15 A. I was vice president of --15 Q. And just generally, can you describe Q. You're breaking up a little bit. for the arbitrator what your day-to-day 16 16 17 I'm sorry, vice president, people. 17 responsibilities were as the associate general 18 What was the time frame that you held 18 counsel of compliance? 19 that job? 19 A. Sure. I would say that I was the 20 A. Approximately August '20 to the time 20 lead compliance attorney, and I was responsible 21 I left. 21 for investigating issues and concerns that were 22 Q. And prior to that, what was your 22 raised by employees, certain issues. I was also 23 position? Prior to August of 2020. 23 responsible for leading our compliance efforts 24 A. I was the HR lead for certain regions 24 with respect to OFAC sanctions, Foreign Corrupt 25 25 in the United States, all areas excluding China Practices Act. Typical corporate governance-type Page 458 Page 457 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 activities, I was responsible for them as well. 2 investigation took me from beginning to 3 Q. And did you have folks that reported 3 resolution. 4 4 to you in that role? Q. And in 2018, in that role, did you 5 A. I was an individual contributor for 5 become aware of a contractor at the time named 6 much of the time, and then later on I did have Karl Hansen? 6 7 7 one person who reported directly to me, yes. A. Yes. 8 Q. And when you say individual 8 Q. And just generally, what can you 9 contributor, not to do corporate speak, but what 9 remember about how you first learned the name 10 10 Karl Hansen in connection with your position at do you mean? 11 A. I was a team of one. 11 Tesla? 12 O. Thank you. 12 A. Yeah, from what I remember, he sent 13 And so in terms of the investigations 13 an e-mail, I believe, to Elon and perhaps other 14 you did, can you just give us a sense of the 14 people. I can't recall right now if they were 15 board members or whomever. And he raised 15 gamut of different things that you did? 16 A. So an issue was raised, a decision allegations, several allegations against the 16 17 would be made if it was sort of a -- for lack of 17 company, and they were a list of things from, you know, drug trafficking, DEA investigations, from 18 a better word, a garden variety issue that HR 18 19 might take on, or InfoSec might take on, we would 19 what I can remember, issues with, I think, copper 20 decide, you know, which one of us would handle a 20 or other materials, but there was a list of 21 particular investigation. 21 allegations that he raised in that e-mail. 22 22 The ones that I led, I would do Q. And so do you recall, subsequent to 23 everything from beginning to end, from 23 that e-mail, being tasked with conducting an 24 interviewing witnesses, conducting investigation, 24 investigation? 25 reviewing documents, sort of go wherever the 25 A. Yes. That's my job, yes.

Page 460 Page 459 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 Q. And so how quickly after that e-mail set about investigating whether or not we could 2 3 was sent do you recall did you begin doing your 3 determine the veracity of that. 4 investigation? 4 Q. And did there come a point in your 5 A. It could not have been more than 5 investigation where someone raised a concern б 24 hours. 6 about Mr. Hansen violating Tesla policies? 7 O. And do you know whether there was a 7 A. Yes. 8 request made to Mr. Hansen to cooperate in that 8 Q. And what do you recall about that? 9 investigation? 9 A. At some point during the 10 A. Yeah. 10 investigation, I believe it was an e-mail that I Q. And then did he cooperate in that received. And that person said that he believed 11 11 12 investigation? 12 that Karl Hansen was violating company policy, 13 A. Did not cooperate, no. 13 and that the person that reported this, he 14 thought I should be aware, and so I looked into 14 Q. Did you ever meet with Mr. Hansen at 15 15 any time? 16 16 A. No. Q. And what specifically did you do to 17 Did you ever speak with Mr. Hansen at 17 look into it? Q. 18 any time? 18 A. The -- I believe the allegation was 19 A. No. Not that I recall. 19 that he had been either looking at or something 20 Q. And just generally, in terms of going 20 to do with materials with the company. So one of 21 about your investigation, do you recall what 21 the first things you do is you look at his e-mail 22 22 to see whether or not he had indeed looked at or steps you took? 23 A. Yeah, I sort of categorized it based 23 taken anything or -- we call it exfiltrated -on the e-mail, you know, what I believe the 24 24 any documents from Tesla outside of the company. 25 25 allegations to be. I looked at each one and then So that's what I did. Page 461 Page 462 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 Q. And just in terms of procedure, in 2 Exhibit 92. 3 order to access an employee's e-mail, do you 3 This is an e-mail dated June 18, 4 recall who you needed to reach out to? 4 2018. 5 A. I reached out to InfoSec and I let 5 A. Yes. 6 them know that I was conducting an investigation, Q. And do you recognize this e-mail? 6 7 7 yes. And I requested access to Karl Hansen's This looks like one of the documents 8 e-mail. 8 that I viewed that was from a Tesla e-mail 9 Q. And when you say InfoSec, just so the 9 address to a private non-Tesla e-mail address. record is clear, who is that? 10 Q. Okay. And scrolling down, do you see 10 11 A. Information security. Sorry. 11 below it's -- there's identification of how many 12 Q. And at the time, do you recall who 12 attachments? Do you see that? 13 13 headed up that group? A. Yes. A. Jeff Jones. 14 O. And how many attachments were 14 attached to this e-mail? 15 Q. And as a result of accessing 15 Mr. Hansen's e-mail, what did you discover? 16 16 A. It says 17. 17 A. A large amount of data, private data, 17 Q. And do you know -- for example, those company documents, badging records were photographs on that first page, do you know 18 18 19 exfiltrated from his work e-mail to a private 19 what -- where those photographs came from? 20 e-mail address outside the company. A lot of 20 A. Well, they were photographs of Tesla 21 data, confidential data. 21 parking lots and parking facilities and Tesla 22 22 Q. Let's pull up Exhibit 92, joint locations. 23 Exhibit 92, which is already admitted. 23 MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. And if we go 24 So, Ms. Workman, I've put up on the 24 to the next page, Anne. screen what's been previously admitted as joint 25 25 \* \* \*

Page 463 Page 464 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 2 large volume of material. 3 Q. There's two -- do you know what these 3 Q. So, Ms. Workman, I've now put up on 4 records are? the screen what's been previously admitted as 4 5 A. Personnel records, yes. 5 Exhibit 188. It appears to be an e-mail from you б Q. And do you know what -- and then if 6 to a number of people. Do you see that? On 7 we go to the pdfs at the end. 7 August 31, 2018. 8 And then they're not listed, but 8 A. I see. 9 there's some identifying pdfs, and it says, for Q. And just to put this in time frame, 9 10 example, the second one down, Gerardo, badge one. 10 in terms of when you actually discovered the --Do you know what that is? 11 or received the information about the materials 11 12 A. Yeah, that would have been the 12 that have been exfiltrated, was it on or around badging records of one individual that either 13 13 this date of August 31? badged in or badged out of the Tesla facilities. 14 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. And is this an example of the types 15 Q. And if we look at the second 16 of information you saw in Mr. Hansen's e-mail 16 paragraph, it says: As a result of the 17 that had been sent from his Tesla e-mail to his 17 information we've gathered thus far, we have 18 personal e-mail? 18 learned that while employed by Tesla, Mr. Hansen 19 A. Yes. 19 violated Tesla's Code of Business Conduct and 20 Q. Was this the only example of what you 20 Ethics policy, and then it goes on. 21 found? 21 Do you see that? 22 A. No. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Do you recall how many examples of 23 Q. And the materials that you had found 24 that were sent to Mr. Hansen's personal e-mail, 24 this type of information you found? 25 did that violate Tesla's Code of Business Conduct 25 A. I don't recall how much, but it was a Page 465 Page 466 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 1 2 and Ethics policy? 2 don't know what those documents were, but I 3 A. Yes. 3 believe they were documents that were deleted 4 4 from his e-mail. Q. And it lists certain documents below: 5 Badging and access records, personal information, 5 Q. So just to be clear, the basis of 6 photos from camera feeds. 6 that conclusion was there were essentially double 7 7 Was that the types of information deletions of certain documents? 8 that you found? 8 A. Yes. As best I can describe it, 9 9 without being -- but yes. A. Yes. Q. The last -- the next paragraph talks Q. And if we can pull up Exhibit --10 10 11 about -- it says: I have reason to believe that 11 And just to put time on this, because 12 Mr. Hansen may have intentionally and criminally 12 I think a lot happened on this date. 13 13 deleted e-mail messages from his Tesla e-mail So this e-mail appears to be from 14 12:23 p.m.; is that correct? 14 account. 15 15 Do you see that? A. Yes, that's the date -- the time, 16 A. Yes. 16 yes. 17 Q. Can you just explain what you meant 17 Q. And then let's go to 187. there? What you found that led you to have that 18 18 So, Ms. Workman, I've now pulled up 19 reason to believe with regard to the e-mail. The 19 what's been identified as Exhibit 187. 20 20 sent box? This is, again, already in evidence. 21 A. Yes. So when you conduct an 21 It appears to be an e-mail from Ms. Ferrua, Jenna 22 investigation of e-mail, I found what I was able 22 Ferrua to Mr. Mohamed, yourself, and Jeff Jones. 23 to find. But then there were certain indicators 23 Do you see that? 24 that you would see deletion -- deleted, deleted, 24 A. Yes. 25 deleted. And they would be deleted twice. So I 25 Q. And who is Jenna Ferrua? What was

Page 467 Page 468 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 her position as of August 31, 2018? 2 communications with U.S. Security? 3 A. She was an HR partner on the G&A 3 A. No. Not that I recall. 4 team. I'm sorry, yeah, G&A. Q. And the group on this e-mail, 5 Q. And just tell me out again, what's Ms. Ferrua, yourself, Mr. Mohamed, and Mr. Jones, б G&A? 6 did you collectively decide that this was the 7 A. G&A is a general and administrative, 7 right action item based on what you found? 8 so it's the nonbusiness HR teams. So it would be 8 A. Yes. 9 security, legal. Several teams she represented 9 Q. Do you recall after that 10 from the HR perspective. 10 communication having any further communications Q. Got it. And do you recall 11 with Ms. Ferrua about the direction given to 11 12 USSA? 12 instructing Ms. Ferrua to reach out to 13 13 U.S. Security with regard to Mr. Hansen? A. No, I don't recall anything further on that. A. Yes. 14 14 15 Q. And do you recall what the direction 15 Q. Ms. Workman, I'm pulling up what's was to Ms. Ferrua in terms of reaching out to been identified as Exhibit 1. This is a letter 16 16 17 U.S. Security? 17 from a law firm Hueston Hennigan. 18 A. That Karl Hansen should not come back 18 Do you see that? 19 to Tesla property. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And was that based on what you had 20 Q. Did you know what law firm Hueston found with regard to his exfiltration of 21 21 Hennigan is? 22 documents? 22 A. It may have been Mr. Hansen's law 23 A. The exfiltration and the ghosts of 23 firm. I'm -- if I recall. deletions of records, yes. 24 24 Q. No, that's fine. I guess my question 25 25 Q. Did you yourself have any direct is simpler than that. The signatory on this Page 469 Page 470 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 letter is someone named John Hueston. 2 chance to do that. 3 Do you recall whether you personally 3 THE WITNESS: Could I have some time 4 had any communications with Mr. Hueston in 4 to read the document? 5 connection with this matter? 5 MR. ROBERTSON: Yeah, just -- I'm 6 A. No. 6 going to direct you. You can certainly read the 7 Q. And if we looked at the second 7 whole thing, Ms. Workman. We don't want you 8 paragraph on the first page. 8 looking at a document you're not comfortable 9 MR. WOODFIELD: I'm going to object 9 with, so take whatever time you need. 10 on this one because there's no authentication. 10 My focus, just so you understand, She thinks this is Mr. Hansen's firm, and she 11 11 will be on that second paragraph that starts 12 said she never spoke with this individual. 12 "Nonetheless." 13 There's no sense in --13 But please, by all means, read the 14 14 entire letter, if that's helpful. MR. ROBERTSON: Right. 15 15 MR. WOODFIELD: -- in going through A. No, I'll just read this paragraph, if 16 this. 16 you'll give me two minutes. 17 MR. ROBERTSON: A, the document is 17 MR. ROBERTSON: Sure. MS. DUNNE: And if you need me to 18 already in, so... 18 19 And B, I'm not asking her to 19 zoom in on anything, Ms. Workman, just let me 20 authenticate it. I'm just going to ask her to 20 know. 21 confirm the information in it. 21 THE WITNESS: I can see it. 22 22 JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So I Thank you. 23 understand the objection, Mr. Woodfield. It 23 A. Yes, that second paragraph is 24 sounds like you want to cross-examine this 24 correct. witness on what she knows. And you'll have your 25 25

Page 472 Page 471 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 2 2 events. Maybe if you can give me some more 3 Q. And that was my question. So the 3 information, I can tell you whether or not I 4 information in here, is that -- as you read it 4 recall it or not. 5 now, that information is correct based on your 5 Q. Sure. Maybe my question is simpler. б recollection of what you uncovered in your 6 In making the decision that was made 7 investigation? 7 at the end of August, was the fact that Mr. Hansen had potentially -- had filed materials 8 A. Yes. 8 9 Q. Now, at the time the decision was 9 with the SEC, did that have anything to do with 10 made to request that Mr. Hansen no longer be 10 the collective decision of the group to request 11 assigned to the Tesla property, was that based that USSA not assign Mr. Hansen back to the 11 12 upon his removal of information and the other 12 factory? 13 items identified in this letter? 13 A. Absolutely not. Absolutely not. No. 14 A. It was the exfiltration of Tesla 14 No. That -- those were clear policy violations. 15 data, the viewing of the personal data, and the 15 No. No. deletion, what we believed to be deletion of 16 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Then, Your Honor, I'd 17 documents, yes. 17 ask for just two minutes. I may be done. 18 Q. And did -- at this point in late 18 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Take two 19 August, were you aware that Mr. Hansen had filed 19 minutes. 20 information with the SEC? 20 (Recess taken, 2:10 p.m. to A. I don't remember at what point I 2:14 p.m. PDT) 21 21 22 learned that, but it was probably -- it was 22 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Shall we go back on 23 certainly after I found -- started the 23 the record? 24 24 investigation. I'll say that. MR. ROBERTSON: Yes, please. 25 25 I don't recall the sequence of JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. We're back on Page 473 Page 474 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 1 2 the record. 2 to Elon. 3 MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, 3 Q. Okay. I'm with you. I saw that, and 4 Ms. Workman. I have no further questions for 4 we've seen the SEC -- or the August 3rd e-mail. 5 you. Appreciate the time. 5 Let me ask you about that. 6 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. 6 There was an August 3rd e-mail to 7 7 Cross-examination by Mr. Woodfield. Elon. Who forwarded that to you? 8 MR. WOODFIELD: Thank you, sir. 8 A. Oh, boy. I don't recall. 9 9 Q. Did you start investigating it then? 10 10 A. When I saw the e-mail, within EXAMINATION 11 11 24 hours. 12 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 12 Q. And did you create a file? 13 13 Q. Ms. Workman, my name is Nick A. Yes. 14 Woodfield. I represent Mr. Hansen in this 14 Q. And did you create it in paper or 15 electronically? 15 matter. 16 A. Electronic. 16 In August of 2018, what was your job 17 17 title? Q. And did you e-mail back and forth A. August of 2018 it was associate 18 18 with people? 19 general counsel, compliance. 19 A. Yes, because there was the -- yes. 20 Q. And were you aware in August, on 20 Yes. Yes. 21 August 16th, when there was a press release filed 21 Q. Who did you e-mail with? 22 by Mr. Hansen's counsel that he had filed an SEC A. Definitely InfoSec, because I had 22 23 TCR? 23 to -- when I went to look at the e-mail or 24 A. The first time I remember 24 documents, so probably the generic InfoSec 25 Mr. Hansen's name, that I recall, was the e-mail 25 e-mail. I'm recalling from memory here.

| 1  | Page 475<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  | 1  | Page 476<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   |
|--|--|--|---|
| 2  | Any witnesses that I wanted to talk  | 2  | A. I'm not sure he was still there when   |
| 3  | to, I probably sent them an e-mail invitation.   | 3  | it was finished.  |
| 4  | Q. Right.  | 4  | Q. Who became your successor supervisor?  |
| 5  | And who assigned this to you? Do you   | 5  | A. Okay. After Phil, when Phil left, I  |
| 6  | recall?  | 6  | reported directly to we had a lot of GCs.   |
| 7  | A. That was just my I I'm the  | 7  | Q. Let me show you something to refresh   |
| 8  | person, so I knew that was my job the minute I   | 8  | your recollection.  |
| 9  | saw the e-mail.  | 9  | A. Thank you.   |
| 10   | Q. Who was your supervisor?  | 10   | O. This is a document that counsel  |
| 11   | A. I was.  | 11   | provided us. This I think it was if not   |
| 12   | Q. Someone's got to  | 12   | this last weekend, the weekend immediately  |
| 13   | A. I reported at Tesla no, someone   | 13   | preceding it.   |
| 14   | did not assign it to me. That was immediately my   | 14   | I'm showing you a document that was   |
| 15   | job when I saw it because I'm compliance. I had  | 15   | provided to us just recently to supplement  |
| 16   | a few direct reports. I believe at the time my   | 16   | production. And Phil Rothenberg was your boss,  |
| 17   | immediate was Phil Phil's last name  | 17   | apparently, on August 31st, because you e-mailed  |
| 18   | Q. Forgive me. Who was your supervisor   | 18   | him, as well as Swapnil Bhatnagar, Carmen Copher,   |
| 19   | in August  | 19   | and Yusuf Mohamed.  |
| 20   | Hold on, ma'am.  | 20   | A. Okay.  |
| 21   | Who was your supervisor in August of   | 21   | Q. So was Phil Rothenberg still your  |
| 22   | 2018?  | 22   | supervisor at that time?  |
| 23   | A. Phil Rothenberg.  | 23   | A. If he was there, he was still my   |
| 24   | Q. And did you report the results of   | 24   | supervisor.   |
| 25   | your investigation to Phil Rothenberg?   | 25   | Q. Okay.  |
|  |  |  |   |
|  | Page 477   |  | Page 478  |
| 1  | Page 477<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  | 1  | Page 478<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   |
| 1 2  |  | 1 2  | 5   |
|  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  |  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   |
| 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  | 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2<br>I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So  |
| 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating  | 2 3  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your  | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.  I might have talked to him, I might  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.  I might have talked to him, I might have an interview tomorrow or, you know, casual  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure. Q. The only e-mails we received from  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.  I might have talked to him, I might have an interview tomorrow or, you know, casual conversation about how things were going, but  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure. Q. The only e-mails we received from you, that we were given, were from August 31,  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.  I might have talked to him, I might have an interview tomorrow or, you know, casual conversation about how things were going, but there was nothing to report because it wasn't  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure. Q. The only e-mails we received from you, that we were given, were from August 31, 2018.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.  I might have talked to him, I might have an interview tomorrow or, you know, casual conversation about how things were going, but there was nothing to report because it wasn't done.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure. Q. The only e-mails we received from you, that we were given, were from August 31, 2018.  Is that an accurate representation of   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.  I might have talked to him, I might have an interview tomorrow or, you know, casual conversation about how things were going, but there was nothing to report because it wasn't done.  Q. Did you document did you keep an   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure. Q. The only e-mails we received from you, that we were given, were from August 31, 2018.  Is that an accurate representation of the only e-mails that you produced in this  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.  I might have talked to him, I might have an interview tomorrow or, you know, casual conversation about how things were going, but there was nothing to report because it wasn't done.  Q. Did you document did you keep an e-mail file or a written file somewhere?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure. Q. The only e-mails we received from you, that we were given, were from August 31, 2018.  Is that an accurate representation of the only e-mails that you produced in this matter? That you had relating to the   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.  I might have talked to him, I might have an interview tomorrow or, you know, casual conversation about how things were going, but there was nothing to report because it wasn't done.  Q. Did you document did you keep an e-mail file or a written file somewhere?  A. Oh, yes, there was yes.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure. Q. The only e-mails we received from you, that we were given, were from August 31, 2018.  Is that an accurate representation of the only e-mails that you produced in this matter? That you had relating to the investigation?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.  I might have talked to him, I might have an interview tomorrow or, you know, casual conversation about how things were going, but there was nothing to report because it wasn't done.  Q. Did you document did you keep an e-mail file or a written file somewhere?  A. Oh, yes, there was yes.  Q. Does Tesla still have it?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure. Q. The only e-mails we received from you, that we were given, were from August 31, 2018.  Is that an accurate representation of the only e-mails that you produced in this matter? That you had relating to the investigation?  A. I don't know what you have, but there were more than two e-mails. Q. Well, I'm going to show you, there  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.  I might have talked to him, I might have an interview tomorrow or, you know, casual conversation about how things were going, but there was nothing to report because it wasn't done.  Q. Did you document did you keep an e-mail file or a written file somewhere?  A. Oh, yes, there was yes.  Q. Does Tesla still have it?  A. I have no idea.  Q. Okay. And did it document all of the efforts you had made to show what happened with                                       | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure. Q. The only e-mails we received from you, that we were given, were from August 31, 2018.  Is that an accurate representation of the only e-mails that you produced in this matter? That you had relating to the investigation?  A. I don't know what you have, but there were more than two e-mails.  Q. Well, I'm going to show you, there is as this is again, the one that I'm   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.  I might have talked to him, I might have an interview tomorrow or, you know, casual conversation about how things were going, but there was nothing to report because it wasn't done.  Q. Did you document did you keep an e-mail file or a written file somewhere?  A. Oh, yes, there was yes.  Q. Does Tesla still have it?  A. I have no idea.  Q. Okay. And did it document all of the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure. Q. The only e-mails we received from you, that we were given, were from August 31, 2018.  Is that an accurate representation of the only e-mails that you produced in this matter? That you had relating to the investigation?  A. I don't know what you have, but there were more than two e-mails.  Q. Well, I'm going to show you, there is as this is again, the one that I'm showing you right here, this was supplemented   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.  I might have talked to him, I might have an interview tomorrow or, you know, casual conversation about how things were going, but there was nothing to report because it wasn't done.  Q. Did you document did you keep an e-mail file or a written file somewhere?  A. Oh, yes, there was yes.  Q. Does Tesla still have it?  A. I have no idea.  Q. Okay. And did it document all of the efforts you had made to show what happened with regard to the investigation?  A. Yes. | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure. Q. The only e-mails we received from you, that we were given, were from August 31, 2018.  Is that an accurate representation of the only e-mails that you produced in this matter? That you had relating to the investigation?  A. I don't know what you have, but there were more than two e-mails. Q. Well, I'm going to show you, there is as this is again, the one that I'm showing you right here, this was supplemented this last weekend, and it was it was an e-mail   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  A. He was my supervisor, definitely.  Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation?  A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.  I might have talked to him, I might have an interview tomorrow or, you know, casual conversation about how things were going, but there was nothing to report because it wasn't done.  Q. Did you document did you keep an e-mail file or a written file somewhere?  A. Oh, yes, there was yes.  Q. Does Tesla still have it?  A. I have no idea.  Q. Okay. And did it document all of the efforts you had made to show what happened with regard to the investigation?          | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure. Q. The only e-mails we received from you, that we were given, were from August 31, 2018.  Is that an accurate representation of the only e-mails that you produced in this matter? That you had relating to the investigation?  A. I don't know what you have, but there were more than two e-mails.  Q. Well, I'm going to show you, there is as this is again, the one that I'm showing you right here, this was supplemented this last weekend, and it was it was an e-mail that you sent on August 31st at 10:49 a.m.,  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | A. He was my supervisor, definitely. Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation? A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No. I might have talked to him, I might have an interview tomorrow or, you know, casual conversation about how things were going, but there was nothing to report because it wasn't done. Q. Did you document did you keep an e-mail file or a written file somewhere? A. Oh, yes, there was yes. Q. Does Tesla still have it? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. And did it document all of the efforts you had made to show what happened with regard to the investigation? A. Yes. Q. What the witnesses had to say? A. Yes.         | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure. Q. The only e-mails we received from you, that we were given, were from August 31, 2018.  Is that an accurate representation of the only e-mails that you produced in this matter? That you had relating to the investigation?  A. I don't know what you have, but there were more than two e-mails.  Q. Well, I'm going to show you, there is as this is again, the one that I'm showing you right here, this was supplemented this last weekend, and it was it was an e-mail that you sent on August 31st at 10:49 a.m., saying: I've received confirmation from global |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | A. He was my supervisor, definitely. Q. So were you regularly communicating with Mr. Rothenberg about the results of your investigation? A. No, because it was on-going there weren't really any results. So it no. No.  I might have talked to him, I might have an interview tomorrow or, you know, casual conversation about how things were going, but there was nothing to report because it wasn't done. Q. Did you document did you keep an e-mail file or a written file somewhere? A. Oh, yes, there was yes. Q. Does Tesla still have it? A. I have no idea. Q. Okay. And did it document all of the efforts you had made to show what happened with regard to the investigation? A. Yes. Q. What the witnesses had to say?                | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  I had to look at Karl Hansen's e-mail. So probably not until the everything was wound down. He wouldn't have been someone I recall talking to during, but I can't say. I really can't say.  Q. Let me A. Unlikely, but I'm not sure. Q. The only e-mails we received from you, that we were given, were from August 31, 2018.  Is that an accurate representation of the only e-mails that you produced in this matter? That you had relating to the investigation?  A. I don't know what you have, but there were more than two e-mails.  Q. Well, I'm going to show you, there is as this is again, the one that I'm showing you right here, this was supplemented this last weekend, and it was it was an e-mail that you sent on August 31st at 10:49 a.m.,  |

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 479

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 several individuals, including in some instances what appears to be their personal information as well as screenshots of photos and/or video footage from Tesla video camera feeds to a private Gmail account. I will set up calls as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appropriate.

Did you have any information about that prior to August 31st? Because it seems like this is new information as of that morning.

- A. So that would have been an e-mail I sent after I found what I found. So I can't tell you exactly what date I found those things, but pretty soon after, because it raised alarms. That's when I said I need to see InfoSec, because you have to confirm that you're seeing what you're seeing. So that's where I set up the call.
- Q. Okay. Well, is one of the things that put you on edge, the -- you said there was an e-mail that you saw from a -- one of Mr. Hansen's co-employees, a complaint about him; right?
  - A. He sent me an e-mail, yes.
  - Q. Okay. And you said the co-employee

Page 481 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 forgive me, I'm going to show you -- this is the responses to interrogatories. And it says here: Identify -- this is interrogatory No. 12.

Identify and explain in detail any complaints or allegations leveled against Hansen when he was employed by any Respondent.

And then it articulates an objection, and then it says: On August 23rd, Tesla received a report regarding Hansen's behavior from Hansen's colleague, Kenneth Davis. Davis reported witnessing Hansen violating Tesla policies, including involving third parties with his investigations and sending confidential Tesla information to outside e-mail addresses to be accessed by individuals not employed by Tesla.

Davis expressed concerns about Hansen being temporarily placed at the front desk of the Gigafactory. Specifically, Davis cited the risks of Hansen possibly having unrestricted access into Tesla's network coupled with his role as a gatekeeper into the Gigafactory after Davis became concerned about Hansen's erratic and unauthorized activities and involvement of individuals outside of Tesla.

Page 480 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

sent you an e-mail.

A. Co-employee.

4 Q. Well, let me ask you, is this the 5 e-mail you were referencing, that you said -б previously there was an e-mail that you received 7 that caused you to realize there was a complaint 8 about Mr. Hansen mishandling documents.

Is this the e-mail that you're talking about right here, from Kenneth Davis?

A. I don't know which e-mail I received. I'm not sure if I got that one from Ken Davis, but Ken Davis was the person that did speak to me about his concerns about Karl. I can say that.

Q. Was it about August 23rd or so? Would that have been about right?

A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. And did Jeff Jones put him in 19 touch with you?

A. I don't think he would have had to put in -- everybody knew who I was, so Ken probably contacted me directly, but I can't recall. He wouldn't have to -- he wouldn't have to put him in touch with me.

Q. Okay. And then there's an e-mail --

Page 482

1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 As a result of this report, Tesla 3 reviewed Hansen's employee and contractor e-mail 4 accounts and found that he forwarded numerous

5 Tesla internal documents to his personal e-mail 6 address in violation of Tesla policies. During

this review, Tesla also determined that Hansen 8 permanently deleted the contents of his sent 9

items folders.

Is that the e-mail, the August 23rd e-mail that spurred you on to research his background materials, Mr. Hansen's background 13 materials?

> MR. ROBERTSON: Objection --

A. I spoke --

MR. ROBERTSON: Just on foundation and mischaracterizes the answer -- the -- of the document. That's all.

JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. I'll overrule that objection.

And, Ms. Workman, answer if you can.

A. Yes. I know that I spoke to Mr. Davis directly, so I can't say what pieces of information came from where. But when I had a conversation with Mr. Davis, that's when he

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

shared with me all of his concerns. Directly

with me.

BY MR. WOODFIELD:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And this says -- this is the e-mail that Mr. Hansen -- or Mr. Davis sent on August 23rd. And I'm trying to reconcile this, because on August 23rd, he reported to Jeff Jones and Elon Musk about Karl Hansen, the exact same things that they're saying here, that Tesla received a report.

And then it says: As a result of this report, Tesla reviewed his employee and contractor e-mail accounts and found that he forwarded numerous Tesla documents to his personal e-mail address in violation of Tesla policies.

And it sounds like exactly what you just reported. And I'm just wondering, is this what happened? Is this what you're talking about?

MR. ROBERTSON: And I know that was a long question. I'm just going to object and move to strike the comment, exactly the same thing, as a mischaracterization.

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal address in violation of Tesla policies.

Now, you said, if I remember correctly, that in response to Mr. Davis's complaints, you reviewed Mr. Hansen's employee and contractor accounts; is that correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Did you do it in response to the e-mail that I showed you?

A. I know I did it in response to speaking with Mr. Davis. I can't recall if I also used that e-mail that you just showed me, but I spoke directly to Mr. Davis. And it's on the basis of my conversation with him that I conducted the investigation into Mr. Hansen's e-mail.

- Q. And did Mr. Davis tell you what was motivating him?
- A. He said he was concerned about Tesla data; that he was concerned about Tesla data, yeah.
  - Q. And that was on August 23rd; correct?
  - A. I can't remember the exact day I

Page 484 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. You want 3 to strike the question. I'm not sure I want to 4 do that. I mean, if the witness can --5 MR. ROBERTSON: No, just that term, 6 Your Honor. It's an arbitration. I'm not going 7 to be picky about the record. I just want it 8 noted that I believe that's not accurate.

9 JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So your 10 objection is it misstates the evidence.

11 MR. ROBERTSON: Correct.

12 JUDGE HOFFMAN: So, Ms. Workman, you 13 can answer the question, if you can.

14 THE WITNESS: Can you say that one 15 more time?

MR. WOODFIELD: Yes, ma'am.

17 BY MR. WOODFIELD:

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

Q. This -- Tesla has reported in its interrogatory answer that on August 23rd, it received a report concerning Hansen's behavior from Kenneth Davis. And I've shown you Kenneth Davis's e-mail.

23 And as I result of Kenneth Davis's 24 report, Tesla reviewed Hansen's employee and 25 contractor e-mail accounts and found that he

Page 485

Page 486
Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
spoke to Mr. Davis.

Q. Now, you're -- just to help clarify
the timeline, you -- as -- it would be fair to
say that as compliance counsel, he probably would
have been aware that there was a press release
that was out on Reuters about an SEC TCR;
correct? You would have probably picked that up?

A. I probably would have been aware that -- if it hit the media, I might have known about the release itself. But if it made it to the media, yes, I would have heard about it, yes.

Q. I want to show you Exhibit 216, which is a Reuters press -- or a Reuters communication, August 6th.

16 It said: Whistleblower accuses Tesla 17 of spying on employees at Gigafactory.

And it says: An employee fired from Tesla's Nevada factory filed a whistleblower complaint with the U.S. Securities Exchange Commission, and it talks about Karl Hansen filing a TCR.

You would have been aware, as Tesla's compliance officer, as assistant general counsel in charge of compliance, that a compliance issue

Page 488 Page 487 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 had been raised with the SEC that was on Reuters; counsel compliance, you didn't want to know if 2 2 3 correct? That would have crossed your radar on 3 there really was an SEC TCR filed against --4 A. I didn't say I didn't want to know. August 16th. 4 5 A. If it hit the media, I would have 5 I said I was already investigating. The concern б seen it, definitely. б would have been, as head of compliance, if I Q. So you would have known about the SEC 7 7 didn't know about this and it surprised me. I 8 complaint as of August 16th; fair? 8 already knew about it. I was already 9 A. I would have known that it said it in 9 investigating, so that's for outside counsel. I 10 the media. I wouldn't have known that it 10 was already doing what I was supposed to be actually occurred, but I would know what the doing, and I was confident of that. 11 11 12 media reports had said, yes. 12 Q. So you were on it. 13 13 Q. Well, is it fair to say that you A. Yes. would have probably followed up on it to see if 14 14 Q. And you were building a file at that 15 there was some veracity to it? 15 point and speaking with Mr. Davis. 16 A. No. No, I would not. I was already 16 A. I spoke with Mr. Davis. 17 investigating that, so there was no reason for me 17 Q. And did you ever speak with Mr. Musk? 18 to check and see if there was an SEC 18 Can you refresh my recollect -- who 19 investigation. I was already investigating. 19 is that? 20 Q. I want to make sure I heard that 20 Q. Have you ever heard of Elon Musk? 21 right. You said -- and I want to make sure I got 21 A. Oh, you said -- I thought you said this, because you're kind of surprising me a 22 Lusk. 2.2 23 little bit there. 23 O. No. 24 24 A. Okay. A. Sorry. I am so sorry. 25 25 Q. If your title was associate general Did I ever speak with Elon Musk? Page 489 Page 490 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 1 2 Yes. Yes. 2 when an issue comes up that I need to 3 3 investigate, I investigated it. I did my job. O. About the TCR? 4 4 Q. Well, forgive me. This one -- and A. No. Oh, no. No. Not at all. 5 Q. How many TCRs were filed about Tesla 5 I'll show you. I'll show you. It also -- and 6 in 2018? I'm going to show you -- this is Exhibit No. 214. 6 7 7 A. I couldn't tell you. I don't know. This is also on a business --8 Q. Well, can you tell me more than one? 8 MR. ROBERTSON: Are you actually 9 A. I don't know. Like, I couldn't 9 moving 216 in or were you just using it? 10 10 MR. WOODFIELD: I'm going to offer recall from memory. It's four years ago. 11 Q. Well, let me ask you: Is a TCR 11 it. 216 and 214, which is Business Insider, and 12 something that, as a compliance officer, that 12 I'm going to offer 215, which is the New York 13 13 that's something you want to know about? Post, which was also putting it in. 14 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 14 A. You're asking me do I know how many. 15 15 I don't recall how many. I couldn't tell you Q. So given that it was on multiple news outlets, is that something that you would have 16 16 from memory. 17 Q. Well, you know about at least one; 17 escalated? 18 right? 18 A. I'm sorry, but "escalated" implies 19 A. Yes. 19 that something wasn't already being done. 20 Q. And is it something that is -- gets 20 investigation is already in progress, it's 21 21 on your radar? already in progress. 22 A. Sometimes. I mean, it's possible 22 Q. Well, and so tell me: What 23 that a TCR could be filed and we're not notified. 23 investigation was being done into the TCR? Tell 24 I don't know if the SEC always follows through. 24 me what -- tell me all about the TCR 25 I can't tell you that. But all I can tell you is 25 investigation.

Page 492 Page 491 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 MR. ROBERTSON: Objection. 2 A. Yes. 3 A. I don't have any information about a 3 Q. Yes, ma'am? 4 TCR investigation. What I can tell you is, I was 4 Yes. That was big news, yes. 5 investigating Mr. Hansen's allegations, and I did 5 And why was it big news? б my job. 6 7 7 BY MR. WOODFIELD: Q. Why was it big news? 8 Q. Okay. But we have no file for that; 8 A. At Tesla, everything was big news, 9 whether it's true or not. And when you have, in right? 9 10 Do you have -- you have a file, but 10 my opinion, someone willing to talk about their we were never given one? allegations, that's huge news for the media. 11 11 12 A. I'm sorry, I don't have anything. I 12 Huge. 13 13 don't work there anymore. Q. And was it -- did everyone at 14 Tesla -- was that the talk of the company the 14 Q. I'm going to also show you 217, which 15 15 next day? I'm offering. 16 16 Do you remember when Mr. Hansen was A. I think it was the talk of the legal 17 on Fox News, where he appeared on Fox News on 17 team. I don't know that it was the talk for the 18 August 29th? 18 company, but it was certainly a buzz. 19 A. Yes. 19 Q. When you say "the talk of the legal 20 Q. And why do you remember that, ma'am? 20 team," who was talking about it? 21 And this is Exhibit 217. I'm just 21 A. Colleagues in the room. It was big 22 going to offer this, and I can show the link, but 22 news. It was on the media. Everybody. I mean, 23 I'm going to -- rather than bring up the video. 23 everybody --24 24 But you remember it. He was on Fox News on Q. So tell me what everyone was talking 25 25 August 29th; correct? about. Tell me what -- when you went like that, Page 493 Page 494 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 2 tell me what they were saying. 2 so I don't know. 3 3 A. It was an allegation on Fox News that Q. Do you have any reason to believe 4 actually -- there's someone actually talking in 4 that it wouldn't be? 5 the news to Fox News about allegations against 5 A. There's no reason to believe it 6 Tesla. That was huge. 6 wouldn't be. It was big news. 7 7 Q. So it was on -- on the night of Q. Well, let me ask you, because it 8 August 29th, which -- now I keep pulling this up. 8 seems kind of -- just like a strange coincidence 9 I believe it was a Wednesday night. And I'll 9 that on -- that Mr. Hansen was on the night of 10 just pull this up one last time. 10 the 29th, and that suddenly all of the e-mails 11 But it was August 29th -- or, yes, 11 that we have about the investigation show up on 12 August. 12 the morning of the 31st. And those are all the 13 13 e-mails we have. That it looks like everything Yes, it was August 29th. It was a 14 Wednesday night. The next day was Thursday; that 14 was high priority starting August 31st in the 15 was a workday. Where were you working the next 15 morning, like something happened the day before, and I'm trying to figure out where the proof is 16 day? 16 17 A. Most likely in Fremont, in the 17 that anything happened prior to that. And can office. Most likely. you tell me where it is? 18 18 19 Q. And in the Fremont office, was that 19 A. I can tell you that Kenneth Davis 20 one of the first things everyone was talking 20 contacted me directly. I spoke to him directly. 21 about? 21 And he raised several allegations about Karl that 22 22 I had to investigate just like any other A. Probably. 23 Q. And was it being talked about in the 23 investigation. There's an allegation. I'm 24 C-suite, as far as you know? 24 supposed to respond to it and look into it, and 25 A. I wasn't in the C-suite at the time, 25 that's what I did.

Page 495 Page 496 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 A. Like I said, I can't remember if I 2 Q. And you started your investigation 3 saw that particular e-mail, but I spoke to 3 based on what Mr. Davis came to you about? 4 A. No, the investigation started long 4 Mr. Davis myself. before that when the  $\operatorname{--}$  I saw the  $\operatorname{e-mail}$  from 5 5 Q. But that's when people started Mr. Hansen to Elon. Mr. Davis did not start this 6 6 questioning Mr. Hansen? 7 7 investigation, no. A. Yes. Because he was alleging 8 Q. So as of August 3rd, the wheels 8 allegations against Mr. Hansen, yes. 9 started turning, but it doesn't look like the 9 Q. So August 23rd is when the eyes 10 fire started getting going until August 31st. 10 started turning at Mr. Hansen and what he might 11 Why is that? 11 have done? 12 12 A. I don't know what you mean by fire, A. I don't remember the date I spoke to 13 13 but the first time anyone had raised any Mr. Davis, but it was Mr. Davis raising concerns 14 allegation against Karl was Kenneth. 14 about Karl Hansen to me that caused me to look 15 Prior to that, I was investigating 15 into his e-mail. 16 Mr. Hansen's allegations, and I spent a lot of 16 Prior to that, I was investigating 17 time doing that. No one raised any concern about 17 Mr. Hansen's concerns. 18 Mr. Hansen until Kenneth, who wanted to talk to 18 Q. And nobody was asking you to do 19 me. And when he wanted to talk, I spoke to him. 19 anything before that; correct? 20 Q. So it wasn't until Mr. -- until --20 A. Before I start --21 and probably -- what was it? As we were looking 21 Q. Like prior to, say, August 3rd, no 22 at this, I think it was -- I think we determined 22 one was asking you to investigate Mr. Hansen; 23 that Kenneth Davis on August 23rd was coming 23 correct? 24 forward raising these allegations. That's when 24 A. Not that I recall. The first I heard 25 of the issue was that e-mail to Elon. 25 people started questioning Mr. Hansen; right? Page 497 Page 498 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 1 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 2 Q. Okay. And then, that's when people 2 question, Your Honor, so we're okay. 3 wanted to know some background, and especially 3 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 4 4 after August 23rd, that's when people wanted to Q. Ms. Workman, were you involved on 5 know background about Karl Hansen; right? They 5 August 31st in the discussion to ask USSA to have 6 wanted to know what he was doing. 6 Mr. Hansen removed from the Gigafactory site? 7 7 A. No one ever asked me about A. Did I speak to his employer directly? 8 Karl Hansen. What I was required to do was look 8 No, I did not --9 into his allegations, and I did that. 9 Q. No. Q. All right. 10 10 A. -- if that's what you're asking. 11 11 Now, on August 31st, were you Q. No, ma'am, my question is different. 12 involved in a decision, a discussion to terminate 12 On August 31st, were you involved in 13 13 Mr. Hansen's employment? any discussions with anyone in any 14 MR. ROBERTSON: Objection. 14 decision-making process to remove -- wherein any 15 15 decision was made to ask USSA to remove A. No. He was not an employee of --JUDGE HOFFMAN: Just a minute. 16 Mr. Hansen from the Gigafactory site? 16 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 17 17 A. Yes. Q. Forgive me. Were you involved --Q. Who was involved in that conversation 18 18 19 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Just a minute. 19 or that discussion? Excuse me. There's an objection, but I heard 20 20 A. HR, InfoSec, legal, myself. 21 objection, but I didn't hear the basis for the 21 O. Who is InfoSec? 22 22 A. That would be Jeff Jones's team. I'm objection. 23 sorry, I keep saying that. MR. WOODFIELD: I'll withdraw the 23 24 question. 24 Q. And so HR is Ms. Ferrua, 25 MR. ROBERTSON: He's withdrawing the 25 Jenna Ferrua?

1

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 499 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

- Q. So it's you, Jenna Ferrua.
  Was Mr. Mohamed there?
- 5 A. He was on the e-mail. I don't 6 remember where we all were physically. I don't 7 remember, but, yes, he was on the e-mail thread, 8 yes.
  - Q. And who made the decision to ask or direct USSA to remove Mr. Hansen from the Gigafactory site?
    - A. That was a group decision.
  - Q. And the group decision was the three of you collectively made the decision, you, Mr. Jones, and Ms. Ferrua?
- A. I can't remember if Mr. Jones, but generally speaking, yes, it was InfoSec, HR, it was legal and myself, yes.
  - Q. All right.
  - A. And that was determined for him not to come back to the property.
- Q. And was there any other information presented to everyone for consideration in that discussion other than what's set forth here in Exhibit No. 188?

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 anything like that? I'm wondering, do they exist?

A. The ones that we just saw a few minutes ago, with the attachments and the photos, those -- that was a portion of the documents that were found.

Q. No, I'm not asking if they were found. I'm asking did you make those to the people involved in the decision-making process.

Were you giving people information other than this e-mail that's set forth as Exhibit 188?

- A. I do not remember if any -- found anything physically. I'm sorry, I can't recall. But I do know what I saw and I know what I reported.
- Q. And that's what I'm trying to figure out. Were they relying on what you were reporting or were you providing information as well to Mr. Jones and Ms. Ferrua?
- 22 A. Well, InfoSec -- let me make sure I 23 do the process correctly.

24 InfoSec helped me to verify that I 25 had seen what I had seen. So that earlier e-mail Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2

A. The list of badging documents that
were found that were exfiltrated, the list of
photos that were exfiltrated, the personal
records that were exfiltrated, the deletion
ghosts that were found. To my recollection,
that's what we looked at when we made the
decision collectively to have him not come back
to the Tesla property.

- Q. Did you provide those materials to everyone in the room, or to everyone having the discussion?
- 13 A. I believe I confirmed the docs with 14 InfoSec, so I confirmed that they were deemed 15 exfiltrated.

I sent the e-mail. I can't remember if we actually looked at the files. That I can't remember. Sorry.

Q. Well, what I'm asking about was there's an e-mail that was provided, but I -- there was nothing -- there was no file justifications, there was no supporting documents given.

So I'm wondering, were there any supporting documents circulated that you gave,

Page 501

Page 502

Page 500

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
that you saw where I said this -- I gave a list
of the things, InfoSec confirmed that they were
indeed exfiltrated, so that was the team that
confirmed it.

So once you have me and that confirmation, then we have a conversation and we decide what to do about it.

- 9 Q. Okay. And I want to make sure I have 10 this right. So Jeff Jones -- when you talk about 11 InfoSec, you're talking Jeff Jones; right?
  - A. His team. It's fair to say his team.
  - Q. But when I'm -- when you're saying InfoSec, I want to know who you're talking about, because that's like me talking about the United States. There are several hundred million people.

Who are you talking about when you say InfoSec in this conversation? Is Jeff Jones the person you're talking about? Or is there some other person in the room?

A. If Jeff Jones was in the e-mail, then it's Jeff Jones I'm speaking about.

24 If it was InfoSec, it could have been 25 anybody on his team.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 503 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 Q. Well, on this e-mail right here, it's Carmen Copher, Yusuf Mohamed, on Exhibit 8 --188.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

On your prior e-mail, it's Carmen Copher. But I don't -- and I'll just represent to you that on Jenna Ferrua's e-mail, it's Yusuf Mohamed, Valerie Workman, Jeff Jones; and Ms. Ferrua said that it was the four of you. And I'm just trying to figure out who was in this room, and you keep saying InfoSec, and I -- I'm trying to figure out who InfoSec is.

A. Yeah. So remember when I said earlier that I had to confirm whether or not what I believed to be exfiltrated was indeed exfiltrated and whether or not what I believed to be deleted was deleted? The InfoSec team is the team that I used to figure out what was true. So when you ask me who was involved, I'm telling you who is involved. So they're -- they are a party to that because they helped me verify that the documents were exfiltrated.

The e-mail is as it says. Those were the people who were involved in the actual conversation to have Mr. Hansen not return back

Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 because this is an important point.

Did InfoSec confirm it to you and for everyone else, or did HR confirm it for InfoSec? I really want to know the answer to that, if you know the answer to that.

I'm sorry, I'm not following your question.

Q. Did -- in terms of Mr. Hansen and anything that he might have -- any policies that he violated, did HR make the determination or did InfoSec make that determination?

A. HR determines the policy violations. So, in other words, first you have to figure out what happened. And then you have a conversation and HR handles the policy side. I have the compliance side. InfoSec handles the IT side, and then there's legal. The HR policy at the end of the day is an HR confirmation.

Q. Okay. I appreciate that. Sorry, there's circular semantics in all of these things, and I apologize.

A. Sorry.

24 Q. And in terms of just following up 25 here, you're -- I'm just going to show you this.

Page 504 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 to the jobsite.

I hope I got that right.

Q. Yes, ma'am.

So you relied heavily on Jeff Jones's

б team?

> They were the -- they confirmed what I saw with my own eyes. I just had to be sure. You can't make decisions like that unless you're sure. And I saw an e-mail going from Karl Hansen to an e-mail address, but I need to use InfoSec to say is that an exfiltration or not. And they confirmed it was an exfiltration, meaning it left the building.

Q. Okay. So it wasn't HR that performed the investigation; it was Mr. Jones's team; correct?

A. Looking at whether or not the data actually went from a Tesla e-mail address to an outside e-mail address, I confirmed that, Jenna confirmed that, InfoSec confirmed that. We all were looking at the same things. But InfoSec is important, because that's the technical arm that makes sure that we're correct.

Q. Well, I'm trying to find this out,

Page 505

Carmen Copher's job?

Page 506 Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 When you -- you sent this e-mail --I'm going to show you, very quickly, Exhibit 188. You told Carmen Copher -- what was

A. She was, I believe, employee relations. I believe that was her title, was employee relations.

9 Q. And when you made the recommendation, when you collectively made the recommendation 10 11 along with HR and Ms. -- I will say Jeff Jones, 12 but correct me if you think it was InfoSec, 13 someone else there.

Who else did you report this to? Did you all tell someone else? Did you report it then to someone else in the organization that Mr. Hansen was being removed from the facility?

A. I don't remember or recall if we spoke with anyone else. Those were the people, me, Yusuf, Jenna. That was the team that needed to have the conversation. I don't remember anyone else being involved.

Q. But this e-mail that went out at 4:07 p.m., just clarifying, it went -- clarifying it from Jenna through -- it was going to

```
Page 508
                                                  Page 507
 1
        Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
                                                            1
                                                                   Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
     Yusuf Mohamed, Valerie Workman, and
2
                                                            2
                                                               don't think there's any objection to those.
3
                                                            3
     carbon-copying Jeff Jones.
                                                                           MR. ROBERTSON: No, there's no
 4
                                                            4
                                                                objection to any of those.
                Do you know why Ms. Ferrua
 5
                                                            5
     carbon-copied Jeff Jones? Reporting that Karl
                                                                           JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. So 214, 215,
 б
     was being removed?
                                                            6
                                                                216, 217 are admitted.
7
                                                           7
            A. I believe even as a contractor,
                                                                           MS. DUNNE: You mentioned 201, but if
8
     somehow Karl's team might have been related to
                                                           8
                                                               you recall, 201 was not what you wanted. You had
9
     Jeff's InfoSec team. I believe that's how he was
                                                           9
                                                               really wanted, I believe, 188.
10
     involved. That makes sense, yeah. Yeah. As far
                                                          10
                                                                           MR. WOODFIELD: Yes. Thank you.
11
     as I can recall.
                                                          11
                                                                            (Whereupon, Exhibit 188 was
                MR. WOODFIELD: At this time I'm --
                                                           12
12
                                                               received.)
     before I rest, I'm going to make sure I offer
                                                          13
13
                                                                           (Whereupon, Exhibit 214 was
                                                           14
     Exhibit 201, 216, 183, 208, 217, 187, 188, 8,
14
                                                               received.)
15
     214, and 215.
                                                           15
                                                                            (Whereupon, Exhibit 215 was
16
                                                           16
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Well, wait a minute.
                                                               received.)
                                                           17
17
     I need to have some way to keep track of that.
                                                                            (Whereupon, Exhibit 216 was
18
     The only one that I have --
                                                           18
                                                               received.)
19
                MR. ROBERTSON: We give up.
                                                           19
                                                                            (Whereupon, Exhibit 217 was
20
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: I can tell you what
                                                           20
                                                               received.)
     I've got pending right now, and it's -- there are
21
                                                          21
                                                                           MR. WOODFIELD: And then just to make
22
     only four that I have pending. So if you want to
                                                          22
                                                               sure -- let's see. I'm going to make sure that
23
     introduce more, you can tell me.
                                                           23
                                                               we got all these in. I also want to make sure --
24
                                                           24
                                                                I have open on my screen 8 -- let's see. I
                You've got 214, -15, -16, and -17.
                                                           25
25
     Each of those are media-related exhibits, and I
                                                               referenced 802, which is not on our list, but it
                                                 Page 509
                                                                                                            Page 510
                                                           1
                                                                  Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
1
        Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2
                                                                         MR. ROBERTSON: So that would be --
                                                           2
2
     was the late supplement from opposing counsel,
                                                               we'll add an exhibit. That would be 225.
                                                           3
 3
     or, you know, it was -- it was Tesla's late
                                                                         MS. DUNNE: No, because we added the
 4
     supplement after we had submitted the witness
                                                               audio clip yesterday, so that would have to be
 5
     list.
                                                           6
                                                               225. So I believe this would have to be 226.
 6
                It was the late document that I
                                                           7
                                                                         MR. WOODFIELD: 226.
7
     offered to refresh recollection. But there's
                                                                         MR. ROBERTSON: And we'll go ahead
8
     187, 208, 183, and 6.
                                                           9
                                                               and mark it and we'll take care of getting it
9
                So 6 is in. 183 is in. 208, I would
                                                          10
                                                               into the set of exhibits.
10
     offer 208 at this point. 187. I think that's
                                                                         MS. DUNNE: We'll send it to Debbie
                                                          11
11
     it.
                                                               to have the sticker applied to it, and then I'll
                                                          12
12
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any objection to 187?
                                                          13
                                                               also upload it to JAMS access so that you have
13
                MR. ROBERTSON: No. None. And no
                                                          14
                                                               access to it, Your Honor.
14
     objection to 208.
                                                          15
                                                                         JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you.
15
                JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. They're both
                                                          16
                                                                         Admitted.
16
     in.
                                                          17
                                                                         (Whereupon, Exhibit 226 was
17
                 (Whereupon, Exhibit 187 was
                                                          18
                                                               received.)
18
     received.)
                                                          19
                                                                         (Discussion off the record.)
19
                 (Whereupon, Exhibit 208 was
                                                           20
                                                                         MR. WOODFIELD: Is there any chance
20
     received.)
                                                          21
                                                               of getting Mr. Mohamed today, or are we convening
21
                MR. ROBERTSON: And then the open
                                                          22
                                                               with him tomorrow?
22
     question is, Nick, did you actually want to
                                                           23
                                                                         JUDGE HOFFMAN: Are we done with
23
     introduce as an exhibit the supplemental e-mail
                                                          2.4
                                                               cross-examination of Ms. Workman?
24
     or did you just --
                                                           25
                                                                         MR. ROBERTSON: Are you all set,
25
                MR. WOODFIELD: Just put it in.
```

| 1  | Page 511<br>Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  | 1  | Page Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  | 512 |
|--|--|--|---|-----|
| 2  | Nick, with   | 2  | we intend to call Mr. Mohamed. I think right now  |     |
| 3  | MR. WOODFIELD: I'm done.   | 3  | I'm inclined to think that we don't need to.  |     |
| 4  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Does USSA have any  | 4  | And then my understanding is once   |     |
| 5  | cross-examination of Ms. Workman?  | 5  | Mr. German is done testifying, that that's it.  |     |
| 6  | MS. LARGENT: No questions.   | 6  | And then the only question for Your Honor and   |     |
| 7  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Does Tesla have any   | 7  | again, we don't have to decide this tonight, but  |     |
| 8  | redirect of Ms. Workman?   | 8  | we should all be thinking about it is do we   |     |
| 9  | MR. ROBERTSON: No, nothing from us.  | 9  | just close the evidence, and are we going to  |     |
| 10   | Thank you.   | 10   | do get the transcripts and do post-hearing  |     |
| 11   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: I have no questions.  | 11   | briefing and then defer closings after we do all  |     |
| 12   | Ms. Workman, thank you very much for   | 12   | of that. But again, I don't think we need to  |     |
| 13   | your testimony. You're excused and you can sign  | 13   | decide that tonight. I just throw that out there  |     |
| 14   | off.   | 14   | because that seems to be the next step.   |     |
| 15   | THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.  | 15   | MR. WOODFIELD: Is Mohamed still an  |     |
| 16   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Thank you.  | 16   | employee of Tesla?  |     |
| 17   | MR. ROBERTSON: And now, Nick, to   | 17   | MR. ROBERTSON: No, he's not, Nick.  |     |
| 18   | your question, yeah, we don't have we've been  | 18   | No, he left a while ago.  |     |
| 19   | unable to reach Mr. Mohamed. We had sort of  | 19   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Well, we don't   |     |
| 20   | indicated and we may decide not to call him,   | 20   | have anything further to do today. Tomorrow   |     |
| 21   | given our inability to find him. But we have   | 21   | we'll start with hopefully start with   |     |
| 22   | Mr. German, so maybe I'll just table that. I   | 22   | Mr. German at 9 o'clock and then we'll figure out   |     |
| 23   | assume Mr. German will be next, likely tomorrow.   | 23   | what happens after that. And I think we're going  |     |
| 24   | And then but we'll let folks know in the   | 24   | to do post-hearing briefs, then we'll figure out  |     |
| 25   | morning before Mr. German comes on whether or not  | 25   | what kind of schedule you want to reach, whether  |     |
| 23   | morning before Mr. derman comes on whether or not  | 23   | what kind of schedule you want to reach, whether  |     |
|  |  |  |   |     |
|  | Page 513   | 1  | Page  | 514 |
| 1  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  | 1  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   | 514 |
| 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and  | 2  |   | 514 |
| 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to   |  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2   | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm  | 2  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION   | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm open to either one.  | 2 3 4  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR,  | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR,  Notary Public, hereby certify that this   | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it for us. Anything further from either side?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR,  Notary Public, hereby certify that this  transcript is a true record of the arbitration   | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR,  Notary Public, hereby certify that this  transcript is a true record of the arbitration  proceedings held in the foregoing matter on  | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR,  Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR,  Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in   | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the  complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR,  Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further,   | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going to take it, so   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR,  Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney   | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the  complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going to take it, so  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I know it. I  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR, Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in these proceedings, nor am I   | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the  complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going to take it, so  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I know it. I know it.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR, Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in these proceedings, nor am I financially or otherwise interested in the  | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going to take it, so  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I know it. I know it.  MR. ROBERTSON: Nothing further.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15                                     | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR,  Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in these proceedings, nor am I financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of said proceedings.  | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the  complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going to take it, so  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I know it. I  know it.  MR. ROBERTSON: Nothing further.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. We're off the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR, Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in these proceedings, nor am I financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of said proceedings.  Subscribed and sworn to on   | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the  complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going to take it, so  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I know it. I  know it.  MR. ROBERTSON: Nothing further.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. We're off the record.                                 | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16                               | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR,  Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in these proceedings, nor am I financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of said proceedings.  | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm  open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it  for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the  complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going to take it, so  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I know it. I  know it.  MR. ROBERTSON: Nothing further.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. We're off the  record.  (Time noted: 3:03 p.m. PDT) | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                         | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR, Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in these proceedings, nor am I financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of said proceedings.  Subscribed and sworn to on   | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the  complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going to take it, so  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I know it. I  know it.  MR. ROBERTSON: Nothing further.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. We're off the record.                                 | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR, Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in these proceedings, nor am I financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of said proceedings.  Subscribed and sworn to on   | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm  open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it  for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the  complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going to take it, so  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I know it. I  know it.  MR. ROBERTSON: Nothing further.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. We're off the  record.  (Time noted: 3:03 p.m. PDT) | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20       | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR, Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in these proceedings, nor am I financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of said proceedings.  Subscribed and sworn to on   | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm  open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it  for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the  complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going to take it, so  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I know it. I  know it.  MR. ROBERTSON: Nothing further.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. We're off the  record.  (Time noted: 3:03 p.m. PDT) | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20       | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR, Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in these proceedings, nor am I financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of said proceedings.  Subscribed and sworn to on this 4-12-2022.   | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm  open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it  for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the  complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going to take it, so  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I know it. I  know it.  MR. ROBERTSON: Nothing further.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. We're off the  record.  (Time noted: 3:03 p.m. PDT) | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21 | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR,  Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in these proceedings, nor am I financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of said proceedings.  Subscribed and sworn to on this 4-12-2022.  | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm  open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it  for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the  complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going to take it, so  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I know it. I  know it.  MR. ROBERTSON: Nothing further.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. We're off the  record.  (Time noted: 3:03 p.m. PDT) | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21 | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR, Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in these proceedings, nor am I financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of said proceedings.  Subscribed and sworn to on this 4-12-2022.  DEBRA A. DIBBLE NCRA Registered Diplomate Reporter | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm  open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it  for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the  complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going to take it, so  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I know it. I  know it.  MR. ROBERTSON: Nothing further.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. We're off the  record.  (Time noted: 3:03 p.m. PDT) | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21 | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR, Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in these proceedings, nor am I financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of said proceedings.  Subscribed and sworn to on this 4-12-2022.  DEBRA A. DIBBLE NCRA Registered Diplomate Reporter | 514 |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2  or not you want to do post-hearing briefs and then have an argument session, or if you want to just submit it on the post-hearing briefs. I'm  open to either one.  And so I think that's that's it  for us. Anything further from either side?  MR. WOODFIELD: Not from the  complainant, Your Honor. Thank you.  MR. ROBERTSON: All I would say is if you give lawyers a chance to talk, they're going to take it, so  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, I know it. I  know it.  MR. ROBERTSON: Nothing further.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. We're off the  record.  (Time noted: 3:03 p.m. PDT) | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21 | Hansen v. Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR, Notary Public, hereby certify that this transcript is a true record of the arbitration proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Tuesday, April 12, 2022.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which these proceedings were taken; and, further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in these proceedings, nor am I financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of said proceedings.  Subscribed and sworn to on this 4-12-2022.  DEBRA A. DIBBLE NCRA Registered Diplomate Reporter | 514 |

# Case 3:19-cv-00413-LRH-CSD Document 66-2 Filed 09/06/22 Page 162 of 225

| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day :  | Page 515 | 1  | Hansen v    | Elon Musk - Arbitration Day | Page 516 |
|----|---|----------|----|-------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| 2  | INDEX                                   | _        | 2  | ilalibeli v | EXHIBITS                    | _        |
| 3  |   |          | 3  | NUMBER      | DESCRIPTION                 | PAGE     |
| 4  | PROCEEDINGS                             | 309      | 4  | Exhibit 10  | was received                | 311      |
| 5  |   |          | 5  | Exhibit 42  | was received                | 384      |
| 6  | EXAMINATION OF KARL HANSEN (continued): |          | 6  | Exhibit 46  | was received                | 361      |
| 7  | BY MS. BRAXTON                          | 310      | 7  | Exhibit 157 | was received                | 424      |
| 8  | BY MR. WOODFIELD                        | 318      | 8  | Exhibit 186 | was received                | 367      |
| 9  | BY MR. ROBERTSON                        | 328      | 9  | Exhibit 187 | was received                | 428      |
| 10 | EXAMINATION OF JACOB DONNELLY NOCON:    |          | 10 | Exhibit 188 | was received                | 508      |
| 11 | BY MR. WOODFIELD:                       | 331      | 11 | Exhibit 189 | was received                | 430      |
| 12 | BY MS. DUNNE                            | 373      | 12 | Exhibit 198 | was received                | 316      |
| 13 | BY MR. WOODFIELD                        | 399      | 13 | Exhibit 205 | was received                | 309      |
| 14 | BY MS. DUNNE                            | 412      | 14 | Exhibit 208 | was received                | 368      |
| 15 | BY MR. WOODFIELD                        | 414      | 15 | Exhibit 211 | was received                | 329      |
| 16 | EXAMINATION OF JENNA RAE FERRUA:        |          | 16 | Exhibit 212 | was received                | 313      |
| 17 | BY MR. ROBERTSON                        | 417      | 17 | Exhibit 213 | was received                | 317      |
| 18 | BY MR. WOODFIELD                        | 432      |    | Exhibit 214 |                             | 508      |
| 19 | BY MR. ROBERTSON                        | 451      | 19 | Exhibit 215 |                             | 508      |
| 20 | EXAMINATION OF VALERIE CAPERS WORKMAN:  |          | 20 | Exhibit 216 | was received                | 508      |
| 21 | BY MR. ROBERTSON                        | 454      | 21 | Exhibit 217 | was received                | 508      |
| 22 | BY MR. WOODFIELD                        | 473      | 22 | Exhibit 219 | was received                | 343      |
| 23 |   |          | 23 | Exhibit 226 | was received                | 510      |
| 24 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION                | 514      | 24 |             |                             |          |
| 25 |   |          | 25 |             |                             |          |
|    |   |          |    |             |                             |          |
|    |   |          |    |             |                             |          |

|  | <b>186</b> 361:8,20 367:14,  | 393:1 394:1 395:1  | 384:22 387:4 389:12  | <b>24</b> 315:19 459:6  |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| -  | 15,17,20 379:20  | 396:1 397:1 398:1  | 391:23 392:4 393:10  | 474:11  |
|  | <b>187</b> 426:9,10 428:5,7,   | 399:1 400:1 401:1  | 402:3 413:3 414:9  | <b>24th</b> 315:17  |
| <b>-15</b> 507:24  | 8 443:19,24 466:17,  | 402:1 403:1 404:1  | 418:8 419:3 420:21   |   |
| <b>-16</b> 507:24  | 19 507:14 509:8,10,  | 405:1 406:1 407:1  | 421:20 422:10,24   | <b>26</b> 387:4   |
| -10 507.24   | 12,17  | 408:1 409:1 410:1  | 425:4,9 426:13 429:5   | <b>28</b> 337:21  |
| <b>-17</b> 507:24  | •  | 411:1 412:1 413:1  | 432:20 433:4 434:16  |   |
|  | <b>188</b> 392:12 443:19   | 414:1 415:1 416:1  | 435:5,8 436:24   | <b>29th</b> 445:11,13   |
| 1  | 444:7 449:2 464:5  | 417:1 418:1 419:1  | 437:17 446:8,18  | 491:18,25 493:8,11,   |
|  | 499:25 501:13 503:4  | 420:1 421:1 422:1  | 449:3 455:9 456:14   | 13 494:10   |
|  | 506:3 507:14 508:9,  | 423:1 424:1 425:1  | 458:4 462:4 464:7  | <b>2:10</b> 472:20  |
| <b>1</b> 437:14 453:8 468:16   | 11   | 426:1 427:1 428:1  | 467:2 473:16,18  |   |
| <b>10</b> 310:22 311:12,14,  | <b>189</b> 428:10,21 430:5,  | 429:1 430:1 431:1  | 475:22 478:11 489:6  | <b>2:14</b> 472:21  |
| 15   | 9,10 443:19 444:10   | 432:1 433:1 434:1  | <b>2019</b> 333:24,25  |   |
|  |  | 435:1 436:1 437:1  | 406:24 456:5,14  | 3   |
| <b>100</b> 437:14  | <b>18th</b> 389:17   | 438:1 439:1 440:1  |  | l ————  |
| <b>10:41</b> 373:2   | <b>198</b> 314:25 315:6  | 441:1 442:1 443:1  | <b>2020</b> 418:14 455:23  | 2 245.42 250.42   |
|  | 316:3,6,7  | 444:1 445:1 446:1  | <b>2020-ish</b> 456:6  | <b>3</b> 315:13 359:13  |
| <b>10:49</b> 478:22  |  | 447:1 448:1 449:1  |  | 362:20 363:2,6,10   |
| <b>10:51</b> 373:3   | <b>1:23</b> 453:11   | 450:1 451:1 452:1  | <b>2021</b> 313:3  | 365:25 366:8,12   |
|  | <b>1:35</b> 453:9  | 453:1 454:1 455:1  | <b>2022</b> 309:4 455:10   | 384:22  |
| <b>11:31</b> 398:23  |  | 456:1 457:1 458:1  |  | <b>30</b> 322:9 416:14  |
| <b>11:37</b> 398:24  | <b>1:38</b> 453:12   | 459:1 460:1 461:1  | <b>204</b> 355:8   | 24 254-2 202-40   |
|  | <b>1st</b> 315:23 411:2  | 462:1 463:1 464:1  | <b>205</b> 309:17,22,25  | <b>31</b> 354:2 393:10 426:13 432:20 449:3  |
| <b>11:57</b> 416:20  | 100 010.20 111.2   | 465:1 466:1 467:1  | , ,  |   |
| <b>12</b> 309:4 371:10,13  |  | 468:1 469:1 470:1  | <b>208</b> 367:12 368:5  | 464:7,13 467:2  |
| 481:4  | 2  | 471:1 472:1 473:1  | 507:14 509:8,9,10,   | 478:10  |
|  |  | 474:1 475:1 476:1  | 14,19  | <b>31st</b> 394:24 395:12   |
| <b>12:23</b> 444:9 449:3   | <b>2</b> 309:1,6 310:1 311:1   | 477:1 478:1 479:1<br>480:1 481:1 482:1   | <b>209</b> 337:19  | 432:25 442:15,16  |
| 466:14   | 312:1 313:1 314:1  |  |  | 444:9 445:9 448:14  |
| <b>12:31</b> 416:21  | 315:1 316:1 317:1  | 483:1 484:1 485:1<br>486:1 487:1 488:1   | <b>211</b> 329:13,15,17,19   | 476:17 478:22 479:9   |
|  | 318:1 319:1 320:1  |  | <b>212</b> 311:10 312:5,10   | 494:12,14 495:10  |
| <b>12:52</b> 432:2   | 321:1 322:1 323:1  | 489:1 490:1 491:1<br>492:1 493:1 494:1   | 313:14,16,17   | 497:11 498:5,12   |
| <b>12:55</b> 432:3   | 324:1 325:1 326:1  | 495:1 496:1 497:1  |  | <b>3rd</b> 314:11,15 319:10   |
|  | 327:1 328:1 329:1  | 498:1 499:1 500:1  | <b>213</b> 316:10 317:15,  | 321:9 322:16 349:13   |
| <b>13</b> 344:5,22   | 330:1 331:1 332:1  | 501:1 502:1 503:1  | 17,18  | 355:22 356:4,12,13  |
| <b>147</b> 345:10,11   | 333:1 334:1 335:1  | 504:1 505:1 506:1  | <b>214</b> 490:6,11 507:15,  | 357:8 363:14,24   |
| ·  | 336:1 337:1 338:1  | 507:1 508:1 509:1  | 24 508:5,13  | 364:22 365:15   |
| <b>15</b> 322:8  | 339:1 340:1 341:1  | 307.1 300.1 303.1  |  | 430:17 474:4,6 495:8  |
| <b>150</b> 435:2   | 342:1 343:1 344:1  | <b>20</b> 455:20   | <b>215</b> 490:12 507:15   | 496:21  |
|  | 345:1 346:1 347:1  | <b>200</b> 434:24  | 508:5,15   | 100.21  |
| <b>157</b> 422:13,18   | 348:1 349:1 350:1  |  | <b>216</b> 486:13 490:9,11   |   |
| 424:20,22,23   | 351:1 352:1 353:1  | <b>201</b> 443:10,14 507:14  | 507:14 508:6,17  | 4   |
| <b>16</b> 313:3  | 354:1 355:1 356:1  | 508:7,8  |  |   |
|  | 357:1 358:1 359:1  | 2019 345.43.40.330.3   | <b>217</b> 491:14,21 507:14  | <b>4</b> 429:5  |
| <b>16th</b> 473:21 487:4,8   |  |  |  | 1   |
|  | 360:1 361:1 362:1  | <b>2018</b> 315:13,19 329:3  | 508:6,19   | <b>42</b> 204.6 47.40   |
| <b>17</b> 389:25 462·16  | 363:1 364:1 365:1  | 332:11,19 333:8,9  | ,  | <b>42</b> 384:6,17,18   |
| <b>17</b> 389:25 462:16  | 363:1 364:1 365:1<br>366:1 367:1 368:1   | 332:11,19 333:8,9<br>336:16,22,24 337:9,   | <b>219</b> 343:14,17,23,25   | <b>42</b> 384:6,17,18 430:12,15   |
| <b>17</b> 389:25 462:16 <b>173</b> 353:20                                  | 363:1 364:1 365:1<br>366:1 367:1 368:1<br>369:1 370:1 371:1  | 332:11,19 333:8,9<br>336:16,22,24 337:9,<br>21,23 338:3 339:25   | <b>219</b> 343:14,17,23,25 <b>23</b> 332:11 368:9,14   |   |
| <b>173</b> 353:20  | 363:1 364:1 365:1<br>366:1 367:1 368:1<br>369:1 370:1 371:1<br>372:1 373:1 374:1   | 332:11,19 333:8,9<br>336:16,22,24 337:9,<br>21,23 338:3 339:25<br>340:3,11 344:5,22  | <b>219</b> 343:14,17,23,25   | 430:12,15   |
| <b>173</b> 353:20 <b>176</b> 346:17  | 363:1 364:1 365:1<br>366:1 367:1 368:1<br>369:1 370:1 371:1<br>372:1 373:1 374:1<br>375:1 376:1 377:1  | 332:11,19 333:8,9<br>336:16,22,24 337:9,<br>21,23 338:3 339:25<br>340:3,11 344:5,22<br>345:19 346:23 348:2   | <b>219</b> 343:14,17,23,25 <b>23</b> 332:11 368:9,14   | 430:12,15<br><b>46</b> 355:18 361:11,13,<br>15,18                                 |
| <b>173</b> 353:20 <b>176</b> 346:17 <b>18</b> 389:11 391:23                | 363:1 364:1 365:1<br>366:1 367:1 368:1<br>369:1 370:1 371:1<br>372:1 373:1 374:1<br>375:1 376:1 377:1<br>378:1 379:1 380:1   | 332:11,19 333:8,9<br>336:16,22,24 337:9,<br>21,23 338:3 339:25<br>340:3,11 344:5,22<br>345:19 346:23 348:2<br>349:13 354:2,7,9,14,                                     | <b>219</b> 343:14,17,23,25<br><b>23</b> 332:11 368:9,14<br>369:23                                    | 430:12,15<br><b>46</b> 355:18 361:11,13,  |
| <b>173</b> 353:20 <b>176</b> 346:17  | 363:1 364:1 365:1<br>366:1 367:1 368:1<br>369:1 370:1 371:1<br>372:1 373:1 374:1<br>375:1 376:1 377:1<br>378:1 379:1 380:1<br>381:1 382:1 383:1                      | 332:11,19 333:8,9<br>336:16,22,24 337:9,<br>21,23 338:3 339:25<br>340:3,11 344:5,22<br>345:19 346:23 348:2<br>349:13 354:2,7,9,14,<br>22 357:2 362:20                  | 219 343:14,17,23,25<br>23 332:11 368:9,14<br>369:23<br>23rd 370:5,6 480:15                           | 430:12,15<br><b>46</b> 355:18 361:11,13,<br>15,18                                 |
| <b>173</b> 353:20<br><b>176</b> 346:17<br><b>18</b> 389:11 391:23<br>462:3 | 363:1 364:1 365:1<br>366:1 367:1 368:1<br>369:1 370:1 371:1<br>372:1 373:1 374:1<br>375:1 376:1 377:1<br>378:1 379:1 380:1<br>381:1 382:1 383:1<br>384:1 385:1 386:1 | 332:11,19 333:8,9<br>336:16,22,24 337:9,<br>21,23 338:3 339:25<br>340:3,11 344:5,22<br>345:19 346:23 348:2<br>349:13 354:2,7,9,14,<br>22 357:2 362:20<br>363:2,6,10,15 | 219 343:14,17,23,25 23 332:11 368:9,14 369:23 23rd 370:5,6 480:15 481:9 482:10 483:7,8               | 430:12,15 <b>46</b> 355:18 361:11,13, 15,18 <b>49</b> 378:4,15 <b>4:07</b> 506:24 |
| <b>173</b> 353:20 <b>176</b> 346:17 <b>18</b> 389:11 391:23                | 363:1 364:1 365:1<br>366:1 367:1 368:1<br>369:1 370:1 371:1<br>372:1 373:1 374:1<br>375:1 376:1 377:1<br>378:1 379:1 380:1<br>381:1 382:1 383:1                      | 332:11,19 333:8,9<br>336:16,22,24 337:9,<br>21,23 338:3 339:25<br>340:3,11 344:5,22<br>345:19 346:23 348:2<br>349:13 354:2,7,9,14,<br>22 357:2 362:20                  | 219 343:14,17,23,25 23 332:11 368:9,14 369:23 23rd 370:5,6 480:15 481:9 482:10 483:7,8 484:19 485:24 | 430:12,15 <b>46</b> 355:18 361:11,13, 15,18 <b>49</b> 378:4,15                    |

Index: 5..arbitration

|   | Absolutely 400:5                          | 401:9 440:13                               | agencies 378:11                           | and/or 479:4                               |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| 5   | 472:13                                    | Acts 334:13                                | agency 378:23                             | angry 406:8                                |
|   | abundance 382:21                          | actual 336:25 428:14                       | agree 324:14 326:17                       | Anne 443:9 462:24                          |
| <b>5</b> 434:24                           | accept 347:14                             | 441:2 449:21 503:24                        | 354:18 370:22                             | anomalies 352:8                            |
| <b>50</b> 322:6,11                        | access 353:4 354:6,                       | add 309:18                                 | agreement 372:22                          |  |
| 6   | 22 355:2,5 368:25                         | addition 388:15                            | agreements 403:19                         | anonymous 365:5,9                          |
|   | 376:2,19 386:6,9<br>388:20,23 391:11      | 419:10,15                                  | ahead 344:20 364:16                       | answering 370:20                           |
| <b>6</b> 509:8,9                          | 396:13 398:9 401:15                       | additional 316:3                           | 372:7 394:8 395:22                        | antiretaliation<br>439:16,19               |
| <b>6th</b> 486:15                         | 402:22 461:3,7 465:5<br>478:25 481:20     | 328:15 393:8,16                            | 398:19 405:22<br>413:21 416:23 424:7,     | anybody's 357:17                           |
|   | accessed 368:21                           | address 353:21                             | 8 431:25 438:4                            |  |
| 7   | 481:16                                    | 354:21 359:25<br>369:11 381:11,12          | 452:12                                    | <b>anymore</b> 398:15<br>491:13            |
| <b>70</b> 437:13                          | accessible 386:3                          | 385:13,19,20 387:12,                       | <b>alarms</b> 479:14                      | apologize 390:19                           |
| <b>70,000</b> 433:8,9                     | accessing 461:15                          | 15 389:20,21 391:20<br>420:15 461:20 462:9 | alert 382:11,14                           | 505:22                                     |
| 436:10                                    | account 355:5,7                           | 482:6 483:16 485:3                         | 388:2,5                                   | apparently 476:17                          |
|   | 382:19 391:18 396:8                       | 504:11,19,20                               | <b>alerts</b> 382:11                      | appeared 491:17                            |
| 8   | 397:10 398:9 402:11                       | <b>addressed</b> 347:9<br>357:25           | <b>Alex</b> 310:23                        | appears 315:20,24                          |
| 9 500,0 507,44 500,04                     | 405:13,17 419:20<br>425:17,18 437:8       |  | <b>Alex's</b> 312:2                       | 344:9 355:9 364:5                          |
| <b>8</b> 503:3 507:14 508:24              | 442:13 465:14 479:6                       | <b>addresses</b> 368:20 481:15             | allegation 341:22                         | 387:16 390:8 422:19<br>426:11 428:22 464:5 |
| <b>802</b> 508:25                         | accountable 376:10                        | addressing 359:11                          | 460:18 493:3 494:23<br>495:14             | 466:13,21 479:3                            |
| 9   | accounts 369:9                            | administrative                             | allegations 338:6                         | application 344:20                         |
|   | 432:19 482:4 483:14<br>484:25 485:8       | 383:18 467:7                               | 340:16,17 342:21                          | applications 421:23                        |
| <b>9</b> 422:24                           | accurate 310:15                           | admissibility 393:23                       | 347:21 348:12,22                          | apply 344:20                               |
| <b>92</b> 389:8,10 461:22,                | 313:7 314:12,17                           | admissible 395:10                          | 349:2,12 350:4,9,12,<br>16 355:14 357:24  | appreciating 430:18                        |
| 23 462:2                                  | 478:12 484:8                              | admit 317:15 367:15,                       | 360:4 361:23 362:6,                       | appreciation 359:16                        |
| <b>99</b> 386:22                          | accurately 340:25                         | 16   | 24 365:10 366:25<br>367:2 368:11 380:4,   | Approximate                                |
| <b>9:04</b> 309:4                         | accuses 486:16                            | admitted 367:25                            | 15 438:19 458:16,21                       | 455:10                                     |
| <b>9:19</b> 318:6                         | act 334:7 357:15                          | 378:4 461:23,25                            | 459:25 481:6 491:5<br>492:11 493:5 494:21 | approximately                              |
| <b>9:23</b> 318:7                         | 456:25                                    | 464:4 508:6                                | 495:16,24 496:8                           | 455:9,20 456:14                            |
| <b>9:29</b> 363:25                        | action 383:16 384:2,                      | adverse 398:13                             | 497:9                                     | <b>April</b> 309:4 333:24,25               |
| <b>9:38</b> 330:19                        | 4 398:13 403:13<br>404:12 420:8 427:9     | advice 404:14                              | alleged 349:4 377:4                       | 387:4                                      |
| <b>9:44</b> 330:20                        | 451:15,21 468:7                           | advised 344:18                             | 439:10                                    | <b>arbitration</b> 309:1 310:1 311:1 312:1 |
|   | actionable 352:22                         | advisors 407:2                             | <b>alleging</b> 364:25<br>496:7           | 313:1 314:1 315:1                          |
| A   | actions 383:24                            | <b>affect</b> 376:13                       | allowed 320:23,25                         | 316:1 317:1 318:1                          |
| <b>a.m.</b> 309:4 318:6,7                 | 405:18 420:6                              | affiliated 392:5                           | 335:18 354:22                             | 319:1 320:1 321:1<br>322:1 323:1 324:1     |
| 330:19,20 363:25                          | activities 334:24                         | affirmative 393:25                         | ambiguous 346:9                           | 325:1 326:1 327:1                          |
| 373:2,3 398:23,24                         | 351:18 369:5 371:2<br>382:24 457:2 481:24 | affirmatively 324:4                        | amount 340:12                             | 328:1 329:1 330:1<br>331:1 332:1 333:1     |
| 416:20 478:22                             | activity 338:15                           | 325:19                                     | 421:14 461:17                             | 334:1 335:1 336:1                          |
| <b>ability</b> 352:16 396:16 397:2 398:12 | 339:2,9,22 341:20                         | <b>afoot</b> 339:9                         | analysts 373:23                           | 337:1 338:1 339:1<br>340:1 341:1 342:1     |
| <b>absence</b> 399:25                     | 351:21 352:6 357:10<br>369:6 374:6 377:4  | afternoon 417:2,18,                        | analytics 371:21                          | 343:1 344:1 345:1                          |
| 400:3                                     | 378:8,12 400:4,15                         | 20 454:14,15                               |   | 346:1 347:1 348:1                          |
|   |   |  |   |  |

| 349:1 350:1 351:1                      |
|--|
| 352:1 353:1 354:1                      |
| 355:1 356:1 357:1                      |
| 358:1 359:1 360:1                      |
| 361:1 362:1 363:1                      |
| 364:1 365:1 366:1                      |
| 367:1 368:1 369:1                      |
| 370:1 371:1 372:1                      |
| 373:1 374:1 375:1                      |
| 376:1 377:1 378:1                      |
|  |
| 379:1 380:1 381:1                      |
| 382:1 383:1 384:1<br>385:1 386:1 387:1 |
| 388:1 389:1 390:1                      |
| 391:1 392:1 393:1                      |
|  |
| 394:1 395:1 396:1                      |
| 397:1 398:1 399:1                      |
| 400:1 401:1 402:1                      |
| 403:1 404:1 405:1                      |
| 406:1 407:1 408:1                      |
| 409:1 410:1 411:1                      |
| 412:1 413:1 414:1                      |
| 415:1 416:1 417:1                      |
| 418:1 419:1 420:1                      |
| 421:1 422:1 423:1                      |
| 424:1 425:1 426:1                      |
| 427:1 428:1 429:1                      |
| 430:1 431:1 432:1                      |
| 433:1 434:1 435:1                      |
| 436:1 437:1 438:1                      |
| 439:1 440:1 441:1                      |
| 442:1 443:1 444:1                      |
| 445:1 446:1 447:1                      |
| 448:1 449:1 450:1                      |
| 451:1 452:1 453:1                      |
| 454:1 455:1 456:1                      |
| 457:1 458:1 459:1                      |
| 460:1 461:1 462:1                      |
| 463:1 464:1 465:1                      |
| 466:1 467:1 468:1                      |
| 469:1 470:1 471:1                      |
| 472:1 473:1 474:1                      |
| 475:1 476:1 477:1                      |
| 478:1 479:1 480:1                      |
| 481:1 482:1 483:1                      |
| 484:1,6 485:1 486:1                    |
| 487:1 488:1 489:1                      |
| 490:1 491:1 492:1                      |
| 493:1 494:1 495:1                      |
| 496:1 497:1 498:1                      |
| 499:1 500:1 501:1                      |
| 502:1 503:1 504:1                      |
| 505:1 506:1 507:1                      |
| 508:1 509:1                            |
|  |
| arbitrator 330:24                      |
| 419:4 453:25 456:16                    |

| Case 3:19-cv-00413-LRH-CSD |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 350:1 351:1                | area 340:19 345:21                     |  |  |  |
| 353:1 354:1                | areas 455:25                           |  |  |  |
| 356:1 357:1                |  |  |  |  |
| 359:1 360:1                | argument 320:12,17                     |  |  |  |
| 362:1 363:1                | 321:17                                 |  |  |  |
| 365:1 366:1                | <b>arm</b> 504:23                      |  |  |  |
| 368:1 369:1                |  |  |  |  |
| 371:1 372:1<br>374:1 375:1 | articulated 321:8                      |  |  |  |
| 377:1 378:1                | articulates 481:8                      |  |  |  |
| 380:1 381:1                | articulating 348:3                     |  |  |  |
| 383:1 384:1                | 354:14                                 |  |  |  |
| 386:1 387:1                |  |  |  |  |
| 389:1 390:1                | <b>asserting</b> 394:18,19             |  |  |  |
| 392:1 393:1                | <b>assess</b> 377:17                   |  |  |  |
| 395:1 396:1                | 387:25                                 |  |  |  |
| 398:1 399:1                |  |  |  |  |
| 401:1 402:1                | assessment 343:10                      |  |  |  |
| 404:1 405:1                | 358:19 401:8                           |  |  |  |
| 407:1 408:1                | assign 472:11                          |  |  |  |
| 410:1 411:1                | 475:14                                 |  |  |  |
| 413:1 414:1                | imped 404.47                           |  |  |  |
| 416:1 417:1                | <b>assigned</b> 421:17<br>471:11 475:5 |  |  |  |
| 419:1 420:1                | 4/1:11 4/5:5                           |  |  |  |
| 422:1 423:1                | assignment 313:23                      |  |  |  |
| 425:1 426:1                | assist 343:11                          |  |  |  |
| 428:1 429:1                | <b>assist</b> 343.11                   |  |  |  |
| 431:1 432:1                | assistant 434:4                        |  |  |  |
| 434:1 435:1<br>437:1 438:1 | 486:24                                 |  |  |  |
| 440:1 441:1                | associate 456:9,17                     |  |  |  |
| 443:1 444:1                | 473:18 487:25                          |  |  |  |
| 446:1 447:1                | <b>A</b>                               |  |  |  |
| 449:1 450:1                | Associates 317:10                      |  |  |  |
| 452:1 453:1                | assume 338:23                          |  |  |  |
| 455:1 456:1                | 340:23 388:3                           |  |  |  |
| 458:1 459:1                |  |  |  |  |

**assuming** 400:13

assumptions

attach 442:3

attached 377:22

attachment 385:9,

attachments 385:6,

8 387:17,19,20,21

389:23 390:3,5,11,

13,23 430:20 462:12,

12,22,25 390:25

362:16

462:15

14 501:5

409:24

attempt 325:24

| <b>August</b> 319:10 321:9 322:16 328:25 329:3 |
|--|
| 349:13 355:22 356:4,                           |
| 12,13 357:8 362:20                             |
| 363:2,6,10,14,24                               |
| 364:22 365:15,25                               |
| 366:8,12 368:9,14                              |
| 369:23 370:5,6 392:4                           |
| 393:10 394:24                                  |
| 395:12 402:2 411:2                             |
| 413:3 422:24 423:20                            |
| 425:4,9 426:13                                 |
| 432:20,25 442:15,16                            |
| 444:9 445:9,11,13                              |
| 446:7,15 448:14                                |
| 449:3 455:20,23                                |
| 456:6 464:7,13 467:2                           |
| 471:19 472:7 473:16,                           |
| 18,20,21 474:4,6                               |
| 475:19,21 476:17                               |
| 478:10,22 479:9                                |
| 480:15 481:9 482:10                            |
| 483:7,8 484:19                                 |
| 485:24 486:15 487:4,                           |
| 8 491:18,25 493:8,                             |
| 11,12,13 494:14                                |
| 495:8,10,23 496:9,21                           |
| 497:4,11 498:5,12                              |
| <b>Austin</b> 418:2 454:23                     |

authentic 401:2

authentication

authority 402:5

authorization

402:10 410:7

authorized 381:19

availability 415:21

469:10

452:20

authenticate 469:20

attention 311:20

386:22 395:24

attorney 321:15

405:24 456:20

attorney-client

attracts 421:2

392:24 393:11,24

attributable 449:22

422:11

346:11 369:25 384:6

```
401:14 406:19
 439:23 444:3 445:8
 446:4 450:8,11,14,17
 458:5 460:14 471:19
 473:20 486:6,9,23
          В
back 309:15 312:10
 318:8 328:10 336:22
 341:23 342:5,14
 343:11 344:6 355:24
 358:20 365:6 372:24
 373:6 379:19 382:9
 398:25 405:6 416:14
 419:3 422:23 432:4
 439:14 455:12
 467:18 472:11,22,25
 474:17 499:21 500:8
 503:25
background 342:3,6
 363:15 399:21
 400:14 401:2 405:14
 482:12 497:3,5
bad 342:22 343:2
 344:24 360:3,15
 408:7,8 437:6
badge 391:5,14
 425:20 431:4 463:10
badged 431:2 463:14
badging 386:2,3,5,6
 391:6 430:21,24,25
 431:6,7,11,18 461:18
 463:13 465:5 478:25
 500:2
badly 339:4,5,7
ballpark 435:10
based 345:5 352:25
 375:14 379:12
 383:24 387:20,25
 388:9 391:22,25
 395:5 398:2,4,6
 400:20 401:6 403:14
 421:18 434:11 450:2.
 3 459:23 467:20
 468:7 471:5,11 495:3
```

```
Index: arbitrator..Braxton
                       basis 393:24 466:5
aware 327:5 329:10
 339:23 340:7 341:17
                         485:16 497:21
 353:17 354:16
                       bcc'd 356:9
 366:10,13,15 400:10
                       bear 437:24
                       begin 459:3
                       beginning 309:6
                         380:2 457:23 458:2
                       behalf 321:11
                       behavior 368:16
                         370:7 481:10 484:20
                       believed 321:6
                         348:11 460:11
                         471:16 503:15,16
                       Bhatnagar 476:18
                       biq 492:4,5,7,8,21
                         494:6
                       bit 315:16 325:8
                         335:14 386:13
                         418:24 433:18
                         437:12,13 453:6
                         455:16 487:23
                       blessing 351:25
                       blotter 379:2
                       blow 385:10
                       board 458:15
                       bodies 415:3
                       bolster 326:6
                       bone 408:21
                       boss 402:14 440:12
                         476:16
                       bottle 376:14
                       bottom 428:22
                       box 376:15 465:20
                       boy 474:8
                       Braxton 309:24
                         310:3,7,23 311:2,11,
```

16,22 312:8,15,19,

22,24 313:11,13,19

314:24 315:6,8,15,18

316:2,9,14,18 317:2,

319:2 320:4,8 328:6

14,20 318:5,10,20

329:25 335:7

**break** 398:18 416:8, 9,14 431:5 453:8

breaking 455:16

**bridge** 325:16 352:3, 17,18

briefed 394:6

**briefly** 430:23

bring 491:23

broad 352:20

broader 395:8

**brought** 425:10 437:23 440:20,22

**BS** 328:2

**build** 332:15

**building** 488:14 504:14

bunch 373:24

business 338:25 339:9 403:20 404:10 420:4,5 426:3,5 434:11,13 447:19 448:11 464:19,25 490:7,11

businesses 436:22

**busy** 359:19

buyout 446:22

**buzz** 492:18

С

**C-A-P-E-R-S** 454:19

**C-SUITE** 493:24,25

**California** 313:24 347:6

**call** 323:6 330:11 363:22 416:2,3 433:12 449:6 460:23 479:18

**called** 323:8 330:25 332:18 424:14 425:13 438:14 454:2

**calls** 335:9 434:3 479:6

**camera** 388:20 389:2 390:8,9 465:6 479:5

**cameras** 425:22

capability 396:18

**capacity** 331:20,24 340:6 348:19 406:10

Capers 454:7,18

carbon-copied 507:5

carbon-copying 346:19 507:3

**Carmen** 476:18 503:3,6 506:4,5

**cartel** 341:20 347:15, 18 348:12 357:10,21 358:9 360:4 362:25 363:8 380:2.3

cartels 341:6,15

**case** 309:7 330:5,24 331:2 339:11,13 375:15 382:6 411:9 415:24 453:25

cases 366:4 375:14

**casual** 477:9

categorically 323:18

categories 392:25

categorized 459:23

**caused** 370:25 480:7 496:14

**caution** 372:8,14 382:22

**CEO** 357:15 358:3 359:8,25

cetera 403:9 444:19

**chain** 347:16,18 348:13

**chance** 470:2

438:2

**changed** 433:17

characterization

characterize 359:4

**charge** 421:14 486:25

**check** 487:18

**checks** 342:3,6 363:15 399:22 400:14,16 401:2,6

**chief** 415:24 433:20 455:3

China 455:25

**Circling** 328:10

circular 505:21

circulated 500:25

circumstance

375:15

circumstances

376:18 403:15 404:2 410:20 411:10,12,23

cited 368:24 481:19

claim 427:16

claims 406:11

clarify 486:3

clarifying 506:24

Clark 422:19 423:2

classification 378:24

**classify** 381:22

clean 364:16

**clear** 461:10 466:5 472:14

cleared 415:18

**client** 323:21 324:8,9 325:25 330:5

client's 324:10

clip 319:25 320:2

close 317:21

closely 390:7

\_

**cloud** 376:6

**co-employee** 479:25 480:3

co-employees

479:22

**code** 351:20 352:25 403:20 426:2 464:19, 25

coincidence 494:8

**colleague** 368:16 370:8 481:11

**colleagues** 353:10 492:21

**collected** 382:12 398:3 403:16

**collective** 384:3 447:18,24 448:4 472:10

**collectively** 426:6 449:25 468:6 499:14 500:8 506:10

collocated 365:22

coloring 362:16

comfortable 470:8

**commenced** 367:5 369:16

**comment** 319:16 483:24

commentary 341:19,23 360:17

011.10,20 000.17

commented 342:14 Commission 486:21

committing 404:17

common 427:12

communicate 429:20

communicating

477:3

communication

395:4 429:4,22 468:10 486:14

communications

377:3,8 395:2 424:5 429:24 468:2,10 469:4

**company** 340:8 353:6 358:4 359:8,17 366:23 376:20 382:23 396:20 397:5 403:10 406:3,5,8,9 409:24 413:2,14 420:11,13 421:3 427:8 433:6 436:6,8 451:14 452:2,3 455:4 458:17 460:12,20,24 461:18,20 492:14,18

**company's** 334:23

comparator 406:22

**comparators** 402:24 408:24

compensation 407:8

competency 335:15

competent 335:12

competitive 422:7

competitors 407:25

compiled 378:11

complain 354:19

complaining 354:9,

**complaint** 323:6 334:22 410:11 439:7 440:7,8,10 444:22 445:3,7 479:22 480:7 486:20 487:8

**complaints** 334:11 335:20 350:2 368:11 376:13 438:15 481:6 485:7

completely 323:13

**complex** 400:13

compliance 349:25 396:25 397:2,13 402:13 414:11,16,17, 20 419:23 420:9,15, 16 433:20,21 434:5 435:16,20,21,22 436:8,11,16 456:10, 18,20,23 473:19 475:15 486:5,24,25 488:2,6 489:12 505:17

complicated 347:3

comply 439:3

compromised 400:24 **computer** 312:2,9 382:20

**concern** 319:19 326:16 345:24 346:3, 4 351:19 353:3 460:5 488:5 495:17

**concerned** 346:7 369:4 481:23 485:21, 22

concerns 321:8 327:14 334:11 340:4, 10 341:5,14,19 342:22 343:6 345:18 348:3 355:13 357:5, 9,21 368:22 395:19 419:11 456:21 480:14 481:17 483:2 496:13,17

**conclusion** 335:10 374:20 466:6

**conclusions** 362:16 363:7 365:7 380:6,18

concurrence 383:2

**conduct** 342:2 352:4 375:25 397:13 400:20 413:11 426:2 464:19,25 465:21

**conducted** 350:7 363:16 366:14,15,25 370:13 371:5 396:25 400:19 401:6 485:17

**conducting** 310:17 340:12 342:8 377:11 396:10 406:25 457:24 458:23 461:6

conference 377:14

confident 488:11

confidential 335:4 368:19 373:21 374:12,17 376:8 383:4,7 387:22 391:16 405:9 408:14 425:16 431:13,15 437:3 452:4 461:21 481:14

**confirm** 469:21 479:16 503:14 505:3, 4

**confirmation** 478:23 502:7 505:19

**confirmed** 442:11 500:13,14 502:3,5 504:7,13,20,21

confirming 394:23

conflict 414:22

Congratulations 418:25

**connect** 420:17

**connected** 406:14 420:19

**connection** 419:23 458:10 469:5

conscious 403:13

consent 323:15

consideration 499:23

**considered** 386:10 389:5 391:15 395:16 449:4

consisted 419:8

consists 373:22

**constitute** 383:5 388:12

constitutes 388:23

consult 335:25

**contact** 354:10,15 357:5 372:21 383:9 415:17 423:4 426:25 427:5 448:21

**contacted** 337:10 480:22 494:20

content 388:9,15

**contents** 369:14 397:8 482:8

**continue** 328:12 353:5

continued 446:18

continuing 392:14

contracted 423:8

contracting 413:14

contractor 351:2,9, 13 369:8 383:23 407:15 412:23 413:4, 11 414:22 419:16 424:12 427:4,5,14, 19,21 431:9 433:24 458:5 482:3 483:14 484:25 485:8 507:7

contractor's 419:20

**contractors** 397:18 398:5 399:22 413:6 419:18 427:7

contradict 324:9

contributor 457:5,9

control 366:6 381:23

controlled 375:5,6

conversation 319:4, 8 323:25 324:6 326:25 329:2 356:19, 21 365:3,12,15,17 429:7,9 432:17,19 477:10 482:25 485:16 498:18 502:7, 19 503:25 505:15 506:21

conversations

318:21 319:13 364:12,14 365:9 427:10

**cooperate** 459:8,11,

coordinate 416:10

**Copher** 476:18 503:3,6 506:4

Copher's 506:5

**copper** 340:4,16,18 345:15,18,23 346:4 458:19

copy 312:11

**corporate** 331:21,24 334:5 336:2,5 338:22 456:25 457:9

**correct** 310:20 331:21,22,25 332:2 334:7,8,13,20,21 336:4 339:5,12 348:7 351:11 358:22 369:25 370:10 371:11 373:15.16 378:8,13 380:11,15, 16,19 381:2,3 386:10,11 388:24,25 391:12.21 392:6.7 397:18,19 399:22 400:4,5,9 401:4,13, 18 409:11 411:17 412:20,21,23 422:24 424:5,6 426:18 428:19 429:15,21 442:17 449:17 466:14 470:24 471:5 484:11 485:8.9.24 486:8 487:3 491:25 496:19,23 504:17,24 506:12

corrective 420:7

**correctly** 347:20 485:6 501:23

**corroborate** 349:12 362:24 363:7 380:5, 14,17

corroborated 362:7

Corrupt 456:24

counsel 317:6 326:18 335:25 366:18 372:22 382:8, 16 402:10 403:12 404:14 414:7 425:12 433:23 434:4 456:9, 18 473:19,22 476:10 486:5,24 488:2,9 509:2

**counsel's** 404:19

counseled 366:2

countering 320:11

County 379:11 409:4

**couple** 342:16 377:13 438:6

**coupled** 369:2 481:21

court 331:3 454:3

Court's 443:7

covered 381:6

create 474:12,14

created 378:16,20

credentials 353:8

credible 341:21

crime 341:6,15

**criminal** 339:2,8 342:3,6,7,9,11 363:18 400:2,4 401:9

criminally 465:12

criteria 385:17

criticism 366:7

cross 325:16 326:14

cross-examination

309:9 328:12 329:24 372:3 399:10 432:8 450:21 473:7

cross-examine 469:24

crossed 487:3

**current** 371:22 418:10 454:25 455:2

**customer** 381:20 407:11,14

**cyber** 436:18

D

data 351:23 352:24 374:7 375:6 376:4 380:25 381:5,7,11, 18,23 382:4 383:6 385:16,18 386:10 388:24 389:6 391:16, 24 397:17,21,22,23 398:2,6 401:12,18,19 402:2,22,25 404:6, 21,25 405:16 407:19 408:4,9 412:19 461:17,21 471:15 485:22 504:18

date 322:16,22 332:10 333:22 358:18 384:16,21 387:2 389:10,11 393:10 426:17 464:13 466:12,15 479:13 496:12

**dated** 313:2 393:9 422:24 426:12 429:5

Index: dates..document

| 430:17 462:3                               | 432:1 433:1 434:1                             | 457:16 471:9 472:6,                      | 505:11,12                    | 446:9                            |
|--|---|--|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| dates 350:22,25                            | 435:1 436:1 437:1                             | 10 497:12 498:15                         | determine 346:15             | disciplinary 420:6               |
|  | 438:1 439:1 440:1                             | 499:9,12,13,14 500:8                     | 383:13 405:12 460:3          | 427:9 451:15,21                  |
| <b>Davis</b> 345:13 368:16,                | 441:1 442:1 443:1                             | decision-making                          |                              | ,                                |
| 17,22,24 369:3 370:8                       | 444:1 445:1 446:1                             | 498:14 501:10                            | determined 369:13            | discipline 403:3,5,8             |
| 480:10,12,13 481:11,<br>17,19,22 482:23,25 | 447:1,6 448:1 449:1<br>450:1 451:1 452:1      | decisions 504:9                          | 408:3 482:7 495:22<br>499:20 | 404:3,7 405:2,3<br>419:24,25     |
| 483:6 484:21 485:13,                       | 453:1 454:1 455:1                             |  |                              | ·                                |
| 15,19 486:2 488:15,                        | 456:1 457:1 458:1                             | <b>deemed</b> 500:14                     | determines 382:4             | <b>disclose</b> 405:9 406:9      |
| 16 494:19 495:3,6,23                       | 459:1 460:1 461:1                             | <b>defer</b> 416:3                       | 397:21 505:13                | disclosed 324:3                  |
| 496:4,13                                   | 462:1 463:1 464:1                             | deleted 200.42                           | deterring 374:5              | 383:13                           |
| Davis's 369:23 370:5                       | 465:1 466:1 467:1                             | <b>deleted</b> 369:13 465:13,24,25 466:3 | <b>develop</b> 358:20        | disclosure 393:19                |
| 484:22,23 485:6                            | 468:1 469:1 470:1                             | 482:8 503:17                             | 363:19 380:20                |                                  |
|  | 471:1 472:1 473:1                             |  |                              | disclosures 386:19               |
| day 309:1,6 310:1<br>311:1 312:1 313:1     | 474:1 475:1 476:1<br>477:1 478:1 479:1        | deletion 465:24                          | <b>developed</b> 342:16      | discontent 407:7                 |
| 314:1 315:1 316:1                          | 480:1 481:1 482:1                             | 471:16 500:5                             | 401:10                       | discouraged 357:20               |
| 317:1 318:1 319:1                          | 483:1 484:1 485:1,25                          | deletions 466:7                          | develops 395:20              |                                  |
| 320:1 321:1 322:1                          | 486:1 487:1 488:1                             | 467:24                                   | device 353:7,11              | discover 461:16                  |
| 323:1 324:1 325:1                          | 489:1 490:1 491:1                             | <b>delve</b> 374:18                      | devotions 420:7              | discovered 464:10                |
| 326:1 327:1 328:1                          | 492:1,15 493:1,14,16                          | demanding 323:9                          |                              | discovery 312:11                 |
| 329:1 330:1 331:1                          | 494:1,15 495:1 496:1                          |  | <b>DGC</b> 433:23            | 394:12,24                        |
| 332:1 333:1 334:1<br>335:1 336:1 337:1     | 497:1 498:1 499:1<br>500:1 501:1 502:1        | departing 407:4                          | died 312:9                   | ·                                |
| 338:1 339:1 340:1                          | 503:1 504:1 505:1,19                          | department 407:5,7                       | <b>Diego</b> 333:4           | discriminate 440:6               |
| 341:1 342:1 343:1                          | 506:1 507:1 508:1                             | departments 420:20                       |                              | discrimination                   |
| 344:1 345:1 346:1                          | 509:1   | _  | difference 324:25            | 439:7 440:4,8,11                 |
| 347:1 348:1 349:1                          | day-to-day 375:12                             | <b>depend</b> 374:25<br>403:25 411:18,23 | 348:25 358:6                 | discuss 327:3,6                  |
| 350:1 351:1 352:1                          | 419:5,7 456:16                                |  | differently 413:7            | 348:7 362:14                     |
| 353:1 354:1 355:1                          | <b>DEA</b> 378:12,17,20                       | depending 383:13                         | difficult 387:24             | discussed 311:6                  |
| 356:1 357:1 358:1<br>359:1 360:1 361:1     | 379:11,14 458:18                              | 388:14 411:12                            | 445:23,24 446:16             | 319:10 412:17                    |
| 362:1 363:1 364:1,22                       | · ·   | 417:19                                   | direct 311:20 323:21         | discussing 338:5                 |
| 365:1,20 366:1 367:1                       | <b>deal</b> 394:15                            | <b>depends</b> 357:23                    | 325:25 327:7,10              |                                  |
| 368:1 369:1 370:1                          | <b>deals</b> 397:16                           | 382:6 411:9                              | 372:13 384:5 386:21          | discussion 360:19                |
| 371:1 372:1 373:1                          | dealt 347:11                                  | deploy 373:24                            | 395:24 411:20                | 362:17 363:13                    |
| 374:1 375:1 376:1                          |   | deputy 433:23 434:4                      | 429:17 467:25 470:6          | 435:18 453:20<br>497:12 498:5,19 |
| 377:1 378:1 379:1<br>380:1 381:1 382:1     | <b>Deb</b> 417:8                              | -  | 475:16 499:10                | 499:24 500:12                    |
| 383:1 384:1 385:1                          | <b>Debbie</b> 373:6                           | describe 419:4                           | directed 335:21              | discussions 345:23               |
| 386:1 387:1 388:1                          | debrief 348:6                                 | 430:23 456:15 466:8                      | 342:13 360:12                | 347:17,25 498:13                 |
| 389:1 390:1 391:1                          | December 455:10                               | description 421:18                       | directing 360:12             |                                  |
| 392:1 393:1 394:1                          | 456:5,14                                      | desk 368:23 481:18                       | direction 401:7              | disposal 403:11                  |
| 395:1 396:1 397:1<br>398:1 399:1 400:1     | · ·   | <b>destroy</b> 390:12                    | 467:15 468:11                | dispositive 400:2                |
| 401:1 402:1 403:1                          | <b>decide</b> 457:20 468:6 502:8              | _  |                              | 401:4                            |
| 404:1 405:1 406:1                          |   | detail 368:10 481:5                      | directions 327:15            | distract 360:3                   |
| 407:1 408:1 409:1                          | <b>decided</b> 345:4 426:6                    | details 350:19                           | directly 329:2 356:24        | distraction 361:3                |
| 410:1 411:1,3,21                           | deciding 326:18                               | 352:14,20                                | 357:6 457:7 476:6            |                                  |
| 412:1,2,5,6 413:1                          | deciphering 376:8                             | <b>detect</b> 374:11                     | 480:22 482:23 483:2          | distribution 353:24              |
| 414:1 415:1 416:1<br>417:1 418:1 419:1     |   | detecting 376:7                          | 485:15 494:20 498:7          | 354:4                            |
| 420:1 421:1 422:1                          | <b>decision</b> 326:20<br>404:5 419:24 429:20 |  | Director 433:14              | <b>docs</b> 500:13               |
| 423:1 424:1 425:1                          | 434:6 440:23 447:17                           | <b>deter</b> 374:8                       | directs 359:22,25            | document 311:18                  |
| 426:1 427:1 428:1                          | 448:2,4,7,9,13,25                             | determination                            | disagree 395:8               | 316:16 324:17                    |
| 429:1 430:1 431:1,5                        | 449:15,22,25 450:4                            | 388:8 404:12 427:19                      | aladyiee 030.0               | 335:18 385:22                    |
|  |   |  |                              |                                  |

388:23 393:3 394:20 395:10 422:14,16 428:12 430:15 438:15 441:23 443:12,24 445:9 449:18,24 469:17 470:4,8 476:10,14 477:13,18 482:18 509:6

## documentation 393:21 442:2,7,9,21

documents 317:9, 11 335:3,4,19 369:10 378:21 386:9 393:23 405:16 406:17 408:15 425:17,19 436:2,25 442:12 457:25 460:24 461:18 462:7 465:4 466:2,3,7 467:22 471:17 474:24 480:8 482:5 483:15 485:2 500:2,22,25 501:6 503:22

**Dodd-frank** 334:7, 12,18,25 335:20 336:9,13 439:18,24

**domain** 376:5 391:18 396:13,14 398:10 408:11

**Donnelly** 331:9,18

door 356:25 357:3

double 466:6

drafted 405:6

Draper 405:7

**Drive** 405:17

driver's 425:22

drives 376:6

**drug** 360:5 377:4 378:7,11 458:18

**due** 406:13 426:7 428:4

**duly** 331:10 417:12 454:8

**Dunne** 331:5 335:6,9 343:22 361:16 364:7, 11 367:18 368:3 370:19 372:5,14,16,

19,25 373:4,7,11 384:10,14,19 394:3 395:22,23 398:16,22, 25 399:4 409:6,12 412:11,16 413:17 443:13,19 470:18 508:7

Case 3:19-cv-00413-LRH-CSD

**duties** 338:9 415:18 419:7

#### Ε

e-mail 314:2 315:11, 16,19,20,21 319:10 321:9 344:9,13,18 346:16 347:8 353:23, 25 354:3,6,21 355:5, 20,22 356:2,4,7,9,11, 15,18,21 357:13,14 358:3,22 360:17 363:24 364:22 365:18,20 368:20 369:8,11,22,23 370:5,6,10,24 376:5 377:18,20 381:11 382:18,19 383:4 384:16,21,24 385:6, 9,13,19 387:2,3,6,10, 12,15 388:5,7 389:13.17.20.21.24 391:23 395:25 396:7, 17 397:3,8,9 398:9 401:15 422:18 423:17,22,24 426:11, 16 428:22 429:6,9 430:17,18 431:20 442:13,23 443:5 444:6,8 448:17,23,25 449:5 458:13,21,23 459:2,24 460:10,21 461:3,8,16,19,20 462:3,6,8,9,15 463:16,17,18 464:5, 24 465:13,19,22 466:4,13,21 468:4 473:25 474:4,6,10, 17,21,23,25 475:3,9 477:14 478:2,21 479:11,21,24 480:2, 5,6,9,11,25 481:15 482:3,5,10,11 483:5, 14,16 484:22,25 485:11,14,18 495:5 496:3,15,25 499:5,7 500:16,20 501:12,25

```
502:22 503:2,5,7,23
504:10,11,19,20
506:2,23 509:23
```

**e-mailed** 360:21 476:17

**e-mailing** 344:11 345:12 354:4 357:15

e-mails 314:4,18,20 352:11,16 354:20 355:7 358:18 369:10 393:7,9,15,16 394:25 396:12,13,16 397:6 401:13 405:5 410:25 442:15,18 478:9,13, 17 494:10,13

eager 355:12

earlier 358:17 377:2 392:3 397:15 407:17 438:24 440:14 444:23 501:25 503:14

**early** 364:22

edge 479:20

effort 374:5 408:19

**efforts** 354:10,15 362:23 363:7 380:5, 10,14 456:23 477:19

**electronic** 350:13 351:5,7 474:16

## electronically

474:15

**Elon** 309:1 310:1 311:1 312:1 313:1 314:1 315:1 316:1 317:1 318:1 319:1 320:1 321:1 322:1 323:1 324:1 325:1 326:1 327:1 328:1 329:1 330:1 331:1 332:1 333:1 334:1 335:1 336:1 337:1 338:1 339:1 340:1 341:1 342:1 343:1 344:1 345:1 346:1 347:1 348:1 349:1 350:1 351:1 352:1 353:1 354:1 355:1,23 356:1,5,13,24 357:1, 3,11,22 358:1 359:1, 23 360:1,13,20 361:1 362:1 363:1 364:1 365:1 366:1 367:1 368:1 369:1,24 370:1 371:1 372:1 373:1

374:1 375:1 376:1 377:1 378:1 379:1 380:1 381:1 382:1

383:1 384:1 385:1 386:1 387:1 388:1 389:1 390:1 391:1 392:1 393:1 394:1 395:1 396:1 397:1 398:1 399:1 400:1

401:1 402:1 403:1 404:1 405:1 406:1 407:1 408:1 409:1 410:1 411:1 412:1 413:1 414:1 415:1

416:1 417:1 418:1 419:1 420:1 421:1 422:1 423:1 424:1 425:1 426:1 427:1 428:1 429:1 430:1

431:1 432:1 433:1 434:1 435:1 436:1 437:1 438:1 439:1 440:1 441:1 442:1

443:1 444:1 445:1, 17,19 446:1,21 447:1 448:1 449:1 450:1 451:1 452:1 453:1

454:1 455:1 456:1 457:1 458:1,13 459:1 460:1 461:1 462:1

463:1 464:1 465:1 466:1 467:1 468:1 469:1 470:1 471:1

472:1 473:1 474:1,2, 7 475:1 476:1 477:1 478:1 479:1 480:1

481:1 482:1 483:1,9 484:1 485:1 486:1

487:1 488:1,20,25 489:1 490:1 491:1 492:1 493:1 494:1

495:1,6 496:1,25 497:1 498:1 499:1 500:1 501:1 502:1

503:1 504:1 505:1 506:1 507:1 508:1

509:1

**Elon's** 359:22 **else's** 400:13

empathized 327:13

**empathy** 318:22 327:10.12

Index: documentation..engage

**employed** 332:4,5 333:5 368:12,21 407:18 454:21,22 464:18 481:7,16

employee 313:24
344:8 345:6 359:14
369:8 371:19 376:13
383:22 386:4 390:16,
20 391:7 392:9
396:13,17 398:10,11
404:11,17 405:12,17
406:11 407:15
409:22 413:9 414:21
418:4 419:8,11,25
420:3,16,17 423:16
424:13,17 431:2,9,18
442:4 482:3 483:13
484:24 485:7 486:18
497:15 506:6,8

**employee's** 382:19 383:10 397:3 461:3

employees 333:5 334:10 336:10 337:12 357:4 376:2, 10 377:13 397:17,23, 25 399:22 410:6,11 412:19 419:13,15 420:12,20 421:6 425:25 427:11,14 431:12 433:4 436:25 451:8 453:5 456:22 486:17

employees' 427:20

**employer** 341:25 398:13,14 399:21 407:24 424:15 498:7

**employment** 383:9 403:12,24 407:10 410:8 420:2 421:23 427:20 497:13

end 345:15 371:5 425:11 455:12 457:23 463:7 472:7 505:18

ended 353:11 407:6

energy 407:5

**engage** 413:14

Index: engaged..filing

**engaged** 382:15 413:12 440:13

engineering 333:17

ensure 352:7 420:11

**ensures** 420:10

ensuring 403:13

enter 310:21

**entered** 337:18 384:11 386:23

**entire** 322:11 393:14 397:8 470:14

entitled 391:2

entity 338:22

entry 379:3

**equipment** 350:14 351:5,7,24

equitable 393:20

equity 446:22

erratic 369:4 481:23

escalate 436:15

**escalated** 490:17,18

**essentially** 310:17 323:20 325:25 421:2, 4 466:6

**establish** 411:7 413:13

established 383:22

ethics 403:20 426:3 464:20 465:2

**events** 391:14 415:23 472:2

Events.pdf. 391:5

evidence 311:12 313:14 316:4 317:15 321:4,22 322:25 323:3,16,22 325:3 326:5 329:10 337:19 343:20 345:11 346:18 349:3 384:11 386:23 398:3 403:15 466:20 484:10

evident 381:14

**exact** 333:22 350:19, 22,25 352:14 358:18 410:20 434:22 448:16 483:9 485:25

examination 310:5 318:16 324:11 328:19 331:13 372:20 373:9 399:17 412:14 414:3 417:15 432:12 451:3 454:11 473:10

**examples** 404:24 406:21,23 407:12 410:3 463:23

Excellent 454:24

**exchange** 311:4 315:10,11 406:17 422:18 486:20

exchanges 314:2

exchanging 314:4

excluding 455:25

**excuse** 314:5 315:10 370:16 405:21 497:20

**excused** 415:11 452:11

exfiltrated 382:4 394:25 397:22 404:25 442:12 460:23 461:19 464:12 500:3,4,5,15 502:4 503:15,16,22

exfiltrates 404:6

**exfiltrating** 397:23 398:2 402:25 404:21 407:2 408:4 412:18 425:16 432:18

**exfiltration** 380:25 381:5,22 385:15,16 386:10 388:12,24 389:5 391:15,24 397:17,20 398:6 467:21,23 471:14 504:12,13

exhibit 309:17,25 310:22 311:10,12,15 312:3 313:14,17 314:24 315:4 316:3, 7,9,10 317:15,18 320:14.18.20.24 323:2 329:19 337:19 343:13,25 345:10 346:17 353:19 355:8, 18 361:8,18 367:12, 20 368:5 370:2,4,9 377:25 378:3,4,14,15 379:20 384:6,10,18 385:5,16 386:22 387:16 389:8,10 392:11,12 395:16 422:13,18 424:20,23 426:9,10 428:8,21 430:10 461:22,23 462:2 464:5 466:10, 19 468:16 486:13 490:6 491:21 499:25 501:13 503:3 506:3 507:14 508:11,13,15, 17,19 509:17,19,23

**exhibits** 392:16,17, 18 507:25

exist 501:3

existence 410:5

exited 353:6 407:18

**expect** 355:6

**experience** 342:8 378:7

**experts** 373:23 374:14

**explain** 368:10 373:17 375:11 381:4 419:22 420:24 421:10 465:17 481:5

explanation 383:23

**expose** 452:2

exposing 436:19

**express** 319:19

**expressed** 318:22 327:11,12,14 368:22 481:17

expressing 321:6

extensive 340:12

**extent** 357:3 358:23 381:5 393:14 424:12 425:7 444:2.13

external 381:11

385:19 391:18

externally-directed 388:7

**extort** 406:3,5,8 408:25 409:24

**extract** 397:10

**extremely** 359:18 396:14 411:8

eyes 496:9 504:8

F

**face** 320:21 378:18 381:13 385:23 389:24

**facilities** 374:23 441:22 462:21 463:14

**facility** 395:5 431:19 506:17

facing 420:4

**fact** 327:4,6,25 350:3 388:3 394:23,25 397:22 400:6 433:15 440:21,22 472:7

factories 388:18

factors 448:8 450:7

**factory** 360:6 425:20 472:12 486:19

**facts** 360:10 375:21 395:3

factually 395:12

fair 326:20 360:7 421:21 422:6 427:13 486:4 487:8,13 502:12

fairly 401:22 424:10

**fairness** 395:19

**faith** 334:17 342:22 343:2,7

fake 400:13

fall 340:24 376:16

**fallen** 341:2

familiar 378:10 381:24 385:21

far-fetched 339:17

fast 411:4 447:13

**favor** 443:9

**February** 455:9 456:14

federal 378:6,11

feeds 465:6 479:5

feel 357:5 381:5

feeling 361:5

felt 327:19

Ferrua 416:24 417:2, 11,19,23,24 419:21 422:9,15,16 425:3 428:13 430:16 432:6, 15 451:6 452:10 466:21,22,25 467:12, 16 468:5,11 498:24, 25 499:3,15 501:21 503:9 507:4

**Ferrua's** 503:7

fides 408:21

fifteen 322:7

**figure** 416:12 436:13 442:20 494:16 501:18 503:10,12,18 505:14

figuring 452:24

file 382:18 383:3 385:14 387:25 391:3 397:11 474:12 477:14 488:14 491:8, 10 500:21

filed 327:4 410:11 438:14,23 439:4 444:22 445:7 471:19 472:8 473:21,22 486:19 488:3 489:5,

files 381:7,10 385:18 388:4,6,9 389:2 390:6 391:10,14 439:6 440:7,10 500:17

filing 486:21

Index: final..Hansen

**final** 316:9 379:6.9.12 383:19 390:22

financially 359:12

**find** 323:15 348:21 349:6 439:8 441:15 465:23 504:25

**finding** 421:17 453:13

findings 450:2

fine 372:23 393:15 468:24

finish 370:20

finished 476:3

fire 437:23 495:10,12

fired 486:18

firm 468:17.20.23 469:11

fit 391:23 421:18

flip 440:3

focus 470:10

focused 332:16 345:21 358:15

folders 369:14 482:9

folks 416:10 426:17 457:3

follow 382:25 404:14.18

follow-up 412:12 413:20

footage 376:12,19, 21.23 388:19 389:3 390:9 425:21 479:5

forces 446:13

Foreign 456:24

forensic 352:3 373:23

forensics 352:5

**forgive** 320:16 333:19 439:13 475:18 481:2 490:4 497:18

forgotten 353:13

form 342:20 343:5 408:7.8

formed 342:25 343:8 344:24 345:8

forthcoming 327:21

**forward** 327:22 328:3 334:16,22 379:16 440:20 495:24

forwarded 369:9 379:11 386:17 474:7 482:4 483:15 485:2

forwarding 386:9,12 388:22 391:13 444:7

found 369:9 375:7 376:3 383:12 463:21, 24 464:23 465:8,18, 22 467:21 468:7 471:23 479:12,13 482:4 483:14 484:25 500:3,6 501:7,9,14

foundation 335:14 482:16

Fox 445:11,16 491:17,24 493:3,5

**frame** 455:7,18 456:3,11 464:9

**frankly** 323:12

free 357:5

Fremont 347:5 493:17.19

fresh 439:14

Friday 356:12

front 368:23 378:15 481:18

froze 312:3

fruitless 400:15

full 331:17 359:16 417:21 454:17

full-time 344:15,16

**fully** 374:18 381:6

function 376:24

future 326:8

G

**G&a** 467:3,4,6,7

game 383:12

gamut 457:15

gander 325:5

gang 321:18 garden 457:18

gatekeeper 369:3 481:22

gathered 464:17

gave 343:10 358:19 500:25 502:2

GCS 476:6

Gecewich 422:19 423:14,18,20

**general** 357:16 376:23,25 392:22 402:9 414:7 433:23 434:4 456:9.17 467:7 473:19 486:24 487:25

**General's** 405:24

generally 382:7 403:17 404:16 419:4, 22 420:24 421:10,22, 25 456:15 458:8 459:20 499:17

generate 407:23

generated 337:16 378:21 379:14,16

generic 474:24

**Gerardo** 463:10

**Gerhard** 346:19,20 347:9 353:20 355:23 356:14

German 318:21,22 319:9,12,17 321:6,25 324:16,18 325:2,11, 12 326:25 327:3,5, 12,23 415:15 416:2 428:23,24 429:12 452:17

**German's** 323:15

325:9

ghosts 467:23 500:6

**Gicinto** 333:9,20 337:11 340:12 345:14 346:18 347:8, 12 348:5 350:5,10 353:22 355:14 356:14,18,22 358:21 360:19 361:21 362:4, 7,8,14,18,22 363:5, 10,12,21 364:3,21,23 365:3,4,8,18 377:13,

**Gicinto's** 333:10 340:19 346:12

Gigafactory 313:22 314:6,16 321:10 337:3,6 338:20 339:22,24 340:13,18, 23 341:7,16 345:15, 19 346:2 350:15 351:16 357:11,22 360:5 363:9 368:24 369:3 377:4,12 388:11 400:7,12 418:3 481:19,22 486:17 498:6,16 499:11

**give** 311:24 312:5 317:22 327:24 335:19 352:20 404:24 435:10 451:10,14,19 457:14 470:16 472:2 507:19

giving 501:11

global 332:20,24 333:13 341:3 346:25 433:16 434:5 478:23

globally 333:5

Gmail 381:12 385:13 391:20 479:6

**qood** 334:17 343:7 344:23 359:21 373:12 376:7 400:17 408:5 417:2,18,20 453:17 454:14,15

good-faith 334:11

Google 405:17

goose 325:5

**Gouthro** 336:22 337:4,7,10 338:13,14 339:14,18 341:24 342:14 345:12 347:10 355:9.23 356:13,17 358:16 377:10,14

Gouthro's 336:23 340:21

governance-type 456:25

government 342:10

Granted 391:4

great 380:7 404:9 453:10

**group** 332:18 358:10 376:11 392:5 396:6 461:13 468:4 472:10 499:12,13

groups 419:14 420:19 421:24 434:11,13

guard 433:25 434:10

**guess** 319:18 337:12 358:17 372:6 468:24

quidance 338:11,12 404:19

guidelines 334:6

Gustavoaccessden ied 391:4

guy 447:24

Н

half 416:15

Halladay 345:14

handful 396:19

handle 457:20 **handled** 357:25

handles 505:16,17

handling 331:5

419:10 Handshake 414:8

Hansen 309:1,7,8,10

Index: Hansen's..illegally

| 310:1,8 311:1,3,17                         |
|--|
| 312:1,12,25 313:1,20                       |
| 314:1 315:1,9 316:1,                       |
| 15 317:1,3 318:1,11,                       |
| 19 319:1 320:1                             |
| 321:1,7 322:1 323:1,                       |
| 4,8,17 324:1 325:1,                        |
| 20 326:1,24 327:1                          |
| 328:1,22 329:1                             |
| 330:1,3 331:1 332:1                        |
| 333:1 334:1 335:1                          |
| 336:1,17,21 337:1,9,                       |
| 16,20,23 338:1                             |
| 339:1,15,20 340:1,3                        |
| 341:1,4,7,13,20,22,                        |
| 25 342:1,21 343:1                          |
| 344:1,5,7 345:1                            |
| 346:1,19 347:1,9,14,                       |
| 21 348:1,6 349:1,10                        |
| 350:1,23 351:1 352:1                       |
| 353:1,15,21 354:1,5,                       |
| 6,9,14,20 355:1,10,                        |
| 13,22,25 356:1,12,18                       |
|  |
| 357:1,7,19 358:1,11                        |
| 359:1 360:1 361:1                          |
| 362:1,15 363:1,17                          |
| 364:1,24 365:1,5,11,                       |
| 19,25 366:1,11                             |
| 367:1,2 368:1,11,17,<br>22,25 369:1,13,17, |
| 21,24 370:1 371:1                          |
| 372:1 373:1 374:1                          |
| 375:1 376:1 377:1,3                        |
| 378:1 379:1 380:1                          |
|  |
| 381:1 382:1 383:1<br>384:1,25 385:1,3      |
| 386:1 387:1,7,11,15                        |
| 388:1 389:1,14,19,21                       |
| 390:1 391:1 392:1                          |
| 393:1 394:1 395:1,5                        |
| 396:1 397:1 398:1                          |
| 399:1,24 400:1,7                           |
| 401:1 402:1 403:1                          |
| 404:1 405:1 406:1,                         |
|  |
| 16,22 407:1 408:1,4                        |
| 409:1 410:1,13,15                          |
| 411:1 412:1,22<br>413:1,4 414:1 415:1      |
| 416:1 417:1 418:1                          |
| 419:1 420:1 421:1                          |
| 422:1,10 423:1,18,                         |
| 21,25 424:1 425:1,5,                       |
| 9,15 426:1 427:1                           |
| 428:1 429:1,13,17,25                       |
| 430:1 431:1 432:1,                         |
| 16,18,22,23 433:1,24                       |
| 10,10,22,20 700.1,27                       |
|  |

| 434:1 435:1,19 436:1<br>437:1,15 438:1,14<br>439:1 440:1 441:1,3<br>442:1 443:1 444:1,22<br>445:1,11,16,25 446:1<br>447:1,5,17 448:1,2<br>449:1 450:1,5 451:1<br>452:1 453:1 454:1<br>455:1 456:1 457:1<br>458:1,6,10 459:1,8,<br>14,17 460:1,6,12<br>461:1 462:1 463:1<br>464:1,18 465:1,12<br>466:1 467:1,13,18<br>468:1 469:1 470:1<br>471:1,10,19 472:1,8,<br>11 473:1,14 474:1<br>475:1 476:1 477:1<br>478:1,24 479:1<br>480:1,8 481:1,6,12,<br>17,20 482:1,7 483:1,<br>6,9 484:1 485:1 |
|--|
|  |
|  |
| 464:1.18 465:1.12  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| 486:1,21 487:1 488:1   |
| 489:1 490:1 491:1,16   |
| 492:1 493:1 494:1,9  |
| 495:1,6,18,25 496:1,   |
| 6,8,10,14,22 497:1,5,  |
| 8 498:1,6,16 499:1,  |
| 10 500:1 501:1 502:1   |
| 503:1,25 504:1,10  |
| 505:1,9 506:1,17   |
| 507:1 508:1 509:1  |
| <b>Hansen's</b> 326:6  |
| 336:20 356:3 361:23  |

362:6,24 363:7 364:4 368:15,16 369:4,8 370:7,8 371:2 377:21 380:15 396:7,23 397:9 408:6 461:7,16 463:16 464:24 468:22 469:11 473:22,25 478:2 479:22 481:10,11,23 482:3,12 484:20,24 485:7,17 491:5 495:16 496:17 497:13

**happen** 323:18 420:13 427:13 451:12

happened 324:8 364:12 425:8 427:25 444:25 452:21 466:12 477:19

483:20 494:15,17 505:15

happening 327:19 339:2,5 351:22 352:7,9 436:18

happy 329:14 343:11

hard 446:8

**harming** 451:25

**head** 349:25 402:13 404:23 414:10 433:16,21 434:4,5 447:11 488:6

headed 461:13

header 378:22

**hear** 321:17,20 322:2,10 340:15 347:25 394:2 415:20 417:3 453:22 497:21

heard 323:5,10 328:23 340:17 347:17,24 348:10,15 362:19,23 363:5 365:24 366:7 421:7 486:12 487:20 488:20 496:24 497:20

**hearing** 348:18 395:11

heavily 504:5

held 418:13 455:4,18 456:4

helped 501:24 503:21

**helpful** 392:22 444:24 470:14

**Hennigan** 468:17,21

Herrera 391:2,4 hidden 345:14

**high** 356:22 359:15 378:6 401:22 494:14

high-level 342:9

higher 403:8

highlighted 379:7

highly 422:7

hire 332:10 344:24 hired 332:8,12,14

hiring 344:17 347:11

history 342:8 363:18 378:6

**hit** 486:10 487:5 **Hoffman** 309:6,22,24 310:25 311:13 313:16 315:4,7 316:6 317:17 318:4,8,12 321:3,16 322:13 324:14,21,24 326:3, 15 328:10 329:17,23 330:2,7,16,21,23 331:7 335:8,11 343:15,18,23 361:10, 14,17 364:9,15 367:13,19 368:4 370:22 372:2,6,16,23 373:4 384:17 392:21 394:2,8 395:13,22 398:19 399:3,9,13 409:15 413:21 415:8, 14,22 416:5,13,18,22 417:3,5 424:22 428:7 430:4,9 431:25 432:4,8 450:20,23 452:8,14 453:7,13, 17,21,24 469:22 472:18,22,25 473:6 482:19 484:2,9,12 497:16,19 507:16,20

**hold** 312:17 315:2,3 406:21 443:6 475:20

508:5 509:12,15

holding 376:9 456:12

**Honor** 309:12,13,21 319:24 320:4,7 321:11,15 322:18 323:13 324:20,23 325:7 326:10 330:6 331:5 372:18.19 373:7 392:13 394:4 399:2 412:12 416:17 430:7 431:22 450:19 472:16 484:6 498:2

hope 504:3

**hour** 372:24 416:15

hourly 433:25 434:10

hours 365:19 411:6 447:12 459:6 474:11

**HR** 418:12,16,19,21 419:6,22 420:3,16, 17,23 424:3,14,18 426:5 427:3,6 431:12 434:12,17 439:6 440:3 447:19 448:6, 11 451:19 455:24 456:2 457:18 467:3, 8,10 498:20,24 499:17 504:15 505:4, 11,13,16,18,19 506:11

HR's 420:11

Hueston 468:17,20 469:2,4

huge 492:11,12 493:6

Human 383:9

**hundred** 502:16

hunt 421:4

I

**ID** 400:8,11,23

idea 477:17

identifiable 386:14, 16

identification 462:11

identified 363:17 397:21 399:23 422:17 428:21 466:19 468:16 471:13

identify 322:25 368:10 375:22 398:8 411:7 481:4,5

identifying 463:9

identity 400:14

**IDS** 400:13,25 401:3

illegal 323:13 325:4, 19 350:18 440:6

illegally 324:7 325:21

Index: illogical..issues

illogical 320:21

image 444:11

**images** 374:22 390:23

**imagine** 414:16

immediately 475:14 476:12

impeach 320:20,22 324:2,18 325:11,15,

impeached 325:2

impeachment

320:6,10,14,15 321:22 322:25 323:3

**implies** 490:18

important 321:24 504:23 505:2

in-box 396:23 397:3

in-boxes 396:17 401:16

in-takes 419:12

inappropriate

324:13 326:2 357:8, 14 358:3.6.11

incident 374:19

inclined 366:6

include 374:22 424:17

included 407:13

including 345:13 353:9 356:5 357:9 368:18 381:2 403:21 478:25 479:2 481:13

inconsistent 325:13

inconvenience

452:22

increase 408:20

independent 362:23 363:6 380:5,10,13

independently

350:7

indicators 465:23

individual 314:3 331:20 336:17 351:16 396:22 405:7 408:25 409:5 431:8 446:4 447:6 457:5.8 463:13 469:12

individuals 342:3,7 363:16 368:21 369:6 399:23 401:3 407:17 409:10 421:17 447:13 448:5 453:6 479:2 481:16,25

indulgence 443:7

infiltration 341:5,14 347:15

influence 327:14

information 320:13, 14 337:12 338:18 339:21 342:15,19 349:10 351:23,25 352:22 356:23 357:24 363:20 368:20 373:22,25 374:3,7,10,13,17,22 375:6,19,23 376:9 377:16,19,23 380:20 381:13,14 383:5,6 386:12,14,17 387:23 388:13,23 391:6 396:2 397:10 400:17, 21 401:7 405:10 406:10 407:13 428:16 431:8,13 440:22 449:4,9,12 452:5 461:11 463:16, 24 464:11,17 465:5,7 469:21 471:4,5,12,20

**Infosec** 457:19 461:5,9 474:22,24 479:15 498:20,21 499:17 500:14 501:22,24 502:3,11, 14,19,24 503:11,12, 17 504:11,21,22 505:3,4,12,17 506:12 507:9

472:3 478:25 479:3,

8,10 481:15 482:24

491:3 499:22 501:11,

initial 341:24 351:14 363:15

initially 332:14 382:10

initiate 375:14

initiated 351:15

inquire 372:7 373:5

inquiries 375:25

inquiring 344:11

inquiry 366:25 396:10

inside 388:11,17

**Insider** 490:11

instances 381:17 397:25 398:7 479:2

instructed 427:15

instructing 467:12

instruction 411:14

intellectual 332:16 373:20 383:8

intelligence 333:16 373:15,20

intending 407:22

intent 407:20,21 408:2,18

intention 323:11

intentionally 465:12

interacting 337:4 381:19,20

interaction 429:17. 19

**interest** 414:22

interested 321:19 344:14.15

interfere 327:16

**internal** 369:10 376:4 405:16 482:5 485:2

internally 408:14

interrogatories 329:9 481:3

interrogatory 329:7, 9 367:23 368:9 371:10,13 481:4

484:19

interview 383:22.25 477:9

interviewing 457:24

introduce 507:23 509:23

introduced 336:21 351:25 352:25 393:4

investigate 355:13 375:8 388:8 405:11 408:19 410:25 411:3 441:9,12,15 444:18 450:16 490:3 494:22 496:22

investigated 346:14 353:15 357:25 358:9 410:3 432:22 490:3

investigating

358:15 435:17 450:10 456:21 460:2 474:9 487:17.19 488:5,9 491:5 495:15 496:16

investigation

310:10 337:14 349:18,19,22 350:7, 20 351:15,18 353:14, 16 362:25 363:8 366:10,13,15,21 367:5,8,10 369:16 370:12 371:2.4.6 375:16 376:24 377:11 380:2,4,25 393:14 394:13 396:24 402:13 405:7 411:11,24,25 413:11 425:14 428:14,17 435:16 441:3,19,23 442:10,11,22,25 444:3,14 445:2,6 450:3,13 457:21,24 458:2,24 459:4,9,12, 21 460:5,10 461:6 465:22 471:7,24 475:25 477:5,20 478:15 485:17 487:19 490:20,23,25 491:4 494:11,23 495:2,4,7 504:16

investigations 310:10,18 332:15,24 337:2 341:2.3 342:9 346:25 364:5 366:3 368:19 378:7 386:19 398:3,4 402:7 406:24 408:9.10 411:19 414:23 457:13 458:18 478:24 481:14

investigative 310:19 350:16

investigator 342:10 353:18 378:6

investigators 352:4 373:23 374:18 375:10,11,13,20

invitation 475:3

involve 375:3

involved 349:17,23 350:6 376:12 380:10, 24 396:7 414:12 421:24 424:4 428:13 448:8 497:12,18 498:4,12,18 501:10 503:19,20,24 506:22 507:10

involvement

347:15,18 348:13 357:22 358:9 360:5 363:9 369:5 410:22 419:16 481:24

involving 349:18,19 351:16 368:18 369:21 410:5 414:23 425:14 481:13

irogs 316:24

irrelevant 438:25

**issue** 336:3 340:13 346:14 349:8 355:15 358:8 394:6,24 395:11 425:4 445:25 447:14 457:16,18 486:25 490:2 496:25

**issues** 347:11 353:21 357:21 358:12 359:10 394:18 400:6 414:16, 17 419:11 427:7 435:20,21,22 456:21, 22 458:19

Index: item..lists

item 468:7

items 320:24 369:14 471:13 482:9

## J

jacket 447:23

**Jacob** 330:11 331:9, 18 446:5,7

Jake 347:10

Janine 312:13

**January** 455:10

**Jeff** 319:20 327:16,20 328:23.24 329:3 356:14 358:2,10 360:12 369:23 422:20 423:12 426:5, 12 433:12 437:10 447:4 448:11 461:14 466:22 477:24,25 480:18 483:8 498:22 502:10,11,19,22,23 503:8 504:5 506:11 507:3,5

**Jeff's** 507:9

Jenna 417:11,23 448:12 466:21,25 498:25 499:3 503:7 504:20 506:20,25

job 332:25 333:15 336:23,25 338:9 346:22,24 414:6,9,15 415:18 419:7 420:11 421:18 451:10 455:2, 19 458:25 473:16 475:8,15 490:3 491:6 506:5

jobs 421:15 422:6

iobsite 504:2

John 469:2

ioin 330:14

joined 456:13

**joining** 344:7

joint 310:22 311:10, 12 314:24 316:10 337:19 343:13 345:11 346:17

353:19 355:18 367:12 372:4 378:3 422:17 424:19 426:9 428:21 461:22,25

jointly 329:15 367:25

**Jones** 319:20 327:16,20 328:23,24 329:3 347:11 356:14, 17 358:2,10 360:12 369:23 402:16 411:15 422:20 423:12 426:5.12 428:23,25 433:12 434:16 437:10,22 447:4 448:11 449:16 461:14 466:22 468:5 477:24 480:18 483:8 499:15,16 501:21 502:10,11,19,22,23 503:8 506:11 507:3,5

Jones's 498:22 504:5,16

journal 379:2

**Judge** 309:6,22,24 310:25 311:13 313:16 315:4,7 316:6 317:17 318:4,8,12 321:3,16 322:13 324:14,21,24 326:3, 15 328:10 329:17,23 330:2,7,16,21,23 331:7 335:8,11 343:15,18,23 361:10, 14,17 364:9,15 367:13,19 368:4 370:22 372:2,6,16,23 373:4 384:17 392:21 394:2,8 395:13,22 398:19 399:3,9,13 409:15 413:21 415:8, 14,22 416:5,13,18,22 417:3,5 424:22 428:7 430:4,9 431:25 432:4,8 450:20,23 452:8,14 453:7,13, 17,21,24 469:22 472:18,22,25 473:6 482:19 484:2,9,12 497:16,19 507:16,20 508:5 509:12,15

judgment 360:3,15 393:7

July 332:11 333:7 341:20 344:5.22 345:19 346:23 348:2 354:2,9,14,21 355:14 384:22 402:2 418:14 430:17

jump 418:24

**June** 337:21,23 338:3 340:3,11 341:20 354:7 389:11, 17 391:23 462:3

justifications 500:22

#### Κ

kahansen@tesla. com 354:5

kahansen@tesla. com. 385:2 387:8

389:15

Karl 309:8 336:17.20 344:5 346:19 354:5 355:22 365:19 367:2 369:24 384:25 385:2 387:7,11,14 389:14, 19,21 397:9 422:10 426:6 458:6,10 460:12 461:7 467:18 478:2,24 480:14 483:9 486:21 494:21 495:14 496:14 497:5, 8 504:10 507:5

Karl's 507:8

**Ken** 345:13 480:12, 13,21

**Kenneth** 368:16 369:22 370:5,8 480:10 481:11 484:21,23 494:19 495:14,18,23

kickbacks 414:21

**kids** 314:9 315:22

kind 316:11 321:19 389:9 434:9 437:23 451:12 453:5 487:22 494:8

kinds 451:18

knew 323:7.11.17 360:10 475:8 480:21 488:8

knowledge 313:7 322:21 323:5

Kristopher 345:14

## L

labeled 387:3 lack 457:17

lane 366:3

large 345:18 346:5,6, 8,10,13 388:4 391:8 461:17 464:2

**largely** 353:13

**Largent** 321:11 322:18 324:15 325:7 326:11 399:11 415:16,17 450:22 452:18

larger 385:7

late 471:18 509:2,3,6

**law** 468:17,20,22

lawyer 326:13,17

lawyers 403:11

layman's 381:4

**lead** 319:21 353:18 407:3 455:24 456:2. 20

leader 423:13 427:11 448:11

leadership 359:22

leading 340:22 372:8 456:23

leads 407:3,24 409:11

**leak** 374:17

leaked 375:18,23

leaking 352:24 374:21 375:4 388:12 452:4

leaks 381:2

learned 385:24 428:16 458:9 464:18 471:22

**leave** 319:22 374:3 383:18

leaving 407:25

**led** 457:22 465:18

left 431:4 455:13.21 476:5 504:13

**legal** 335:9 366:18 382:16 383:2,9 419:22 425:12 426:5 447:19 448:5 455:3 467:9 492:16,19 498:20 499:18 505:18

legally 335:2

legitimate 339:17 383:15

lesser 403:8

letter 468:16 469:2 470:14 471:13

**level** 346:12 356:22 359:15 378:7 436:16

**leveled** 368:11 445:17 481:6

leverage 375:21

license 425:22

**lifetime** 451:10

likelihood 413:7

limited 322:10 393:14

link 491:22

**list** 309:19 320:5,10, 14,19,20,25 323:2,23 354:4 458:17,20 500:2,3 502:2 508:25 509:5

listed 387:14 389:19 401:3 463:8

listening 419:12

listing 387:21

lists 465:4

Index: literally..Musk

literally 431:23 living 347:7 loading 311:23 384:8 located 333:2 347:2 427:21 location 419:10 locations 462:22 log 352:6 logging 312:9 453:16 logical 374:19 login 353:7 logs 382:12 391:6 407:11 long 322:4 333:20 334:14 345:7 363:3 410:24 418:4.13 441:19 483:23 495:4 longer 354:6 395:4 428:3 429:13 450:5 453:4 471:10 looked 386:15 397:7 442:24 459:25 460:14.22 469:7 500:7,17 **looped** 347:9 355:10

lose 399:5 lost 410:8

lot 328:22 374:4 434:17,21,23,25 436:17,23 461:20 466:12 476:6 495:16

lots 359:10 462:21

**loud** 396:4

luck 453:13

**lumped** 407:6

**lunch** 376:14 416:8, 10 431:5

**Lusk** 488:22

M

machine 400:8,11

machines 400:23 made 322:14,22

344:22,24 349:12 350:3,4,9,17 362:15 366:11,18,22,25 394:10 409:22,23 440:23 441:3 447:16, 25 448:7,9,13,25 449:15 450:2,4 457:17 459:8 471:10 472:6 477:19 486:11

mail 376:7 main 338:4

498:15 499:9.14

500:7 506:9.10

maintain 408:12,13

make 324:25 326:19 382:25 383:9 388:8 398:20 404:11 406:12 427:18 431:24 434:5 439:5 487:20,21 501:9,22 502:9 504:9 505:11, 12 507:13 508:21,22, 23

**makes** 404:5 433:17 504:24 507:10

**making** 359:23 375:7 472:6

**malicious** 374:6 407:19,21

manage 332:15 333:5 392:6

management 334:23 345:25

manager 332:23 333:9,13,16 337:2 346:25 371:20 373:14 383:10 404:11 418:12,22 419:20

managers 404:13,18 managing 337:5 425:12

manufacturing 353:2

**marked** 345:10 346:17 355:18

367:11 370:2 378:3 392:12 449:2

marking 337:18 345:9 361:8 378:24

Maron 402:9

**Martin** 351:17 352:9 438:6,10

massive 360:6

material 436:21 464:2

materials 320:18,19, 22 340:5 458:20 460:20 464:11,23 472:8 482:12,13 500:10

math 418:7

Matt 428:23

matter 331:20 336:4, 6 339:15 374:25 378:4 386:24 409:14 412:25 413:2 432:16 469:5 473:15 478:14

**matters** 358:4 369:21 375:8 414:13, 19.23

Mclellan 319:17 meaning 504:13

means 421:15 439:20 470:13

meant 465:17

meantime 312:10

measure 359:21

measures 373:24

**media** 375:17,18 486:10,12 487:5,10, 12 492:11,22

media-related 507:25

**meet** 347:13 423:18, 21,25 424:13 459:14

meeting 314:10,14 315:12

meets 385:17

member 396:21

members 458:15

**memory** 474:25 489:10,16

mention 445:19,22

mentioned 374:21 375:9 377:16 399:20 508:7

mercifully 355:21

message 344:12

messages 465:13

metadata 382:12

method 350:16

methods 350:16

**Mexico** 338:20 347:16,19 348:14

million 502:16

mind 334:15 348:4 408:7

mine 340:19

**minute** 322:7,8 384:8 475:8 497:16,19 507:16

minutes 317:22,25 322:6,11 372:20,24 398:20 416:14 431:23 453:3 470:16 472:17.19 501:5

mischaracterizatio n 409:13 483:25

mischaracterizes 482:17

**misconduct** 413:12, 13

mishandling 374:12 480:8

misinformed 364:6

**mission** 373:19

misstated 364:10 misstates 484:10

misstating 364:8

misunderstanding

410:14

**mitigating** 403:25 450:7

Mm-hmm 345:16

mobile 344:10

Model 359:13

Mohamed 355:24 356:3,7 366:11,14 425:11 426:12 428:18 432:18,21 433:2 437:10,23 447:4 448:10 449:16 466:22 468:5 476:19 499:4 503:3,8 507:2

moment 355:16 443:8 446:2

Monday 389:11

money 406:13,17 409:2,3,24

monitor 382:11

monitoring 382:24

month 418:6 425:4,8

months 411:11

**morning** 373:12 417:18 479:10 494:12,15

motivating 485:20

**Motors** 336:8

**move** 311:11 312:4 313:13 316:4 317:14 329:8 361:10 367:13, 15,16 408:9 424:19 428:5 430:4 483:23

moved 309:18 338:19 381:15 383:15 405:15 411:22

moves 411:4

**moving** 327:22 381:23 388:6 490:9

multiple 490:15

**Musk** 309:1 310:1 311:1 312:1 313:1 314:1 315:1 316:1 317:1 318:1 319:1

|   |  |   |   | index namedpageb               |
|---|--|---|---|--------------------------------|
| 320:1 321:1 322:1<br>323:1 324:1 325:1  | 481:1 482:1 483:1,9<br>484:1 485:1 486:1 | 328:17 329:7,8<br>333:9,23 337:11         | 362:15 369:9 482:4<br>483:15 485:2              | officer 455:3 486:24<br>489:12 |
| 326:1 327:1 328:1                       | 487:1 488:1,17,20,25                     | 345:14 346:18                             |   | official 332:10                |
| 329:1 330:1 331:1<br>332:1 333:1 334:1  | 489:1 490:1 491:1<br>492:1 493:1 494:1   | 348:17 350:6 353:22<br>356:14 361:25      | O   |                                |
| 335:1 336:1 337:1                       | 495:1 496:1 497:1                        | 363:14 365:17,21                          |   | on-going 477:6                 |
| 338:1 339:1 340:1                       | 498:1 499:1 500:1                        | 377:12 384:11                             | oath 309:11                                     | on-site 431:3                  |
| 341:1 342:1 343:1                       | 501:1 502:1 503:1                        | 432:15 443:14                             | abject 205:00 200:00                            | open 356:25 357:3              |
| 344:1 345:1 346:1                       | 504:1 505:1 506:1                        | 473:13 509:22                             | <b>object</b> 325:20 393:22 409:12 469:9 483:23 | 421:15 508:24                  |
| 347:1 348:1 349:1                       | 507:1 508:1 509:1                        |   |   | 509:21                         |
| 350:1 351:1 352:1                       |  | Nicole 371:16,17,18,                      | objection 309:20                                |                                |
| 353:1 354:1 355:1,23                    | N  | 19  | 311:14 313:15 316:5                             | <b>opened</b> 370:12           |
| 356:1,5,13 357:1,11,                    |  | night 493:7,9,14                          | 317:16 320:4 326:4                              | opens 395:7                    |
| 22 358:1,12,24                          | nemed 244.2 220.47                       | 494:9                                     | 329:12 335:6,7,8                                | operating 353:2                |
| 359:1,18 360:1                          | named 314:3 336:17<br>342:4 351:17 446:4 | <b>Nisos</b> 342:2 351:7,9,               | 343:22 361:15,16                                | -                              |
| 361:1,22,23 362:1,2,                    | 458:5 469:2                              | 13 352:4 353:8                            | 364:7 367:18 368:3,<br>13 370:19 384:12,13      | <b>opinion</b> 339:14,19       |
| 5,10 363:1 364:1,2<br>365:1 366:1 367:1 |  | 377:13 400:20                             | 392:14,22 393:3,5                               | 342:20,25 343:5,8              |
| 368:1 369:1,24 370:1                    | names 387:25                             | Noon 220:11 12 22                         | 395:14,15,18 409:6,                             | 344:23,25 345:2,8              |
| 371:1 372:1 373:1                       | 437:19,21 438:6,8                        | <b>Nocon</b> 330:11,13,23, 25 331:9,16,18 | 16,17 424:21 428:6                              | 360:8,15,22 361:2              |
| 374:1 375:1 376:1                       | narcotics 338:5,15,                      | 347:10 364:13                             | 430:8 437:25 446:23                             | 492:10                         |
| 377:1 378:1 379:1                       | 18 339:21,24 342:9                       | 373:12 378:2 379:19                       | 447:7 469:23 481:8                              | opinions 343:6                 |
| 380:1 381:1 382:1                       | 357:9 377:21                             | 380:23 384:15,20                          | 482:14,20 484:10                                | opportunity 373:5              |
| 383:1 384:1 385:1                       | natural 418:20                           | 386:25 399:4,7,12,20                      | 491:2 497:14,20,21,                             | ''                             |
| 386:1 387:1 388:1                       | noture 250-2                             | 409:18 412:17 415:9                       | 22 508:2,4 509:12,14                            | opposed 427:21                 |
| 389:1 390:1 391:1                       | nature 350:2                             | 446:5,7                                   | obligatory 431:23                               | opposing 509:2                 |
| 392:1 393:1 394:1<br>395:1 396:1 397:1  | necessarily 365:22                       | Nocon's 331:6                             | obtained 324:7                                  | order 325:14 373:25            |
| 398:1 399:1 400:1                       | 400:2 406:14                             | non-tesla 462:9                           |   | 374:9 382:17 397:13            |
| 401:1 402:1,19 403:1                    | needed 329:10                            |   | occasion 376:18                                 | 402:11,14 461:3                |
| 404:1 405:1 406:1                       | 337:13 346:14                            | nonbusiness 467:8                         | occurred 354:7                                  | organization 339:6,            |
| 407:1 408:1 409:1                       | 371:11 401:10                            | nondisclosure                             | 364:14 487:11                                   | 8 419:18 434:18                |
| 410:1 411:1,19 412:1                    | 420:15 426:6 445:23                      | 403:19                                    | October 314:11,15                               | 506:16                         |
| 413:1 414:1 415:1                       | 446:2 461:4 506:20                       | Nonetheless 470:12                        | 315:13  | organized 341:6,15             |
| 416:1 417:1 418:1<br>419:1 420:1 421:1  | negligent 374:6                          |   | odd 342:11                                      | -                              |
| 422:1 423:1 424:1                       | network 351:21,22                        | nonresponsive<br>354:25                   | oddly 328:16                                    | <b>original</b> 382:17,18      |
| 425:1 426:1 427:1                       | 352:4,5,7,17,19                          |   |   | <b>outlets</b> 490:16          |
| 428:1 429:1 430:1                       | 353:4,12 369:2                           | nonretaliation<br>336:9,12                | <b>OFAC</b> 456:24                              | Outlook 344:10                 |
| 431:1 432:1 433:1<br>434:1 435:1 436:1  | 376:2,3 381:18 382:2<br>386:17 481:21    | •   | offense 404:8,16                                | overrule 395:15                |
| 437:1 438:1 439:1                       |  | <b>normal</b> 344:19                      | offenses 403:7                                  | 409:16 482:19                  |
| 440:1 441:1 442:1                       | networks 375:8                           | <b>noted</b> 484:8                        |   | Overeaw 420:22                 |
| 443:1 444:1 445:1,                      | 381:24 382:13                            | <b>notes</b> 367:14 412:2,5               | <b>offer</b> 329:15 339:14 343:19 355:19        | oversaw 420:22                 |
| 17,19 446:1,21 447:1                    | Nevada 337:3,6                           | noticed 309:15                            | 393:18,21 490:10,12                             | overseeing 419:14              |
| 448:1 449:1 450:1                       | 338:20 339:25                            | noticed 309.15                            | 491:22 507:13                                   | owed 409:3                     |
| 451:1 452:1 453:1<br>454:1 455:1 456:1  | 340:23 346:2 350:15<br>351:16 377:12     | notified 489:23                           | 509:10  |                                |
| 457:1 458:1 459:1                       | 433:25 486:19                            | November 313:3                            | <b>offered</b> 341:18                           | Р                              |
| 460:1 461:1 462:1                       |  |   | 367:24 509:7                                    |                                |
| 463:1 464:1 465:1                       | news 445:11,16                           | <b>number</b> 315:5<br>343:15 387:17      |   | <b>p.m.</b> 416:21 432:2,3     |
| 466:1 467:1 468:1                       | 490:15 491:17,24                         | 404:23 405:15                             | offering 361:13                                 | 449:3 453:11,12                |
| 469:1 470:1 471:1                       | 492:4,5,7,8,11,22<br>493:3,5 494:6       | 434:22 443:2,16                           | 368:2 491:15                                    | 466:14 472:20,21               |
| 472:1 473:1 474:1                       |  | 464:6                                     | office 405:24 454:22                            | 506:24                         |
| 475:1 476:1 477:1                       | Nick 309:17 312:22                       |   | 493:18,19                                       | pages 355:21                   |
| 478:1 479:1 480:1                       | 313:11 316:11                            | numerous 359:9                            |   | pages 500.21                   |
|   |  |   |   |                                |

Index: paper..produce

paragraph 379:25 395:25 464:16 465:10 469:8 470:11, 15,23

paper 474:14

parameters 391:23

**parking** 462:21

part 343:6 347:21 349:8 353:16 364:9 365:10,13 366:5 371:3 375:10,12 380:12 402:12 407:10 408:23 409:25 435:17 436:24

**partial** 392:15 393:19,20

partially 340:24

**parties** 368:18 481:13

partner 418:16,19,21 419:6 420:23 423:16 424:18 434:17 467:3

**party** 423:9 449:21 503:20

**pay** 419:9

**pdf** 390:25

pdfs 463:7,9

**PDT** 309:4 318:7 330:20 373:3 398:24 416:21 432:3 453:12 472:21

pending 507:21,22

people 328:24 345:13 346:11 348:2 353:8 354:8,13,24 358:2 360:14 361:2 371:20 374:8 381:10 396:19 397:4 400:12 401:12,14 403:7 404:20 407:13 417:19 435:19 436:10,15 441:21 448:6,7 455:17 458:14 464:6 474:18 495:25 496:5 497:2,4 501:10,11 502:17 503:24 506:19 perception 437:4

**Perfect** 416:16

perform 364:4

**performed** 444:4 504:15

performing 349:22

perilous 359:17

period 313:21 365:23

**permanently** 369:13 482:8

**permission** 382:8, 17 402:15,17

persist 353:5

persistent 353:4

person 312:8 377:10 383:14,17 413:15 424:14 425:13 427:3 437:16 439:9,10,11 448:3 449:20 457:7 460:11,13 475:8 480:13 502:20,21

personal 322:21 331:24 340:6 369:11 376:14 383:5 405:16 425:18 431:8,19 437:7 442:13 463:18 464:24 465:5 471:15 479:3 482:5 483:16 485:3 500:4

**personally** 386:13, 16 394:15 429:16 469:3

**personnel** 390:16 414:13 421:5 463:5

**perspective** 420:15 431:12 451:19 467:10

pertained 435:24

**Phil** 475:17,23,25 476:5,16,21

Phil's 475:17

philosophy 374:2

**phone** 449:6

photograph 390:20

photographs

388:11 390:21 462:18,19,20

photography 388:17

**photos** 388:15 425:20 465:6 479:4 500:4 501:5

physical 441:2

physically 332:25 431:2 499:6 501:15

**picked** 486:8

**picky** 484:7

**picture** 375:2 388:3 390:6

**pictures** 389:3 425:22

**piece** 350:13 351:6, 24 352:23

**pieces** 482:23

PII 386:16 407:14,16

pin 447:20

**place** 325:15 350:21 374:4,10,11 375:24 412:2 420:6 441:23 445:2.6

plan 383:12

**plate** 359:10

play 321:24 322:9,10

played 319:2 321:23

plenty 397:24

point 309:13 319:14 322:7 326:5 329:3 345:4 350:4 367:2 393:25 394:10,20,22 410:10 416:2 460:4,9 471:18,21 488:15 505:2 509:10

pointed 354:3

policies 334:6,9 368:18 369:12 408:9 410:7 427:15 436:15 439:16 450:3 460:6 481:13 482:6 483:17 485:4 505:10 policy 357:2,16 358:7 374:11 382:16 388:17 394:13 402:21 403:3,5,6,18 404:7,17 425:24 426:3,7 428:4 435:24 436:5,7,11 439:2,9, 10,12,19,22,25 451:23,24 460:12 464:20 465:2 472:14 505:13,16,18

**population** 386:4 391:7

portion 322:10 501:6

**position** 321:10 333:23 418:10 419:5 423:15 454:25 455:11,14,23 456:4, 8,12 458:10 467:2

**positions** 327:17,23 344:11 421:24

possession 317:12

**possibly** 344:7 368:25 440:15,17 481:20

Post 490:13

posted 415:20

**posting** 405:8 406:15

potential 338:5 383:16 407:3 412:18 414:24 419:23,24

potentially 358:3 382:15 388:14 404:8 415:5 451:13,20 472:8

power 437:24

practice 402:8 427:4

Practices 456:25

preceding 476:13

premature 325:8

premises 339:3

preordained 441:15

present 321:4

**presented** 400:21 499:23

**president** 455:15,17

**press** 416:7 473:21 486:6,14

**pressure** 319:19 327:16

**Pretorius** 346:19,20 347:10 353:20 355:11,23 356:14,17

**pretty** 310:15 317:21 333:6 479:14

preventing 402:21

previous 372:21

previously 319:9 331:19 345:20 358:19 370:23 378:3 386:23 387:12 409:21 455:5 461:25 464:4 480:6

**prior** 363:14 364:8,10 365:24 399:21 405:2 409:22 421:7 455:22, 23 456:7 479:9 494:17 495:15 496:16,21 503:5

priority 494:14

**privacy** 386:19

**private** 446:22 461:17,19 462:9 479:6

privately 455:4

**privilege** 392:24 393:11,24 394:19

proactive 375:25

**problem** 312:23 313:12 316:13 393:17

**procedure** 309:14 461:2

proceed 416:23

proceeding 385:25 421:8

**process** 382:25 441:21 498:14 501:10,23

**produce** 392:17,18, 19

Index: produced..recorded

produced 317:10
387:19 393:7,8
400:25 441:6 478:13
product 348:9 381:8
production 476:16
progress 490:20,21
progression 418:21
progressive 403:2,4
promotions 419:9

**prompted** 450:13 **proof** 494:16

**property** 332:16 373:21 376:14 381:9 382:22 383:8 389:4 410:6 411:8 429:14 467:19 471:11 499:21 500:9

**proprietary** 383:8 387:22 391:15 425:17 452:5

**prosecuted** 405:24 409:4 438:11

prosecution 406:4

prosecutor 409:4

**protect** 373:20,25 374:3,9

**protected** 334:10,19, 24 440:7,13 451:8,9, 13,20

protection 332:16

protects 421:6

prove 400:3

**provide** 335:3 336:8, 11 500:10

**provided** 317:5 337:15 338:18 341:23 342:16 343:10 351:7 352:3, 17,18 389:20 397:11 401:7 449:3 476:11, 15 500:20

**providing** 334:11 352:22 501:20

**PST** 397:11

**pull** 310:23 312:7 382:17,18 396:16 397:2 430:3,12 443:20 461:22 466:10 493:10

**pulled** 397:6 401:12, 18 428:13 466:18

**pulling** 384:6 422:14 428:20 430:15 468:15 493:8

pure 408:22

**purpose** 325:5 353:7 381:16

pursuant 402:7

pursue 380:21

**purview** 340:25 376:17

**pushing** 359:21

**put** 312:5,14 328:2 329:7,12 351:22 374:7 383:17 422:16 443:10 447:20,22,23, 25 461:24 464:3,9 466:11 479:20 480:18,21,24 509:25

putting 328:3 490:13

### Q

qualifications 421:19

**quality** 390:12

quarter 372:24

queries 400:19,20

question 321:25 325:3 329:6 334:14 335:12,21,25 336:7 341:8,10 347:4 351:6 354:12 355:2 361:25 363:3 364:16 368:10 370:3,20 372:15 404:9 408:5 413:20 421:21 427:13 445:10 451:6,16 468:24 471:3 472:5 483:23 484:3,13 497:24 498:2,11 505:8 509:22 questioning 495:25

questions 316:3 317:21 318:11,20 328:9,15,17 329:25 330:2 341:9 350:13 351:4 371:25 394:18 398:17,21 399:8,11 412:10 413:18 415:7, 9,10 430:19 450:19, 22 452:9 473:4

quick 413:19

**quickly** 411:9,22 459:2 506:3

quorum 330:22

#### R

radar 487:3 489:21

**Rae** 417:11,23

raise 358:11,12

raised 341:19,22 347:22 353:22 355:13,16 357:8,11 365:11 367:3 377:10 419:11 438:19 456:22 457:16 458:15,21 460:5 479:14 487:2 494:21 495:13.17

raising 340:4,9 341:5,9,14 342:21 343:6 357:21 445:25 495:24 496:13

ramifications 412:18

ramp 359:12

rationales 327:22

reach 420:16 427:5 452:24 461:4 467:12

reached 349:15 355:10 361:22 362:4 433:2 461:5

reaching 337:8 353:21 362:2,9 424:16 467:16

react 375:16

reaction 356:16

reactive 375:16

read 364:20 379:9,25 380:7 390:24 396:3,4 408:6 470:4,6,13,15 471:4

ready 314:20 416:22

realize 480:7

reason 323:3,16 369:15,18 379:16 383:15 437:6 451:9, 19 465:11,19 487:17 494:3.5

reasons 324:12 327:22 369:20

reassignment 314:5

recall 310:12.14 314:4,8 317:3 318:20,24 319:6,8,16 328:25 337:24 338:2, 4 339:18 344:6,10 345:17,22 347:20 348:17 350:22 353:20,24 356:2,8, 10,11,20 360:16,24 361:4,5 362:8,17,21 365:2,11,14,17 371:15 377:5 393:6 409:23 410:21 422:9 423:2,19 425:3,8 429:4,6,10,23 430:2 438:7,17,21 441:7 446:24 448:16 458:14,22 459:3,19, 21 460:8 461:4,12 463:23,25 467:11,15 468:3,9,13,23 469:3 471:25 472:4 473:25 474:8 475:6 478:4

507:11 508:8 recalled 356:19

480:23 485:13

501:15 506:18

489:10,15 496:24

recalling 474:25

**receive** 382:10,14 388:5

received 310:2 311:15 313:18 316:8 317:19 329:20 338:13 341:24 344:2 350:13 356:7 361:18 367:21 368:6,15 370:7 384:18 424:24 428:9 430:11 448:25 449:5,6 460:11 464:11 478:9,23 480:6,11 481:9 483:11 484:20 508:12,14,16,18,20 509:18,20

receives 404:7

receiving 327:15 337:24 356:2,15 414:21

**recently** 476:15

recess 318:6 330:19 373:2 398:23 416:20 432:2 453:11 472:20

recipient 384:24 385:2 387:14 389:21

recipients 387:10,11 389:16,18

recognize 311:3,17 313:2 315:9 316:15 462:6

recollect 488:18

recollection 321:2 334:3 338:16 423:24 471:6 476:8 500:6 509:7

recommendation

363:19 427:19,23 506:9,10

reconcile 483:7

record 309:14 317:25 318:4,9 326:24 330:17 331:5, 17 342:12 373:6 375:6 378:16 386:2 390:16 398:18,20,25 405:2 417:6,8,22 430:21,24,25 431:6,7 453:20 454:3,17 461:10 472:23 473:2 484:7

recorded 319:4 323:6 325:20

Index: recording..Robertson

recording 319:2 321:5 322:3,4,5,12, 14,17,22 323:21 324:6,17 325:10,12, 19

recordings 323:12 324:4 325:4,14

records 375:4 386:3, 5,7 400:2 408:10,11 425:20 431:12,18 461:18 463:4,5,13 465:5 467:24 478:25 500:5

recruiter 421:8,11,13

recruiters 344:21 422:3

recruiting 420:22, 23,25 421:2

redirect 318:12 323:20 324:10 328:13 399:13 450:23

reduction 446:13

refer 414:20

**referenced** 309:16 370:6 508:25

references 426:19

referencing 480:5

referred 366:4

**referring** 320:3 366:20 370:9 401:19

reflect 339:4,5,7

**refresh** 320:25 476:7 488:18 509:7

regard 409:13 419:25 425:5,9 427:15 429:24 465:19 467:13,21 477:20

regions 455:24

regularly 357:4 397:16 477:3

**regulatory** 415:3 435:25

rehabilitate 319:25 320:11,12,15,23 326:6

rehabilitation 323:24

related 327:23 376:13 436:18 507:8

relating 478:14

relations 423:16 424:16 506:7,8

relationships 419:17

releasable 378:21

release 473:21 486:6,11

relevant 395:9

**relied** 365:5 449:13 504:5

rely 376:20

**relying** 501:19

remain 330:5 333:20

remarkable 342:7

remember 333:22 337:22 343:20 350:24 356:15 358:17 362:12 366:9 407:12 433:7 435:9 437:12,19,21 438:5, 9,10 443:16 458:9, 12,19 471:21 473:24 485:5,25 491:16,20, 24 496:2,12 499:6,7, 16 500:16,18 501:14 503:13 506:18,21

reminded 309:10

remotely 333:4,6

removal 314:6,15 323:9 413:8 441:22 471:12

**remove** 411:4,8 447:17 448:2 498:14, 15 499:10

removed 319:15 321:7,10 398:5 410:17,19 411:3 413:16 426:7 427:24 442:5 447:9 498:6 506:17 507:6 removing 431:18

**Reno** 315:23 339:25 433:25

reorganization 407:5

**repeat** 341:10 354:11 365:13 451:16

repeating 334:15

report 327:9 337:16 341:24 342:4 343:9 368:15 369:7 370:7 377:21 379:11,13 438:16 441:6 475:24 477:11 481:10 482:2 483:11,13 484:20,24 506:14,15

reported 358:2 368:17 375:17 438:20 457:3,7 460:13 475:13 476:6 481:12 483:8,19 484:18 501:17

reporter 331:3 454:3

reporting 334:18 338:2 339:16,21,23 414:25 415:3 501:20 507:5

**reports** 378:10 475:16 487:12

represent 432:16 473:14 503:7

representation 478:12

representative

331:21,25 334:5 336:3,5 340:8 348:19 366:23 424:4

represented 467:9

req 422:3,5

request 317:9 402:10 423:18,20,25 459:8 471:10 472:10

requested 461:7

requesting 402:22

require 414:25

required 353:7 497:8

requisition 422:5

requisitions 421:14

research 482:11

resigned 333:23

resolution 458:3

resolve 411:21

resolved 358:10

Resources 383:10

respect 456:24

respective 419:13, 19 424:18 427:10 442:4,6

respond 355:6 494:24

responded 317:9

respondent 368:12 481:7

responding 337:25

response 332:20,24 333:13 342:5 356:3 485:6,10,12

responses 312:11 313:6 317:4 329:8,9 367:24 481:3

responsibilities 338:10 414:15 419:5 421:11 456:17

responsibility 340:20 376:21,22

**responsible** 449:20, 21 456:20,23 457:2

responsive 317:11

rest 326:13 357:12 507:13

restricted 386:5,9 388:20,22 391:10 396:14

result 321:8 351:17 369:7 371:5 396:2 407:18 410:8 441:16 461:15 464:16 482:2 483:12 484:23

resulted 395:3

**results** 383:25 441:2, 4 475:24 477:4,7

resumé 344:21

retaliation 334:6,10, 20 439:22,25

return 503:25

returned 344:12

**Reuters** 486:7,14 487:2

review 369:12 376:18,21,23 383:3 387:21 391:22 396:11,16,22 397:14, 21 482:7

**reviewed** 313:6 369:8 401:20 482:3 483:13 484:24 485:7

reviewing 317:4 376:12 378:11 396:7 457:25

**RFPS** 316:22,23

**Richard** 422:19 423:2

**Rick** 319:17 426:19, 25

**Ricks** 448:17,19

**Ricky** 422:19

**RIF** 354:7

**RIFS** 433:8 446:17

risk 351:23 374:7

risks 368:24 481:19

Robertson 309:13, 23 313:15 328:14,16, 21 329:5,16,21 330:12,18 331:4 372:7,13,17 394:4,9 409:20 416:9,16 417:17 424:19 425:2 428:5,10,11 430:6, 12,14 431:22 432:5 437:25 443:12 446:23 447:7 451:5 452:6,16,23 453:10, 15,18 454:13 462:23 463:2 469:14,17 470:5,17 471:2

472:16,24 473:3

482:14,16 483:22 484:5,11 490:8 491:2 497:14,25 507:19 508:3 509:13,21

role 337:5 344:15 364:4 366:5 369:2 371:23 373:18 375:11 380:13,24 420:18 457:4 458:4 481:21

roles 326:19 344:16

rolls 428:24

**room** 330:15 350:14 377:14 492:21 500:11 502:21 503:11

**Rothenberg** 475:23, 25 476:16,21 477:4

routine 375:12

**rules** 324:13 420:10, 12

run 347:5 399:21 402:7 411:11

running 400:14 401:2

#### S

sa6892@gmail.

**com.** 385:3 387:15 389:22

**sales** 406:25 407:3,6, 23 409:11

San 333:4

sanction 403:23

sanctions 456:24

Sarbanes-oxley 334:13,19

scale 345:19 346:5.6

**scope** 328:12 346:15 394:11,14,22

Scott 314:3 315:17

**scratch** 320:16 336:15

**screams** 378:19

**screen** 377:24 384:7 390:7 422:17 461:25 464:4 508:24

screened 344:21

**screenshots** 407:16 479:4

**scroll** 311:22,24 315:15 316:18

**scrolling** 385:7 462:10

Sean 336:22 337:15 338:13 345:12 347:10 348:18 355:23 356:13 377:10

Seattle 347:7

**SEC** 323:6 327:4 335:3,19 369:24 410:11,23 415:4 435:22 438:16,19,23 444:22 445:7,17 471:20 472:9 473:22 474:4 486:7 487:2,7, 18 488:3 489:24

**seconds** 322:6,8,9,

**secrets** 332:17 373:21

Securities 486:20

security 317:10 332:20,24 333:13,16 337:6 340:22 341:3 345:24 346:25 350:14 352:2 373:14, 19 375:4 376:12 381:25 388:20 389:2 390:8,9 420:23,25 421:5 423:4,5,7,10, 13 425:21 426:20 427:2 428:24 433:14, 16,25 434:5,10 448:21 461:11 467:9, 13,17 468:2

semantics 505:21

semitrailers 338:19

send 381:10

**sender** 384:23,25 387:6,7 389:13,14

sending 337:22 352:11 368:19 376:4 381:18 385:14,16 409:2 431:19 436:2 437:3 481:14

**senior** 333:12,15 346:24 359:21 371:20 373:14 418:12,16,21,22

**sense** 433:17 457:14 469:13 507:10

**sensitive** 383:7 386:15 395:18

sensitivity 378:24

sentence 379:25

**separate** 376:23 449:8,10

**September** 315:17, 19 429:5,12

**sequence** 415:22 471:25

series 406:24

**served** 317:5

**service** 423:8,9,10

**set** 328:5 382:11 410:20 460:2 479:6, 17 499:24 501:12

Shanghai 456:2

**share** 312:6,14,15 360:14,22 361:2 377:24

**shared** 360:20 436:22 483:2

shareholders 438:20

shield 393:12

shortly 322:15 364:2

**show** 310:22 311:9 314:19 337:17 343:13 345:9 346:16 355:8,17 361:7 367:11 377:25 389:7 392:11 476:7 477:19 478:18 481:2 486:13 490:5,6 491:14,22 494:11 505:25 506:3 **showed** 328:6 358:18 360:3 485:11, 14

**showing** 353:19 361:20 378:2 443:23 476:14 478:20

**shown** 405:5 484:21

**shows** 431:6

sic 313:24

**side** 321:15 326:13, 21 453:4 505:16,17

sides 309:16

**sign** 415:11 449:18 452:12

signatory 468:25

signature 313:2

**signed** 313:6 449:20,

**significant** 351:15 405:15

**similar** 392:25 407:15

similarly 452:24

simpler 468:25 472:5

**simply** 348:22 352:3, 17 357:15 409:22

sir 319:7 327:2 329:4 332:7 335:23 343:3 346:23 347:2 349:6, 14 350:10 352:13 361:12 432:10 443:8 454:5 473:8

**site** 398:5,15 426:7 427:24 428:4 442:5 447:9 450:5 498:6,16 499:11

**sitting** 325:17 377:15

**situation** 318:23 321:20 327:13 359:17 434:9

**small** 313:21 386:13

snapshot 390:9

social 375:18

solar 406:25 407:24

somebody's 402:11

**someone's** 447:22 475:12

**sooner** 413:8

**sort** 345:8 378:24 390:15 403:7,23 427:9 434:8 435:25 457:17,25 459:23

**sounds** 371:23 469:24 483:18

**source** 338:17 375:22 421:4 422:4

sources 365:5,10

**sourcing** 421:17 422:4

south 345:15

**SOX** 439:21.25

**speak** 336:7 357:13 414:14 434:16 457:9 459:17 480:13 488:17,25 498:7

**speaking** 382:7 403:17 485:13 488:15 499:17 502:23

**speaks** 336:12

**specific** 352:8,20,23 353:24 385:22 394:12 395:11 404:24 406:21,23 423:24

specifically 310:14 336:13 368:24 381:21 394:17 425:15 426:2,24 437:20 460:16 481:19

speculate 369:19

speculation 408:22

**spell** 454:16

spend 374:4

spent 328:22 495:16

**spoke** 337:8 363:22, 23 364:3 426:19

Index: spoken..Tesla

469:12 477:25 482:15,22 485:15 486:2 488:16 494:20 495:19 496:3,12 506:19

**spoken** 362:22 429:12

spreadsheets 407:3

**Sprott** 311:5

**spurred** 482:11

spying 486:17

**staffed** 375:10

**stamped** 322:16

stand 309:8 330:4

standard 427:4

standards 382:2

**standing** 330:13 416:7

star 379:10

**start** 372:12,20 439:14 474:9 495:6 496:20

**started** 313:23 351:12 418:7 444:18 455:12 471:23 495:2, 4,9,10,25 496:5,10

**starting** 333:3 395:25 446:18 494:14

starts 368:13 470:11

**state** 331:16 344:14 389:24 417:21 454:16

**stated** 338:17 344:17 347:12 370:23 387:13 397:4,15

**statement** 310:15 365:14

**states** 389:25 455:25 502:16

**stating** 338:18 363:15 404:15

**staying** 353:12

**steps** 382:4 459:22

stock 446:22

**stop** 310:9,18 339:11

stored 407:14

**Storey** 379:11 409:3

strange 494:8

strike 483:24 484:3

**struck** 342:10

**structure** 407:8 434:12

struggling 359:12

**subject** 309:8 323:25 338:4 339:15 374:25 382:24 409:14

submit 316:4 368:8

submitted 509:4

**subsequent** 429:22 458:22

**subsequently** 355:9 405:18 410:12 438:11 439:12

substantial 363:18

substantiated 348:23

substantiates 349:3

**successful** 362:25 363:10 374:16 380:6

successive 443:18

successor 476:4

suddenly 494:10

suggestions 342:17

**summary** 393:6

**supervisor** 333:7,21 334:2 475:10,18,21 476:4,22,24 477:2

**supplement** 476:15 509:2,4

supplemental 509:23

supplemented 478:20 **supply** 347:16,18 348:13

**support** 324:7 335:19 420:4

**supported** 434:12,

supporting 500:22, 25

suppose 401:5

**supposed** 338:9 408:12,13 488:10 494:24

**surmise** 407:23

**surprise** 321:22 356:23 360:20

**surprised** 358:21 447:3,12 488:7

surprising 487:22

suspicious 351:21

sustain 326:4

**Swapnil** 476:18

**swear** 331:3 417:9 454:4

swipe 431:3,4

**sword** 393:12

**sworn** 331:10 417:7, 12 454:8

syndicates 341:6,15

**system** 353:2 388:20 419:8 442:4

**systems** 371:21 374:10 375:24 381:9 407:14

Т

tag 447:21

tail 425:10

**taking** 374:11 403:14 407:2,19 409:11 422:23 436:2,25 437:2 445:2

talent 421:3,5

**talk** 475:2 477:24 492:10,14,16,17,19 495:18,19 502:10

**talked** 349:21 362:3 477:8 493:23

talking 328:23 347:24 394:11 409:2 434:23,24 442:20 445:16 448:22 478:5 480:10 483:20 492:20,24 493:4,20 502:11,14,15,18,20

talks 465:10 486:21

tasked 458:23

tax 436:23

**TCR** 327:4 438:15,23 445:17 473:23 486:7, 22 488:3 489:3,11,23 490:23,24 491:4

**TCRS** 489:5

team 326:20 332:15, 19 337:2 341:2 344:16 345:21,25 347:5,13 348:6 352:2 358:15 373:22 374:18 375:9 376:24 381:21 382:3 384:4 386:20 388:5 396:10, 15,22,25 397:13,16 410:3,4 422:5 424:16 457:11 467:4 492:17, 20 498:22 502:4,12, 25 503:17,18 504:6, 16 506:20 507:8,9

**team's** 376:17 398:3,

**teams** 345:25 467:8, 9

**tech** 312:9 314:20 412:25

**technical** 374:13 504:23

telephone 319:3

**telling** 314:8 325:23 338:14 362:8 503:19

tells 431:2

temporarily 368:23 481:18 temporary 337:5

ten 408:12 453:2

tend 322:2 324:14

tendency 366:2

tenure 436:14 451:10

**term** 346:8 421:7,8 428:2 484:5

**terminate** 404:16 420:2 437:24 440:23 447:8 497:12

terminated 319:5 383:19 397:23,25 402:25 404:8,21 405:18 410:12,15 438:24 439:13 440:12 447:5

**termination** 403:21, 24 413:9 427:10 434:6 437:11 440:15

**terminations** 420:7 434:19 435:3,14

**terms** 333:18 334:9 336:14 381:4 413:7 457:13 459:20 461:2 464:10 467:16 505:9, 24

**Tesla** 309:7 310:11, 18 318:23 323:8 327:20 328:2,11 331:21,25 332:5,6,9, 11,13,14 333:3 334:9 336:3,6,8 338:10,21 339:10 341:18 344:7, 15,19 348:2 349:25 351:3,12,13 352:2 354:6,13,19,21 355:12 356:25 359:12 360:14 361:2 365:6 366:2 368:15, 17,19,21 369:6,7,10, 11,12,16,20 370:6 371:19,21 373:14 374:22,23 375:4 376:5 380:25 381:8, 9,10,11 382:22 385:13,19 386:4 387:22 388:13,16,19 389:3,4,19 391:7,15, 18,24 392:8 393:7,20 394:12 395:4 396:7,

Index: Tesla's..understanding

Page 182 of 225

13.14.16.22 397:9. 17,23 398:2,5,9,10, 11 403:2,6 405:9,16 406:17,25 407:4 409:2 410:5 411:4 412:18,19 413:18 414:11 416:3,6 418:3,5,11,18 421:5, 6,9,16 422:6 424:14 425:17,23 426:2 427:6,8,15,18,22 429:13 431:15 433:5 436:19 437:3,4,7 438:11 439:15,18,21, 24 442:13 445:17,23 446:8,13 450:24 451:14,19 452:15 455:5,8,13 458:11 460:6,24 462:8,20,21 463:14,17 464:18 465:13 467:19 471:11,14 475:13 477:16 478:24 479:5 481:9,12,14,16,25 482:2,5,6,7 483:10, 13,15,16 484:18,24 485:2,3,21,22 486:16 489:5 492:8,14 493:6 500:9 504:19

**Tesla's** 334:5 347:18 348:13,19 351:22,23 352:5 367:23 369:2 373:20,25 381:8,23 408:11 416:3 419:16 429:20 439:3 442:21 464:19,25 481:21 486:19,23 509:3

tesla.com 387:12

testified 310:9 313:21 331:11,19 334:4 345:20 364:13 373:13 377:2 378:5 380:9,23 386:8 391:19 392:3 409:21 417:13 454:9

testifies 324:16

testify 335:13

testifying 322:2,20 331:23

**testimony** 310:16 317:8 324:10 325:9 326:7 327:7,10 330:3 331:6 364:8,10 385:25 395:20 409:13 415:11 452:11

**Texas** 418:2 454:23

**text** 311:4 315:10 328:5 337:20,25 344:4

texted 344:12

**texting** 344:6

texts 337:22

**theft** 345:18,23 346:4 360:6 400:8,11 436:4

thefts 340:4,13,16,18

thing 470:7 483:24

things 327:19 342:17 350:17 357:9 359:9 374:9 411:21 438:20 451:12,18 457:15 458:17 460:21 477:10 479:13,19 483:10 493:20 502:3 504:22 505:22

thinks 469:11

thought 319:15 337:13 339:16 341:21 342:23 364:23 406:13 460:14 488:21

thoughts 416:6

thousands 422:2

thread 499:7

threatening 406:9

threats 405:8

three-plus 410:4

**Thursday** 387:3 493:14

till 372:24

time 313:5,21,22 314:3,13 323:4 328:23 330:10 331:3 332:22 333:11 337:3 339:20 340:15 342:2, 14,19 344:17 345:3, 7,22 347:6 349:24 351:3 353:9 359:11, 15.19 360:4.10 362:9.15 374:4 377:9,23 383:11 392:8 394:21 395:16 396:9,17,20 397:5 399:8,9 401:16 402:2,8 405:4 407:18 412:22,24 414:15 416:12 419:14 420:21 423:3,11 424:16 426:4 427:14 432:7,25 433:13,15, 20 436:24 445:23,24 446:8,16,20 448:15, 16 455:7,18,20 456:3,11,13 457:6 458:5 459:15,18 461:12 464:9 466:11, 15 470:3,9 471:9 473:5,24 475:16 476:22 484:15 493:10,25 495:13,17 507:12

timeline 486:4

**times** 365:22 374:24 381:9 397:20 404:20 434:15 435:13 437:9

tips 438:15

title 332:21,23 333:10,12,14,15 346:23,24 373:13 390:25 418:13,15 433:12,15,19,22 440:2,3 451:7 473:17 487:25 506:7

**titles** 390:24 418:17 433:17

titular 449:19

**today** 331:6,23 405:5 411:15,17 416:11 453:19

Todd 402:9

**told** 310:9,18 319:21 323:7 357:4 363:11, 13 365:4 371:9,14 506:4

tomorrow 452:17 477:9

tools 403:11

**top** 378:25 404:23 411:15

topic 319:13

topics 334:4

total 322:6 435:7,13

totality 360:9

totally 312:9 324:13

**touch** 452:20 480:19,

town 315:22

track 507:17

**trade** 332:17 373:21 435:25

**trafficking** 338:6,15 339:22,24 357:10 377:22 458:18

**trained** 373:22

**training** 336:9,11 436:21

transcript 309:16

traveling 314:9

treated 413:6

trigger 385:15 386:18

**triggered** 367:7,9 371:7

**triggers** 370:25

**Tripp** 351:17 352:9, 25 353:5,14,16 438:6,10

**Tripp's** 351:18

trouble 357:18

**true** 313:5,7 314:13 323:11 372:4 412:3 492:9 503:18

truth 364:24

**Tuesday** 384:22

turned 358:20

turning 495:9 496:10

turnover 347:14 348:8 tweeted 446:21

**tweets** 409:2,14,21, 23,25

**Twitter** 405:8,13 406:15

two-minute 398:17

**type** 334:22 374:5 375:5 378:16 385:22 386:12 404:17 463:24

**types** 352:6 375:15 383:6 386:18 391:14 414:19 463:15 465:7

**typical** 424:10 456:25

**typically** 376:16 378:20 396:24 411:2 413:15

## U

**U.S.** 317:10 342:10 426:20 427:2 428:24 448:21 467:13,17 468:2 486:20

**ultimately** 319:21 404:10

**unable** 349:11 380:14,17

**unauthorized** 369:5 481:24

uncorroborated 361:24

**uncovered** 395:2,6, 12 471:6

underdeveloped 342:19

underlying 428:14

understand 370:15, 18 395:13 409:15 439:5 445:3 453:7 469:23 470:10

understanding

326:7 334:21 340:9 349:9 353:12 366:24 429:11 understood 352:21 376:11 380:22 384:5 386:21 388:10 396:21 397:15

undisputed 323:14

unique 321:20

**United** 455:25 502:16

university 423:5,6

unnamed 365:5,9

unreasonable 354:19

**unresponsive** 354:10,15,20

**unrestricted** 368:25 481:20

**update** 415:19 452:19

upheld 420:10

uploading 376:5,6

**upset** 445:20

**USSA** 309:7,9 313:24 319:20 320:17 321:12,20,23 323:3 329:7,24 395:4 399:10,11 429:19,23 450:21,22 468:12 472:11 498:5,15 499:10

**USSA's** 321:17 323:5 326:4

Utah 405:7,25

### V

# V-A-L-E-R-I-E

454:19

Valerie 348:20 349:5, 24 350:8 366:16,22, 24 370:13 401:20 402:12 426:4 433:19 435:4 437:10 442:3, 7,8 444:4 447:4 448:10 449:2 454:7, 18 503:8 507:2

**variety** 457:18

vehicle 407:6

vendor 381:20

**vendors** 400:24

venture 366:2

**veracity** 460:3 487:15

Verdi 313:24

**verification** 311:21, 24 312:19 316:19,20, 23

verify 501:24 503:21

**version** 344:10

versus 309:7 358:6

vice 455:15,17

**video** 319:25 337:2 376:19,23 479:4,5 491:23

viewed 462:8

**viewing** 471:15

**VII** 440:2,3 451:7

violate 403:18,20 427:14 464:25

violated 425:25 435:24 439:2,8,9,10, 12 450:4 464:19 505:11

violating 368:17 436:5,7,10 460:6,12 481:12

violation 334:7,18 357:17 358:7 369:11 382:16 388:16 394:12 404:6,18 408:8 410:7 425:24 431:17 451:24 482:6 483:16 485:3

violations 334:12 335:20 374:11 403:21 414:24 426:8 428:4 436:23 451:23 472:14 505:13

visibility 411:20

visiting 315:22

volume 464:2

### W

# W-O-R-K-M-A-N

454:19

wait 507:16

waiting 330:15

waive 392:25

waived 392:24

**waiver** 392:15 394:14 395:7,8

waives 393:2

walk 313:25

wanted 324:4 377:17 380:21 395:4 438:23 444:21 445:4 475:2 495:18,19 497:3,4,6 508:8,9

**warning** 383:20 403:9,22

warrant 434:8

warranted 405:3

warrants 440:15

water 376:14

ways 451:8

weapon 393:25

**web** 376:7

website 344:19

Wednesday 493:9,

week 393:8 423:19

weekend 476:12 478:21

weeks 351:14 438:24 439:8 440:11,14,16 444:23

weigh 394:7

wheels 495:8

whistleblower

335:2 369:24 486:16, 19

**White** 371:16,17,18, 19

whomever 458:15

**Wiebke** 314:3,4,14 315:11,20,21

withdraw 497:23

withdrawing 497:25

withdrawn 371:11

witness's 335:15

**witnesses** 335:18 416:4 453:4 457:24 475:2 477:22

witnessing 368:17 481:12

woman 447:25

wondering 445:12 483:19 500:24 501:2

Woodfield 309:20 312:6,13,16,21,23 313:12 314:22 315:2 316:5,13,22 317:16 318:13,14,18 319:24 320:7,9 321:5,14,18 322:5,15,20 323:19 324:3,19,22 325:10, 18 326:9,12,17,22,23 328:8 329:14 330:8, 10 331:2,15 335:15, 16,17 343:17,19 344:3 361:12,19 364:17,18,19 367:16, 22 368:7 371:8,24 379:21 384:13 392:4, 13,23 393:5 394:14 395:14,21 399:14,15, 19 409:9 410:9 412:9,19 413:19 414:5 415:7,23,25 416:19 424:21 428:6 430:8 432:9,10,14,15 438:3 443:7,15,20,22 447:2,10 450:18 469:9,15,23 473:7,8, 12,14 483:4 484:16, 17 490:10,14 491:7 497:17,23 498:3 507:12 508:10,21

**Woodfield's** 325:24 372:10

word 391:2 457:18

words 505:14

work 310:10,19 335:5 340:13 347:14 348:9 352:3 375:22 381:8,15 382:16 384:2 398:13 417:25 418:2 419:19 434:19 435:4,13 437:9 439:6 449:23 453:5 455:5,7 461:19 491:13

work-a-day 414:13

workable 436:14

workday 493:15

worked 313:22 333:4 351:10 437:16,22

working 313:23 342:2 350:23 351:2, 12 396:20 408:21 419:13 428:4 450:5 493:15

**Workman** 348:20 349:5,18,20,22,25 366:16,22,24 370:13 393:13 396:9 397:12 401:20 414:6 426:12 428:17 433:19 435:4 437:10,22 438:18 441:6,9,15,18 444:4, 15 447:4 448:10 449:2,16 450:6,9,12, 15 452:25 453:16,22 454:7,14,18,20 461:24 464:3 466:18 468:15 470:7,19 473:4.13 482:21 484:12 498:4 503:8 507:2

**Workman's** 410:25 412:2 436:16

works 419:22

wound 478:3

**wrapped** 353:14

written 383:19 403:22 477:14

**wrong** 371:10,12 439:11

wrote 355:24

509:25

Index: Yahoo..zoom

Υ

Yahoo 381:12

**year** 358:17 446:14,

19

**years** 408:13 410:4 418:6 436:14 489:10

**yellow** 379:7

yesterday 310:8,13 311:7 313:20

yield 363:17

York 490:12

Yusuf 355:24 356:7 366:11,14 425:11 426:4,12 432:18 437:10 442:24 447:4 448:10 449:7,8 476:19 503:3,8 506:20 507:2

Yusuf's 433:22

Ζ

**zoom** 379:7 390:2,11 470:19

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

```
Page 517
 1
            JUDICIAL ARBITRATION AND MEDIATION SERVICES
                                 (JAMS)
 2
     KARL HANSEN,
 3
             Complainant,
                                            JAMS REFERENCE NO.
                                            1260005897
 4
     v.
 5
     ELON MUSK; TESLA, INC., TESLA
     MOTORS, INC.; and U.S.
 6
     SECURITY ASSOCIATES,
 7
             Respondents.
 8
 9
10
11
                          EVIDENTIARY HEARING
12
                       WEDNESDAY, APRIL 13, 2022
13
14
                                VOLUME 3
15
                        On Wednesday, April 13, 2022, the
16
          following proceedings came on to be heard in the
17
18
             above-entitled and -numbered cause before
19
                   Judge Carl (Bill) Hoffman (Ret.).
20
21
22
23
      Proceedings were reported by stenographic method
24
      by: DEBRA A. DIBBLE, RDR, CRR, CRC
25
      Job no. 208977
```

| _  |   |          |   |
|----|---|----------|---|
| 1  | Page 518 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   | 1        | Page 519 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 |
| 2  |   | 2        | MARTENSON HASBROUCK & SIMON LLP                 |
| 3  | ARBITRATOR:                                       |          | BY: JANINE BRAXTON, ESQ.                        |
| 4  | Judge Carl (Bill) W. Hoffman (Ret.)               | 3        | ALEX SMITH, ESQ.                                |
| 5  |   |          | ROBIN LARGENT, ESQ.                             |
| 6  | FOR THE CLAIMANT:                                 | 4        | 455 Capitol Mall                                |
| 7  | EMPLOYMENT LAW GROUP                              | _        | Sacramento, California 95814                    |
|    | BY: NICHOLAS WOODFIELD, ESQ.                      | 5        |   |
| 8  | R. SCOTT OSWALD, ESQ.                             | 6        |   |
|    | 888 17th Street N.W.                              | ′        | Counsel for U.S. Security Associates            |
| 9  | Washington, DC 20006                              | 8        | comiser for 0.5. Security Associates            |
| 10 |   | 9        |   |
| 11 |   | 10       | ALSO PRESENT:                                   |
| 12 |   | 11       | Karl Hansen                                     |
| 13 | FOR THE RESPONDENT:                               | 12       | Stephanie Stroup                                |
| 14 | SEYFARTH SHAW LLP                                 |          | Tesla in-house counsel                          |
|    | BY: CHRISTOPHER ROBERTSON, ESQ.                   | 13       |   |
| 15 | ANNE DUNNE, ESQ.                                  |          | Jaime Bodiford                                  |
|    | Two Seaport Lane                                  | 14       | Tesla in-house counsel                          |
| 16 | Boston, Massachusetts 02210                       | 15       | Lisa Flegenheimer                               |
| 17 |   | 1.0      | Tesla paralegal                                 |
| 18 |   | 16<br>17 |   |
|    | Counsel for Elon Musk; Tesla, Inc.; and           | 18       |   |
| 19 | Tesla Motors, Inc.                                | 19       |   |
| 20 |   | 20       |   |
| 21 |   | 21       |   |
| 22 |   | 22       |   |
| 23 |   | 23       |   |
| 24 |   | 24       |   |
| 25 |   | 25       |   |
|    | Page 520  |          | Page 521  |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3            | 1        | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3          |
| 2  |   | 2        | Q. And you're testifying today in your          |
| 3  | PROCEEDINGS                                       | 3        | own personal capacity and as the representative |
| 4  | April 13, 2022, 9:09 a.m. PDT                     | 4        | for U.S. Security Associates; correct?          |
| 1  |   |          | _   |
| 5  |   | 5        | A. Correct.                                     |
| 6  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. German, you are                | 6        | Q. By whom are you currently employed?          |
| 7  | the first witness for today's proceedings, and so | 7        | A. TAO Group Hospitality.                       |
| 8  | I'm going to ask that the court reporter swear    | 8        | Q. And how long have you worked for             |
| 9  | you in.   | 9        | TAO Group?                                      |
| 10 |   | 10       | A. Since June of 2021. So approaching           |
| 11 | אגאומייט מדוזגע אייטיייטאאז                       |          |   |
| 1  | MATTHEW DAVID GERMAN,                             | 11       | one year.                                       |
| 12 | having been duly sworn,                           | 12       | Q. And what were you doing before June          |
| 13 | testified as follows:                             | 13       | of 2021?  |
| 14 |   | 14       | A. I was employed by Madison Square             |
| 15 | EXAMINATION                                       | 15       | Garden Entertainment.                           |
| 16 |   | 16       | Q. And how long did you work for Madison        |
| 1  | DV MD MOODETEID.                                  |          |   |
| 17 | BY MR. WOODFIELD:                                 | 17       | Square Garden?                                  |
| 18 | Q. Mr. German, my name is Nick                    | 18       | A. Roughly two years.                           |
| 19 | Woodfield. Could I get you to state your full     | 19       | Q. And was that from October of 2019            |
| 20 | name for the record, please?                      | 20       | until June of 2021?                             |
| 21 | A. Full name is Matthew David German.             | 21       | A. Yes.   |
| 22 | Q. And you've already testified in this           | 22       | Q. And what is your job with TAO Group?         |
| 1  |   |          |   |
| 23 | matter as the corporate representative of         | 23       | A. I am the head of global security for         |
| 24 | U.S. Security Associates; correct?                | 24       | TAO Group.                                      |
| 25 | A. Yes. Yes, sir.                                 | 25       | Q. And what were you doing before you           |
|    |   | 1        |   |

Page 523 Page 522 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 2 were working -- back prior to May of '20 --2 A. Yes. I believe it was June 2019, but 3 sorry, before October of 2019? 3 yes. 4 A. I was unemployed from June of 2019 4 Q. If you would, run us through your job 5 until October of 2019. history at U.S. Security, please. 5 б Q. And what happened in June of 2019? 6 A. I started at U.S. Security in 7 A. I was released from service from 7 February of 2014 as an operations manager at a local branch office in Wichita, Kansas, servicing 8 Allied Universal in a reduction of force due to 8 9 the acquisition of U.S. Security Associates. every client contract that we had in that 9 10 Q. How long did you work for 10 specific market. U.S. Security Associates? 11 Did that for roughly a year, maybe 11 12 A. February of 2014 until my release in 12 slightly more, and then was brought on to the 13 June of 2019. 13 national account team. Taking that over, I was Q. And Allied Universal purchased 14 initially a national account training manager, 14 15 U.S. Security Associates; is that correct? 15 servicing a client called PacifiCorp out of 16 A. That is correct, yes. 16 Portland, Oregon and Salt Lake City, were the two 17 Q. What was your job title at Allied 17 corporate headquarter locations. But had 18 Universal? 18 numerous power plants scattered throughout the 19 A. National account manager. 19 American West. 20 Q. And how long did you work at 20 Did that for roughly a year and then was effectively promoted into the actual national 21 U.S. Security Associates and subsequently 21 22 Allied Universal? 22 account management role supporting the same 23 A. Five years. Five years. 23 client. During the course of that time, I 24 absorbed other contracts that were in the same Q. And was that from February of 2014 24 25 until March of 2019? 25 geographical location as PacifiCorp, DynCorp Page 525 Page 524 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 2 being one based out of Cheyenne, Wyoming. 2 Q. Now, when you said that you were 3 And there was another smaller that I 3 overseeing security officers there, roughly how 4 cannot recall at this time. 4 many officers were there at Gigafactory that USSA Q. All right. And when did you -- when 5 5 put in place while you were there? 6 did you assume or pick up the Tesla national 6 MS. LARGENT: Objection, misstates 7 7 account? the testimony. 8 A. So the discussions that -- at least 8 Go ahead. 9 when I became involved were in May of 2018. I 9 A. So I didn't oversee individual 10 believe we actually brought that account on board security officers. I oversaw the deliverables 10 that they produced. Right? Making sure that, 11 in -- beginning in June of 2018. 11 12 Q. And as the national account manager, 12 you know, the posts were covered, that we had 13 for USSA on the Tesla contract, what were your 13 enough staff, that the quality of that work was 14 job duties and responsibilities? 14 up to par. 15 15 A. I was responsible for the oversight To answer the question, roughly 6- to and the coordination of deliverables from a 800 throughout the Continental U.S. 16 16 security perspective -- security quards being the BY MR. WOODFIELD: 17 17 most namely -- for the entire Tesla agreement in Q. And how many were at the Gigafactory 18 18 19 North America. 19 while you were there? 20 In addition to that, it was largely 20 A. I want to believe -- less than 100. 21 focused on client relationships. 21 75 to 100 is my estimate.

Tesla national account manager, who was your

Q. And during the time that you were the

22

23

24

25

employer?

duties and responsibilities?

post that they were assigned.

Q. And what exactly were their job

A. Widely varying. It depended on the

22

23

24

25

Page 526 Page 527 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 2 Q. Can you give me some examples? 2 Exhibit No. 206. And I'll put it up on the 3 A. Again, entry points. So vehicle 3 screen. 4 gates. You know, checking vehicles as they come 4 MR. WOODFIELD: And I'm just going to 5 offer it into evidence at this point for the sake in, parking placards, any kind of deliveries 5 6 there that are being received by the client. 6 of housekeeping. 7 Making sure they're logged in the logbook so we 7 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any objection to 206? 8 have accurate representation, and, you know, 8 MS. LARGENT: No, Your Honor. 9 understanding who's coming in and who's going 9 JUDGE HOFFMAN: 206 is in. 10 out. That's one example. 10 (Whereupon, Exhibit 206 was 11 Another example is supervisory-type 11 received.) BY MR. WOODFIELD: 12 roles that oversee the actual physical security 12 13 guards from a direct perspective. They're very 13 Q. Is this an e-mail you received on 14 mobile in nature, moving from post to post 14 June 11th from Sean Gouthro at Tesla? 15 ensuring that the security officers are, number 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 O. And this was forwarded from 16 one, awake and healthy, and, you know, attentive Sean Gouthro, and it was an e-mail that he had 17 and doing what they're supposed to be doing 17 had with Parker Fellows. 18 according to the post orders that were assigned 18 19 in the agreement. 19 Do you recall who Parker Fellows was? 20 Q. When did you first come to be aware 20 A. I do. Q. Who was Parker Fellows? of an individual named Karl Hansen? 21 21 22 A. The exact date is -- I don't recall, 22 A. He was a manager, a Tesla-employed 23 but I want to say sometime in either late May, 23 manager. If memory serves correct, I believe he early June of 2018. 24 24 was in the, like, fire safety, the safety 25 25 Q. I'm going to show you right now joint department. Page 528 Page 529 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 2 Q. And do you recall what Sean Gouthro's 2 about Mr. Hansen? 3 job was at Tesla as of June 11, 2018? 3 MS. LARGENT: Objection, calls for 4 4 A. He was the -- I'm not sure if he was speculation. the manager or the lead. Yeah, I can't recall, 5 5 MR. WOODFIELD: I'm not asking --6 but he was involved in the investigations 6 Well, Your Honor, I'm going to tell 7 7 department for Tesla. him --8 Q. What was your understanding of why 8 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled. 9 Mr. Gouthro was sending you a list of individuals 9 Answer if you can. 10 that were being let go in a RIF by Tesla? 10 THE WITNESS: Can you restate the 11 A. It was a handful of individuals that 11 question, sir? 12 Tesla wanted U.S. Security to consider bringing 12 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 13 on board with us, that they felt that the quality 13 Q. Yes. 14 of work and the product of work was great, and so 14 Can you tell me what you understood the discussion to be at Tesla about Mr. Hansen as 15 they wanted us to potentially extend offers for 15 them to remain in support of the Gigafactory, 16 16 it was reported to you? A. That he was a very good employee, did 17 just in a contract perspective with 17 very well at his job, had value to the 18 U.S. Security. 18 19 Q. Did Mr. Gouthro talk to you or 19 organization at Tesla. Tesla was forced to have 20 emphasize three individuals in particular? 20 a reduction in its staff. I'm not sure as to the 21 A. I don't recall having detailed 21 nature of why. My speculation, I suppose, would 22 discussions with Sean specifically about three 22 be financial troubles. 23 individuals, but I certainly read the e-mail 23 But while they figured that they had 24 which highlights it. 24 to do this, Karl was certainly one of the Q. And what was the discussion at Tesla 25 25 individuals that was highlighted as being a

Page 530 Page 531 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 2 superb performer, and they would like for us to Mr. Hansen other than what was reported to you by 2 3 3 Mr. Gouthro and Mr. Fellows? take that into account. Q. Now, after -- did you have an 4 4 A. No. 5 opportunity to work with Mr. Hansen at any point? 5 Q. What is a specialty position? б A. Not directly, no. б A. Generally speaking, it is anything 7 7 Q. Did you have an opportunity to hear additional to our normal scope of services, which 8 feedback from USSA supervisors and co-workers 8 is security guard services, security supervisor 9 about Mr. Hansen's performance? 9 services and account management. 10 A. In relation to his time as a Tesla 10 So anything that doesn't fall within 11 11 those three categories would be considered employee? 12 Q. In terms of his value as a security 12 specialty. Executive protection is an example of 13 employee at the Tesla Gigafactory in 2018. 13 that. Armed security officers, another example. 14 A. Upon his inception into 14 Q. Were you looking to hire Mr. Hansen 15 U.S. Security, certainly, yes. Prior to that, no 15 into a specialty position? contract employee would have had, to my 16 16 A. Yes. Namely, an investigator, I 17 knowledge, any insight into Karl's performance as 17 guess, department, for lack of a better term, but a Tesla employee. 18 18 that Tesla wanted us to -- us being U.S. Security 19 Q. Well, did you form the opinion that 19 Associates -- to house and manage. It's not 20 he'd be a good employee, a good security officer 20 something we traditionally did, so it was for USSA? 21 21 certainly a specialty type role. 22 A. I did. I had no reason to speculate 22 Q. And what were the -- what would have 23 otherwise. 23 the terms and conditions of the compensation have 24 24 Q. And as of June of 2018, had you been for that investigation position? 25 25 received any contradictory information about A. I don't recall the specific dollar Page 533 Page 532 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 2 amount, but it was -- we did have pricing that we 2 How long was USSA's contract with 3 had submitted to Tesla and to some degree was 3 Tesla? 4 4 accepted, although the position never A. Three years. 5 materialized. And I'm unsure as to why Tesla 5 Q. And would the -- if the specialty 6 made that decision, but there certainly was position was to be in force, would it be in -- or 6 7 7 pricing established. be part of the contract, would it be part of the 8 Q. What was it roughly, that you recall? 8 contract for three years? Was that what was 9 A. 80,000. Somewhere between 80 to 9 contemplated? 10 90,000 annual. 10 A. Yes, it would have been added as an addendum to the agreement, falling under the same 11 Q. In terms of compensation for the 11 12 employee? 12 parameters. 13 13 A. Correct. Yes, sir. Q. And did you discuss that with 14 Q. What were the job duties and 14 Mr. Hansen? 15 15 responsibilities supposed to be? A. I don't recall discussing that piece 16 A. They were never established. 16 of it particularly with Karl. There certainly was discussions with Tesla about that. 17 Q. And did you discuss with Mr. Hansen 17 Q. And what, if anything, was told to potentially hiring him into that position? 18 18 19 A. I did, yes. 19 you about why Tesla shifted away from that 20 Q. And did you talk to him about the 20 position?

tenure of that position if you were able to hire
him into it?

A. Can you clarify that for me? I'm
unsure as to -Q. Yes.

A. They decided to -- to my knowledge,
they decided to retain the investigator role
in-house, meaning as a Tesla-offered position.

And it also seemed that there was a consolidation
effort into a single geographic location.

21

22

23

24

25

| 1  | Page 534<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  | 1  | Page 535<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   |
|--|---|--|--|
| 2  | Q. When did Mr. Hansen, when was he   | 2  | A. Yes.  |
| 3  | hired by Tesla? I mean by forgive me, by  | 3  | Q. And was Mr. Hansen disappointed?  |
| 4  | USSA?   | 4  | A. I imagine so.   |
| 5  | A. Officially, I believe mid-July of  | 5  | Q. And were you disappointed in the job  |
| 6  | 2018.   | 6  | that you were offering to him?   |
| 7  | Q. And do you recall what job he was  | 7  | A. It was not offered in terms of that.  |
| 8  | hired to take?  | 8  | We had to get him on the books and officially  |
| 9  | A. Security officer.  | 9  | hired into U.S. Security, and the only job codes   |
| 10   | Q. And do you recall what the   | 10   | that we had built at that time were security   |
| 11   | compensation was for that?  | 11   | officer positions. It was never the intent for   |
| 12   | A. Not directly. Somewhere around \$20,   | 12   | him to stay there. It was a formality to get him   |
| 13   | I believe, \$20 an hour.  | 13   | into our system.   |
| 14   | Q. And annualized, how much was that?   | 14   | It's not an uncommon practice.   |
| 15   | A. Depends how many hours a week they   | 15   | Q. And did you can you tell me who   |
| 16   | work.   | 16   | Rick McLellan is?  |
| 17   | Q. If you worked 40 hours a week?   | 17   | A. Rick McLellan was the account manager   |
| 18   | MS. LARGENT: I don't think he's   | 18   | working directly underneath me assigned to the   |
| 19   | asking you to do calculations.  | 19   | Gigafactory in Sparks, Nevada.   |
| 20   | MR. WOODFIELD: If you know.   | 20   | Q. And what was Mr. McLellan's job, or   |
| 21   | A. Okay. I do not know.   | 21   | what were his job duties and responsibilities as   |
| 22   | BY MR. WOODFIELD:   | 22   | the account manager?   |
| 23   | Q. Was it a substantial step down from  | 23   | A. He had day-to-day direct oversight of   |
| 24   | the job that you had initially discussed for  | 24   | all contract security-related items.   |
| 25   | Mr. Hansen in terms of compensation?  | 25   | So a lot of direct involvement with  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
| 1  | Page 536<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Dav 3  | 1  | =  |
| 1 2  | Page 536 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 the local Tesla counterparts. That would be quys  | 1 2  | Page 537 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified  |
|  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  | l .  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified   |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3<br>the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys<br>like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with   | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or,  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.  Q. And in late June, did you advise  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some pushback from Tesla about classifying or   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.  Q. And in late June, did you advise Mr. Hansen that after speaking with  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.  Q. And in late June, did you advise  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some pushback from Tesla about classifying or categorizing Mr. Hansen as a swing-shift  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.  Q. And in late June, did you advise Mr. Hansen that after speaking with Mr. Jeff Jones, that you directed Mr. McLellan to  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some pushback from Tesla about classifying or categorizing Mr. Hansen as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. Yes, I believe I did.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.  Q. And in late June, did you advise Mr. Hansen that after speaking with Mr. Jeff Jones, that you directed Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some pushback from Tesla about classifying or categorizing Mr. Hansen as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. Yes, I believe I did.  Q. And when was that, roughly?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.  Q. And in late June, did you advise Mr. Hansen that after speaking with Mr. Jeff Jones, that you directed Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. I did, yes.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some pushback from Tesla about classifying or categorizing Mr. Hansen as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. Yes, I believe I did.  Q. And when was that, roughly?  A. Maybe mid-to-late July.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.  Q. And in late June, did you advise Mr. Hansen that after speaking with Mr. Jeff Jones, that you directed Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. I did, yes.  Q. And why did you put why did you  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some pushback from Tesla about classifying or categorizing Mr. Hansen as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. Yes, I believe I did.  Q. And when was that, roughly?  A. Maybe mid-to-late July.  Q. And who told you they did not want   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.  Q. And in late June, did you advise Mr. Hansen that after speaking with Mr. Jeff Jones, that you directed Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. I did, yes.  Q. And why did you put why did you advise Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some pushback from Tesla about classifying or categorizing Mr. Hansen as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. Yes, I believe I did.  Q. And when was that, roughly?  A. Maybe mid-to-late July.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.  Q. And in late June, did you advise Mr. Hansen that after speaking with Mr. Jeff Jones, that you directed Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. I did, yes.  Q. And why did you put why did you advise Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some pushback from Tesla about classifying or categorizing Mr. Hansen as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. Yes, I believe I did.  Q. And when was that, roughly?  A. Maybe mid-to-late July.  Q. And who told you they did not want Mr. Hansen to be a swing-shift supervisor?  A. For clarification, I don't think I   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.  Q. And in late June, did you advise Mr. Hansen that after speaking with Mr. Jeff Jones, that you directed Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. I did, yes.  Q. And why did you put why did you advise Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. So I believe at that point in time   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some pushback from Tesla about classifying or categorizing Mr. Hansen as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. Yes, I believe I did.  Q. And when was that, roughly?  A. Maybe mid-to-late July.  Q. And who told you they did not want Mr. Hansen to be a swing-shift supervisor?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.  Q. And in late June, did you advise Mr. Hansen that after speaking with Mr. Jeff Jones, that you directed Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. I did, yes.  Q. And why did you put why did you advise Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. So I believe at that point in time there were several other things that had  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some pushback from Tesla about classifying or categorizing Mr. Hansen as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. Yes, I believe I did.  Q. And when was that, roughly?  A. Maybe mid-to-late July.  Q. And who told you they did not want  Mr. Hansen to be a swing-shift supervisor?  A. For clarification, I don't think I ever got told that they didn't want it; but that conversation would have been between either Sean  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.  Q. And in late June, did you advise Mr. Hansen that after speaking with Mr. Jeff Jones, that you directed Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. I did, yes.  Q. And why did you put why did you advise Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. So I believe at that point in time   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some pushback from Tesla about classifying or categorizing Mr. Hansen as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. Yes, I believe I did.  Q. And when was that, roughly?  A. Maybe mid-to-late July.  Q. And who told you they did not want  Mr. Hansen to be a swing-shift supervisor?  A. For clarification, I don't think I ever got told that they didn't want it; but that   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.  Q. And in late June, did you advise Mr. Hansen that after speaking with Mr. Jeff Jones, that you directed Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. I did, yes.  Q. And why did you put why did you advise Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. So I believe at that point in time there were several other things that had happened, but one being that I had Karl's resumé and had a chance to review it. And it was a | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some pushback from Tesla about classifying or categorizing Mr. Hansen as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. Yes, I believe I did.  Q. And when was that, roughly?  A. Maybe mid-to-late July.  Q. And who told you they did not want Mr. Hansen to be a swing-shift supervisor?  A. For clarification, I don't think I ever got told that they didn't want it; but that conversation would have been between either Sean and myself or Jeff Jones and myself or a                                    |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  the local Tesla counterparts. That would be guys like Sean, like Parker. So he communicated with them on a daily basis.  Any client requests, you know, if they need an adjustment in the scope of work or, you know, we want to add a few things here and there on post orders that we'd like the security guards to help us out with, that would have been run through Mr. McLellan.  Q. And in late June, did you advise Mr. Hansen that after speaking with Mr. Jeff Jones, that you directed Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. I did, yes.  Q. And why did you put why did you advise Mr. McLellan to put Mr. Hansen on the books as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. So I believe at that point in time there were several other things that had happened, but one being that I had Karl's resumé   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 existed at that site. He was far more qualified to serve as a supervisor for us than to my recollection, than anyone else there.  Q. And do you recall what the rate was per hour?  A. 27, I believe. \$27 an hour.  Q. And did the at some point did you hold on one sec.  At some point did you receive some pushback from Tesla about classifying or categorizing Mr. Hansen as a swing-shift supervisor?  A. Yes, I believe I did.  Q. And when was that, roughly?  A. Maybe mid-to-late July.  Q. And who told you they did not want Mr. Hansen to be a swing-shift supervisor?  A. For clarification, I don't think I ever got told that they didn't want it; but that conversation would have been between either Sean and myself or Jeff Jones and myself or a combination of the two and myself. |

2.0

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3

approaching nearly four years ago. But it would have been something to the effect of, Are you sure that, you know, you want Karl in this role?

And my answer was, you know, for the time that I've interacted with him and, you know, have seen what he has done, the answer is yes.

- Q. And what were you told in response?
- A. Nothing. They didn't try and block it -- well, I would consider that to be an attempt at a block. However, we -- being U.S. Security Associates, we have kind of the ultimate authority of who we assign into specific roles, and Karl was certainly an individual that I wanted to serve in that role.
- Q. Did anyone tell you why there was any reticence or reluctance on the part of Tesla about Mr. Hansen serving in that role?
  - A. Not at that time, no.
  - Q. Did anyone tell you subsequently?
- A. Not directly. There was certainly a ton of cryptic speech that -- I didn't want to get involved in, quite frankly. Speak plainly and I'll make the decisions based upon that,

25 but...

Page 540

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 joint Exhibit 121.

3 Do you have the exhibits or this text 4 in front of you?

- A. Excuse me, sir.
- 6 Q. Do you have the texts in front of 7 you?
  - A. I do not.
    - Q. Hold on one second.

Do you have the texts in front of

11 you?

- A. I can see them now, yes.
- Q. Do you recall telling Mr. Hansen: I got shot down. I don't know what the specifics are, but I can offer you an officer role at 19.80. I truly hate doing this and don't understand why, but I have my orders.
  - A. I do recall.
- Q. And what did you mean by you "got shot down"?
  - A. I believe that was when we were experiencing some of that cryptic -- that cryptic speech among the Tesla folks. There was also indications in that specific --

Can you scroll back up, please?

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3

- Q. Who was engaging in cryptic speech?
- A. It was a common Tesla practice.
- Mearly all of them, if not all of them. Jeff,
  Sean, Parker. A lot of the names escape me, you
  know, now, but a lot of the -- the investigator,
  the Tesla investigators. I had minimal
  interaction with them, but when I did, it was --
- 9 you'd think you were dealing with the NSA or something.
- 11 Q. Give me an example of cryptic speech 12 that anyone in particular was telling you about 13 Mr. Hansen.
  - A. I don't think I can give specific examples of cryptic speech. I think the best example was from a combo -- from what I remember, a combo of Jeff and Sean, you know, acting kind of strange when we were going through our selections for the supervisor roles and picking Karl specifically out of that, saying, Are you sure you want that? And the answer was yes.

That, to me, is a cryptic sign.

Q. Let me show you some texts you sent back and forth with Mr. Hansen.

These are marked and in evidence as

Page 541

Page 539

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3

Q. Certainly.

A. There's also indication in that particular message there. We were having some internal struggles in getting the account ready and prepping to take it over from Securitas, namely from an HR perspective.

As I believe I previously mentioned, at that time the only job codes that had been created in support of a Gigafactory account were security officer roles. In order to get Mr. Hansen on the books for us officially as an employee of U.S. Security, we have to assign him a job code. That's the way the HR IS system works. The only job codes that exist, once again, were security officer roles.

So the orders mentioned -- there is a reference to a U.S. Security internal struggle where the regional president and I didn't necessarily see eye to eye on the speed that his team was moving in getting the account prepped, so...

- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$  Did you believe that someone was playing BS games with you?
  - A. No. Not at this point, no.

Page 543 Page 542 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 2 Q. Let me refer you to a little further BY MR. WOODFIELD: 2 3 down, to an e-mail you sent subsequently in that 3 Q. Did Mr. Hansen forward to you this 4 e-mail chain where you said: You are my swing e-mail that he had sent to Sean Gouthro, Elon 4 5 sup. End of story. I refuse to play BS games. 5 Musk, Gerhard Pretorius, Jake Nocon, Jeff Jones, б Do you recall sending that text? б and Nick Gicinto after he sent it to them on 7 7 Friday, August 3rd? A. I do. 8 Q. What were you talking about there? 8 A. Yes. 9 A. I can't recall exactly what I mean, 9 Q. And what was your response when you 10 but, I mean, the end state is that Karl was going 10 received it from Mr. Hansen? to be the swing-shift supervisor. A. I didn't necessarily understand the 11 11 12 Q. And did Mr. Hansen ever end up being nature of what was being discussed. These were 12 13 the swing-shift supervisor? 13 things that were being flagged that were entirely 14 14 inclusive of Tesla and had no impact on how I was A. I believe so. For a short period of 15 time, yes, I believe so. 15 to carry out my duty as the national account 16 16 Q. When Mr. Hansen was working for USSA, manager assigned as a contract security. 17 17 did you ever have any criticisms of his job Q. Do you recall telling Mr. Hansen that 18 performance? 18 you don't blame him for sending it? 19 A. Certainly not. Definitely not. 19 A. I'll never blame anybody for doing 20 Q. I'm going to show you now an exhibit 20 what they think is right. 21 marked as joint Exhibit 186. 21 Q. Do you recall telling Mr. Hansen you 22 JUDGE HOFFMAN: What was the number 22 don't blame him for sending it? 23 23 A. I do not recall that, no. again, please? 24 24 MR. WOODFIELD: 186. Q. Do you recall telling him that you 25 25 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Thank you. wouldn't be surprised if he was removed from the Page 545 Page 544 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 1 2 Gigafactory for having sent it? 2 recording illegal. It's not being used for 3 A. I do. 3 impeachment purposes. Mr. Woodfield is 4 4 Q. And do you recall telling him that if attempting to use it on direct for other 5 he was removed from the Gigafactory, that you 5 purposes. It wasn't disclosed on the witness 6 expected that USSA would likely try to find him a list, for one thing -- or excuse me, on the 6 7 job at a reduced rate of pay somewhere else? exhibit list, for one thing, making it improper. 8 A. I do recall that. However, I refute 8 Additionally, I don't believe that 9 the reduced rate of pay. I made conceded (sic) 9 USSA has waived any right to object to this based 10 efforts to retain his pay. Whether it actually on the illegal nature of the recording by virtue 10 11 happened or not once he departed the Tesla 11 of having used a different call for impeachment 12 account, I had no control over that. They would 12 purposes against Mr. Hansen, who is the one who 13 13 engaged in the wrongful conduct by recording the then turn it over to the region. 14 Q. I'd like to play a recording for you 14 call. at this time to see if it refreshes your 15 In my view, you know, this is not 15 16 that different than a situation where you've got 16 recollection, sir. 17 A. Okay. 17 someone who goes to ten different stores and engages in ten different thefts. If the district 18 MS. LARGENT: We're going to object 18 19 to this, Your Honor. 19 attorney's office decides not to prosecute one, 20 20 As we discussed a little bit they're not waiving the right to prosecute the 21 yesterday, I believe what Mr. Woodfield is about 21 other nine. 22 22 to play is a recorded call, not the one that was Each act is illegal, and the person 23 played a couple of days ago with Mr. Hansen, but 23 who engages in the illegal recording shouldn't

24

25

a different one that Mr. Hansen recorded without

Mr. German's consent or knowledge, making the

24

25

get to benefit from that. That wouldn't serve

any policy associated with the Nevada law making

Page 547 Page 546 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 2 it illegal to do this in the first place. 2 offering -- I'm going to play it for him to see 3 3 if it refreshes his recollection, but he stated And in Lane versus Allstate, a Nevada 4 Supreme Court case, the Court held that the 4 to the contrary. 5 5 appropriate remedy is exclusion of the evidence And the fact that USSA feels that it 6 in those circumstances. 6 can use the recordings that it has had -- that 7 JUDGE HOFFMAN: I'm interested to 7 they have had for months offensively and then 8 know, Mr. Woodfield, on why this wasn't disclosed 8 seek to use them defensively subsequently, I find 9 previously in your case in chief. 9 a very -- I wouldn't make such arguments. But I 10 MR. WOODFIELD: Your Honor, this is 10 am offering this not as substantive evidence but 11 impeachment evidence. I'm really kind of -- I 11 to impeach the witness and then to ask if the wouldn't make the argument that USSA did, that 12 witness might recall subsequently what he said. 12 13 13 when it uses the recording that was made JUDGE HOFFMAN: What is the --14 immediately before this for impeachment purposes 14 setting aside the legality of the recording, what 15 and then argues that the next impeachment -- or 15 is the issue to be impeached? I must have missed 16 the next recording cannot be used for impeachment 16 it along the way. What is it that Mr. German 17 17 purposes because it wasn't disclosed. I find said that you now want to contradict? MR. WOODFIELD: Mr. German in the 18 that a fairly hypocritical position. 18 19 I'm kind of -- I would be embarrassed 19 recording states specifically that he does not 20 to make that argument. But I'm offering it. And 20 blame Mr. Hansen for sending the e-mail that's marked as joint Exhibit 186 and that he wouldn't while USSA may make the suggestion that I'm not 21 21 22 offering it for impeachment purposes, I assure 22 be surprised if Tesla removed him from the 23 you I am offering it for impeachment purposes 23 Gigafactory. 24 24 because it is a prior inconsistent statement. And then he states: I expect that 25 25 I'm being gentle by telling the witness that I'm you're going -- that we will try and find you Page 549 Page 548 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 2 another job, but you've got to understand it's 2 direct testimony to go through this. But I 3 probably going to be at a lower rate of pay, 3 really -- I just don't -- I assure you that we 4 which is contrary to what he just testified to. 4 are not going to hear a lot of wasted verbiage 5 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I guess I 5 here. 6 understood that is what he testified to. So, 6 And I -- I also understood that the 7 7 okay. I'm going to allow you to play the arbitrator has ruled, and it seems like USSA just 8 impeachment. How long is it? 8 keeps coming back and rearguing the point, and I 9 MR. WOODFIELD: It is roughly four 9 think at some point we're just wasting time. 10 minutes -- well, it's three and a half minutes 10 MS. LARGENT: I don't see why we 11 here. 11 can't take a break and he can find the part he 12 MS. LARGENT: I don't think the 12 wants to play. We're happy to do that. 13 portion that he's referring to as stating that is 13 MR. WOODFIELD: This is more of the 14 four minutes long. Again, I am objecting to him 14 same wasting time, Your Honor. 15 15 trying to play an entirety of a conversation for JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah. Go ahead and one tiny part of it that he's claiming is 16 play the recording and let's move on. 16 MR. WOODFIELD: Thank you. 17 impeachment, for what Mr. German has said. 17 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Can you nail that 18 18 (Tape played.) 19 down a little bit more? 19 Mr. German: Outside of this Ricky, 20 MR. WOODFIELD: Your Honor, I -- the 20 Rick -- was trying to set up your -- that phone 21 clip is 3.25. I appreciate USSA's concern about 21 call or whatever the fuck they were trying to do 22 the austerity of the time. The reason we're here 22 with you. 23 today is because of USSA's delays in -- on Monday 23 Mr. Hansen: Ricky Gecewich. Okay. 24 in terms of how long it took. I appreciate that 24 Mr. German: Yeah. And that's been 25 it is concern, but I will clip three minutes of 25 over a week.

```
Page 551
                                                Page 550
1
        Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3
                                                         1
                                                                 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3
2
                Mr. Hansen: Yeah. Yeah. We had one
                                                             you were a Tesla employee, I would not be
                                                         2
                                                             surprised in the least if it (indiscernible)
 3
    meeting. I went in and, you know, on the advice
                                                         3
 4
     of counsel, I told them that I'd listen to them.
                                                         4
                                                             site. Be prepared for that.
 5
                                                         5
     I've presented them everything they needed. And
                                                                        Mr. Hansen: Yeah, no, if they come
 6
     that -- that's fine. But anyway, I just didn't
                                                         6
                                                             to you and ask you to remove me from the site.
7
     know where things stood.
                                                         7
                                                             Did I hear that right? I just have a shitty
 8
                You know, and I had already -- I told
                                                         8
                                                             signal here. I can't --
9
     them I had nothing more to say, you know? And if
                                                         9
                                                                        Mr. German: Yeah.
10
    he wanted to, I'd review his stuff and we
                                                        10
                                                                        Mr. Hansen: And I expect that,
11
     could -- we'd get together next week. And
                                                        11
                                                             truly, I do, which is why I wanted to call you
12
     essentially he sent me a follow-on e-mail
                                                        12
                                                             tonight. I wanted to give you at least that
13
                                                        13
     indicating the scope of his investigation. And
                                                             professional courtesy. Because, Matt, like I
14
     obviously I felt that there was more to that and
                                                        14
                                                             said, you've been exceptional to me, and I do
15
     just decided to decline.
                                                        15
                                                             appreciate it, but I understand the strategic
                Mr. German: The thing is that --
16
                                                        16
                                                             importance of the U.S. Security contract, you
17
                                                        17
     yeah. And then I don't (indiscernible).
                                                             know?
18
                Mr. Hansen: Say that again? I
                                                        18
                                                                        And the bottom line is, Tesla
19
     didn't hear you.
                                                        19
                                                             knows -- you know, Tesla knows that it's a
20
                Mr. German: I said I (indiscernible)
                                                        20
                                                             federal violation if they do that, if it's
     for what you did. I mean, I think it needed to
21
                                                        21
                                                             reported. And that's fine. I get it. You know,
22
    be done and I think you saw what needed to be
                                                        22
                                                             that would be retaliation, and I know that that
23
     done.
                                                        23
                                                             is what it is. But if you get that call, please
24
                                                        24
                I would not be surprised, Karl,
                                                             contact me directly. And I -- you know.
                                                        25
25
    however, if even though this thing happened while
                                                                        Mr. German: Sure.
                                               Page 552
                                                                                                        Page 553
1
        Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3
                                                         1
                                                                 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3
2
                Mr. Hansen: The bottom line is, I
                                                         2
                                                             but we do have at least three other national
 3
    get it. I understand it. Don't --
                                                         3
                                                             accounts right down the road.
 4
                                                         4
                                                                        Mr. Hansen: Okay. And you'd be okay
                Mr. German: (Indiscernible) Yeah,
 5
    when I said don't be shocked if it happens, it
                                                         5
                                                             to --
 6
    doesn't mean that I'm obligated to follow through
                                                         6
                                                                        Mr. German: The options are there.
7
                                                         7
     on anything.
                                                                        Mr. Hansen: So you'd be okay to keep
8
                Mr. Hansen: Well, you -- I want you
                                                         8
                                                             me on as an employee with U.S. Security even if
9
     to understand that the --
                                                         9
                                                             Tesla says get this guy off-site. I can still
                Mr. German: Do you know what I mean?
10
                                                        10
                                                             work with you?
11
                Mr. Hansen: Yes, I do, and I get
                                                        11
                                                                        Mr. German: Absolutely. Because
12
     that. And believe me, trust me, I know you're
                                                        12
                                                             whatever happened is none of my concern and/or
13
     not obligated to follow through and the client
                                                        13
                                                             business because it occurred under the Tesla
14
     can make recommendations. But at the same time,
                                                        14
                                                             watch.
15
                                                        15
     I also want you to understand that that
                                                                        Mr. Hansen: Understood.
16
     environment out there is -- is something else. I
                                                        16
                                                                        Mr. German: (Indiscernible) issues.
                                                        17
                                                                        Mr. Hansen: And, of course, my pay
17
    mean, maybe we can talk about a transfer to
                                                             would be reduced to whatever that site paid;
18
     another post if that happens within U.S. Security
                                                        18
19
     or something along those lines.
                                                        19
                                                             correct?
20
                                                        20
                Mr. German: Yeah. Absolutely.
                                                                        Mr. German: Correct. Yeah, correct.
21
                            Okay. All right,
                                                        21
                                                                        Mr. Hansen: Okay. Fair enough.
                Mr. Hansen:
22
                                                        22
                                                                        Matt, I -- you know, that is what it
    brutha.
23
                Mr. German: (Indiscernible) we have
                                                        23
                                                             is.
24
    another national (indiscernible). It's not going
                                                        24
                                                                        Mr. German: I will be there Monday.
25
     to pay anywhere near what you're making at Tesla,
                                                        25
                                                                        Mr. Hansen: You're going to be in
```

| 1  | Page 554<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   | 1  | Page 555 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | Monday?  | 2  | me.  |
|  | -  | 3  |  |
| 3  | (End of tape played.)  | _  | Q. Okay. I'm showing you right now   |
| 4  | MR. WOODFIELD: I can play the rest   | 4  | Exhibit 165.   |
| 5  | if you'd like, but we can stop it there.   | 5  | Have you do you recall seeing this   |
| 6  | I can play the rest if you'd like,   | 6  | e-mail that was sent to you on August 3rd at   |
| 7  | but we can stop it there.  | 7  | 1:15 p.m.?   |
| 8  | THE WITNESS: Is that for me?   | 8  | A. I do recall that. I was the author  |
| 9  | BY MR. WOODFIELD:  | 9  | of that e-mail.  |
| 10   | Q. Do you recall that conversation, sir?   | 10   | Q. And this was an e-mail that you were  |
| 11   | A. I do now, yes.  | 11   | sending after Ricky Gecewich reached out to you  |
| 12   | Q. And was that your voice, sir?   | 12   | after Mr. Hansen had told you he had sent the  |
| 13   | A. Yes.  | 13   | e-mail; correct?   |
| 14   | Q. It was that in response to your being   | 14   | That we had discussed, the e-mail  |
| 15   | contacted by Ricky Gecewich?   | 15   | where he had reached out to Elon Musk?   |
| 16   | A. Yeah, I believe that's his name, yes,   | 16   | A. Correct, yes.   |
| 17   | sir.   | 17   | Q. And that was the e-mail that you told   |
| 18   | Q. And this is such a silly question,  | 18   | Mr. Hansen that you wouldn't be surprised if   |
| 19   | because we have to ask everyone this, but do you   | 19   | Tesla removed him from the Gigafactory site for?   |
| 20   | remember how to say Gecewich or whatever his name  | 20   | A. Correct.  |
| 21   | is?  | 21   | Q. Do you recall having a conversation   |
| 22   | A. I never met the individual. I don't   | 22   | on or about August 30th with Jeff Jones?   |
| 23   |  | 23   | _  |
| l  | know how to say his name.  |  | A. It's likely. I had conversations  |
| 24   | Q. Okay. Gecewich.   | 24   | frequently with Jeff.  |
| 25   | A. We can go with that. It's fine by   | 25   | Q. Do you recall a conversation about  |
|  | D FF(  |  |  |
|  | Page 556   |  | Page 557   |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   |
| 1 2  | =  | 1 2  | =  |
| l  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   |  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 $$ Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from  | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3<br>BY MR. WOODFIELD:  |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory  | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 BY MR. WOODFIELD: Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 BY MR. WOODFIELD: Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 BY MR. WOODFIELD: Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do.  Q. And what, if anything, did he tell   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30,  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it? A. There was no reasoning provided. It  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do.  Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do.  Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it?  A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it?  A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it? A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for me, so right around midnight-ish, I believe.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.  Q. Well, let me just read this from your   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it? A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for me, so right around midnight-ish, I believe.  And the message was pretty blunt and  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.  Q. Well, let me just read this from your deposition. It's page 76, line 14.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it?  A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for me, so right around midnight-ish, I believe.  And the message was pretty blunt and clear, and it was to inform Mr. Hansen that he  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.  Q. Well, let me just read this from your deposition. It's page 76, line 14.  Was it your understanding that that   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it? A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for me, so right around midnight-ish, I believe.  And the message was pretty blunt and clear, and it was to inform Mr. Hansen that he was no longer welcome on Tesla property. And to   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.  Q. Well, let me just read this from your deposition. It's page 76, line 14.  Was it your understanding that that was about August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it? A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for me, so right around midnight-ish, I believe.  And the message was pretty blunt and clear, and it was to inform Mr. Hansen that he was no longer welcome on Tesla property. And to some degree I believe Jeff hung up after that.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.  Q. Well, let me just read this from your deposition. It's page 76, line 14.  Was it your understanding that that was about August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that he was to be removed from the contract?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it?  A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for me, so right around midnight-ish, I believe.  And the message was pretty blunt and clear, and it was to inform Mr. Hansen that he was no longer welcome on Tesla property. And to some degree I believe Jeff hung up after that.  But I do remember that, you know, Karl had   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.  Q. Well, let me just read this from your deposition. It's page 76, line 14.  Was it your understanding that that was about August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that he was to be removed from the contract?  And you said, Answer: That sounds  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it? A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for me, so right around midnight-ish, I believe.  And the message was pretty blunt and clear, and it was to inform Mr. Hansen that he was no longer welcome on Tesla property. And to some degree I believe Jeff hung up after that.  But I do remember that, you know, Karl had requested of me to if that happened, to let  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.  Q. Well, let me just read this from your deposition. It's page 76, line 14.  Was it your understanding that that was about August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that he was to be removed from the contract?  And you said, Answer: That sounds accurate.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it? A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for me, so right around midnight-ish, I believe.  And the message was pretty blunt and clear, and it was to inform Mr. Hansen that he was no longer welcome on Tesla property. And to some degree I believe Jeff hung up after that. But I do remember that, you know, Karl had requested of me to if that happened, to let him know directly, and so I did.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.  Q. Well, let me just read this from your deposition. It's page 76, line 14.  Was it your understanding that that was about August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that he was to be removed from the contract?  And you said, Answer: That sounds accurate.  Let me read you or let me ask you:  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it? A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for me, so right around midnight-ish, I believe.  And the message was pretty blunt and clear, and it was to inform Mr. Hansen that he was no longer welcome on Tesla property. And to some degree I believe Jeff hung up after that. But I do remember that, you know, Karl had requested of me to if that happened, to let him know directly, and so I did. Q. When, if ever, did you discuss with   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.  Q. Well, let me just read this from your deposition. It's page 76, line 14.  Was it your understanding that that was about August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that he was to be removed from the contract?  And you said, Answer: That sounds accurate.  Let me read you or let me ask you: What did Mr. Jones tell you about any interaction  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it? A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for me, so right around midnight-ish, I believe.  And the message was pretty blunt and clear, and it was to inform Mr. Hansen that he was no longer welcome on Tesla property. And to some degree I believe Jeff hung up after that. But I do remember that, you know, Karl had requested of me to if that happened, to let him know directly, and so I did. Q. When, if ever, did you discuss with Mr. Jones, Mr. Musk arriving on August 30, 2018   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.  Q. Well, let me just read this from your deposition. It's page 76, line 14.  Was it your understanding that that was about August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that he was to be removed from the contract?  And you said, Answer: That sounds accurate.  Let me read you or let me ask you: What did Mr. Jones tell you about any interaction about between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk at the   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it? A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for me, so right around midnight-ish, I believe.  And the message was pretty blunt and clear, and it was to inform Mr. Hansen that he was no longer welcome on Tesla property. And to some degree I believe Jeff hung up after that. But I do remember that, you know, Karl had requested of me to if that happened, to let him know directly, and so I did. Q. When, if ever, did you discuss with Mr. Jones, Mr. Musk arriving on August 30, 2018 at the Gigafactory through the west gate  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.  Q. Well, let me just read this from your deposition. It's page 76, line 14.  Was it your understanding that that was about August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that he was to be removed from the contract?  And you said, Answer: That sounds accurate.  Let me read you or let me ask you: What did Mr. Jones tell you about any interaction about between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk at the west gate of the Gigafactory at the end of  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it? A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for me, so right around midnight-ish, I believe.  And the message was pretty blunt and clear, and it was to inform Mr. Hansen that he was no longer welcome on Tesla property. And to some degree I believe Jeff hung up after that. But I do remember that, you know, Karl had requested of me to if that happened, to let him know directly, and so I did. Q. When, if ever, did you discuss with Mr. Jones, Mr. Musk arriving on August 30, 2018 at the Gigafactory through the west gate entrance?                                    | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.  Q. Well, let me just read this from your deposition. It's page 76, line 14.  Was it your understanding that that was about August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that he was to be removed from the contract?  And you said, Answer: That sounds accurate.  Let me read you or let me ask you: What did Mr. Jones tell you about any interaction about between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk at the west gate of the Gigafactory at the end of August, 2018?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it? A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for me, so right around midnight-ish, I believe.  And the message was pretty blunt and clear, and it was to inform Mr. Hansen that he was no longer welcome on Tesla property. And to some degree I believe Jeff hung up after that. But I do remember that, you know, Karl had requested of me to if that happened, to let him know directly, and so I did. Q. When, if ever, did you discuss with Mr. Jones, Mr. Musk arriving on August 30, 2018 at the Gigafactory through the west gate entrance?  MS. LARGENT: Sorry, Nick, can you | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.  Q. Well, let me just read this from your deposition. It's page 76, line 14.  Was it your understanding that that was about August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that he was to be removed from the contract?  And you said, Answer: That sounds accurate.  Let me read you or let me ask you: What did Mr. Jones tell you about any interaction about between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk at the west gate of the Gigafactory at the end of August, 2018?  A. Again, to my recollection, it was, |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Jeff Jones asking that Mr. Hansen be removed from the Tesla compound, the Tesla Gigafactory compound?  A. I do. Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you was the reasoning behind it? A. There was no reasoning provided. It was, again, a cryptic a cryptic call that lasted perhaps 60 seconds. I was at home in Kansas, received the call very late at night for me, so right around midnight-ish, I believe.  And the message was pretty blunt and clear, and it was to inform Mr. Hansen that he was no longer welcome on Tesla property. And to some degree I believe Jeff hung up after that. But I do remember that, you know, Karl had requested of me to if that happened, to let him know directly, and so I did. Q. When, if ever, did you discuss with Mr. Jones, Mr. Musk arriving on August 30, 2018 at the Gigafactory through the west gate entrance?                                    | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  BY MR. WOODFIELD:  Q. Yeah, let me ask you: Was it your understanding that Mr. Jones communicated with you about Mr. Musk traveling through the west gate of the Gigafactory entrance on August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that Mr. Hansen was to be removed from the contract?  A. I do not recall the date of that discussion. I do recall the content or I guess the context, rather. Yes.  Q. Well, let me just read this from your deposition. It's page 76, line 14.  Was it your understanding that that was about August 30, 2018, shortly before Tesla said that he was to be removed from the contract?  And you said, Answer: That sounds accurate.  Let me read you or let me ask you: What did Mr. Jones tell you about any interaction about between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk at the west gate of the Gigafactory at the end of August, 2018?  |

Page 558 Page 559 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 an interaction. I believe I asked if it was -from what I can remember, other sources, namely 2 2 3 if there was anything physical in nature. And 3 Jeff Jones. 4 the answer to that was no. 4 Question: What did Mr. Jones tell 5 5 I also believe I asked was there you? б anything, you know, extremely negative in nature, 6 Answer: That there was a negative 7 and I believe the answer I received was, it's 7 interaction with Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk at the 8 unknown at this time. 8 west gate. He would not go into the specifics 9 Q. And Mr. Jones told you that at the 9 around that interaction. As that was told to me, 10 same time that he was telling you that Mr. Hansen 10 Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk were the only two 11 was no longer supposed to be on the Gigafactory 11 present. 12 12 property? So even Mr. Jones did not have --13 A. I don't believe so. I believe there 13 didn't have the full scope and download of the 14 were -- I believe they were separate calls. 14 entire interaction. So once again, I was not 15 Q. Let me refer you to your deposition 15 provided the full breadth of the information at testimony again, and I'll just read you page 75, hand. 16 16 line 17 -- or line 18 through line -- 76, 17 17 Question: Was it your understanding line 17. And it's: 18 18 that that was about August 30, 2018, shortly 19 What I'm wondering is, do you 19 before Tesla said that he was to be removed from 20 remember -- did you ever discuss with Mr. Hansen 2.0 the contract? Mr. Musk arriving at the Gigafactory through the 21 21 Answer: That sounds accurate. 22 22 BY MR. WOODFIELD: west gate entrance? 23 Answer: I do not recall specifically 23 Q. Do you recall testifying that way, 24 24 interacting with Mr. Hansen on this, but I do sir? 25 25 have knowledge that this interaction occurred, A. I do. Page 560 Page 561 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 2 Q. Does that sound correct now? 2 industry practice is generally accommodated. Had 3 A. I honestly don't see a whole lot of 3 I felt at that point in time -- and again, I was difference in what I provided today. 4 4 provided cryptic information from nearly everyone 5 Can you help me identify that 5 involved, was not really provided enough 6 specific part? Maybe I can elaborate. information for me to make a -- an opinion that I 6 7 7 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Woodfield, would would be willing to jeopardize, you know, my 8 you take down your exhibit if you're not going to 8 stance within this -- within my employer for. 9 9 Okav? 10 10 However, if I had any indication that MR. WOODFIELD: Yes. Thank you. 11 Sorry, I forgot it was up. 11 there was illegal behaviors associated with the 12 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 12 request, I would not have honored it. I would 13 Q. Was Mr. Musk removed on or about --13 have automatically referred it to our HR team, 14 excuse me. Was Mr. Hansen removed on or about 14 because then it becomes, for me, a consideration 15 15 September 5th officially by USSA from the of, you know, protecting that particular employee Gigafactory as per Tesla's request? 16 from anything further retaliatory-wise on that 16 17 A. Did you say September 5th? 17 site. Q. Yes, September 4th or 5th of 2008. 18 18 If we get the client request removal 19 A. That sounds accurate. Sounds right. 19 and I feel that there is any illegal activity 20 Q. Was USSA free to say to Tesla, no, I 20 associated with that request, and we subsequently 21 want to keep -- we want to keep Mr. Hansen on 21 leave that individual on that site, that creates 22 this property. We're going to staff it however a pretty bad environment for that employee. 22 23 we would like to? 23 However, we would have done that, and, you know, asked the employee if they feel comfortable 24 A. To some degree. Generally speaking, 24 remaining at the site. If not, they are free to 25 when clients request removal, it's something that 25

Page 562 Page 563 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 frame of one month. I don't believe the date of 2 leave, and, you know, we'll pay them as normal. 2 3 But I did not get the sense with the 3 the phone recording was provided. Q. Well, Mr. Gecewich's e-mail is dated 4 information that I had been provided up to this 4 5 time that there was anything illegal with Tesla's August 3rd; correct? б request; therefore, it was honored. 6 A. Yes. 7 O. What was your understanding of why 7 O. And on September 5th -- or 4th or 8 Tesla wanted Mr. Hansen removed from the 5th, which was roughly 30 days later, Mr. Hansen 9 Gigafactory compound? 9 was officially -- Tesla asked and USSA acceded to 10 A. Yeah, so it's my understanding it was 10 his official removal from the Gigafactory site; more centered around a request to meet with HR --11 correct? 11 I believe it was HR. It could have been a blend 12 12 A. Correct. 13 of HR and Tesla employees and what I labeled to 13 Q. And that's consistent with what you be -- or what I understood to be a request to 14 prognosticated one month earlier; correct? 14 15 finalize investigations that Mr. Hansen had 15 A. To Mr. Hansen directly? worked on for Tesla, perhaps turn over 16 16 O. Sure. 17 17 information for that, to which that meeting did A. Are you referencing the phone call? 18 not occur. 18 Q. Yes, sir. 19 Q. Do you recall that roughly one month 19 A. I can't answer that unless I have the 20 earlier, you were speculating with Mr. Hansen and 2.0 date of that phone call. you were bracing him for the possibility that you Q. But regardless of the date of the 21 21 22 expected that he was going to be removed because 22 phone call, it's what you prognosticated in the 23 of the August 3rd e-mail that he sent to 23 phone call; correct? 24 Elon Musk? 24 A. I can answer that yes, correct. 25 25 A. I'm not sure I can agree to the time Q. And did you ever escalate that issue Page 564 Page 565 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 1 2 to HR? 2 provided any reasoning? 3 A. I did. 3 MS. LARGENT: Objection, vague and 4 4 Q. And what did HR do about it? ambiguous. By who? I'm not sure what we're A. Began working directly with talking about, Mr. Woodfield. 5 5 6 Gecewich -- or Gecewich. 6 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Can you rephrase your 7 7 Q. And what is your understanding question a little bit? 8 that -- of Tesla's response when Mr. Gecewich 8 MR. WOODFIELD: Yes. 9 inquired of Tesla about what was going on? 9 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 10 A. I have no understanding. I was not 10 Q. Was USSA ever provided any reasoning for Tesla's removing Mr. Hansen from the 11 present. 11 12 Q. Do you know if USSA -- ever 12 property, from the Gigafactory property? 13 13 investigating why Mr. Hansen was removed from the A. No. 14 14 Q. Have you ever been told whether Tesla Gigafactory? 15 15 cooperated with any investigation that USSA A. If they did, it would have been handled by the HR team. attempted to run into why Mr. Hansen was removed 16 16 17 Q. When you testified in your 17 from the Gigafactory property? deposition, did you respond that you were not MS. LARGENT: Objection, asked and 18 18 19 provided any reasoning? 19 answered. 20 20 A. Any reasoning as to... JUDGE HOFFMAN: I'm not sure I 21 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Can you speak up? I 21 understood the question. Would you ask the 22 22 couldn't hear your question. question again? BY MR. WOODFIELD: 23 23 MR. WOODFIELD: Yes. 24 Q. When you testified in your 24 BY MR. WOODFIELD: 25 deposition, did you respond that USSA was not 25 Q. Were you ever told whether Tesla

| 1  | Page 566<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  | 1  | Page 567<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   |
|--|---|--|--|
| 2  | cooperated in any investigation that Tesla  | 2  | been marked as Exhibit 189 for this hearing. Do  |
| 3  | that USSA attempted to run into why Mr. Hansen  | 3  | you recognize this e-mail, the second part,  |
| 4  | was removed from the Gigafactory property?  | 4  | from it says you, Matt German, to Jeff Jones?  |
| 5  | A. I was not ever told of the   | 5  | A. I do.   |
| 6  | cooperation, whether it occurred or not.  | 6  | Q. And do you see the date, September 4,   |
| 7  | MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any   | 7  | 2018?  |
| 8  | further questions for this witness.   | 8  | A. Yes.  |
| 9  | -   | 9  |  |
| 10   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: I guess this would be  |  | MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit, Alex?   |
| l  | cross-examination, then, by USSA.   | 10   | ·  |
| 11   |   | 11   | BY MS. LARGENT:  |
| 12   | EXAMINATION   | 12   | Q. Can you see the one later on, from  |
| 13   |   | 13   | Jeff Jones to you, where it says: Thanks for the   |
| 14   | BY MS. LARGENT:   | 14   | help, Matt. Let us know when he's been informed,   |
| 15   | Q. Hi, Mr. German. Thank you again  | 15   | if you could, please?  |
| 16   | A. Hello.   | 16   | A. I do see it, yes.   |
| 17   | Q for being here today, appreciate  | 17   | Q. Okay. Scroll back up.   |
| 18   | you taking your time out, even though you're not  | 18   | Can you read that e-mail to yourself,  |
| 19   | a USSA employee and still helping.  | 19   | from you to Jeff Jones on September 4th?   |
| 20   | I'd like to show you an exhibit.  | 20   | A. I'm done.   |
| 21   | MS. LARGENT: Alex, can you pull up  | 21   | Q. Does this refresh your recollection   |
| 22   | 189, please?  | 22   | at all of when you were notified by Jeff Jones   |
| 23   | Can you blow it up a tiny bit?  | 23   | that he wanted Karl Hansen removed from the Tesla  |
| 24   | BY MS. LARGENT:   | 24   | account?   |
| 25   | Q. Mr. German, taking a look at what has  | 25   | A. Maybe not of the timing. I mean, I  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  | Page 568  |  | Page 569   |
| 1  | Page 568<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  | 1  | Page 569<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   |
| 1 2  | <del>-</del>  | 1 2  | = -  |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  |  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based   | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was  | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but  | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but Q. Do you recall the phone call you got  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed? A. I believe that I attempted the same  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed? A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed? A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I believe he called me back the following morning.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?  A. I do.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed? A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I believe he called me back the following morning. Q. Okay. Do you think more than one day  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?  A. I do.  Q. And you said that there was some kind   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed? A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I believe he called me back the following morning. Q. Okay. Do you think more than one day passed between the time Jeff Jones called you and  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?  A. I do.  Q. And you said that there was some kind of a well, I don't want to put words in your  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed? A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I believe he called me back the following morning. Q. Okay. Do you think more than one day passed between the time Jeff Jones called you and the time you notified Karl?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?  A. I do.  Q. And you said that there was some kind of a well, I don't want to put words in your mouth.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed? A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I believe he called me back the following morning. Q. Okay. Do you think more than one day passed between the time Jeff Jones called you and the time you notified Karl? A. No, I do not.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?  A. I do.  Q. And you said that there was some kind of a well, I don't want to put words in your mouth.  Was there some sort of a request to  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed? A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I believe he called me back the following morning. Q. Okay. Do you think more than one day passed between the time Jeff Jones called you and the time you notified Karl? A. No, I do not. Q. So the earliest you learned about the  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?  A. I do.  Q. And you said that there was some kind of a well, I don't want to put words in your mouth.  Was there some sort of a request to move the branch that Mr or the post that   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed? A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I believe he called me back the following morning. Q. Okay. Do you think more than one day passed between the time Jeff Jones called you and the time you notified Karl? A. No, I do not. Q. So the earliest you learned about the removal request from Jeff, then, is  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?  A. I do.  Q. And you said that there was some kind of a well, I don't want to put words in your mouth.  Was there some sort of a request to move the branch that Mr or the post that Mr. Hansen was stationed at?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but  Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed?  A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I believe he called me back the following morning.  Q. Okay. Do you think more than one day passed between the time Jeff Jones called you and the time you notified Karl?  A. No, I do not.  Q. So the earliest you learned about the removal request from Jeff, then, is September 3rd?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?  A. I do.  Q. And you said that there was some kind of a well, I don't want to put words in your mouth.  Was there some sort of a request to move the branch that Mr or the post that Mr. Hansen was stationed at?  A. Yes, there was. I believe I received   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed? A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I believe he called me back the following morning. Q. Okay. Do you think more than one day passed between the time Jeff Jones called you and the time you notified Karl? A. No, I do not. Q. So the earliest you learned about the removal request from Jeff, then, is September 3rd? A. That's correct. Q. And had you heard from anyone else  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?  A. I do.  Q. And you said that there was some kind of a well, I don't want to put words in your mouth.  Was there some sort of a request to move the branch that Mr or the post that Mr. Hansen was stationed at?  A. Yes, there was. I believe I received questioning as to why he was assigned to the west gate specifically, to which I had to defer to the   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed? A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I believe he called me back the following morning. Q. Okay. Do you think more than one day passed between the time Jeff Jones called you and the time you notified Karl? A. No, I do not. Q. So the earliest you learned about the removal request from Jeff, then, is September 3rd? A. That's correct.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?  A. I do.  Q. And you said that there was some kind of a well, I don't want to put words in your mouth.  Was there some sort of a request to move the branch that Mr or the post that Mr. Hansen was stationed at?  A. Yes, there was. I believe I received questioning as to why he was assigned to the west gate specifically, to which I had to defer to the account manager. I would have no such knowledge   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but  Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed?  A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I believe he called me back the following morning.  Q. Okay. Do you think more than one day passed between the time Jeff Jones called you and the time you notified Karl?  A. No, I do not.  Q. So the earliest you learned about the removal request from Jeff, then, is September 3rd?  A. That's correct.  Q. And had you heard from anyone else prior to September 3rd that Tesla was demanding   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?  A. I do.  Q. And you said that there was some kind of a well, I don't want to put words in your mouth.  Was there some sort of a request to move the branch that Mr or the post that Mr. Hansen was stationed at?  A. Yes, there was. I believe I received questioning as to why he was assigned to the west gate specifically, to which I had to defer to the account manager. I would have no such knowledge of the specifics of that. But there was a   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but  Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed?  A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I believe he called me back the following morning.  Q. Okay. Do you think more than one day passed between the time Jeff Jones called you and the time you notified Karl?  A. No, I do not.  Q. So the earliest you learned about the removal request from Jeff, then, is September 3rd?  A. That's correct.  Q. And had you heard from anyone else prior to September 3rd that Tesla was demanding his removal?  A. No.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?  A. I do.  Q. And you said that there was some kind of a well, I don't want to put words in your mouth.  Was there some sort of a request to move the branch that Mr or the post that Mr. Hansen was stationed at?  A. Yes, there was. I believe I received questioning as to why he was assigned to the west gate specifically, to which I had to defer to the account manager. I would have no such knowledge of the specifics of that. But there was a request to not have Karl work that specific gate        |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but  Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed?  A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I believe he called me back the following morning.  Q. Okay. Do you think more than one day passed between the time Jeff Jones called you and the time you notified Karl?  A. No, I do not.  Q. So the earliest you learned about the removal request from Jeff, then, is September 3rd?  A. That's correct.  Q. And had you heard from anyone else prior to September 3rd that Tesla was demanding his removal?  A. No.  Q. At the time Jeff contacted you and | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?  A. I do.  Q. And you said that there was some kind of a well, I don't want to put words in your mouth.  Was there some sort of a request to move the branch that Mr or the post that Mr. Hansen was stationed at?  A. Yes, there was. I believe I received questioning as to why he was assigned to the west gate specifically, to which I had to defer to the account manager. I would have no such knowledge of the specifics of that. But there was a request to not have Karl work that specific gate again. |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 certainly remember the phone call. I guess based upon the time stamp, I my recollection was that it was much later than that, but  Q. Do you recall the phone call you got from Jeff Jones being the same day that you notified Karl that he was removed?  A. I believe that I attempted the same day; however, I don't believe Karl answered. I believe he called me back the following morning.  Q. Okay. Do you think more than one day passed between the time Jeff Jones called you and the time you notified Karl?  A. No, I do not.  Q. So the earliest you learned about the removal request from Jeff, then, is September 3rd?  A. That's correct.  Q. And had you heard from anyone else prior to September 3rd that Tesla was demanding his removal?  A. No.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 know that Mr. Hansen had filed an SEC complaint against Tesla?  A. No.  Q. We talked a little bit on questioning by Mr. Woodfield about an earlier incident in which you heard about some sort of interaction between Mr. Hansen and Elon Musk.  Remember that?  A. I do.  Q. And you said that there was some kind of a well, I don't want to put words in your mouth.  Was there some sort of a request to move the branch that Mr or the post that Mr. Hansen was stationed at?  A. Yes, there was. I believe I received questioning as to why he was assigned to the west gate specifically, to which I had to defer to the account manager. I would have no such knowledge of the specifics of that. But there was a request to not have Karl work that specific gate again. |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

Page 570 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3

A. No, not particularly. Generally speaking -- not even general. To give examples, when you have entry points, you know, for C-suite individuals, CEO and the such, they generally want to see a certain, you know, type of individual, you know, well put together, a different uniform, usually jacket and a tie type

10 thing. Q. Do you know if Elon Musk even knew 12 who Karl Hansen was?

thing. So, no, it's certainly not an unusual

- A. I have no idea who -- if Elon knew who Karl was or not, by face or otherwise. I was never present when they ever had an interaction, so I have no reason to think.
- Q. Have you ever heard of a client requesting that an officer be removed from a post because, let's say, the officer is rude to someone in upper management?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. Have you ever heard of a client requesting an officer be removed from a security post because a security officer didn't greet them?

Page 572 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3

Remember that?

A. I do.

- Q. Prior to that happening, do you remember him telling you that management at Tesla had reached out to him to try to schedule a meeting with him to discuss transitioning the work that he was doing, the investigation work that he was doing as a Tesla employee prior to coming to USSA?
  - A. I do.
- 12 Q. Okay. What do you remember about 13 that?
  - A. I remember Mr. Hansen flagging it, I believe for Rick McLellan and myself, and asking for guidance. And I believe I responded to the effect of, you know, it looks like they just want to close the loop on, you know, some of the work that he did as a Tesla employee, maybe get some of their info back, or if you're housing any of it, get it back.

22 Unsure as to the specifics, but I 23 think I provided instruction that, you know, go 24 ahead and attend; however, if the meeting takes a 25 different turn, excuse yourself and have them set

Page 571 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3

A. Yes.

Q. Have you ever heard of a client requesting that a security officer be removed from a post because they looked sloppy?

A. Yes.

Q. I will tell you that Mr. Hansen testified yesterday about this incident, described it as Mr. Musk driving, speeding with lights flashing, coming up to the gate to enter the facility, and that the car did not respond to a security officer that was outside the guard area so that Mr. Hansen came out and essentially kind of motioned or flagged down the car to slow down because they felt it was unsafe.

In that kind of hypothetical scenario, is it plausible in your mind that a CEO of a company, potentially in a hurry to come into a facility, would be annoyed by a security quard doing that?

- A. It's certainly plausible, yes.
- Q. You testified prior, on questioning from Mr. Woodfield, about some communications that you had with Mr. Hansen where he forwarded an e-mail that he had sent to Elon Musk.

Page 573 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1

2 that up through Rick and myself and we'll bring

3 our HR folks in and take that route.

4 For me, it seemed an entirely 5 professional and common ask, when there's any 6 kind of transfer of data.

- 7 Q. Did he respond to you when you told 8 him go ahead and attend?
  - A. I don't believe so.
- 10 O. Do you know if he went ahead and

11 attended?

- A. Yes. Yeah, he did not.
- Q. Okay. Did he tell you why?
- A. Yeah. I think to some degree. I think it was slightly cryptic as well, but that -- I think the term was security thugs have showed up, and he felt there was going to be more interrogation-based rather than a professional meeting, so...
- 20 Q. I'm going to show you Exhibit 121, 21 which Mr. Woodfield previously went over with you 22 a little bit.

23 MS. LARGENT: And specifically,

24 page 878, Alex.

(Discussion off the record.)

|  | n 504   |  | n ene   |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1  | Page 574 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   | 1  | Page 575<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  |
| 2  | THE WITNESS: I can see the exhibit.   | 2  | Which I guess would be August 3rd?  |
| 3  |   | 3  | Does that look right to you?  |
| 4  | MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down so   | 4  | A. It does.   |
| 5  | he can see the whole conversation?  | 5  | Q. Okay. And it says: Please see below  |
| 6  | BY MS. LARGENT:   | 6  | for additional contexts and requests from your  |
| 7  |   | 7  | prior conversations with our team.  |
|  | Q. Is this the conversation that you  |  | -   |
| 8  | were just describing between you and Karl?  | 8  | And then scroll above, please, to the   |
| 9  | A. Yes.   | 9  | e-mail to Matt German.  |
| 10   | MS. LARGENT: Can we switch over?  | 10   | And do you see above there, there's   |
| 11   | I'm going to use this one again, but can you  | 11   | an e-mail to you on August 1st, letting you know?   |
| 12   | switch over to Exhibit 204? 190 to 192.   | 12   | A. Yeah.  |
| 13   | Can you scroll down a little bit?   | 13   | Q. Is this the e-mail that Karl   |
| 14   | BY MS. LARGENT:   | 14   | forwarded you, asking for your advice on whether  |
| 15   | Q. All right. I'm showing you what has  | 15   | he should go to this meeting that they're trying  |
| 16   | already been introduced as Exhibit 204,   | 16   | to get him to go to?  |
| 17   | Mr. German.   | 17   | A. Yes.   |
| 18   | Do you see, in the middle of the page   | 18   | Q. And can you flip back to Exhibit 121.  |
| 19   | here, an e-mail from Sean Gouthro to  | 19   | 878.  |
| 20   | Gerhard Pretorius, cc'ing some other individuals,   | 20   | All right. And as you testified   |
| 21   | Jeff Jones, Nick Gicinto, Jake Nocon?   | 21   | previously, and he's asking if you've had a   |
| 22   | A. I see it, yes.   | 22   | chance to look at that e-mail. You tell him: Go   |
| 23   | Q. And appears to be an e-mail to Karl  | 23   | ahead and attend. Looks to me like they just  |
| 24   | saying: I've scheduled a meeting for 10:00 a.m.   | 24   | want to meet with you to finalize the work you  |
| 25   | this Friday.  | 25   | did on the cartel case and turn over any  |
|  | Page 576  |  | Page 577  |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  |
| 2  | additional info.  | 2  | this, but let's go to Exhibit 20 wait a   |
| 3  |   |  | 01115, 200 100 5 30 00 11112510 10 Halo a   |
| د ا  | Right?  | 3  | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  |
| 4  | Right? A. Correct.  | 3 4  | ·   |
| 1  | 5   |  | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  |
| 4  | A. Correct.   | 4  | minute Exhibit 204, 193.<br>All right. Can you  |
| 4<br>5   | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go   | 4 5  | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication. BY MS. LARGENT:  | 4<br>5<br>6  | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired!   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired!  Love it.   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  Back up, please.  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication. BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired! Love it.  Is that an e-mail that you sent him  | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  Back up, please.  All right, let's go back to 121.  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired! Love it.  Is that an e-mail that you sent him in response to him forwarding you the e-mail that   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  Back up, please.  All right, let's go back to 121. 879.   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired!  Love it.  Is that an e-mail that you sent him in response to him forwarding you the e-mail that he sent to Elon Musk?  | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  Back up, please.  All right, let's go back to 121.  879. BY MS. LARGENT:  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired!  Love it.  Is that an e-mail that you sent him in response to him forwarding you the e-mail that he sent to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  Back up, please.  All right, let's go back to 121.  879.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. From the text message that Mr. Hansen   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired!  Love it.  Is that an e-mail that you sent him in response to him forwarding you the e-mail that he sent to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.  Q. And that e-mail to Elon Musk was on   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  Back up, please.  All right, let's go back to 121.  879.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. From the text message that Mr. Hansen sent you on August 3rd, did you understand him to   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired! Love it.  Is that an e-mail that you sent him in response to him forwarding you the e-mail that he sent to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.  Q. And that e-mail to Elon Musk was on August 3rd; correct?   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  Back up, please.  All right, let's go back to 121.  879.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. From the text message that Mr. Hansen sent you on August 3rd, did you understand him to be telling you that he didn't go to the meeting   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired!  Love it.  Is that an e-mail that you sent him in response to him forwarding you the e-mail that he sent to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.  Q. And that e-mail to Elon Musk was on August 3rd; correct?  A. Yes.   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  Back up, please.  All right, let's go back to 121.  879.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. From the text message that Mr. Hansen sent you on August 3rd, did you understand him to be telling you that he didn't go to the meeting he was requested to attend on August 3rd, and   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired!  Love it.  Is that an e-mail that you sent him in response to him forwarding you the e-mail that he sent to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.  Q. And that e-mail to Elon Musk was on  August 3rd; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. I'd like you to read Mr. Hansen's  | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  Back up, please.  All right, let's go back to 121.  879.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. From the text message that Mr. Hansen sent you on August 3rd, did you understand him to be telling you that he didn't go to the meeting he was requested to attend on August 3rd, and that he instead sent the e-mail to Elon Musk?   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired!  Love it.  Is that an e-mail that you sent him in response to him forwarding you the e-mail that he sent to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.  Q. And that e-mail to Elon Musk was on  August 3rd; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. I'd like you to read Mr. Hansen's response to you on the same day.   | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  Back up, please.  All right, let's go back to 121.  879.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. From the text message that Mr. Hansen sent you on August 3rd, did you understand him to be telling you that he didn't go to the meeting he was requested to attend on August 3rd, and that he instead sent the e-mail to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired!  Love it.  Is that an e-mail that you sent him in response to him forwarding you the e-mail that he sent to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.  Q. And that e-mail to Elon Musk was on  August 3rd; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. I'd like you to read Mr. Hansen's response to you on the same day.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a                           | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  Back up, please.  All right, let's go back to 121.  879.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. From the text message that Mr. Hansen sent you on August 3rd, did you understand him to be telling you that he didn't go to the meeting he was requested to attend on August 3rd, and that he instead sent the e-mail to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you think that was an appropriate                                      |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication. BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired! Love it.  Is that an e-mail that you sent him in response to him forwarding you the e-mail that he sent to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.  Q. And that e-mail to Elon Musk was on August 3rd; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. I'd like you to read Mr. Hansen's response to you on the same day.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit, Alex?            | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  Back up, please.  All right, let's go back to 121.  879.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. From the text message that Mr. Hansen sent you on August 3rd, did you understand him to be telling you that he didn't go to the meeting he was requested to attend on August 3rd, and that he instead sent the e-mail to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you think that was an appropriate thing to do?                         |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired! Love it.  Is that an e-mail that you sent him in response to him forwarding you the e-mail that he sent to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.  Q. And that e-mail to Elon Musk was on August 3rd; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. I'd like you to read Mr. Hansen's response to you on the same day.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit, Alex?  A. Done. | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  Back up, please.  All right, let's go back to 121.  879.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. From the text message that Mr. Hansen sent you on August 3rd, did you understand him to be telling you that he didn't go to the meeting he was requested to attend on August 3rd, and that he instead sent the e-mail to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you think that was an appropriate thing to do?  A. No, not really, no. |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | A. Correct.  MS. LARGENT: Can we please to go 879, the next communication. BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. So it looks like this is the next text communication between you and Karl. And as you can see at the top, it says: Shots fired! Love it.  Is that an e-mail that you sent him in response to him forwarding you the e-mail that he sent to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.  Q. And that e-mail to Elon Musk was on August 3rd; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. I'd like you to read Mr. Hansen's response to you on the same day.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit, Alex?            | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | minute Exhibit 204, 193.  All right. Can you  Do you recognize this as the e-mail that Mr. Hansen forwarded you that he had e-mailed to Elon Musk?  A. I do.  MS. LARGENT: Can you scroll down a little bit farther, please?  Back up, please.  All right, let's go back to 121.  879.  BY MS. LARGENT:  Q. From the text message that Mr. Hansen sent you on August 3rd, did you understand him to be telling you that he didn't go to the meeting he was requested to attend on August 3rd, and that he instead sent the e-mail to Elon Musk?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you think that was an appropriate thing to do?                         |

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 580

Page 578

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 level or even, you know, of my level subsequently to send direct comms straight to the CEO of any organization. There's a filtration process that goes into that in every organization. Especially I didn't feel it was good taste coming from Karl in this particular scenario as being a contract employee at the time that he sent it.

- Q. And I think you testified earlier that you didn't see anything wrong with the meeting request that he had been asked to attend on August 3rd in any event.
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

- Q. Did you believe that Tesla may have reason to be annoyed with Mr. Hansen for refusing to participate in their meeting and instead escalating things to Elon Musk?
  - A. Sure. Of course.
  - Q. Did you tell him that?
- 20 A. It's likely, via the suggestion to, 21 you know, attend the meetings.
- 22 Q. When Mr. Hansen sent you the e-mail 23 that he had sent to Elon Musk, do you know 24 whether you read it?
  - A. I believe I read it throughout the

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 activity in and around the Gigafactory as well as

some potential theft of Tesla property; scrap

4

- metal, things like that. Q. And did you understand that this was stuff that Mr. Hansen observed or heard about all during the course of his employment at Tesla
  - A. Correct.

rather than USSA?

- Q. Was there any reason for him to be sharing the details of this with you as his employer at USSA?
  - A. No, not that I can think of.
- Q. Did you ever communicate to him that you really didn't want to know the details of this type of stuff from him?
- 17 A. I'm sorry, I had audio cut out. Can 18 you --
  - Q. Did you ever communicate to him that you really didn't want to hear any of the details of this type of stuff from him?
    - A. Yeah, I believe I did.

23 When things were of our client's 24 nature, we were hired, you know, to provide 25

security guard services, not to, you know,

Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 course of -- you know, there was some time elapsed. It was quite a long e-mail, and this was certainly not one -- the Gigafactory was only one piece of my pie, so it didn't get all of my time and attention.

So I digested it throughout the course of X number of even probably days.

- Q. At any time prior to Tesla asking for Mr. Hansen to be removed from their site, based on conversations, whether text or phone calls that you had with Mr. Hansen, did you understand him to be telling you that there was some sort of failure to disclose to shareholders going on at Tesla?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you understand him to be telling you that Tesla was somehow misrepresenting the value of Tesla shares to shareholders?
- 21 Q. What, if anything, did you understand 22 about what he had told you about any misconduct 23 going on at Tesla?
  - A. So to my understanding, which was very minimal, was there were concerns about drug

Page 581

Page 579

1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 2 investigate internal threat programs.

- Q. Okay. Prior to the removal request from Tesla, had you heard that Mr. Hansen had gone to the media and badmouthed Tesla?
  - A. No, I had not.
- Q. You indicated previously that when you got the call from Jeff Jones to remove Mr. Hansen from the Tesla site, you granted the request; right?
  - A. I did.
  - Q. And was that your decision to make?
- 13 A. Not necessarily. It's a common 14 practice within the security industry. There's 15 also some language in the master services agreement that affords them a certain level of 16 17 being able to execute upon those requests.
  - Q. All right. So under the terms of the service agreement with Tesla and with other clients, it's common for there to be a provision allowing the customer to request removal of any employee they don't care for.
    - A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And you recall the Tesla agreement 25 having a provision like that?

Page 583 Page 582 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 2 A. I do. 2 Q. Okay. Did your involvement with 3 Q. Okay. And I assume as a matter of 3 Mr. Hansen essentially end once he was no longer 4 client relations purposes, you generally try to 4 assigned to the Tesla account? 5 accommodate client requests? 5 A. Yes. б A. That's accurate, yes. 6 MS. LARGENT: If you could just give 7 7 Q. Did you have to go and obtain me maybe two minutes to review my notes real 8 approval from anyone at USSA to grant that 8 quick, Your Honor, that would be helpful. 9 accommodation -- I'm sorry, the removal request? 9 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. You can take 10 10 down your exhibit. A. No. (Recess taken, 10:33 a.m. to Q. And you may have already answered 11 11 12 this, and I apologize if I'm asking you again. 12 10:36 a.m. PDT) 13 13 Is the only reason that you removed Mr. Hansen JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. I think we're 14 from the Tesla account because Tesla asked you 14 ready. 15 to? 15 BY MS. LARGENT: 16 16 A. Yes. Q. All right. Mr. German, just one or 17 Q. Tesla didn't ask you to terminate 17 two more questions and I'll be finished. 18 Mr. Hansen at that time, did he? Did they? 18 Did you ever become aware that 19 A. No, they did not. 19 Mr. Hansen had gone to the media and made reports 20 Q. Okay. And, Mr. Hansen was not 20 about Tesla? 21 terminated; correct? 21 A. No. 22 A. That is correct. 22 Q. No? 23 Q. Do you know if he went on to be 23 A. At some point, well after I had left reassigned at another site? 24 the Tesla account, which would have been 24 25 March 2019. 25 A. I do not know if he did or not. Page 584 Page 585 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 2 Q. Prior to the -- getting the demand 2 Q. Afternoon it is. 3 for removal from Tesla, did you have -- had 3 So my name is Chris Robertson. You 4 Mr. Hansen ever told you that he was going to may recall me from the deposition. I also 4 5 file an SEC complaint? 5 represent Tesla and Mr. Musk in this matter. I 6 6 just have a few questions. A. No. 7 7 MS. LARGENT: Okay. I don't have Could we pull up Exhibit 1? 8 anything else. 8 And while Ms. Dunne is pulling that 9 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Let's take a up, were you aware at the time that Mr. Hansen --9 break and then we'll come back with Mr. Robertson a request was made by Tesla that Mr. Hansen was 10 10 or Ms. Dunne's examination -- cross-examination. 11 11 represented by counsel. 12 So let's go -- let's be back at ten 12 Did he ever tell you that? 13 minutes until the hour. 13 A. It appears so. In the phone call 14 (Recess taken, 10:39 a.m. to 14 that was admitted as an exhibit earlier, he does 10:51 a.m. PDT) 15 reference counsel. 15 JUDGE HOFFMAN: We are back on the 16 Q. Did Mr. Hansen ever share with you a 16 17 record. Mr. German, cross-examination will be 17 letter that was sent by Tesla's counsel to his conducted by Tesla's representatives. personal counsel on the same day, September 4, 18 18 19 -----19 that the request was made? 20 20 EXAMINATION A. No, sir. 21 21 O. So this letter --22 22 BY MR. ROBERTSON: MR. ROBERTSON: If you go up to the 23 Q. Good morning or good afternoon, 23 top, Anne. 24 depending on where you are, Mr. German. 24 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 25 A. Afternoon. Afternoon. 25 Q. Do you see this letter is from a law

| 1  | Page 586<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  | 1  | Page 587<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  |
|--|---|--|---|
| 2  | firm Hueston Hennigan?  | 2  | why they had asked for the assignment to end;   |
| 3  | Do you see that?  | 3  | correct?  |
| 4  | A. I do.  | 4  | A. That's correct.  |
| 5  | Q. Have you ever seen this letter before  | 5  | Q. Did you know on that same day,   |
| 6  | today?  | 6  | though, that Mr. Tesla provided a very specific   |
| 7  | A. No, sir.   | 7  | reason to Mr. Hansen's attorneys?   |
| 8  | MR. ROBERTSON: Let's go to the  | 8  | A. I was not aware, no.   |
| 9  | second paragraph.   | 9  | MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go to the  |
| 10   | Well, actually, let's go to the   | 10   | first page.   |
| 11   | second page, the last paragraph on the second   | 11   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 12   | page.   | 12   | Q. Were you aware at that time that   |
| 13   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:   | 13   | Tesla had discovered that Mr. Hansen had  |
| 14   | Q. So the last sentence on the second   | 14   | misappropriated confidential information from   |
| 15   | page, you see here it says this letter it   | 15   | Tesla?  |
| 16   | says: However, given what we know about his   | 16   | A. I was not aware, no.   |
| 17   | misappropriation of Tesla confidential  | 17   | Q. If we look at the second paragraph   |
| 18   | information, whether regarding to Tesla or its  | 18   | here in this letter, looking at the I think   |
| 19   | employees or contractors, I understand that Tesla   | 19   | it's the third sentence. It starts: That  |
| 20   | has asked U.S. Security to end Mr. Hansen's   | 20   | review?   |
| 21   | assignment at the Gigafactory.  | 21   | A. I see it.  |
| 22   | Do you see that?  | 22   | Q. See where it says: That review   |
| 23   | A. I do.  | 23   | revealed that Mr. Hansen has intentionally and  |
| 24   | Q. And you indicated in your testimony  | 24   | permanently deleted nearly his entire "sent"  |
| 25   | that Tesla had not provided you a specific reason   | 25   | folder from his Outlook e-mail account.   |
|  |   |  |   |
| 1  | Page 588  |  | Page 589  |
| 1  | Hansen V Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Were you aware of that at the time   | 1 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 view, have provided grounds for them to have   |
| l  |   |  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want   |
| 2  | Were you aware of that at the time  | 2  | view, have provided grounds for them to have  |
| 2 3  | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with  | 2 3  | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  | 2<br>3<br>4  | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory? A. Yes.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen? A. No, sir. Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the recorded dates and times that named individuals   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of the protected activity.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the recorded dates and times that named individuals accessed Tesla's facilities? Were you aware that  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of the protected activity.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I understand  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the recorded dates and times that named individuals accessed Tesla's facilities? Were you aware that that had been uncovered by Tesla?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of the protected activity.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I understand the objection. I'll overrule the objection and I   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the recorded dates and times that named individuals accessed Tesla's facilities? Were you aware that that had been uncovered by Tesla?  A. No, sir.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of the protected activity.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I understand the objection. I'll overrule the objection and I understood the answer from the witness.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the recorded dates and times that named individuals accessed Tesla's facilities? Were you aware that that had been uncovered by Tesla?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or documents from personnel files   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of the protected activity.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I understand the objection. I'll overrule the objection and I understood the answer from the witness.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the recorded dates and times that named individuals accessed Tesla's facilities? Were you aware that that had been uncovered by Tesla?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or documents from personnel files which display employee picture identification,  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of the protected activity.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I understand the objection. I'll overrule the objection and I understood the answer from the witness.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the recorded dates and times that named individuals accessed Tesla's facilities? Were you aware that that had been uncovered by Tesla?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or documents from personnel files which display employee picture identification, ID numbers, and personal license plate numbers,  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of the protected activity.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I understand the objection. I'll overrule the objection and I understood the answer from the witness.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you,  Your Honor.  You can take that down.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the recorded dates and times that named individuals accessed Tesla's facilities? Were you aware that that had been uncovered by Tesla?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or documents from personnel files which display employee picture identification, ID numbers, and personal license plate numbers, were you aware of that?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of the protected activity.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I understand the objection. I'll overrule the objection and I understood the answer from the witness.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  You can take that down. Let's pull up Exhibit 206.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the recorded dates and times that named individuals accessed Tesla's facilities? Were you aware that that had been uncovered by Tesla?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or documents from personnel files which display employee picture identification, ID numbers, and personal license plate numbers, were you aware of that?  A. No, sir.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of the protected activity.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I understand the objection. I'll overrule the objection and I understood the answer from the witness.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you,  Your Honor.  You can take that down.  Let's pull up Exhibit 206.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the recorded dates and times that named individuals accessed Tesla's facilities? Were you aware that that had been uncovered by Tesla?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or documents from personnel files which display employee picture identification, ID numbers, and personal license plate numbers, were you aware of that?  A. No, sir.  Q. And photos of facility parking lots   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of the protected activity.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I understand the objection. I'll overrule the objection and I understood the answer from the witness.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you,  Your Honor.  You can take that down.  Let's pull up Exhibit 206.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. German, I'm going to show you   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the recorded dates and times that named individuals accessed Tesla's facilities? Were you aware that that had been uncovered by Tesla?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or documents from personnel files which display employee picture identification, ID numbers, and personal license plate numbers, were you aware of that?  A. No, sir.  Q. And photos of facility parking lots taken from Tesla security cameras, were you aware   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of the protected activity.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I understand the objection. I'll overrule the objection and I understood the answer from the witness.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you,  Your Honor.  You can take that down.  Let's pull up Exhibit 206.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. German, I'm going to show you what's been identified as Exhibit 206. It's a   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the recorded dates and times that named individuals accessed Tesla's facilities? Were you aware that that had been uncovered by Tesla?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or documents from personnel files which display employee picture identification, ID numbers, and personal license plate numbers, were you aware of that?  A. No, sir.  Q. And photos of facility parking lots taken from Tesla security cameras, were you aware that that material had been sent by Mr. Hansen to                                     | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of the protected activity.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I understand the objection. I'll overrule the objection and I understood the answer from the witness.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  You can take that down.  Let's pull up Exhibit 206. BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. German, I'm going to show you what's been identified as Exhibit 206. It's a document that you were shown in your direct  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the recorded dates and times that named individuals accessed Tesla's facilities? Were you aware that that had been uncovered by Tesla?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or documents from personnel files which display employee picture identification, ID numbers, and personal license plate numbers, were you aware of that?  A. No, sir.  Q. And photos of facility parking lots taken from Tesla security cameras, were you aware that that material had been sent by Mr. Hansen to his personal Gmail account?         | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of the protected activity.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I understand the objection. I'll overrule the objection and I understood the answer from the witness.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  You can take that down.  Let's pull up Exhibit 206. BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. German, I'm going to show you what's been identified as Exhibit 206. It's a document that you were shown in your direct testimony under questioning by Mr. Woodfield.                                |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Were you aware of that at the time that the instruction was given to USSA with regard to Mr. Hansen?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or that on June 18th, Mr. Hansen forwarded numerous Tesla internal documents to his personal Gmail account, including internal security badging records which display the recorded dates and times that named individuals accessed Tesla's facilities? Were you aware that that had been uncovered by Tesla?  A. No, sir.  Q. Or documents from personnel files which display employee picture identification, ID numbers, and personal license plate numbers, were you aware of that?  A. No, sir.  Q. And photos of facility parking lots taken from Tesla security cameras, were you aware that that material had been sent by Mr. Hansen to his personal Gmail account?  A. No. | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | view, have provided grounds for them to have called USSA and indicated they did not want Mr. Hansen assigned back to the Gigafactory?  A. Yes.  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor. This is all going to sort of a speculative argument because it's all going to posed questions and completely skipping all of the protected activity.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, I understand the objection. I'll overrule the objection and I understood the answer from the witness.  MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you,  Your Honor.  You can take that down.  Let's pull up Exhibit 206.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. Mr. German, I'm going to show you what's been identified as Exhibit 206. It's a document that you were shown in your direct testimony under questioning by Mr. Woodfield.  This may take us a second. |

| 1  | Page 590<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  | 1  | Page 591<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   |
|--|---|--|--|
| 2  | So I'm looking at this paragraph,   | 2  | A. Yes.  |
| 3  | Mr. German.   | 3  | O. So these were three individuals that  |
| 4  | MR. ROBERTSON: And we can bring it  | 4  | were identified as potentially either not being  |
| 5  | up a little bit, Anne, so you can read it.  | 5  | part of the RIF or being in this special   |
| 6  | BY MR. ROBERTSON:   | 6  | category.  |
| 7  | Q. This was an e-mail that was forwarded  | 7  | Am I fair in saying that?  |
| 8  | to you by Mr. Gouthro; correct?   | 8  | A. That's fair, yes.   |
| 9  | A. Yes, sir.  | 9  | Q. Okay. And did all three of these  |
| 10   | Q. Okay. In this e-mail, it says in   | 10   | individuals end up being part of the RIF and come  |
| 11   | the body of the e-mail it says: Karl has 20-plus  | 11   | over to USSA?  |
| 12   | years of FBI investigation background.  | 12   | A. I don't recall. There was a   |
| 13   | Do you see that?  | 13   | basically a 50/50 split of the individuals that  |
| 14   | A. I do.  | 14   | Tesla provided to us that they would like us to  |
| 15   | Q. Did Mr. Hansen ever tell you that he   | 15   | make offers to.  |
| 16   | had an FBI investigation background?  | 16   | 50/50 being they either completely   |
| 17   | A. I don't recall anything verbal;  | 17   | left involvement with Tesla and/or USSA or they  |
| 18   | however, I do believe it is it lives in his   | 18   | stayed on board and came on with us.   |
| 19   | resumé, which I did testify to receiving.   | 19   | The specific names, I cannot recall.   |
| 20   | Q. Do you know whether Mr. Hansen has   | 20   | Q. Okay. I guess the simpler question  |
| 21   | ever worked for the FBI?  | 21   | is, but Mr. Hansen wasn't alone in what was  |
| 22   | A. I don't know.  | 22   | happening. There were a number of individuals  |
| 23   | Q. There are three individuals in the A,  | 23   | involved; correct?   |
| 24   | B, and C, below. Do you see that? Ivan  | 24   | A. That is correct, yes.   |
| 25   | Garcia-Flores, James Nolle, and Karl Hansen?  | 25   | MR. ROBERTSON: As long as we're  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
| 1  | Page 592 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   | 1  | Page 593<br>Hansen v Flon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   |
| 1 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  | 1 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.   | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3<br>U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a  |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205. BY MR. ROBERTSON:   | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3<br>U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a<br>different contract, if you will.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the  | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down, Anne.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down, Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down, Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down,  Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS,  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down, Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.  Am I saying that right?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down,  Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.  Am I saying that right?  A. I imagine so.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down,  Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?  A. I do.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.  Am I saying that right?  A. I imagine so.  Q. Did you have any interactions with   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down, Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?  A. I do.  Q. Did you have any visibility as to the   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.  Am I saying that right?  A. I imagine so.  Q. Did you have any interactions with Mr. Wiebke?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down,  Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?  A. I do.  Q. Did you have any visibility as to the specific terms of the contract with either UPS,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.  Am I saying that right?  A. I imagine so.  Q. Did you have any interactions with Mr. Wiebke?  A. Very, very minimal. Scott was a   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down,  Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?  A. I do.  Q. Did you have any visibility as to the specific terms of the contract with either UPS, Greyhound, or Walmart?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.  Am I saying that right?  A. I imagine so.  Q. Did you have any interactions with Mr. Wiebke?  A. Very, very minimal. Scott was a local, either operations manager or a branch  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down, Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?  A. I do.  Q. Did you have any visibility as to the specific terms of the contract with either UPS, Greyhound, or Walmart?  A. No.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.  Am I saying that right?  A. I imagine so.  Q. Did you have any interactions with Mr. Wiebke?  A. Very, very minimal. Scott was a local, either operations manager or a branch manager in the Reno market.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down, Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?  A. I do.  Q. Did you have any visibility as to the specific terms of the contract with either UPS, Greyhound, or Walmart?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether the opportunities  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.  Am I saying that right?  A. I imagine so.  Q. Did you have any interactions with Mr. Wiebke?  A. Very, very minimal. Scott was a local, either operations manager or a branch manager in the Reno market.  Q. Okay. And it looks to me on this   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down,  Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?  A. I do.  Q. Did you have any visibility as to the specific terms of the contract with either UPS, Greyhound, or Walmart?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether the opportunities that any or all of those would have presented,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.  Am I saying that right?  A. I imagine so.  Q. Did you have any interactions with  Mr. Wiebke?  A. Very, very minimal. Scott was a local, either operations manager or a branch manager in the Reno market.  Q. Okay. And it looks to me on this document, if we go up, that you were blind copied  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down, Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?  A. I do.  Q. Did you have any visibility as to the specific terms of the contract with either UPS, Greyhound, or Walmart?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether the opportunities that any or all of those would have presented, do you know what the pay rates would have been or   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.  Am I saying that right?  A. I imagine so.  Q. Did you have any interactions with Mr. Wiebke?  A. Very, very minimal. Scott was a local, either operations manager or a branch manager in the Reno market.  Q. Okay. And it looks to me on this   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down,  Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?  A. I do.  Q. Did you have any visibility as to the specific terms of the contract with either UPS, Greyhound, or Walmart?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether the opportunities that any or all of those would have presented,   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.  Am I saying that right?  A. I imagine so.  Q. Did you have any interactions with Mr. Wiebke?  A. Very, very minimal. Scott was a local, either operations manager or a branch manager in the Reno market.  Q. Okay. And it looks to me on this document, if we go up, that you were blind copied on it?  A. Yes.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down,  Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?  A. I do.  Q. Did you have any visibility as to the specific terms of the contract with either UPS, Greyhound, or Walmart?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether the opportunities that any or all of those would have presented, do you know what the pay rates would have been or what the available shift hours would have been?  A. No.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.  Am I saying that right? A. I imagine so. Q. Did you have any interactions with Mr. Wiebke? A. Very, very minimal. Scott was a local, either operations manager or a branch manager in the Reno market. Q. Okay. And it looks to me on this document, if we go up, that you were blind copied on it? A. Yes. Q. So do you remember some exchange with   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down, Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?  A. I do.  Q. Did you have any visibility as to the specific terms of the contract with either UPS, Greyhound, or Walmart?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether the opportunities that any or all of those would have presented, do you know what the pay rates would have been or what the available shift hours would have been?  A. No.  Q. And are you aware that Mr. Hansen   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.  Am I saying that right?  A. I imagine so.  Q. Did you have any interactions with  Mr. Wiebke?  A. Very, very minimal. Scott was a local, either operations manager or a branch manager in the Reno market.  Q. Okay. And it looks to me on this document, if we go up, that you were blind copied on it?  A. Yes.  Q. So do you remember some exchange with Karl Hansen about opportunities that USSA might                                      | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down, Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?  A. I do.  Q. Did you have any visibility as to the specific terms of the contract with either UPS, Greyhound, or Walmart?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether the opportunities that any or all of those would have presented, do you know what the pay rates would have been or what the available shift hours would have been?  A. No.  Q. And are you aware that Mr. Hansen didn't take any of these opportunities, but   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205. BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence. If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke. Am I saying that right? A. I imagine so. Q. Did you have any interactions with Mr. Wiebke? A. Very, very minimal. Scott was a local, either operations manager or a branch manager in the Reno market. Q. Okay. And it looks to me on this document, if we go up, that you were blind copied on it? A. Yes. Q. So do you remember some exchange with Karl Hansen about opportunities that USSA might have for him after the request came from Tesla? | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down, Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?  A. I do.  Q. Did you have any visibility as to the specific terms of the contract with either UPS, Greyhound, or Walmart?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether the opportunities that any or all of those would have presented, do you know what the pay rates would have been or what the available shift hours would have been?  A. No.  Q. And are you aware that Mr. Hansen   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 here, let's go to 205.  BY MR. ROBERTSON:  Q. So, Mr. German, I've put up on the screen Exhibit 205. I believe it's already in evidence.  If we could go down. And this is, appears to be an exchange in September of '18, and it's from Scott Wiebke.  Am I saying that right?  A. I imagine so.  Q. Did you have any interactions with  Mr. Wiebke?  A. Very, very minimal. Scott was a local, either operations manager or a branch manager in the Reno market.  Q. Okay. And it looks to me on this document, if we go up, that you were blind copied on it?  A. Yes.  Q. So do you remember some exchange with Karl Hansen about opportunities that USSA might                                      | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  U.S. Security employee at a different facility, a different contract, if you will.  MR. ROBERTSON: And let's go down,  Anne.  Q. And here, in this e-mail, there are three it looks like there's three opportunities that are identified: One is UPS, one is Greyhound, and one is Walmart.  Do you see those?  A. I do.  Q. Did you have any visibility as to the specific terms of the contract with either UPS, Greyhound, or Walmart?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether the opportunities that any or all of those would have presented, do you know what the pay rates would have been or what the available shift hours would have been?  A. No.  Q. And are you aware that Mr. Hansen didn't take any of these opportunities, but instead left Nevada through early October? Were |

Page 594 Page 595 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 2 Q. Again, you made efforts to try to 2 A. That is correct. 3 transition him into another position once the 3 Q. And if we go to the -- it's 4 request came from Tesla that he not be at Tesla? Bates 589. It's the signature page. Page 14 of 4 5 the document. Just keep going down. A. Yeah, I'm sorry, could you restate, 5 6 please? 6 And there's a signature here by 7 7 Q. Yeah, I'm just saying you -- in someone named M. Blake Beach. 8 addition to Mr. Wiebke, you also were making 8 Do you know who that is? 9 efforts to try to find another opportunity for 9 A. I do, yes. 10 Mr. Hansen; is that fair? 10 Q. Who is that? 11 A. He was the senior vice president of 11 A. That's fair, yes. MR. ROBERTSON: Let's pull up 12 the national accounts group for U.S. Security 12 13 Exhibit 150. 13 Associates. 14 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 14 MR. ROBERTSON: And then if we go to 15 Q. Mr. German, I'm pulling up what's 15 the next page, Anne. Just one down. At the top. been identified as Exhibit 150. Again, this is 16 16 There you go. BY MR. ROBERTSON: 17 already in evidence. 17 18 I promise not to torture you with 18 Q. And then here it says Annex 1 -19 this document, since it's long and small print. 19 Additional Service Terms. 20 But at the top it says Tesla, Inc. 20 Do you see that? 21 Master Services Agreement. Do you see that? 21 A. I do. 22 A. I do. 22 Q. And so is this -- when you talked 23 Q. Okay. And this would be the 23 about an addendum that would have to be agreement that would govern the relationship negotiated between Tesla and USSA, is this the 24 24 25 25 between Tesla and USSA; correct? type of addendum that would be like an annex or Page 596 Page 597 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 2 an attachment to the agreement? 2 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 3 A. Correct, yes. 3 Q. I'm pulling up what's been identified 4 Q. And I just want to be clear. This 4 as joint Exhibit 151, Mr. German. 5 sort of investigator role, that would be an 5 And this appears to be a rate 6 investigator, not security guard, that addendum 6 addendum. Do you see that? 7 7 never got signed; correct? A. I do. 8 A. Correct. 8 Q. Were you involved at all in the 9 Q. So there never was a position ever 9 negotiation of any of the rates between Tesla and 10 created that -- between Tesla contractually with USSA under the master services agreement? 10 11 USSA for that position that was being discussed; 11 A. Yes, to a degree. 12 correct? 12 Can you scroll down for me, please? 13 13 A. The finality -- or the final piece of Q. Sure. it never occurred, no. 14 A. Yeah. So these were the original 14 15 15 Q. And in any addendum that you've ever rates that were agreed to via the MSA. There seen in the entire time you worked at USSA, is were subsequent rate markets that were 16 16 17 there ever a specific employee identified in an 17 established with approval from Jeff Jones that addendum or is it a job position that then USSA highlight the elevated rates that we've seen 18 18 19 fills? 19 throughout the course of this, the \$27 an hour 20 20 A. Position that we fill. for a supervisor, the 19.80 for a security 21 Q. All right. Thank you. 21 officer. 22 MR. ROBERTSON: Can we pull up 151? 22 So there was an entire separate 23 And I don't believe this is actually 23 negotiation that took place either just prior to 24 in evidence, so I'm going to establish 24 contract initiation or slightly thereafter. 25 foundation, hopefully, and we can move to admit. 25 Q. Okay. And here for Nevada, it looks

|  | T   |  | n F00   |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1  | Page 598 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   | 1  | Page 599 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   |
| 2  | like there's a \$16.50 rate.  | 2  | it didn't want Mr. Hansen on the property   |
| 3  | Do you see that?  | 3  | anymore, do you know what positions he took from  |
| 4  | A. I do.  | 4  | the standpoint of pay rate or available hours or  |
| 5  | Q. Do you know what Mr. Hansen was  | 5  | overtime? Do you have any visibility on any of  |
| 6  | making when he was a Tesla employee?  | 6  | that?   |
| 7  | A. I can't recall it. I know it was   | 7  | A. I do not.  |
| 8  | it was told to me at some point, but I do not   | 8  | Q. Okay.  |
| 9  | recall what it was.   | 9  | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Do you move to admit   |
| 10   | Q. If it was 16.50 and I'll represent   | 10   | 151?  |
| 11   | that to you and then there were negotiated  | 11   | MR. ROBERTSON: Oh, yes, Your Honor.   |
| 12   | higher rates, isn't it true that by moving to   | 12   | Thank you. Yes. Sorry. Move to admit 151.   |
| 13   | USSA, Mr. Hansen actually did better pay wise at  | 13   | MR. WOODFIELD: No objection.  |
| 14   | USSA than he was doing at Tesla?  | 14   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: 151 is in.   |
| 15   | A. I think it's fair to speculate that.   | 15   | (Whereupon, Exhibit 151 was   |
| 16   | Again, keeping consistent, it depends on the  | 16   | received.)  |
| 17   | hours worked.   | 17   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 18   | O. Right. Because you could go to a   | 18   | Q. Mr. German, Mr. Woodfield, during  |
| 19   | site and maybe have a higher rate but work fewer  | 19   | your direct, noted that you had been previously   |
| 20   | hours, and then you're not going to make as much  | 20   | deposed.  |
| 21   | as if you, you know maybe at a lower rate have  | 21   | MR. ROBERTSON: You can take the   |
| 22   | better hours or better shifts; is that fair?  | 22   | document down, Anne.  |
| 23   | A. That's fair.   | 23   | BY MR. ROBERTSON:   |
| 24   | Q. And with any of these assignment that  | 24   | Q. And then he read you some excerpts   |
| 25   | Mr. Hansen had after Tesla instructed USSA that   | 25   | from the deposition, one of which was this  |
|  | rii. Rangeri Rad alter Testa Instructed Oppa Chat   | 25   | Tion the deposition, one of whiteh was this   |
|  |   |  |   |
| 1  | Page 600  | 1  | Page 601  |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this  | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 your deposition?   |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported  | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 your deposition? A. I do.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.   | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 your deposition? A. I do. Q. Would that be inconsistent with the   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 your deposition? A. I do. Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 your deposition? A. I do. Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 your deposition?  A. I do. Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 your deposition?  A. I do. Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 your deposition?  A. I do.  Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 your deposition?  A. I do.  Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper,  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated that, for example, that there was a driver in the  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 your deposition?  A. I do. Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper, Your Honor. I mean, this is all hearsay to begin  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated that, for example, that there was a driver in the car with Mr. Musk?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 your deposition?  A. I do. Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection, Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper, Your Honor. I mean, this is all hearsay to begin with.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated that, for example, that there was a driver in the car with Mr. Musk?  Did you know that?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  your deposition?  A. I do.  Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper,  Your Honor. I mean, this is all hearsay to begin with.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated that, for example, that there was a driver in the car with Mr. Musk?  Did you know that?  A. I did not.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  your deposition?  A. I do.  Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper,  Your Honor. I mean, this is all hearsay to begin with.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled.  MR. ROBERTSON: It would be hearsay   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated that, for example, that there was a driver in the car with Mr. Musk?  Did you know that?  A. I did not.  Q. Did you know that there was not just   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  your deposition?  A. I do.  Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper,  Your Honor. I mean, this is all hearsay to begin with.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled.  MR. ROBERTSON: It would be hearsay within hearsay. And what I'm doing is I'm   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated that, for example, that there was a driver in the car with Mr. Musk?  Did you know that?  A. I did not.  Q. Did you know that there was not just Mr. Hansen but another security guard at the  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  your deposition?  A. I do.  Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper,  Your Honor. I mean, this is all hearsay to begin with.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled.  MR. ROBERTSON: It would be hearsay within hearsay. And what I'm doing is I'm impeaching the quite frankly, the hearsay to  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated that, for example, that there was a driver in the car with Mr. Musk?  Did you know that?  A. I did not.  Q. Did you know that there was not just Mr. Hansen but another security guard at the security gate?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  your deposition?  A. I do.  Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper,  Your Honor. I mean, this is all hearsay to begin with.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled.  MR. ROBERTSON: It would be hearsay within hearsay. And what I'm doing is I'm impeaching the quite frankly, the hearsay to the extent that Mr. Woodfield is trying to   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated that, for example, that there was a driver in the car with Mr. Musk?  Did you know that?  A. I did not.  Q. Did you know that there was not just Mr. Hansen but another security guard at the security gate?  A. No, sir.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  your deposition?  A. I do.  Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper,  Your Honor. I mean, this is all hearsay to begin with.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled.  MR. ROBERTSON: It would be hearsay within hearsay. And what I'm doing is I'm impeaching the quite frankly, the hearsay to the extent that Mr. Woodfield is trying to attribute this statement to Mr. Jones.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated that, for example, that there was a driver in the car with Mr. Musk?  Did you know that?  A. I did not.  Q. Did you know that there was not just Mr. Hansen but another security guard at the security gate?  A. No, sir.  Q. And in your testimony which was read   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  your deposition?  A. I do.  Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper,  Your Honor. I mean, this is all hearsay to begin with.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled.  MR. ROBERTSON: It would be hearsay within hearsay. And what I'm doing is I'm impeaching the quite frankly, the hearsay to the extent that Mr. Woodfield is trying to attribute this statement to Mr. Jones.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Sure. I'll overrule  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated that, for example, that there was a driver in the car with Mr. Musk?  Did you know that?  A. I did not.  Q. Did you know that there was not just Mr. Hansen but another security guard at the security gate?  A. No, sir.  Q. And in your testimony which was read into the record and I want to read what you   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  your deposition?  A. I do.  Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper,  Your Honor. I mean, this is all hearsay to begin with.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled.  MR. ROBERTSON: It would be hearsay within hearsay. And what I'm doing is I'm impeaching the quite frankly, the hearsay to the extent that Mr. Woodfield is trying to attribute this statement to Mr. Jones.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Sure. I'll overrule the objection.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated that, for example, that there was a driver in the car with Mr. Musk?  Did you know that?  A. I did not.  Q. Did you know that there was not just Mr. Hansen but another security guard at the security gate?  A. No, sir.  Q. And in your testimony which was read into the record and I want to read what you said you said: He would not go into the   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  your deposition?  A. I do.  Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper,  Your Honor. I mean, this is all hearsay to begin with.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled.  MR. ROBERTSON: It would be hearsay within hearsay. And what I'm doing is I'm impeaching the quite frankly, the hearsay to the extent that Mr. Woodfield is trying to attribute this statement to Mr. Jones.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Sure. I'll overrule the objection.  And answer if you can.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated that, for example, that there was a driver in the car with Mr. Musk?  Did you know that?  A. I did not.  Q. Did you know that there was not just Mr. Hansen but another security guard at the security gate?  A. No, sir.  Q. And in your testimony which was read into the record and I want to read what you said you said: He would not go into the specifics this is Mr. Jones around that   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  your deposition?  A. I do.  Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper,  Your Honor. I mean, this is all hearsay to begin with.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled.  MR. ROBERTSON: It would be hearsay within hearsay. And what I'm doing is I'm impeaching the quite frankly, the hearsay to the extent that Mr. Woodfield is trying to attribute this statement to Mr. Jones.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Sure. I'll overrule the objection.  And answer if you can.  A. Would you mind restating the                |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated that, for example, that there was a driver in the car with Mr. Musk?  Did you know that?  A. I did not.  Q. Did you know that there was not just Mr. Hansen but another security guard at the security gate?  A. No, sir.  Q. And in your testimony which was read into the record and I want to read what you said you said: He would not go into the specifics this is Mr. Jones around that interaction. As it was told to me, Mr. Hansen | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  your deposition?  A. I do.  Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper,  Your Honor. I mean, this is all hearsay to begin with.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled.  MR. ROBERTSON: It would be hearsay within hearsay. And what I'm doing is I'm impeaching the quite frankly, the hearsay to the extent that Mr. Woodfield is trying to attribute this statement to Mr. Jones.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Sure. I'll overrule the objection.  And answer if you can.  A. Would you mind restating the question, sir? |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 conversation with Jeff Jones about this interaction with Mr this alleged or purported interaction between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Musk.  Do you recall that?  A. I do.  Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Hansen's sworn deposition testimony about what occurred?  A. I have not.  Q. Did you know that Mr. Hansen stated that, for example, that there was a driver in the car with Mr. Musk?  Did you know that?  A. I did not.  Q. Did you know that there was not just Mr. Hansen but another security guard at the security gate?  A. No, sir.  Q. And in your testimony which was read into the record and I want to read what you said you said: He would not go into the specifics this is Mr. Jones around that   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  your deposition?  A. I do.  Q. Would that be inconsistent with the fact that there were two security guards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?  MR. WOODFIELD: Objection,  Your Honor, he's asking to opine on another witness's testimony. It's improper.  MR. ROBERTSON: It's not improper,  Your Honor. I mean, this is all hearsay to begin with.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled.  MR. ROBERTSON: It would be hearsay within hearsay. And what I'm doing is I'm impeaching the quite frankly, the hearsay to the extent that Mr. Woodfield is trying to attribute this statement to Mr. Jones.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Sure. I'll overrule the objection.  And answer if you can.  A. Would you mind restating the                |

Page 603 Page 602 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 2 do it as well. 2 you; it was also Ms. Ferrua? 3 3 A. That, I cannot be sure of. Jeff was (Whereupon, the following testimony the only one who spoke on the phone. I don't 4 was read by the court reporter.) 4 5 "OUESTION: Would that be 5 know if anybody else was on the phone as well. inconsistent with the fact that there were two б б But I do recall Jeff making the statement of, you 7 security quards and a driver in Mr. Musk's car?" 7 know, Let myself and Jenna know when it's done. 8 (End of readback.) 8 Q. And did you know at the time that 9 A. Yes, it would be inconsistent. 9 Ms. Ferrua was with Tesla human resources? 10 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 10 11 Q. And just a couple more questions, 11 Q. And when a request like the one that 12 12 was made, is made, is it common in your Mr. German. 13 13 Mr. German, did you ever have any experience to have someone from HR involved? 14 interactions with someone at Tesla named Jenna 14 A. Yes. 15 Ferrua? 15 MR. ROBERTSON: Let me just check my 16 notes real quick. I think I'm done. 16 A. Yes, very brief. 17 17 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Q. Okay. When you say "very brief," what interactions and when, if you can recall? 18 18 Q. Oh, one other thing. 19 The only interaction I had with Jenna 19 Again, in your deposition, 20 was centered around the notification of the 20 Mr. German, do you recall that you were asked a request for Tesla to remove Karl from the site, specific question about whether Tesla ever made a 21 21 22 and then a request from Jeff and Jenna for me to 22 request that Mr. Hansen not be in a supervisory 23 send them an e-mail when it had been done. 23 role? 24 Q. So you do recall that that occurred. 24 Do you recall being asked that 25 25 So it wasn't just Jeff Jones that reached out to question? Page 605 Page 604 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 2 A. Not directly. I think they 2 Do you recall, Mr. German, in your 3 questioned if I wanted -- if I really wanted him 3 deposition testimony being asked the question, 4 4 in a supervisory role, but not necessarily to the quote, Were you ever told by Tesla that 5 extent of them trying to block it, if you will. 5 Mr. Hansen was not to be in a supervisory role at 6 Q. Right. Well, let me just read -- the 6 the Tesla Gigafactory? 7 7 question and answer was: Were you ever told Do you remember being asked that 8 by --8 question? 9 MR. WOODFIELD: There's impeachment 9 A. I'm sorry, I do not. 10 and then there's just reading the witness's --BY MR. ROBERTSON: 10 MR. ROBERTSON: That's what you did. 11 11 Q. Okay. Do you remember answering: I 12 So I was just doing the same. 12 do not believe so, no? 13 MR. WOODFIELD: No, I asked him a 13 A. If I don't remember the question, 14 question, I didn't just say, hey, do you remember 14 it's likely I won't remember the answer. 15 Q. Given that, if I -- well, do you in 15 your deposition? Let me read you some deposition 16 16 fact recall ever being told by Tesla that testimony. 17 JUDGE HOFFMAN: If you all are going 17 Mr. Hansen was not to be in a supervisory role? to make objections, I assume those objections are 18 18 19 to me. I'd appreciate an opportunity to get a 19 MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. Thank you. 20 word in edgewise. 20 That's all I have. Thank you. 21 I understood the question to be 21 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Let's see 22 laying a foundation for the question that was 22 here. Redirect by Mr. Woodfield. 23 coming, and so I'm going to overrule the 23 MR. WOODFIELD: Yes, Your Honor. 24 objection and allow the question. 24 25 MR. ROBERTSON: The question was: 25

```
Page 607
                                                Page 606
1
        Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3
                                                         1
                                                                 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3
2
                                                         2
                                                             ago, when you were talking with him in September
3
                        EXAMINATION
                                                         3
                                                             of 2018, might that refresh your recollection?
                        _____
                                                                    A. I would suppose so. Would we have
 4
                                                         4
 5
    BY MR. WOODFIELD:
                                                         5
                                                             the date for that clip?
 б
            Q. Mr. German, there has been a lot of
                                                         6
                                                                    Q. It would be in September of 2018.
7
     discussion about Mr. Musk coming through the west
                                                         7
                                                                         And I assure you, it's not too long,
8
     gate of the Gigafactory on August 30th.
                                                         8
                                                             because USSA played it for us and they thought it
9
                Do you remember a discussion at the
                                                         9
                                                             was reasonable at that time.
10
     end of August of 2018 that you might have had
                                                        10
                                                                         So hold on one second.
    with Mr. Hansen where he might have told you what
                                                                         (Tape played. )
11
                                                        11
    he thinks -- he thought occurred?
                                                        12
12
                                                                        Mr. Hansen: If you can hear me, I
13
           A. I do not recall.
                                                        13
                                                             can hear you. Go ahead, my friend.
                                                        14
14
            Q. Did he tell you about any event where
                                                                        Mr. German: Okay. Let me preface
15
    he thought that Mr. Musk went through the gate
                                                        15
                                                             this with saying I have to get through a business
     and told you that it occurred?
                                                             piece first and then I have a personal piece at
16
                                                        16
17
            A. No, I do not recall.
                                                        17
                                                             the end of it. Okay?
18
            Q. Do you recall having a telephone
                                                        18
                                                                        Mr. Hansen: Sounds good.
19
     conversation with him where he told you about it
                                                        19
                                                                        Mr. German: So business, you are
20
     and you acknowledged that you were aware of it?
                                                        20
                                                             effectively removed via client request from the
21
           A. I do not.
                                                        21
                                                             Tesla site. I will not go into details. I'm
22
            Q. If I played you a video -- a tape
                                                        22
                                                             sure you are already aware, a letter has been
23
    recording of you acknowledging a -- him talking
                                                        23
                                                             populated from Tesla to both yourself and your
24
    about when he talked to you about an event where
                                                        24
                                                             lawyers. I will let that take its course. All
                                                        25
25
    Elon Musk went through the gate a couple of weeks
                                                             right?
                                                Page 608
                                                                                                        Page 609
1
        Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3
                                                                 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3
                                                         1
2
                Now moving into the business piece
                                                         2
                                                                        Mr. German: Oh, yeah.
 3
    now -- or the personal piece. I'm working with
                                                         3
                                                                        Mr. Hansen: Yeah. Yeah. Okay.
 4
     the region right now to reassign you to another
                                                         4
                                                                        And I haven't -- I haven't seen the
 5
     one of our accounts but keep your rate the same.
                                                         5
                                                             letter. Do you have --
 6
     So as U.S. Security Associates, we will eat
                                                         6
                                                                         (Tape ended.)
7
                                                         7
     whatever marginal difference the contractual
                                                             BY MR. WOODFIELD:
8
     agreement pay rates are for your new account --
                                                         8
                                                                    Q. Now, that is in evidence, but when
9
                                                         9
                                                             Mr. Musk [sic] spoke to you, and that, I believe,
                Mr. Hansen: Okay.
10
                                                             was in a call you had with him on September 4,
                Mr. German:
                            -- and keep you at your
                                                        10
11
                                                        11
                                                             2018, and he said, you heard the piece about
     current rate.
12
                Mr. Hansen: Wow. I appreciate that.
                                                        12
                                                             Elon Musk coming through the gate last week, and
13
    Can't ask for more than that as I need to stay
                                                        13
                                                             you said, oh, yeah, were you speaking to him
     employed. And holy shit --
                                                        14
                                                             honestly?
14
15
                Mr. German: I'm not going to go into
                                                        15
                                                                        MR. ROBERTSON:
                                                                                         Objection.
                                                        16
                                                                         JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yes, what's the
16
     details on that.
17
                Mr. Hansen:
                             Okay.
                                                        17
                                                             objection?
18
                Mr. German: But you know who I am
                                                        18
                                                                        MR. ROBERTSON: The objection is that
19
     and what I'm about.
                                                        19
                                                             Mr. Woodfield said when he had a conversation
20
                Mr. Hansen: Absolutely. Absolutely.
                                                        20
                                                             with Mr. Musk.
21
                I didn't -- I didn't know any of
                                                        21
                                                                        MR. WOODFIELD: Excuse me. It was
22
     this, actually. I mean, I suspected something
                                                        22
                                                             Mr. Hansen.
23
    was coming down the road, quite honestly. You
                                                        23
                                                             BY MR. WOODFIELD:
24
    know, you heard the piece about Elon Musk coming
                                                        24
                                                                    Q. When you were speaking with
25
     through the gate last week. I'm sure he --
                                                             Mr. Hansen in that recording, and Mr. Hansen said
                                                        25
```

| 1  | Page 610<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   | 1  | Page 611 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   |
|--|--|--|---|
| 2  | to you, you heard about the event when Mr. Musk  | 2  | that you worked for Tesla?  |
| 3  | came through the gate last week, and you said,   | 3  | A. No, not for.   |
| 4  | oh, yeah, were you speaking honestly with  | 4  |   |
| l  | Mr. Hansen?  | 5  |   |
| 5  |  |  | yourself as a Tesla employee for the period of  |
| 6  | A. In terms of did I know about the  | 6  | June 2018 to March 2019?  |
| 7  | incident?  | 7  | A. No. It references as a national  |
| 8  | Q. Yes, sir.   | 8  | account manager for Tesla. But when you, on   |
| 9  | A. Yes, I knew about it.   | 9  | LinkedIn, have a company's name, it will  |
| 10   | Q. Okay. So how did you know about it?   | 10   | automatically apply that that logo or that  |
| 11   | A. I believe it was  | 11   | brand.  |
| 12   | MS. LARGENT: Objection, asked and  | 12   | Q. So on your LinkedIn page, is this  |
| 13   | answered.  | 13   | your LinkedIn page that you're seeing right now?  |
| 14   | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Overruled.  | 14   | A. It is.   |
| 15   | How did you know about it?   | 15   | Q. And so where, for the period of  |
| 16   | A. It was Jeff Jones who told me about   | 16   | 2015 February 2015 to June 2018, you list   |
| 17   | it.  | 17   | yourself as a national account manager for  |
| 18   | BY MR. WOODFIELD:  | 18   | PacifiCorp, and then you list yourself from   |
| 19   | Q. Who else told you about it?   | 19   | June 2018 to March 2019 as a national account   |
| 20   | A. Jeff's the only one I recall.   | 20   | manager, and it says for Tesla. There's no  |
| 21   | Q. Mr. Hansen didn't talk to you about   | 21   | mention in here of working for someone else   |
| 22   | it?  | 22   | during that time period; is that correct?   |
| 23   | A. Outside of what we just heard on that   | 23   | A. I was also charged with the accuracy   |
| 24   | phone call, I don't recall anything.   | 24   | of services provided by U.S. Security Associates,   |
| 25   | Q. Mr. German, have you ever told anyone   | 25   | Inc.  |
|  |  |  |   |
|  |  |  |   |
| 1  | Page 612<br>Hansen v Flon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   | 1  | Page 613<br>Hansen v Flon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  |
| 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   | 1  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  |
| 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3<br>Q. And then you said you were laid off   | 2  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?   |
| 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3<br>Q. And then you said you were laid off<br>in March of 2019; correct?   | 2 3  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June.  | 2<br>3<br>4  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I don't I don't we're not going to call  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work? A. From June until October.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work? A. From June until October. MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not available, and I think we're comfortable with the  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work? A. From June until October. MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not available, and I think we're comfortable with the record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct?  A. Incorrect. In June.  Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work?  A. From June until October.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not available, and I think we're comfortable with the record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work? A. From June until October. MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA?   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not available, and I think we're comfortable with the record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are you resting?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work? A. From June until October. MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA? MS. LARGENT: No questions.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not available, and I think we're comfortable with the record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are you resting?  MS. LARGENT: Yes.  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work? A. From June until October. MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA? MS. LARGENT: No questions. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Robertson, any  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on  behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not available, and I think we're comfortable with the record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are  you resting?  MS. LARGENT: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So it   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work? A. From June until October. MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA? MS. LARGENT: No questions. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Robertson, any more questions?  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on  behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I  don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not  available, and I think we're comfortable with the  record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are  you resting?  MS. LARGENT: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So it  looks like all of the evidence is in. Is there  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct?  A. Incorrect. In June.  Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work?  A. From June until October.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA?  MS. LARGENT: No questions.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Robertson, any more questions?  MR. ROBERTSON: No questions,  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not available, and I think we're comfortable with the record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are you resting?  MS. LARGENT: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So it looks like all of the evidence is in. Is there any reason to keep the record open? Well, I  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work? A. From June until October. MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA? MS. LARGENT: No questions. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Robertson, any more questions? MR. ROBERTSON: No questions, Your Honor. Thank you.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not available, and I think we're comfortable with the record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are you resting?  MS. LARGENT: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So it looks like all of the evidence is in. Is there any reason to keep the record open? Well, I guess we have a report coming from our court   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct?  A. Incorrect. In June.  Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work?  A. From June until October.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA?  MS. LARGENT: No questions.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Robertson, any more questions?  MR. ROBERTSON: No questions, Your Honor. Thank you.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on  behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I  don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not  available, and I think we're comfortable with the  record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are  you resting?  MS. LARGENT: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So it  looks like all of the evidence is in. Is there  any reason to keep the record open? Well, I  guess we have a report coming from our court  reporter, and that will be part of the record.   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work? A. From June until October. MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA? MS. LARGENT: No questions. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Robertson, any more questions? MR. ROBERTSON: No questions, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. German, for your testimony.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not available, and I think we're comfortable with the record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are you resting?  MS. LARGENT: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So it looks like all of the evidence is in. Is there any reason to keep the record open? Well, I guess we have a report coming from our court   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct?  A. Incorrect. In June.  Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work?  A. From June until October.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA?  MS. LARGENT: No questions.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Robertson, any more questions?  MR. ROBERTSON: No questions, Your Honor. Thank you.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on  behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I  don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not  available, and I think we're comfortable with the  record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are  you resting?  MS. LARGENT: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So it  looks like all of the evidence is in. Is there  any reason to keep the record open? Well, I  guess we have a report coming from our court  reporter, and that will be part of the record.  Any need to keep the record open  beyond that?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work? A. From June until October. MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA? MS. LARGENT: No questions. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Robertson, any more questions? MR. ROBERTSON: No questions, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. German, for your testimony.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not available, and I think we're comfortable with the record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are you resting?  MS. LARGENT: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So it looks like all of the evidence is in. Is there any reason to keep the record open? Well, I guess we have a report coming from our court reporter, and that will be part of the record.  Any need to keep the record open beyond that?  All right. I'm hearing no reason to  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work? A. From June until October. MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA? MS. LARGENT: No questions. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Robertson, any more questions? MR. ROBERTSON: No questions, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. German, for your testimony. You're excused and you can sign off now.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on  behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I  don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not  available, and I think we're comfortable with the  record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are  you resting?  MS. LARGENT: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So it  looks like all of the evidence is in. Is there  any reason to keep the record open? Well, I  guess we have a report coming from our court  reporter, and that will be part of the record.  Any need to keep the record open  beyond that?   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct? A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work? A. From June until October. MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA? MS. LARGENT: No questions. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Robertson, any more questions? MR. ROBERTSON: No questions, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. German, for your testimony. You're excused and you can sign off now. MS. LARGENT: Thank you.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not available, and I think we're comfortable with the record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are you resting?  MS. LARGENT: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So it looks like all of the evidence is in. Is there any reason to keep the record open? Well, I guess we have a report coming from our court reporter, and that will be part of the record.  Any need to keep the record open beyond that?  All right. I'm hearing no reason to  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct?  A. Incorrect. In June.  Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work?  A. From June until October.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA?  MS. LARGENT: No questions.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Robertson, any more questions?  MR. ROBERTSON: No questions,  Your Honor. Thank you.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right.  Thank you, Mr. German, for your testimony.  You're excused and you can sign off now.  MS. LARGENT: Thank you.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Well, what's   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on  behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I  don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not  available, and I think we're comfortable with the  record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are  you resting?  MS. LARGENT: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So it  looks like all of the evidence is in. Is there  any reason to keep the record open? Well, I  guess we have a report coming from our court  reporter, and that will be part of the record.  Any need to keep the record open  beyond that?  All right. I'm hearing no reason to  do that. So now I'm interested in your desires  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct?  A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work?  A. From June until October.  MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA?  MS. LARGENT: No questions.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Robertson, any more questions?  MR. ROBERTSON: No questions,  Your Honor. Thank you.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right.  Thank you, Mr. German, for your testimony.  You're excused and you can sign off now.  MS. LARGENT: Thank you.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Well, what's next? Did we ever find was it Mr. Mohamed?                       | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on  behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I  don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not  available, and I think we're comfortable with the  record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are  you resting?  MS. LARGENT: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So it  looks like all of the evidence is in. Is there  any reason to keep the record open? Well, I  guess we have a report coming from our court  reporter, and that will be part of the record.  Any need to keep the record open  beyond that?  All right. I'm hearing no reason to  do that. So now I'm interested in your desires  on proceeding.                            |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Q. And then you said you were laid off in March of 2019; correct?  A. Incorrect. In June. Q. In June of 2019. And how long were you out of work?  A. From June until October. MR. WOODFIELD: I don't have any further questions for this witness. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Any recross within the scope of that direct by USSA? MS. LARGENT: No questions. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Robertson, any more questions? MR. ROBERTSON: No questions, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. German, for your testimony. You're excused and you can sign off now. MS. LARGENT: Thank you. JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. Well, what's next? Did we ever find was it Mr. Mohamed? MR. ROBERTSON: So, Nick, are you | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3  MR. ROBERTSON: Now officially?  MR. WOODFIELD: Yes.  MR. ROBERTSON: And I believe on  behalf of Tesla, we will rest as well. Just I  don't I don't we're not going to call  Mr. Mohamed. I won't go into why. He's not  available, and I think we're comfortable with the  record, so we'll just go ahead and rest.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: And from USSA, are  you resting?  MS. LARGENT: Yes.  JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. So it  looks like all of the evidence is in. Is there  any reason to keep the record open? Well, I  guess we have a report coming from our court  reporter, and that will be part of the record.  Any need to keep the record open  beyond that?  All right. I'm hearing no reason to  do that. So now I'm interested in your desires  on proceeding.  Should we are the parties |

Page 615 Page 614 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 2 MS. LARGENT: On behalf of USSA, we 2 us. 3 would be in favor of post-hearing briefs, and I 3 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Deb, when do you think that the record will be ready, without 4 will probably say between 14 to 30 days after the 4 court reporter is able to provide the 5 putting any expedited requirements on it? 5 б transcripts. It's not a terribly long 6 So the transcript will be out 7 7 probably by April 25th. proceeding, so I don't think it should be too 8 long, but I'm flexible. 8 And so I guess I would propose 9 JUDGE HOFFMAN: And are you proposing 9 opening briefs on May 20th. 10 post-hearing briefs simultaneously landing, or 10 Well, it wouldn't be opening briefs, 11 would the post-hearing briefs be in the nature of 11 it would be a brief. Right? 12 a motion, opposition and reply? 12 MS. LARGENT: Post-hearing. 13 13 MS. LARGENT: In my experience, I JUDGE HOFFMAN: Post-hearing, yes. 14 usually do simultaneous post-hearing briefs, 14 The post-hearing brief would be on April 20th. 15 where no one does replies, but flexible as well. 15 MR. WOODFIELD: May 20th? 16 MR. WOODFIELD: I concur with 16 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yes. May 20th, by 17 17 Ms. Largent on that. I think -- I think we do it the close of business. 18 30 days after, and we'd do concurrent filings 18 Do counsel want to have a hearing 19 with no replies. 19 after the briefs have been filed? I -- what I 20 JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. I would 20 would propose is that I -- I'll ask for it if I 21 reserve the right to ask for a reply if I found 21 feel like I need it. But otherwise, I don't 22 something that I got stuck on. Okay. But 22 think -- I don't think that it's going to be 23 simultaneous. 23 useful for me. We've got a very thorough record 24 24 What about you, Mr. Robertson? here, and the briefing has been exhaustive. So I 25 25 MR. ROBERTSON: That's all fine with don't think there's a reason for it, but I'll Page 617 Page 616 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3 1 2 hear what you have to say on that. 2 done in the case, or on some other application 3 MS. LARGENT: It's nice of you to say 3 for fees and that sort of thing. 4 4 exhaustive instead of exhausting. And so the decision will be an 5 JUDGE HOFFMAN: I choose my words 5 interim award, and I will invite any additional 6 information after that. And if fees and costs carefully. 6 7 7 MR. ROBERTSON: I think from Tesla's are expected for some reason, then that would be 8 perspective, that sounds fine. That's what we 8 the opportunity to hear about that. So it will 9 were going to suggest, actually, was just 9 really be a two-stage process. The interim award 10 submitting the papers, and if there was anything will come out, and it will invite anything else, 10 and then it will be a final award after that. 11 you needed clarified, you could do that either 11 12 through a reply or if you wanted to get us in a 12 MR. WOODFIELD: Right. Your Honor, 13 hearing, you could do that, but it would be at 13 may I ask, do you want a page limitation on the 14 your discretion, Your Honor. 14 briefing? JUDGE HOFFMAN: All right. Well, 15 15 JUDGE HOFFMAN: I don't really want 16 to set a page limitation, but I have -- I'm aware 16 that sounds good. 17 So May 20th, we'll start a 30-day 17 in writing some of these briefs myself, sometimes obligation for me to produce a reasoned opinion it's easy to cut and paste things that have been 18 18 19 and award in this case. 19 done before and then add to them, which creates a 20 I always usually make it a practice 20 lot of redundancy. We've had a motion for 21 of issuing an interim award at the very end to 21 summary judgment here, and I think the issues 22 allow parties to address any post-hearing issues. have been pretty -- I've tried to carefully 22 23 And I know the rules allow, for example, on 23 narrow the issues to just a couple of causes of 24 consideration after the final award of an offer 24 action. And so I would appreciate that you only go to those issues. Whatever you want to talk 25 of judgment, for example, that might have been 25

| _     | P (10   |          | 5.00   |
|-------|---|----------|--|
| 1     | Page 618 Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3   | 1        | Page 619<br>Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3                     |
| 2     | about is fine, but I don't want to create an      | 2        | write a reward or an award that will be                                |
| 3     | artificial limit on what you provide to me.       | 3        | understandable to both sides. So that's what's                         |
| 4     | We'll leave it at that, I think.                  | 4        | going to happen next.  |
| 5     | MR. WOODFIELD: All right. And then                | 5        | And finally, to counsel, thank you                                     |
| 6     | in terms of the briefing, do you want the         | 6        | very much. You've been civil with each other,                          |
| 7     | briefing on the liability and damages at this     | 7        | which I appreciate, and you've presented the case                      |
| 8     | point, and if there is to be a finding on         | 8        | well. We got through the testimony with time to                        |
| 9     | liability and damages, then a subsequent or       | 9        | spare, and I'll spend that time reviewing my                           |
| 10    | a there would be an interim award or a            | 10       | notes and getting ready for your post-hearing                          |
| 11    | subsequent briefing for fees and costs?           | 11       | briefs.  |
| 12    | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Exactly right.                     | 12       | Anything further?  |
| 13    | MR. WOODFIELD: Understood.                        | 13       | MR. HANSEN: Thank you, Your Honor.                                     |
| 14    | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay, good. Well, I                | 14       | MS. LARGENT: Thank you.  |
| 15    | think we've got a plan here, then.                | 15       | MR. WOODFIELD: Thank you.  |
| 16    | Let me first thank our court                      | 16       | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Mr. Woodfield, any                                      |
| 17    | reporter, Debbie, for being patient with us all   | 17       | final thoughts?  |
| 18    | and keeping us in line. And thank you for that.   | 18       | MR. WOODFIELD: No, Your Honor. I                                       |
| 19    | Mr. Hansen, thank you for your                    | 19       | appreciate it, and I appreciate the flexibility                        |
| 20    | participation in this case. You are well          | 20       | and not forcing us all to get on airplanes.                            |
| 21    | represented, as is the other side, and I've heard | 21       | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Yeah, you bet. You                                      |
| 22    | the evidence and I feel like you've had your      | 22       | bet.   |
| 23    | opportunity to be heard here, and that's          | 23       | Mr. Robertson, Ms. Dunne, anything?                                    |
| 24    | extremely important. And so now I'll take the     | 24       | MR. ROBERTSON: No, nothing from us,                                    |
| 25    | evidence and take all of the information and      | 25       | Your Honor.  |
|       | Page 620  |          | Page 621   |
| 1     | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3            | 1        | Hansen v Elon Musk - Arbitration Day 3                                 |
| 2     | JUDGE HOFFMAN: And, Ms. Braxton,                  | 2        | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION   |
| 3     | Ms. Largent?                                      | 3        |  |
| 4     | MS. LARGENT: Nothing from us.                     | 4        | I, Debra A. Dibble, RDR, CRR,  |
| 5     | Thank you.  | 5        | Notary Public, hereby certify that this                                |
| 6     | JUDGE HOFFMAN: Okay. It's been a                  | 6        | transcript is a true record of the arbitration                         |
| 7     | pleasure, all. Thank you very much.               | 7<br>8   | proceedings held in the foregoing matter on Wednesday, April 13, 2022. |
| 8     | (Time noted: 11:32 a.m. PDT)                      | 9        | I further certify that I am  |
| 9     | 000   | 10       | neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by                       |
| 10    |   | 11       | any of the parties or attorneys in the action in                       |
| 11    |   | 12       | which these proceedings were taken; and, further,                      |
| 12    |   | 13       | I am not a relative or employee of any attorney                        |
| 13    |   | 14       | of record in these proceedings, nor am I                               |
| 14    |   | 15       | financially or otherwise interested in the                             |
| 15    |   | 16       | outcome of said proceedings.   |
| 16    |   | 17       | Subscribed and sworn to on   |
| 17    |   | 18       | this April 13, 2022.   |
| 18    |   | 19       | (, )   |
| 19    |   | 21       | Selva N. Selble  |
| 20    |   |          | DEBRA A. DIBBLE  |
| 21    |   | 22       | NCRA Registered Diplomate Reporter                                     |
| 22 23 |   |          | NCRA Certified Realtime Reporter                                       |
| 24    |   | 23       |  |
| 1     |   | 24<br>25 |  |
| 25    |   |          |  |

# Case 3:19-cv-00413-LRH-CSD Document 66-2 Filed 09/06/22 Page 212 of 225

| 1        |                                      | Page 622 | 1        |             |                  | Page 623 |
|----------|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------------|----------|
| 2        | INDEX                                |          | 2        |             | DEPOSITION EXHIB | TTS      |
| 3        | INDEA                                |          | 3        | NUMBER      | DEFOSITION EXHIB |          |
| 4        | PROCEEDINGS                          | 520      | 4        | Exhibit 151 |                  | 599      |
| 5        | PROCEEDINGS                          | 520      | 5        |             | was received     |          |
| 6        | EXAMINATION OF MATTHEW DAVID GERMAN: |          | 6        | EXHIDIC 200 | was received     | 527      |
| 7        |                                      | 520      | 7        |             |                  |          |
| 8        | BY MR. WOODFIELD                     | 566      | 8        |             |                  |          |
| 9        | BY MS. LARGENT                       |          | 9        |             |                  |          |
| 10       | BY MR. ROBERTSON  BY MR. WOODFIELD   | 584      | 10       |             |                  |          |
| 11       | BY MR. WOODFIELD                     | 606      |          |             |                  |          |
| 12       | DEDODEED LG GEDETETGARTON            | 621      | 11       |             |                  |          |
| 13       | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION             | 621      |          |             |                  |          |
| 1        |                                      |          | 13       |             |                  |          |
| 14<br>15 |                                      |          | 14       |             |                  |          |
| 16       |                                      |          |          |             |                  |          |
| 17       |                                      |          | 16<br>17 |             |                  |          |
| 18       |                                      |          | 18       |             |                  |          |
| 19       |                                      |          | 18       |             |                  |          |
| 20       |                                      |          | 20       |             |                  |          |
| 21       |                                      |          | 21       |             |                  |          |
| 22       |                                      |          | 22       |             |                  |          |
| 23       |                                      |          | 23       |             |                  |          |
| 24       |                                      |          | 24       |             |                  |          |
| 25       |                                      |          | 25       |             |                  |          |
|          |                                      |          | 23       |             |                  |          |
|          |                                      |          |          |             |                  |          |
|          |                                      |          |          |             |                  |          |

Index: \$16.50..affords

|                              | <b>192</b> 574:12                      | 553:1 554:1 555:1                      |                          | 535:17,22 541:5,10,                        |
|------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------|--|
| <b>\$</b>                    | <b>193</b> 577:3                       | 556:1 557:1 558:1                      | 6                        | 21 543:15 544:12                           |
|                              |  | 559:1 560:1 561:1                      |                          | 567:24 569:20                              |
| <b>\$16.50</b> 598:2         | <b>1:15</b> 555:7                      | 562:1 563:1 564:1                      | <b>6-</b> 525:15         | 582:14 583:4,24                            |
| <b>\$20</b> 534:12,13        | <b>1st</b> 575:11                      | 565:1 566:1 567:1<br>568:1 569:1 570:1 | <b>60</b> 556:10         | 587:25 588:8,22<br>608:8 611:8,17,19       |
|                              |  | 571:1 572:1 573:1                      |                          | 000.0 011.0,17,19                          |
| <b>\$27</b> 537:7 597:19     | 2                                      | 574:1 575:1 576:1                      | 7                        | accounts 553:3                             |
|                              |  | 577:1 578:1 579:1                      |                          | 595:12 608:5                               |
| -                            | <b>20</b> 522:2 577:2                  | 580:1 581:1 582:1                      | <b>75</b> 505,04 550,40  | accuracy 611:23                            |
|                              |  | 583:1 584:1 585:1                      | <b>75</b> 525:21 558:16  |  |
| <b>00o</b> 620:9             | <b>20-plus</b> 590:11                  | 586:1 587:1 588:1                      | <b>76</b> 557:13 558:17  | accurate 526:8<br>557:18 559:21            |
|                              | <b>2008</b> 560:18                     | 589:1 590:1 591:1                      |                          | 560:19 582:6                               |
| 1                            |  | 592:1 593:1 594:1                      | 8                        |  |
|                              | <b>2014</b> 522:12,24 523:7            | 595:1 596:1 597:1                      |                          | acknowledged                               |
| <b>1</b> 585:7 589:25 595:18 | <b>2015</b> 611:16                     | 598:1 599:1 600:1                      | <b>80</b> 532:9          | 606:20                                     |
|                              | <b>2018</b> 524:9,11 526:24            | 601:1 602:1 603:1<br>604:1 605:1 606:1 |                          | acknowledging                              |
| <b>100</b> 525:20,21         | 528:3 530:13,24                        | 607:1 608:1 609:1                      | <b>80,000</b> 532:9      | 606:23                                     |
| <b>10:00</b> 574:24          | 534:6 556:21 557:7,                    | 610:1 611:1 612:1                      | <b>800</b> 525:16        | acquisition 522:9                          |
| <b>10:33</b> 583:11          | 15,23 559:18 567:7                     | 613:1 614:1 615:1                      | <b>878</b> 573:24 575:19 | _  |
|                              | 606:10 607:3,6                         | 616:1 617:1 618:1                      |                          | act 545:22                                 |
| <b>10:36</b> 583:12          | 609:11 611:6,16,19                     | 619:1 620:1 621:1                      | <b>879</b> 576:6 577:13  | acting 539:17                              |
| <b>10:39</b> 584:14          | <b>2019</b> 521:19 522:3,4,            | <b>3.25</b> 548:21                     | 9                        | action 617:24 621:11                       |
| <b>10:51</b> 584:15          | 5,6,13,25 523:2<br>583:25 611:6,19     | <b>30</b> 556:21 557:6,15              |                          | activity 561:19 580:2                      |
| <b>11</b> 528:3              | 612:3,5                                | 559:18 563:8 614:4,<br>18              | <b>90,000</b> 532:10     | 589:10                                     |
| <b>11:32</b> 620:8           | <b>2021</b> 521:10,13,20               |  | <b>9:09</b> 520:4        | <b>actual</b> 523:21 526:12                |
| <b>11th</b> 527:14           | <b>2022</b> 520:4 621:8,18             | <b>30-day</b> 616:17                   |                          | add 536:7 617:19                           |
| <b>121</b> 540:2 573:20      | <b>204</b> 574:12,16 577:3             | <b>30th</b> 555:22 606:8               | Α                        | added 533:10                               |
| 575:18 577:12                |  | <b>3rd</b> 543:7 555:6                 |                          |  |
|                              | <b>205</b> 592:2,5                     | 562:23 563:5 568:17,                   | <b>a.m.</b> 520:4 574:24 | <b>addendum</b> 533:11 595:23,25 596:6,15, |
| <b>13</b> 520:4 621:8,18     | <b>206</b> 527:2,7,9,10                | 20 575:2 576:17                        | 583:11,12 584:14,15      | 18 597:6                                   |
| <b>14</b> 557:13 595:4       | 589:17,20                              | 577:16,18 578:12                       | 620:8                    |  |
| 614:4                        | <b>20th</b> 615:9,14,15,16             |  | Absolutely 552:20        | addition 524:20                            |
| <b>150</b> 594:13,16         | 616:17                                 | 4                                      | 553:11 608:20            | 594:8                                      |
| <b>151</b> 596:22 597:4      | <b>25th</b> 615:7                      | <b>4</b> 567:6 585:18 609:10           | absorbed 523:24          | <b>additional</b> 531:7 575:6 576:2 595:19 |
| 599:10,12,14,15              | <b>27</b> 537:7                        | <b>40</b> 534:17                       | acceded 563:9            | 617:5                                      |
| <b>16.50</b> 598:10          |  | 4th 560:18 563:7                       | accepted 532:4           | Additionally 545:8                         |
| <b>165</b> 555:4             | 3                                      | 567:19                                 | accessed 588:11          | address 616:22                             |
| <b>17</b> 558:17,18          | <b>3</b> 520:1 521:1 522:1             |  | accommodate              | adjustment 536:6                           |
| <b>18</b> 558:17 592:8       | 523:1 524:1 525:1                      | 5                                      | 582:5                    | admit 596:25 599:9,                        |
| <b>186</b> 542:21,24 547:21  | 526:1 527:1 528:1                      | E0/E0 F04 40 40                        | accommodated             | 12   |
| ·                            | 529:1 530:1 531:1                      | <b>50/50</b> 591:13,16                 | 561:2                    | admitted 585:14                            |
| <b>189</b> 566:22 567:2      | 532:1 533:1 534:1                      | <b>589</b> 595:4                       | accommodation            |  |
| <b>18th</b> 588:6            | 535:1 536:1 537:1<br>538:1 539:1 540:1 | <b>5th</b> 560:15,17,18                | 582:9                    | <b>advice</b> 550:3 575:14                 |
| <b>19.80</b> 540:16 597:20   | 541:1 542:1 543:1                      | 563:7,8                                | account 522:19           | <b>advise</b> 536:11,18                    |
| <b>190</b> 574:12            | 544:1 545:1 546:1                      |  | 523:13,14,22 524:7,      | affords 581:16                             |
| 100 017.12                   | 547:1 548:1 549:1                      |  | 10,12,23 530:3 531:9     |  |
|                              | 550:1 551:1 552:1                      |  |                          |  |

Index: afternoon..call

| afternoon 584:23,25                       | 597:17                                 | assigned 525:25                    | <b>awake</b> 526:16                          | blend 562:12                      |
|---|--|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 585:2                                     | <b>April</b> 520:4 615:7,14            | 526:18 535:18<br>543:16 568:25     | award 616:19,21,24                           | <b>blind</b> 592:18               |
| <b>agree</b> 562:25                       | 621:8,18                               | 569:18 583:4 589:4                 | 617:5,9,11 618:10                            | <b>block</b> 538:9,11 604:5       |
| agreed 597:15                             | arbitration 520:1                      | assignment 586:21                  | 619:2  | <b>blow</b> 566:23                |
| agreement 524:18                          | 521:1 522:1 523:1                      | 587:2 598:24                       | aware 526:20 583:18                          |                                   |
| 526:19 533:11                             | 524:1 525:1 526:1<br>527:1 528:1 529:1 | Associates 520:24                  | 585:9 587:8,12,16<br>588:2,11,17,20          | <b>blunt</b> 556:13               |
| 581:16,19,24 594:21,                      | 530:1 531:1 532:1                      | 521:4 522:9,11,15,21               | 593:21,24 606:20                             | <b>board</b> 524:10 528:13        |
| 24 596:2 597:10<br>608:8                  | 533:1 534:1 535:1                      | 524:25 531:19                      | 607:22 617:16                                | 591:18                            |
|   | 536:1 537:1 538:1                      | 538:12 595:13 608:6                |  | <b>body</b> 590:11                |
| <b>ahead</b> 525:8 549:15 572:24 573:8,10 | 539:1 540:1 541:1<br>542:1 543:1 544:1 | 611:24                             | В  | <b>books</b> 535:8 536:14,        |
| 575:23 607:13 613:9                       | 545:1 546:1 547:1                      | <b>assume</b> 524:6 582:3          |  | 19 541:12                         |
| airplanes 619:20                          | 548:1 549:1 550:1                      | 604:18                             | back 522:2 539:24                            | <b>bottom</b> 551:18 552:2        |
| l -                                       | 551:1 552:1 553:1                      | <b>assure</b> 546:22 549:3         | 540:25 549:8 567:17<br>568:10 572:20,21      | bracing 562:21                    |
| <b>Alex</b> 566:21 567:10 573:24 576:22   | 554:1 555:1 556:1<br>557:1 558:1 559:1 | 607:7                              | 575:18 576:25                                |                                   |
|   | 560:1 561:1 562:1                      | attachment 596:2                   | 577:11,12 584:10,12,                         | <b>branch</b> 523:8 569:15 592:15 |
| alleged 600:3                             | 563:1 564:1 565:1                      | attempt 538:11                     | 16 589:4 601:25                              |                                   |
| Allied 522:8,14,17,22                     | 566:1 567:1 568:1                      | attempted 565:16                   | background 590:12,                           | <b>brand</b> 611:11               |
| allowing 581:21                           | 569:1 570:1 571:1<br>572:1 573:1 574:1 | 566:3 568:8                        | 16   | Braxton 620:2                     |
| Allstate 546:3                            | 575:1 576:1 577:1                      | attempting 545:4                   | <b>bad</b> 561:22                            | breadth 559:15                    |
| ambiguous 565:4                           | 578:1 579:1 580:1                      | attend 572:24 573:8                | badging 588:9                                | <b>break</b> 549:11 584:10        |
|   | 581:1 582:1 583:1                      | 575:23 577:18                      | badmouthed 581:5                             | briefing 615:24                   |
| America 524:19                            | 584:1 585:1 586:1<br>587:1 588:1 589:1 | 578:11,21                          |  | 617:14 618:6,7,11                 |
| American 523:19                           | 590:1 591:1 592:1                      | attended 573:11                    | <b>based</b> 524:2 538:24 545:9 568:2 579:10 | briefs 613:24 614:3,              |
| amount 532:2                              | 593:1 594:1 595:1                      | attention 579:6                    |  | 10,11,14 615:9,10,19              |
| and/or 553:12 591:17                      | 596:1 597:1 598:1<br>599:1 600:1 601:1 |                                    | basically 591:13                             | 617:17 619:11                     |
| <b>Anne</b> 585:23 590:5                  | 602:1 603:1 604:1                      | attentive 526:16                   | <b>basis</b> 536:4                           | <b>bring</b> 573:2 590:4          |
| 593:5 595:15 599:22                       | 605:1 606:1 607:1                      | attorney 621:13                    | <b>Bates</b> 595:4                           | bringing 528:12                   |
| annex 595:18,25                           | 608:1 609:1 610:1                      | attorney's 545:19                  | <b>Beach</b> 595:7                           | brought 523:12                    |
| ·   | 611:1 612:1 613:1<br>614:1 615:1 616:1 | attorneys 587:7                    | <b>Began</b> 564:5                           | 524:10                            |
| <b>annoyed</b> 571:19 578:15              | 617:1 618:1 619:1                      | 621:11                             | begin 601:11                                 | brutha 552:22                     |
|   | 620:1 621:1,6                          | attribute 601:18                   |  |                                   |
| <b>annual</b> 532:10                      | arbitrator 549:7                       | <b>audio</b> 580:17                | beginning 524:11                             | <b>BS</b> 541:24 542:5            |
| annualized 534:14                         | <b>area</b> 571:13                     | August 543:7 555:6,                | <b>behalf</b> 613:5 614:2                    | <b>built</b> 535:10               |
| answering 605:11                          | argues 546:15                          | 22 556:21 557:6,15,                | behaviors 561:11                             | business 553:13                   |
| anymore 599:3                             | argument 546:12,20                     | 23 559:18 562:23                   | benefit 545:24                               | 607:15,19 608:2<br>615:17         |
| apologize 582:12                          | 589:8                                  | 563:5 575:2,11<br>576:17 577:16,18 | <b>bet</b> 619:21,22                         | 010.11                            |
| appears 574:23                            | arguments 547:9                        | 578:12 606:8,10                    | <b>bit</b> 544:20 548:19                     | С                                 |
| 585:13 592:8 597:5                        | Armed 531:13                           | austerity 548:22                   | 565:7 566:23 567:10                          |                                   |
| application 617:2                         |  | author 555:8                       | 569:5 573:22 574:13                          | <b>C-SUITE</b> 570:4              |
| apply 611:10                              | <b>arriving</b> 556:21 558:21          |                                    | 576:22 577:10 590:5                          | calculations 534:19               |
|   |  | authority 538:13                   | <b>Blake</b> 595:7                           | <b>call</b> 544:22 545:11,14      |
| <b>approaching</b> 521:10 538:2           | artificial 618:3                       | automatically                      | <b>blame</b> 543:18,19,22                    | 549:21 551:11,23                  |
|   | <b>assign</b> 538:13                   | 561:13 611:10                      | 547:20                                       | 556:9,11 563:17,20,               |
| approval 582:8                            | 541:13                                 |                                    |  | 22,23 568:2,5 581:8               |
|   |  |                                    |  |                                   |

Index: called..day

585:13 609:10 610:24 613:6 **called** 523:15 568:10,12 589:3 **calls** 529:3 558:14 579:11

cameras 588:20 capacity 521:3

**car** 571:11,14 600:12 601:6 602:7

care 581:22

**carefully** 616:6 617:22

**carry** 543:15 **cartel** 575:25

**case** 546:4,9 575:25 616:19 617:2 618:20 619:7

categories 531:11

categorizing 537:12

category 591:6

cc'ing 574:20

**centered** 562:11 602:20

**CEO** 570:5 571:17 578:3

CERTIFICATION 621:2

Certified 621:22

certify 621:5,9

chain 542:4

**chance** 536:23 575:22

charged 611:23

check 603:15

checking 526:4

Chevenne 524:2

Oneyenne 324

**chief** 546:9

**choose** 616:5

**Chris** 585:3

circumstances

546:6

City 523:16

civil 619:6

claiming 548:16

clarification 537:19

clarified 616:11

**clarify** 532:23

classifying 537:11

clear 556:14 596:4

**client** 523:9,15,23 524:21 526:6 536:5 552:13 561:18 569:24 570:17,22 571:3 582:4,5 607:20

client's 580:23

**clients** 560:25 581:20

clip 548:21,25 607:5

close 572:18 615:17

co-workers 530:8

**code** 541:14

**codes** 535:9 541:9, 15

combination 537:23

combo 539:16,17

**comfortable** 561:24 613:8

**common** 539:3 573:5 577:25 581:13, 20 603:12

comms 578:3

communicate

580:14,19

communicated 536:3 557:4

communication 576:6,9

communications 571:23

company 571:18

company's 611:9

compensation

531:23 532:11 534:11,25

**complaint** 569:2 584:5

**completely** 589:9 591:16

**compound** 556:3,4 562:9

conceded 544:9

**concern** 548:21,25 553:12

concerns 579:25

concur 614:16

concurrent 614:18

conditions 531:23

conduct 545:13

conducted 584:18

confidential 586:17 587:14

**consent** 544:25

consideration 561:14 616:24

considered 531:11

**consistent** 563:13 598:16

consolidation

533:24

contact 551:24

**contacted** 554:15 568:23

contemplated 533:9

**content** 557:10

**context** 557:11

contexts 575:6

Continental 525:16

**contract** 523:9 524:13 528:17 530:16 533:2,7,8 535:24 543:16 551:16 557:8,16 559:20 578:7 593:3, 13 597:24

contractors 586:19

contracts 523:24

contractual 608:7

contractually 596:10

contradict 547:17

contradictory 530:25

contrary 547:4 548:4

**control** 544:12

conversation

537:21 548:15 554:10 555:21,25 574:5,7 600:2 606:19 609:19

conversations

555:23 575:7 579:11

**cooperated** 565:15 566:2

cooperation 566:6

coordination 524:16

copied 592:18

**corporate** 520:23 523:17

correct 520:24 521:4,5 522:15,16 527:23 532:13 553:19,20 555:13,16, 20 560:2 563:5,11, 12,14,23,24 568:18 576:4,17 578:13 580:9 581:23 582:21, 22 587:3,4 590:8 591:23,24 594:25 595:2 596:3,7,8,12 611:22 612:3

costs 617:6 618:11

**counsel** 550:4 585:11,15,17,18 615:18 619:5 621:10

counterparts 536:2

**couple** 544:23 602:11 606:25 617:23

**court** 520:8 546:4 602:4 613:16 614:5 618:16

courtesy 551:13

covered 525:12

create 618:2

**created** 541:10 596:10

**creates** 561:21 617:19

criticisms 542:17

cross-examination 566:10 584:11,17

CRR 621:4

**cryptic** 538:22 539:2, 11,15,22 540:22 556:9 557:25 561:4 573:15

**current** 608:11

customer 581:21

cut 580:17 617:18

D

daily 536:4

damages 618:7,9

data 573:6

**date** 526:22 557:9 563:2,20,21 567:6 607:5

dated 563:4

dates 588:10

David 520:11,21

day 520:1 521:1 522:1 523:1 524:1 525:1 526:1 527:1 528:1 529:1 530:1 531:1 532:1 533:1 534:1 535:1 536:1

537:1 538:1 539:1 540:1 541:1 542:1

543:1 544:1 545:1

546:1 547:1 548:1 549:1 550:1 551:1

555:1 556:1 557:1 558:1 559:1 560:1 561:1 562:1 563:1 564:1 565:1 566:1 567:1 568:1,6,9,11 569:1 570:1 571:1 572:1 573:1 574:1 575:1 576:1,20 577:1 578:1 579:1 580:1 581:1 582:1 583:1 584:1 585:1,18 586:1 587:1,5 588:1 589:1 590:1 591:1 592:1 593:1 594:1 595:1 596:1 597:1 598:1 599:1 600:1 601:1 602:1 603:1 604:1 605:1 606:1 607:1 608:1 609:1 610:1 611:1 612:1 613:1 614:1 615:1 616:1 617:1 618:1 619:1 620:1 621:1 day-to-day 535:23 days 544:23 563:8

579:8 614:4,18

dealing 539:9

**Deb** 615:3

**Debbie** 601:24 618:17

**Debra** 621:4,21

decided 533:21.22 550:15

**decides** 545:19

decision 532:6 581:12 617:4

decisions 538:24

**decline** 550:15

decorated 536:24

defensively 547:8

defer 569:19

degree 532:3 556:16 560:24 573:14 597:11

delays 548:23

deleted 587:24

deliverables 524:16 525:10

deliveries 526:5

demand 584:2

Case 3:19-cv-00413-LRH-CSD

demanding 568:20

departed 544:11

department 527:25 528:7 531:17

depended 525:24

depending 584:24

**depends** 534:15 598:16

deposed 599:20

deposition 557:13 558:15 564:18,25 585:4 599:25 600:8 601:2 603:19 604:15 605:3

describing 574:8

**desires** 613:21

detailed 528:21

details 580:11,15,20 607:21 608:16

**Dibble** 621:4.21

difference 560:4 608:7

digested 579:7

Diplomate 621:22

direct 526:13 535:23, 25 545:4 549:2 578:3 589:21 599:19 612:11

directed 536:13

**directly** 530:6 534:12 535:18 538:21 551:24 556:19 563:15 564:5 604:2

disappointed 535:3,

disclose 579:14

disclosed 545:5 546:8,17

discovered 587:13

discretion 616:14

**discuss** 532:17 533:13 556:20 558:20 572:7

discussed 534:24 543:12 544:20 555:14 596:11

discussing 533:15

discussion 528:25 529:15 557:10 573:25 606:7,9

discussions 524:8 528:22 533:17

display 588:9,15

district 545:18

document 589:21 592:18 594:19 595:5 599:22

documents 588:7, 14

dollar 531:25

download 559:13

driver 600:11 601:6 602:7

**driving** 571:9

drug 579:25

due 522:8

duly 520:12

**Dunne** 585:8 619:23

**Dunne's** 584:11

duties 524:14 525:23 532:14 535:21

duty 543:15

**Dyncorp** 523:25

Ε

e-mail 527:13,17 528:23 542:3,4 543:4 547:20 550:12 555:6, 9,10,13,14,17 562:23 563:4 567:3,18 571:25 574:19,23

575:9.11.13.22 576:12,13,16 577:5, 19 578:22 579:3 587:25 590:7,10,11 593:6 602:23

e-mailed 577:7

earlier 562:20 563:14 569:6 578:9 585:14

earliest 568:15

early 526:24 593:23

easy 617:18

eat 608:6

edgewise 604:20

effect 538:3 572:17

effectively 523:21 607:20

effort 533:25

efforts 544:10 594:2,

elaborate 560:6

elapsed 579:3

elevated 597:18

**Elon** 520:1 521:1 522:1 523:1 524:1 525:1 526:1 527:1 528:1 529:1 530:1 531:1 532:1 533:1

534:1 535:1 536:1 537:1 538:1 539:1

540:1 541:1 542:1 543:1,4 544:1 545:1

546:1 547:1 548:1

549:1 550:1 551:1 552:1 553:1 554:1

555:1,15 556:1 557:1

558:1 559:1 560:1 561:1 562:1,24 563:1

564:1 565:1 566:1

567:1 568:1 569:1,8 570:1,11,13 571:1,25

572:1 573:1 574:1 575:1 576:1,14,16

577:1,7,19 578:1,17, 23 579:1 580:1 581:1

582:1 583:1 584:1

585:1 586:1 587:1 588:1 589:1 590:1

591:1 592:1 593:1

594:1 595:1 596:1 597:1 598:1 599:1 600:1 601:1 602:1 603:1 604:1 605:1 606:1,25 607:1 608:1,24 609:1,12 610:1 611:1 612:1 613:1 614:1 615:1 616:1 617:1 618:1 619:1 620:1 621:1

embarrassed 546:19

emphasize 528:20

**employed** 521:6,14 608:14 621:10

employee 529:17 530:11,13,16,18,20 532:12 541:13 551:2 553:8 561:15,22,24 566:19 572:9,19 578:8 581:22 588:15 593:2 596:17 598:6 611:5 621:13

employees 562:13 577:25 586:19

employer 524:24 561:8 580:12

employment 580:7

end 542:5,10,12 554:3 557:22 583:3 586:20 587:2 589:24 591:10 602:8 606:10

ended 609:6

607:17 616:21

**engaged** 545:13

engages 545:18,23

engaging 539:2

**ensuring** 526:15

enter 571:10 **Entertainment** 

521:15

entire 524:18 559:14 587:24 596:16 597:22

entirety 548:15

entrance 556:23 557:6 558:22

Index: entry..Gigafactory

entry 526:3 570:4 environment 552:16 561:22 escalate 563:25 escalating 578:17 **escape** 539:5 essentially 550:12 571:13 583:3 establish 596:24 established 532:7. 16 597:17 estimate 525:21 event 578:12 606:14. 24 610:2 evidence 527:5 539:25 546:5.11 547:10 592:6 594:17 596:24 609:8 613:14 618:22,25 exact 526:22 examination 520:15 566:12 584:11,20 606:3 examples 526:2 539:15 570:3 exceptional 551:14 excerpts 599:24 exchange 592:8,21 exclusion 546:5 excuse 540:5 545:6 560:14 572:25 609:21 **excused** 612:19 **execute** 581:17 Executive 531:12 exhausting 616:4 exhaustive 615:24 616:4 **exhibit** 527:2.10 540:2 542:20,21 545:7 547:21 555:4 560:8 566:20 567:2 573:20 574:2,12,16

575:18 577:2.3 583:10 585:7.14 589:17,20 592:5 594:13,16 597:4 599:15 exhibits 540:3 exist 541:15 existed 537:2 expect 547:24 551:10 expected 544:6 562:22 617:7 expedited 615:5 experience 603:13 614:13 experiencing 540:22 **extend** 528:15 extent 601:17 604:5 extremely 558:6 618:24 eye 541:20 F face 570:14 facilities 588:11 facility 571:11,19 588:19 593:2 602:6 605:16 23 fairly 546:18

**fact** 547:5 601:5 failure 579:14 fair 553:21 591:7,8 594:10,11 598:15,22, fall 531:10 **falling** 533:11 **farther** 577:10 favor 614:3 **FBI** 590:12,16,21 February 522:12,24

523:7 611:16

federal 551:20 feedback 530:8 feel 561:19,24 578:6 615:21 618:22 feels 547:5 fees 617:3,6 618:11 Fellows 527:18,19, 21 531:3 **felt** 528:13 550:14 561:3 571:15 573:17 Ferrua 602:15 603:2, fewer 598:19 figured 529:23 file 584:5 filed 569:2 615:19 files 588:14 filings 614:18 fill 596:20 fills 596:19 filtration 578:4 final 596:13 616:24 617:11 619:17 **finality** 596:13 finalize 562:15 575:24 **finally** 619:5 financial 529:22 financially 621:15 **find** 544:6 546:17 547:8.25 549:11 594:9 612:22 **finding** 618:8 fine 550:6 551:21 554:25 614:25 616:8 618:2

**flagged** 543:13 571:14 flagging 572:14 flashing 571:10 flexibility 619:19 flexible 614:8,15 flip 575:18 focused 524:21 folder 587:25 folks 540:23 573:3 follow 552:6,13 **follow-on** 550:12 force 522:8 533:6 forced 529:19 forcing 619:20 foregoing 621:7 forgive 534:3 forgot 560:11 form 530:19 formality 535:12 forward 543:3 forwarded 527:16 571:24 575:14 577:6 588:7 590:7 forwarding 576:13 found 614:21 foundation 596:25 604:22 frame 563:2 **frankly** 538:23 601:16 free 560:20 561:25 frequently 555:24 Friday 543:7 574:25 friend 607:13 front 540:4,6,10 fuck 549:21 full 520:19,21 559:13, 15

G games 541:24 542:5 Garcia-flores 590:25 Garden 521:15,17 gate 556:22 557:6,22 558:22 559:8 569:19, 22 571:10 600:17 606:8,15,25 608:25 609:12 610:3 gates 526:4 Gecewich 549:23 554:15,20,24 555:11 564:6.8 Gecewich's 563:4 general 570:3 generally 531:6 560:24 561:2 570:2,5 582:4 **aentle** 546:25 qeographic 533:25 geographical 523:25 Gerhard 543:5 574:20 German 520:6,11,18, 21 547:16,18 548:17 549:19.24 550:16.20 551:9,25 552:4,10, 20,23 553:6,11,16, 20.24 566:15.25 567:4 574:17 575:9 583:16 584:17,24 589:19 590:3 592:4

594:15 597:4 599:18 602:12,13 603:20 605:2 606:6 607:14, 19 608:10,15,18 609:2 610:25 612:18

German's 544:25 **get all** 579:5 **Gicinto** 543:6 574:21 Gigafactory 525:4, 18 528:16 530:13 535:19 541:10 544:2,

finished 583:17

fire 527:24

fired 576:10

firm 586:2

Index: give..inclusive

5 547:23 555:19 556:3,22 557:6,22 558:11,21 560:16 562:9 563:10 564:14 565:12,17 566:4 579:4 580:2 586:21 589:4 605:6 606:8

**give** 526:2 539:11,14 551:12 570:3 583:6

global 521:23

Gmail 588:8,22

**good** 529:17 530:20 578:6 584:23 607:18 616:16 618:14

**Gouthro** 527:14,17 528:9,19 531:3 543:4 574:19 590:8

Gouthro's 528:2

govern 594:24

grant 582:8

granted 581:9

great 528:14

greet 570:24

Greyhound 593:9,

grounds 589:2

**group** 521:7,9,22,24 595:12

**guard** 531:8 571:12, 19 580:25 596:6 600:16

**guards** 524:17 526:13 536:9 601:5 602:7

**guess** 531:17 548:5 557:11 566:9 568:2 575:2 591:20 613:16 615:8

guidance 572:16

guy 553:9

guys 536:2

Н

half 548:10

hand 559:16

handful 528:11

**handled** 564:16

Hansen 520:1 521:1 522:1 523:1 524:1 525:1 526:1,21 527:1 528:1 529:1,2,15 530:1,5 531:1,2,14 532:1,17 533:1,14 534:1,2,25 535:1,3 536:1,12,14,18 537:1,12,18 538:1,18 539:1,13,24 540:1,13 541:1,12 542:1,12,16 543:1,3,10,17,21 544:1,23,24 545:1,12 546:1 547:1,20 548:1 549:1,23 550:1,2,18 551:1,5,10 552:1,2,8, 11,21 553:1,4,7,15, 17,21,25 554:1 555:1,12,18 556:1,2, 14 557:1,7,21 558:1, 10,20,24 559:1,7,10 560:1,14,21 561:1 562:1,8,15,20 563:1, 8,15 564:1,13 565:1, 11,16 566:1,3 567:1, 23 568:1,25 569:1,2, 8,16 570:1,12 571:1, 7,13,24 572:1,14 573:1 574:1 575:1 576:1 577:1,6,15 578:1,15,22 579:1, 10,12 580:1,6 581:1, 4,9 582:1,13,18,20 583:1,3,19 584:1,4 585:1,9,10,16 586:1 587:1,13,23 588:1,4, 6,21 589:1,4 590:1, 15,20,25 591:1,21 592:1,22 593:1,21 594:1,10 595:1 596:1 597:1 598:1,5,13,25 599:1,2 600:1,4,10, 16,23 601:1 602:1 603:1,22 604:1 605:1,5,17 606:1,11 607:1,12,18 608:1,9, 12,17,20 609:1,3,22,

25 610:1,5,21 611:1

612:1 613:1 614:1

615:1 616:1 617:1

618:1,19 619:1,13

620:1 621:1

**Hansen's** 530:9 576:19 586:20 587:7 600:7

happen 619:4

**happened** 522:6 536:22 544:11 550:25 553:12 556:18

happening 572:4 591:22

**happy** 549:12

hate 540:16

head 521:23

headquarter 523:17

**healthy** 526:16

hear 530:7 549:4 550:19 551:7 564:22 580:20 607:12,13 616:2 617:8

heard 568:19 569:7 570:17,22 571:3 580:6 581:4 608:24 609:11 610:2,23 618:21,23

hearing 567:2 613:20 615:18 616:13

hearsay 601:11,14, 15,16

**held** 546:4 621:7

helpful 583:8

**helping** 566:19

Hennigan 586:2

hey 604:14

higher 598:12,19

highlight 597:18

highlighted 529:25

highlights 528:24

hire 531:14 532:21

**hired** 534:3,8 535:9 580:24

hiring 532:18

history 523:5

**HOFFMAN** 520:6

527:7,9 529:8 542:22,25 546:7 547:13 548:5,18 549:15 560:7 564:21 565:6,20 566:9 583:9,13 584:9,16 589:11 599:9,14 601:13,19 604:17 605:21 609:16 610:14 612:10,13,17, 21 613:10,13 614:9, 20 615:3,13,16 616:5,15 617:15 618:12,14 619:16,21

**hold** 537:9 540:9 607:10

**holy** 608:14

620:2,6

home 556:10

honestly 560:3 608:23 609:14 610:4

Honor 527:8 529:6 544:19 546:10 548:20 549:14 583:8 589:7,15 599:11 601:8,11 605:23 612:16 616:14 617:12 619:13,18,25

**honored** 561:12 562:6

Hospitality 521:7

**hour** 534:13 537:6,7 584:13 597:19

**hours** 534:15,17 593:19 598:17,20,22 599:4

house 531:19

housekeeping 527:6

**housing** 572:20

**HR** 541:7,14 561:13 562:11,12,13 564:2, 4,16 573:3 592:25 603:13

Hueston 586:2

human 603:9

hung 556:16

hurry 571:18

hypocritical 546:18

hypothetical 571:16

1

**ID** 588:16

idea 570:13

identification 588:15

**identified** 589:20 591:4 593:8 594:16 596:17 597:3

identify 560:5

**illegal** 545:2,10,22, 23 546:2 561:11,19 562:5

**imagine** 535:4 592:11

immediately 546:14

impact 543:14

impeach 547:11

impeached 547:15

impeaching 601:16

impeachment

545:3,11 546:11,14, 15,16,22,23 548:8,17 604:9

importance 551:16

important 618:24

**improper** 545:7 601:9,10

**in-house** 533:23

inception 530:14

incident 569:6 571:8 610:7

including 588:8

inclusive 543:14

Index: inconsistent..logo

inconsistent 546:24 601:4 602:6,9

Incorrect 612:4

indicating 550:13

indication 541:3 561:10

indications 540:24

### indiscernible

550:17,20 551:3 552:4,23,24 553:16

individual 525:9 526:21 538:14 554:22 561:21 570:7

individuals 528:9, 11,20,23 529:25 570:5 574:20 588:10 590:23 591:3,10,13, 22

industry 561:2 581:14

info 572:20 576:2

inform 556:14

information 530:25 559:15 561:4,6 562:4,17 586:18 587:14 617:6 618:25

informed 567:14

**initially** 523:14 534:24

initiation 597:24

inquired 564:9

**insight** 530:17

instructed 598:25

**instruction** 572:23 588:3

intent 535:11

intentionally 587:23

interacted 538:6

interacting 558:24

interaction 539:8 557:20 558:2,25 559:7,9,14 569:7 570:15 600:3,4,23 602:19 interactions 592:12 602:14,18

interested 546:7 613:21,24 621:15

interim 616:21 617:5,9 618:10

internal 541:5,18 581:2 588:7,8

interrogationbased 573:18

introduced 574:16

investigate 581:2

investigating 564:13

investigation

531:24 550:13 565:15 566:2 572:8 590:12.16

investigations 528:6 562:15

investigator 531:16 533:22 539:6 596:5,6

investigators 539:7

invite 617:5,10

involved 524:9 528:6 538:23 561:5 591:23 597:8 603:13

involvement 535:25 583:2 591:17

issue 547:15 563:25

**issues** 553:16 616:22 617:21,23,25

**issuing** 616:21

items 535:24

Ivan 590:24

J

jacket 570:8

**Jake** 543:5 574:21

James 590:25

**Jeff** 536:13 537:22 539:4,17 543:5 555:22,24 556:2,16

559:3 567:4,13,19,22 568:6,12,16,23 574:21 581:8 597:17 600:2 602:22,25 603:3.6 610:16

Jeff's 610:20

**Jenna** 602:14,19,22 603:7

jeopardize 561:7

**job** 521:22 522:17 523:4 524:14 525:22 528:3 529:18 532:14 534:7,24 535:5,9,20, 21 541:9,14,15 542:17 544:7 548:2 596:18

joint 526:25 540:2 542:21 547:21 597:4

Jones 536:13 537:22 543:5 555:22 556:2, 21 557:4,20 558:9 559:3,4,12 567:4,13, 19,22 568:6,12 574:21 581:8 597:17 600:2,22 601:18 602:25 610:16

JUDGE 520:6 527:7, 9 529:8 542:22,25 546:7 547:13 548:5, 18 549:15 560:7 564:21 565:6,20 566:9 583:9,13 584:9,16 589:11 599:9,14 601:13,19 604:17 605:21 609:16 610:14 612:10,13,17,21 613:10,13 614:9,20 615:3,13,16 616:5,15 617:15 618:12,14 619:16,21 620:2,6

judgment 616:25 617:21

July 537:16

June 521:10,12,20 522:4,6,13 523:2 524:11 526:24 527:14 528:3 530:24 536:11 588:6 611:6, 16,19 612:4,5,7 Κ

Kansas 523:8 556:11

Karl 526:21 529:24 533:16 538:4,14 539:20 542:10 550:24 556:17 567:23 568:7,9,13 569:22 570:12,14 574:8,23 575:13 576:9 578:6 590:11, 25 592:22,25 602:21

**Karl's** 530:17 536:22

**keeping** 598:16 618:18

**kind** 526:5 538:12 539:17 546:11,19 569:11 571:14,16 573:6

**knew** 570:11,13 610:9

knowing 588:24

**knowledge** 530:17 533:21 544:25 558:25 569:20

L

**labeled** 562:13

lack 531:17

laid 612:2

**Lake** 523:16

landing 614:10

idilding or in

Lane 546:3

language 581:15

**largely** 524:20

Largent 525:6 527:8 529:3 534:18 544:18 548:12 549:10 556:24 565:3,18 566:14,21,24 567:9, 11 573:23 574:4,6, 10,14 576:5,7,21,24 577:9,14 583:6,15 584:7 610:12 612:12, 20 613:12 614:2,13,

17 615:12 616:3 619:14 620:3.4

lasted 556:10

late 526:23 536:11 556:11

law 545:25 585:25

lawyers 607:24

laying 604:22

lead 528:5

**learned** 568:15

**leave** 561:21 562:2 618:4

**left** 583:23 591:17 593:23

legality 547:14

**letter** 585:17,21,25 586:5,15 587:18 607:22 609:5

**letting** 575:11

**level** 578:2 581:16

**liability** 618:7,9

license 588:16

lights 571:10

limit 618:3

**limitation** 617:13,16

lines 552:19

**Linkedin** 611:4,9,12, 13

**list** 528:9 545:6,7 611:4,16,18

listen 550:4

lives 590:18

**local** 523:8 536:2 592:15

location 523:25 533:25

locations 523:17

logbook 526:7

logged 526:7

logo 611:10

Index: long..occurred

long 521:8,16 522:10,20 533:2 548:8,14,24 579:3 591:25 594:19 607:7 612:5 614:6,8

**longer** 556:15 558:11 568:24 583:3

looked 571:5

loop 572:18

**lot** 535:25 539:5,6 549:4 560:3 606:6 617:20

lots 588:19

Love 576:11

lower 548:3 598:21

#### M

**made** 532:6 544:9 546:13 583:19 585:10,19 592:24 594:2 603:12,21

**Madison** 521:14,16

**make** 538:24 546:12, 20,21 547:9 552:14 561:6 581:12 591:15 598:20 604:18 616:20

**making** 525:11 526:7 544:25 545:7,25 552:25 594:8 598:6 603:6

**manage** 531:19

management

523:22 531:9 570:20 572:5

manager 522:19 523:7,14 524:12,23 527:22,23 528:5 535:17,22 543:16 569:20 592:15,16 611:8,17,20

**March** 522:25 583:25 611:6,19 612:3

marginal 608:7

**marked** 539:25 542:21 547:21 567:2

**market** 523:10 592:16

**markets** 597:16

**master** 581:15 594:21 597:10

material 588:21

materialized 532:5

**Matt** 551:13 553:22 567:4.14 575:9

**matter** 520:23 582:3 585:5 621:7

Matthew 520:11,21

Mclellan 535:16,17 536:10,13,18 572:15

Mclellan's 535:20

meaning 533:23

media 581:5 583:19

meet 562:11 575:24

meeting 550:3 562:17 572:7,24 573:19 574:24 575:15 577:17 578:11,16

meetings 578:21

memory 527:23

mention 611:21

mentioned 541:8.17

message 541:4 556:13 577:15

met 554:22

metal 580:4

mid-july 534:5

mid-to-late 537:16

middle 574:18

midnight-ish 556:12

mind 571:17 601:22

minimal 539:7 579:25 592:14

minute 577:3

**minutes** 548:10,14, 25 583:7 584:13

misappropriated 587:14

misappropriation 586:17

misconduct 579:22

misrepresenting 579:18

**missed** 547:15 556:25

misstates 525:6

mobile 526:14

Mohamed 612:22 613:7

**Monday** 548:23 553:24 554:2

**month** 562:19 563:2, 14

months 547:7

**morning** 568:10 584:23

**motion** 614:12 617:20

motioned 571:14

mouth 569:13

**move** 549:16 569:15 596:25 599:9,12

**moving** 526:14 541:21 598:12 608:2

**MSA** 597:15

Musk 520:1 521:1 522:1 523:1 524:1 525:1 526:1 527:1 528:1 529:1 530:1 531:1 532:1 533:1 534:1 535:1 536:1 537:1 538:1 539:1 540:1 541:1 542:1 543:1,5 544:1 545:1 546:1 547:1 548:1 549:1 550:1 551:1 552:1 553:1 554:1 555:1,15 556:1,21 557:1,5,21 558:1,21 559:1,7,10 560:1,13 561:1 562:1,24 563:1 564:1 565:1 566:1

567:1 568:1 569:1,8

570:1,11 571:1,9,25 572:1 573:1 574:1 575:1 576:1,14,16 577:1,7,19 578:1,17, 23 579:1 580:1 581:1 582:1 583:1 584:1 585:1,5 586:1 587:1 588:1 589:1 590:1 591:1 592:1 593:1 594:1 595:1 596:1 597:1 598:1 599:1 600:1,4,12,24 601:1 602:1 603:1 604:1 605:1 606:1,7,15,25 607:1 608:1,24 609:1,9,12,20 610:1, 2 611:1 612:1 613:1 614:1 615:1 616:1 617:1 618:1 619:1

Musk's 601:6 602:7

620:1 621:1

#### Ν

nail 548:18

**named** 526:21 588:10 595:7 602:14

names 539:5 591:19

narrow 617:23

**national** 522:19 523:13,14,21 524:6, 12,23 543:15 552:24 553:2 595:12 611:7, 17,19

**nature** 526:14 529:21 543:12 545:10 558:3, 6 580:24 614:11

NCRA 621:22

**necessarily** 541:20 543:11 581:13 604:4

**needed** 550:5,21,22 616:11

negative 558:6 559:6

negotiated 595:24 598:11

negotiation 597:9,

**Nevada** 535:19

545:25 546:3 593:23 597:25

**nice** 616:3

**Nick** 520:18 543:6 556:24 574:21 612:23

night 556:11

Nocon 543:5 574:21

Nolle 590:25

normal 531:7 562:2

North 524:19

**Notary** 621:5

noted 599:19 620:8

**notes** 583:7 603:16 619:10

notification 602:20

**notified** 567:22 568:7,13,24

**NSA** 539:9

**number** 526:15 542:22 579:8 589:25 591:22

**numbers** 588:16

**numerous** 523:18 588:7

0

**object** 544:18 545:9

objecting 548:14

**objection** 525:6 527:7 529:3 565:3,18 589:6,12 599:13 601:7,20 604:24 609:15,17,18 610:12

objections 604:18

obligated 552:6,13

obligation 616:18 observed 580:6

obtain 582:7

occur 562:18

occurred 553:13

Index: October..property

558:25 566:6 596:14 600:8 602:24 606:12, 16

October 521:19 522:3,5 593:23 612:7

off-site 553:9

offensively 547:7

**offer** 527:5 540:15 616:24

offered 535:7

**offering** 535:6 546:20,22,23 547:2,

offers 528:15 591:15

**office** 523:8 545:19

**officer** 530:20 534:9 535:11 540:15 541:11,16 570:18,19, 23,24 571:4,12 597:21

**officers** 525:3,4,10 526:15 531:13

official 563:10

**officially** 534:5 535:8 541:12 560:15 563:9 613:2

open 613:15,18

opening 615:9,10

operations 523:7 592:15

opine 601:8

**opinion** 530:19 561:6 616:18

opportunities 592:22 593:8,16,22

**opportunity** 530:5,7 594:9 604:19 617:8 618:23

opposition 614:12

options 553:6

order 541:11

**orders** 526:18 536:8 540:17 541:17

**Oregon** 523:16

**organization** 529:19 578:4,5

original 597:14

outcome 621:16

Outlook 587:25

**overrule** 589:12 601:19 604:23

Overruled 529:8 601:13 610:14

oversaw 525:10

**oversee** 525:9 526:12

overseeing 525:3

oversight 524:15 535:23

overtime 599:5

#### Ρ

**p.m.** 555:7

Pacificorp 523:15, 25 611:18

paid 553:18

**papers** 616:10

par 525:14

**paragraph** 586:9,11 587:17 589:25 590:2

parameters 533:12

**Parker** 527:18,19,21 536:3 539:5

**parking** 526:5 588:19

**part** 533:7 538:17 548:16 549:11 560:6 567:3 591:5,10 613:17

participate 578:16

participation 618:20

**parties** 613:23 616:22 621:11

passed 568:12

past 536:24

paste 617:18

**patient** 618:17

**pay** 544:7,9,10 548:3 552:25 553:17 562:2 593:18 598:13 599:4 608:8

**PDT** 520:4 583:12 584:15 620:8

**performance** 530:9, 17 542:18

performer 530:2

**period** 542:14 611:5, 15,22

permanently 587:24

person 545:22

**personal** 521:3 585:18 588:8,16,22 607:16 608:3

personnel 588:14

**perspective** 524:17 526:13 528:17 541:7 616:8

**phone** 549:20 563:3, 17,20,22,23 568:2,5 579:11 585:13 603:4, 5 610:24

**photos** 588:19

**physical** 526:12 558:3

pick 524:6

picking 539:19

picture 588:15

pie 579:5

**piece** 533:15 579:5 596:13 607:16 608:2, 3.24 609:11

placards 526:5

**place** 525:5 546:2 597:23

**plainly** 538:23

plan 618:15

**plants** 523:18

plate 588:16

plausible 571:17,21

**play** 542:5 544:14,22 547:2 548:7,15 549:12,16 554:4,6

**played** 544:23 549:18 554:3 606:22 607:8.11

playing 541:24

pleasure 620:7

**point** 527:5 530:5 536:20 537:8,10 541:25 549:8,9 561:3 583:23 592:24 598:8 618:8

points 526:3 570:4

policy 545:25

populated 607:23

**portion** 548:13

Portland 523:16

posed 589:9

**position** 531:5,15,24 532:4,18,21 533:6, 20,23 546:18 594:3 596:9,11,18,20

**positions** 535:11 599:3

possibility 562:21

**post** 525:25 526:14, 18 536:8 552:18 569:15 570:18,24 571:5

**post-hearing** 613:24 614:3,10,11,14 615:12,13,14 616:22 619:10

**posts** 525:12

potential 580:3

potentially 528:15 532:18 571:18 591:4

power 523:18

**practice** 535:14 539:3 561:2 581:14

616:20

preface 607:14

prepared 551:4

**prepped** 541:21

prepping 541:6

**present** 559:11 564:11 570:15 600:24

presented 550:5 593:17 619:7

president 541:19 595:11

**Pretorius** 543:5 574:20

**pretty** 556:13 561:22 617:22

**previously** 541:8 546:9 573:21 575:21 581:7 599:19

pricing 532:2,7

print 594:19

**prior** 522:2 530:15 546:24 568:20 571:22 572:4,9 575:7 579:9 581:3 584:2 597:23

proceeding 613:22 614:7

proceedings 520:7 621:7,12,14,16

process 578:4 617:9

**produce** 616:18

produced 525:11

**product** 528:14

**professional** 551:13 573:5,18

prognosticated 563:14,22

programs 581:2

promise 594:18

promoted 523:21

**property** 556:15 558:12 560:22

565:12.17 566:4 580:3 599:2

propose 615:8,20

proposing 614:9

prosecute 545:19,20

protected 589:10

protecting 561:15

protection 531:12

**provide** 580:24 614:5 618:3

provided 556:8 559:15 560:4 561:4,5 562:4 563:3 564:19 565:2,10 572:23 586:25 587:6 589:2 591:14 611:24

providing 613:24

provision 581:20,25

**Public** 621:5

**pull** 566:21 585:7 589:17 594:12 596:22

pulling 585:8 594:15 597:3

purchased 522:14

purported 600:3

**purposes** 545:3,5,12 546:14,17,22,23 582:4

pushback 537:11

put 525:5 527:2 536:14.17.18 569:12 570:7 592:4

**putting** 615:5

## Q

qualified 537:2

quality 525:13 528:13

question 525:15 529:11 554:18 559:4, 17 564:22 565:7,21, 22 591:20 601:23

602:5 603:21.25 604:7,14,21,22,24,25 605:3,8,13

questioned 604:3

questioning 569:5, 18 571:22 589:22

questions 566:8 583:17 585:6 589:9 602:11 612:9,12,14, 15

quick 583:8 603:16 quote 605:4

#### R

rate 537:5 544:7,9 548:3 597:5,16 598:2,19,21 599:4 608:5,11

rates 593:18 597:9, 15,18 598:12 608:8

**RDR** 621:4

reached 555:11,15 572:6 602:25

read 528:23 557:12, 19 558:16 567:18 576:19 578:24,25 590:5 599:24 600:19, 20 601:25 602:4 604:6,15

readback 602:8

reading 604:10

ready 541:5 583:14 615:4 619:10

real 583:7 603:16

Realtime 621:22

rearguing 549:8

reason 530:22 548:22 570:16 578:15 580:10 582:13 586:25 587:7 613:15,20 615:25 617:7

reasonable 607:9

reasoned 616:18

reasoning 556:7,8 564:19.20 565:2.10

reassign 608:4

reassigned 582:24

recall 524:4 526:22 527:19 528:2,5,21 531:25 532:8 533:15 534:7,10 537:5,25 540:13,18 542:6,9 543:17,21,23,24 544:4,8 547:12 554:10 555:5,8,21,25 557:9,10 558:23 559:23 562:19 568:5 581:24 585:4 590:17 591:12,19 598:7,9 600:5,25 602:18,24 603:6,20,24 605:2,16 606:13,17,18 610:20,

receive 537:10

received 526:6 527:11,13 530:25 543:10 556:11 558:7 569:17 599:16

receiving 590:19

recess 583:11 584:14

recognize 567:3 577:5

recollection 537:4 544:16 547:3 557:24 567:21 568:3 607:3

recommendations 552:14

record 520:20 573:25 584:17 600:20 613:9,15,17, 18 615:4,23 621:6,14

recorded 544:22.24 588:10

recording 544:14 545:2,10,13,23 546:13,16 547:14,19 549:16 563:3 606:23 609:25

recordinas 547:6

records 588:9

recross 612:10

Redirect 605:22

**reduced** 544:7,9 553:18

reduction 522:8 529:20

redundancy 617:20

refer 542:2 558:15

reference 541:18 585:15

references 611:7

referencing 563:17

referred 561:13

referring 548:13

refresh 567:21 607:3

refreshes 544:15 547:3

refuse 542:5

refusing 578:15

refute 544:8

regard 588:4

region 544:13 608:4

regional 541:19

Registered 621:22

**related** 621:10

relation 530:10

relations 582:4

relationship 594:24

relationships

524:21

relative 621:13

**release** 522:12

released 522:7

reluctance 538:17

remain 528:16 592:25

remaining 561:25

remedy 546:5

remember 539:16

554:20 556:17 558:20 559:2 568:2 569:9 572:2,5,12,14 592:21 604:14 605:7, 11,13,14 606:9

removal 560:25 561:18 563:10 568:16,21 581:3,21 582:9 584:3

remove 551:6 581:8 602:21

**removed** 543:25 544:5 547:22 555:19 556:2 557:8,16 559:19 560:13,14 562:8,22 564:13 565:16 566:4 567:23 568:7 570:18,23 571:4 579:10 582:13 607:20

removing 565:11

Reno 592:16

repeat 556:25

rephrase 565:6

replies 614:15,19

reply 614:12,21 616:12

report 613:16

reported 529:16 531:2 551:21

reporter 520:8 602:4 613:17 614:5 618:17 621:22

REPORTER'S 621:2

reports 583:19

represent 585:5 598:10

representation 526:8

representative 520:23 521:3

representatives 584:18

represented 585:11 618:21

request 560:16,25 561:12,18,20 562:6, 11,14 568:16 569:14, 22,25 578:11 581:3, 10,21 582:9 585:10, 19 592:23 594:4 602:21,22 603:11,22 607:20

requested 556:18 577:18

requesting 570:18, 23 571:4

**requests** 536:5 575:6 581:17 582:5

requirements 615:5

reserve 614:21

resources 603:9

respond 564:18,25 571:11 573:7

responded 572:16

**response** 538:8 543:9 554:14 564:8 576:13,20

## responsibilities

524:14 525:23 532:15 535:21

responsible 524:15

**rest** 554:4,6 612:24 613:5,9

restate 529:10 594:5

restating 601:22

resting 613:11

**resumé** 536:22 590:19

retain 533:22 544:10

retaliation 551:22

retaliatory-wise 561:16

reticence 538:17

revealed 587:23

**review** 536:23 550:10 583:7 587:20, 22 reviewing 619:9

reward 619:2

Case 3:19-cv-00413-LRH-CSD

**Rick** 535:16,17 549:20 572:15 573:2

**Ricky** 549:19,23 554:15 555:11

RIF 528:10 591:5,10

road 553:3 608:23

Robertson 584:10, 22 585:3,22,24 586:8,13 587:9,11 589:14,18 590:4,6 591:25 592:3 593:4 594:12,14 595:14,17 596:22 597:2 599:11, 17,21,23 601:10,14, 24 602:10 603:15,17 604:11,25 605:10,19 609:15,18 612:13,15, 23 613:2,4 614:24,25 616:7 619:23,24

**role** 523:22 531:21 533:22 538:4,15,18 540:15 596:5 603:23 604:4 605:5,17

**roles** 526:12 538:14 539:19 541:11,16

roughly 521:18 523:11,20 525:3,15 532:8 537:15 548:9 562:19 563:8

route 573:3

rude 570:19

ruled 549:7

rules 616:23

run 523:4 536:10 565:16 566:3

S

**safety** 527:24

sake 527:5

Salt 523:16

scattered 523:18

**scenario** 571:17 578:7

schedule 572:6

scheduled 574:24

**scope** 531:7 536:6 550:13 559:13 612:11

Scott 592:9,14

scrap 580:3

screen 527:3 592:5

**scroll** 540:25 567:9, 17 574:4,13 575:8 576:21 577:9 597:12

**Sean** 527:14,17 528:2,22 536:3 537:21 539:5,17 543:4 574:19

**sec** 537:9 569:2 584:5

**seconds** 556:10

Securitas 541:6

security 520:24 521:4,23 522:9,11, 15,21 523:5,6 524:17,25 525:3,10 526:12,15 528:12,18 530:12,15,20 531:8, 13,18 534:9 535:9,10 536:8 538:12 541:11, 13,16,18 543:16 551:16 552:18 553:8 570:23,24 571:4,12, 19 573:16 580:25 581:14 586:20 588:9, 20 593:2 595:12 596:6 597:20 600:16, 17 601:5 602:7 608:6 611:24

security-related 535:24

seek 547:8

selected 536:25

selections 539:19

send 578:3 602:23

**sending** 528:9 542:6 543:18,22 547:20 555:11

**senior** 595:11

sense 562:3

**sentence** 586:14 587:19

**separate** 558:14 597:22

**September** 560:15, 17,18 563:7 567:6,19 568:17,20 585:18 592:8 607:2,6 609:10

**serve** 537:3 538:15 545:24

serves 527:23

**service** 522:7 581:19 595:19

**services** 531:7,8,9 580:25 581:15 594:21 597:10 611:24

**servicing** 523:8,15

**serving** 538:18

**set** 549:20 572:25 617:16

**setting** 547:14

**share** 585:16

shareholders 579:14,19

**shares** 579:19

**sharing** 580:11

**shift** 593:19

**shifted** 533:19

**shifts** 598:22

shit 608:14

**shitty** 551:7

shocked 552:5

**short** 542:14

**shortly** 557:7,15 559:18

**shot** 540:14,20

**Shots** 576:10

**show** 526:25 539:23 542:20 566:20 573:20 589:19

**showed** 573:17

**showing** 555:3 574:15

**shown** 589:21

sic 544:9 609:9

side 618:21

sides 619:3

sign 539:22 612:19

**signal** 551:8

signature 595:4,6

signed 596:7

**silly** 554:18

**simpler** 591:20

simultaneous 614:14,23

simultaneously 614:10

single 533:25

sir 520:25 527:15 529:11 532:13 540:5 544:16 554:10,12,17 559:24 563:18 585:20 586:7 588:5, 13,18 590:9 600:18 601:23 610:8

**site** 537:2 551:4,6 553:18 555:19 561:17,21,25 563:10 568:25 579:10 581:9 582:24 598:19 602:21 607:21

situation 545:16

skipping 589:9

**slightly** 523:12 573:15 597:24

**sloppy** 571:5

**slow** 571:14

**small** 594:19

smaller 524:3

**sort** 569:7,14 579:13 589:7 596:5 617:3

sound 560:2

**sounds** 557:17 559:21 560:19 607:18 616:8,16

sources 559:2

**spare** 619:9

**Sparks** 535:19

speak 538:23 564:21

**speaking** 531:6 536:12 560:24 570:3 609:13,24 610:4

special 591:5

**specialty** 531:5,12, 15,21 533:5

**specific** 523:10 531:25 538:13 539:14 540:24 560:6 569:22 586:25 587:6 591:19 593:13 596:17 603:21

**specifically** 528:22 539:20 547:19 558:23 569:19 573:23

**specifics** 537:25 540:14 559:8 569:21 572:22 600:22

**speculate** 530:22 598:15

speculating 562:20

speculation 529:4, 21

speculative 589:8

**speech** 538:22 539:2,11,15 540:23

**speed** 541:20

speeding 571:9

**spend** 619:9

**split** 591:13

**spoke** 603:4 609:9

**Square** 521:14,17

**staff** 525:13 529:20 560:22

000.22

**stamp** 568:3

**stance** 561:8

standpoint 599:4

start 616:17

started 523:6

**starts** 587:19

state 520:19 542:10

stated 547:3 600:10

**statement** 546:24 601:18 603:6

states 547:19,24

**stating** 548:13

stationed 569:16

stay 535:12 608:13

**stayed** 591:18

**step** 534:23

**stood** 550:7

**stop** 554:5,7

**stores** 545:17

**story** 542:5

straight 578:3

strange 539:18

strategic 551:15

struggle 541:18

struggles 541:5

stuck 614:22

**stuff** 550:10 580:6, 16.21

submitted 532:3

submitting 616:10

Subscribed 621:17

**subsequent** 597:16 618:9.11

subsequently

522:21 538:20 542:3 547:8,12 561:20 578:2

substantial 534:23

substantive 547:10

suggest 616:9

**suggestion** 546:21 578:20

**summary** 617:21

superb 530:2

**supervisor** 531:8 536:15,19 537:3,13, 18 539:19 542:11,13 597:20

supervisors 530:8

**supervisory** 603:22 604:4 605:5,17

supervisory-type 526:11

**support** 528:16 541:10

supporting 523:22

**suppose** 529:21 607:4

**supposed** 526:17 532:15 558:11

Supreme 546:4

**surprised** 543:25 547:22 550:24 551:3 555:18

suspected 608:22

swear 520:8

swing 542:4

**swing-shift** 536:14, 19 537:12,18 542:11, 13

switch 574:10.12

**sworn** 520:12 600:7 621:17

**system** 535:13 541:14

T

takes 572:24

**taking** 523:13 566:18,25

**talk** 528:19 532:20 552:17 610:21 617:25

**talked** 569:5 595:22 606:24

**talking** 542:8 565:5 606:23 607:2

**TAO** 521:7,9,22,24

**tape** 549:18 554:3 606:22 607:11 609:6

taste 578:6

**team** 523:13 541:21 561:13 564:16 575:7 592:25

telephone 606:18

**telling** 539:12 540:13 543:17,21,24 544:4 546:25 558:10 572:5 577:17 579:13,17

ten 545:17,18 584:12

tenure 532:21

term 531:17 573:16

terminate 582:17

terminated 582:21

terms 530:12 531:23 532:11 534:25 535:7 548:24 581:18 593:13 595:19 610:6 618:6

terribly 614:6

**Tesla** 524:6,13,18,23 527:14 528:3,7,10, 12,25 529:15,19 530:10,13,18 531:18 532:3,5 533:3,17,19 534:3 536:2 537:11 538:17 539:3,7 540:23 543:14 544:11 547:22 551:2, 18,19 552:25 553:9, 13 555:19 556:3,15 557:7,15 559:19 560:20 562:8,13,16 563:9 564:9 565:14, 25 566:2 567:23 568:20,25 569:3 572:5,9,19 578:14 579:9,15,18,19,23 580:3,7 581:4,5,9,19, 24 582:14,17 583:4, 20,24 584:3 585:5,10

586:17,18,19,25 587:6,13,15 588:7, 12,20,25 591:14,17 592:23 594:4,20,25 595:24 596:10 597:9 598:6,14,25 602:14, 21 603:9,21 605:4,6, 16 607:21,23 611:2, 5,8,20 613:5

**Tesla's** 560:16 562:5 564:8 565:11 584:18 585:17 588:11 616:7

Tesla-employed 527:22

Tesla-offered 533:23

**testified** 520:13,22 548:4,6 564:17,24 571:8,22 575:20 578:9

testify 590:19

testifying 521:2 559:23 600:25

**testimony** 525:7 549:2 558:16 586:24 589:22 600:8,19 601:9 602:3 604:16 605:3 612:18 619:8

text 540:3 542:6 576:9 577:15 579:11

**texts** 539:23 540:6, 10

theft 580:3

thefts 545:18

**thing** 545:6,7 550:16, 25 570:9,10 577:22 603:18 617:3

**things** 536:7,21 543:13 550:7 578:17 580:4,23 617:18

thinks 606:12

thought 606:12,15 607:8

thoughts 619:17

threat 581:2

thugs 573:16

Index: tie..yesterday

tie 570:8

time 523:23 524:4,22 530:10 535:10 535:10 536:20 538:5,19 541:9 542:15 544:15 548:22 549:9,14 552:14 558:8,10 561:3 562:5,25 566:18 568:3,12,13, 23 578:8 579:2,6,9 582:18 585:9 587:12 588:2 596:16 603:8 607:9 611:22 619:8,9 620:8

times 588:10

timing 567:25

tiny 548:16 566:23

title 522:17

**today** 521:2 548:23 560:4 566:17 586:6 588:24

today's 520:7

told 533:18 537:17, 20,24 538:8 550:4,8 555:12,17 557:25 558:9 559:9 565:14, 25 566:5 573:7 579:22 584:4 598:8 600:23 604:7 605:4, 16 606:11,16,19 610:16,19,25

ton 538:22

tonight 551:12

**top** 576:10 585:23 594:20 595:15

torture 594:18

traditionally 531:20

training 523:14

**transcript** 615:6 621:6

transcripts 614:6

**transfer** 552:17 573:6

transition 594:3

transitioning 572:7

traveling 557:5

troubles 529:22

true 598:12 621:6

trust 552:12

**turn** 544:13 562:16 572:25 575:25

**two-stage** 617:9

**type** 531:21 569:24 570:6,8 580:16,21 595:25

U

U.S. 520:24 521:4 522:9,11,15,21 523:5,6 524:25 525:16 528:12,18 530:15 531:18 535:9 538:12 541:13,18 551:16 552:18 553:8 586:20 593:2 595:12 608:6 611:24

ultimate 538:13

uncommon 535:14

**uncovered** 588:12, 25

underneath 535:18

understand 540:17 543:11 548:2 551:15 552:3,9,15 577:16 579:12,17,21 580:5 586:19 589:11

understandable

understanding

526:9 528:8 557:4,14 559:17 562:7,10 564:7,10 579:24

understood 529:14 548:6 549:6 553:15 562:14 565:21 589:13 604:21 618:13

unemployed 522:4

uniform 570:8

Universal 522:8,14,

18,22

unknown 558:8

**unsafe** 571:15

**unsure** 532:5,24

572:22

unusual 569:24

570:9

upper 570:20

**UPS** 593:8.13

USSA 524:13 525:4 530:8,21 534:4 542:16 544:6 545:9 546:12,21 547:5 549:7 560:15,20 563:9 564:12,25 565:10,15 566:3,10, 19 572:10 580:8,12 582:8 588:3 589:3 591:11,17 592:22 594:25 595:24 596:11,16,18 597:10 598:13,14,25 607:8

**USSA's** 533:2 548:21,23

٧

612:11 613:10 614:2

vague 565:3

varying 525:24

vehicle 526:3

vehicles 526:4

verbal 590:17

verbiage 549:4

versus 546:3

vice 595:11

video 606:22

view 545:15 589:2

violation 551:20

virtue 545:10

visibility 593:12

599:5

**voice** 554:12

W

wait 577:2

waived 545:9

**waiving** 545:20

Walmart 593:9,14

wanted 528:12,15 531:18 538:15 550:10 551:11,12 562:8 567:23 568:24 604:3 616:12

wasted 549:4

wasting 549:9,14

watch 553:14

Wednesday 621:8

**week** 534:15,17 549:25 550:11 608:25 609:12 610:3

weeks 606:25

**west** 523:19 556:22 557:5,22 558:22 559:8 569:18 606:7

Wichita 523:8

Widely 525:24

**Wiebke** 592:9,13 594:8

wise 598:13

witness's 601:9 604:10

wondering 558:19

Woodfield 520:17, 19 525:17 527:4,12 529:5,12 534:20,22 542:24 543:2 544:21 545:3 546:8,10 547:18 548:9,20 549:13,17 554:4,9 557:2 559:22 560:7, 10,12 564:23 565:5, 8,9,23,24 566:7 569:6 571:23 573:21 589:6,22 599:13,18 601:7,17 604:9,13 605:22,23 606:5 609:7,19,21,23 610:18 612:8,25 613:3 614:16 615:15 617:12 618:5,13 619:15,16,18

word 604:20

words 569:12 616:5

work 521:16 522:10, 20 525:13 528:14 530:5 534:16 536:6 553:10 569:22 572:8, 18 575:24 598:19 612:6

**worked** 521:8 534:17 562:16 590:21 596:16 598:17 611:2

**working** 522:2 535:18 542:16 564:5 608:3 611:21

works 541:15

**Wow** 608:12

write 619:2

writing 617:17

wrong 578:10

wrongful 545:13

Wyoming 524:2

Υ

**year** 521:11 523:11, 20

**years** 521:18 522:23 533:4,8 538:2 590:12

yesterday 544:21 571:8